BATTING					BATTING				
(2.0 ab/game and 60 at bats)	CL G	ав н	rts 2	AVG.		L G	AB	HTS	AVG.
					-				
1.Meg Thompson, Canisius	JR 33 1	18	57 .	.483	36.Charmelle Green, Utah	IR 62	199	75	.377
2.Sue Hellman, Wagner				.453	37. Jenni Laczynski, DePaul — S				.376
3.Laurie Miller, Canisius -				.452	38.Kelly Kavanaugh, DePaul				.374
4.Toni Wiggins, Towson St				.446	39.Edith Gallagher, Hofstra ———— S				.374
5.Julie Mariga, Morehead St.				.440	40.Pam Stanley, Central Mich				.373
6.Amanda Travis, Colgate				.427	41.Martha Noffsinger, Fresno St S				.372
6.JoAnn Barnes, Eastern Ill.				.427	42. Teri Klement, Colorado St J				.372
8.Susan Hannon, Siena —				.425	43.Kathy Mohr, Towson St J				.371
9.Maureen Shea, Iona		78	33 .	.423	44.Julie Sexton, Northern Ill J				.370
10.Tracy Schneider, LIU-Brooklyn		83		.410	45.Kristen Shaffer, Vermont				.370
11.Holly Aprile, Massachusetts	SO 46 1	.55	63 .	.406	45.Connie Fiems, Indiana St J				.370
11.Holly Aprile, Massachusetts ——————————————————————————————————	JR 52 1	.65	67 .	.406	47.Allison Asher, St. Francis (Pa.) - J				.370
13.Donna DosAnjos, St. John's (N.Y.) -			62 .	.405	48. Shelly Gibbs, Southern Ill S			44	.370
14.Chris Parris, Nevada-Las Vegas	JR 66 2	211	84 .	.398	49.Mary Ann Cloran, St. Peter's - S				.369
15.Dionna Harris, Temple				.395	50.Tricia Reimche, Nevada-Las Vegas F			82	.368
16.Jennifer Beckman, Western Ill				.394	51.Ann Rowan, Arizona St J				.363
17.Jenn Jackson, Western Ill.				.394	52.Patty Morrison, Fordham - J				.362
18.Chris Hawker, Wright St.				.393	53.Christine Lange, Canisius F				.362
19.Rhonda King-Randolph, Toledo				.393	54.Chris Byrne, East Caro. ———— S			43	.361
20.Tiffany Wasilewski, San Diego				.392	55.Maureen Tracy, LIU-Brooklyn S			39	.361
21.B.J. Charpia, Baptist -				.392	56.Stefanie Hayes, Detroit S				.361
22. Jeanne Villegas, Central Conn. St.				.392	57. Michelle MacCartney, IllChicago - J				.361
23.Beth Owens, Morehead St				.391	58.Terry Gillespie, Rider - J				.360
24.Gina Menta, Detroit				.390	58.Leslie Samson, Canisius F			41	.360
25.Kim Zagajeski, Fairfield			48 .	.387	60. Joyce Tinner, Penn St				.359
26.Julie Doria, San Diego			44 .	.386	61.Lisa Gilfoy, Northern Ill J				.358
27. Tracy Hawkins, Wright St	JR 38 1	.09	42 .	.385	61.Julie Horvath, Mt.St.Mary's (Md.) - S				.358
27.Lisa Fink, Bucknell	FR 34 1	.09	42 .	.385	63.Robyn Swartz, Stetson - S				.358
29.Linda Adams, Cleveland St.	SR 26	91	35 .	.385	64. Monique Abbitt, Miami (Ohio) J			64	.358
30.Yvonne Gutierrez, UCLA	SO 69 1	.85	71 .	.384	65.Julie Fleschner, Brooklyn S			30	.357
31.Debbie Ponist, Drexel -	SR 46 1	.63	62 .	.380	66.Tina Trujillo, Colorado St S			37	.356
32.Dawn Viti, Western Ill.	JR 50 1	.61	61 .	.379	67. Nancy Sparrow, Harvard F	R 37	79	28	.354
33.Tammy Kratz, Drexel	FR 44 1	.48	56 .	.378	68.Barbara Gaines, Southwest Mo. St F	R 38	127	45	.354
34.Jill Karpinski, Villanova	SR 40 1	.27	48 .	.378	69.Dorsey Steamer, Southwestern La S	0 51	161	57	.354
35. Tammy Stice, Eastern Ill	FR 34 1	.14	43 .	.377	70.Julie Smith, Fresno St. — J	R 77	263	93*	.354
HOME RUNS					RUNS BATTED IN				
(Minimum 4)	CL G N	ю.	AVG.		(Minimum 25)	L G	NO.	AVG	•
4 1					-				-
1.Toni Wiggins, Towson St.			0.27		1.Meg Thompson, Canisius ———— J				
2. Jeanne Villegas, Central Conn. St.		10			2.Jill Karpinski, Villanova S			1.2	
3.Rhonda King-Randolph, Toledo		13*			3. Laurie Miller, Canisius ————— S				
4.Jill Karpinski, Villanova			0.22		4.Rhonda King-Randolph, Toledo S				
5.Kim Tolve, Brooklyn			0.19		5.Chris Byrne, East Caro.				
6.Lisa Fink, Bucknell			0.18		6.Tracy Schneider, LIU-Brooklyn S				
7.Mary McGrath, La Salle 8.Kelly Kavanaugh, DePaul	JR 40		0.17		7.Mary McGrath, La Salle J	R 40	37	0.9	
			0.17		8.Ann Woloszynski, Canisius ———— S				
9.Beth Reilly, Harvard			0.16		9. Tracy Hawkins, Wright St J	R 38	33	0.8	7
10.Jenni Laczynski, DePaul			0.16		10. Connie Fiems, Indiana St. ———— J	R 31	25		
11. Robin Chapman, Illinois St.			0.15		10.Sherry Morris, Wagner				
12.Heather LaDuke, Providence 12.Julie Fleschner, Brooklyn	SR 42		0.14		12.Robin Chapman, Illinois St. ———— J				
			0.14		13.Kelly Kavanaugh, DePaul S				
12.Tracy Schneider, LIU-Brooklyn			0.14		14. Joyce Tinner, Penn St S	0.4/	36		
			0.13		15.Lisa Fink, Bucknell				
16.MeMe Vencl, Kent	GD 46		0.13		16.B.J. Charpia, Baptist				
18.Connie Fiems, Indiana St.			0.13		17.Gina Menta, Detroit			0.7	
19.Meg Thompson, Canisius	TD 33		0.13		18. JoAnn Barnes, Eastern Ill. ——————————————————————————————————	AC 34	25		
20.Cheri Nelson, Stetson			0.12						
21.B.J. Charpia, Baptist	FP 55		0.11		20.Ellen Bjorklund, LIU-Brooklyn S 21.Jeanne Stephens, Butler S				
22.Kim Zagajeski, Fairfield ————			0.10		22. Missy Mikolajczak, Virginia — J			0.7	
22. Jody Lunz, New Mexico			0.10		22.missy mikolajczak, virginia — J 23.Tracy Brandenburg, Stetson — F				
22.Angie Straub, San Diego ————			0.10		24.Tracy Burroughs, Baptist S	V 41	28 36	0.6	
22. Tracy Brandenburg, Stetson	FR 41		0.10		25. Patty Morrison, Fordham	D 43	20	0.0	7
		-				~ 43	2.3	٠.٥	•

DOUBLES (Minimum 8)	CT	_			_	TRIPLES
(PETITIANI 8)	CL	-G	NO.	AVC	3.	(Minimum 7) CL G NO. AVG.
1.Maureen Shea, Iona	- so	28	13	0.4	 !6	1.00
2.Michelle Fagnant, Holy Cross			12	0.4		1.Tracy Brandenburg, Stetson — FR 41 10 0.24
3.Robin Chapman, Illinois St.	- JR	55		0.3		2. Sherry Morris, Wagner — JR 31 7 0.23
4.Sue Hellman, Wagner	FR	34	12	0.3		3.Meg Thompson, Canisius — JR 33 7 0.21
5.Susan Hannon, Siena	FR	23	8	0.3		3.Laurie Miller, Canisius ——————————————————————————————————
6.Marcia Dion, Vermont	·SR	38	13	0.3		6 Doth Dull Gambari Mile
7.Amanda Travis, Colgate	FR	37	12	0.3		7 Colloon Wellerson South with
8.Dawn Viti, Western Ill.	JR	50	16	0.3	32	8 Chris Dannie Manada I. an
9.Lori Tubbs, Western Ill			15	0.3		9.Dionna Harris, Temple — SR 46 9 0.20
.0. Vicki White, Northern Iowa			18	0.3	1	10.Kim Zagajeski, Fairfield — JR 41 8 0.20
1.Meg Thompson, Canisius	JR	33	10	0.3	10	11.Tricia Popowski, South Caro JR 63 12 0.19
2.Beth Gaudet, Hofstra	JR	37	11	0.3	0	11. Jeanne Villegas, Central Conn. St. SR 42 8 0.19
3.Jodi Curnette, Dayton	JR	27	8	0.3		13.Chris Byrne, East Caro. ————————————————————————————————————
4.Lisa Gilfoy, Northern Ill.			13	0.3		14. Kelly Thayer, Western Mich SO 50 9 0.18
5.Jenn Jackson, Western Ill.	SR	51	15	0.2		15.Lisa Johnson, Tennessee Tech SR 40 7 0.17
6.Christine Kolatac, Villanova ———— 7.Shauna Bowman, Ohio State —————	FR	39	11	0.2		15.Jill Karpinski, Villanova ————— SR 40 7 0.17
8. Joyce Tinner, Penn St.	JR	64	18	0.2		17. Holly Aprile, Massachusetts SO 46 8 0.17
9.Kim Henzler, Kent ——————	50	4/	13	0.2		18. Vicki Bartolucci, Connecticut - SR 43 7 0.16
0.Angie Fruits, Indiana St.	SR	21		0.2		19.Dawn Viti, Western Ill JR 50 8 0.16
o.Migre Fruits, Indiana St.	50	33	9	0.2	7	20.Missy Mikolajczak, Virginia — JR 46 7 0.15
RUNS SCORED						
(Minimum 30)	CT	_	BTO	1110		WALKS
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NO.	AVG	· 	(Minimum 20) CL G NO. AVG.
1.Meg Thompson, Canisius	JR	33	40	1.2	1	1 Debbie Crist Auguste
2.Laurie Miller, Canisius	SR	33	39	1.1		1.Debbie Grist, Augusta ————————————————————————————————————
3.Lisa Fink, Bucknell	FR	34	37	1.0		3 Tulio Voncein Branch
4.Christine Lange, Canisius			_	1.0		4 - 97 1-10
5.Leslie Samson, Canisius	FR	33		0.9	-	A Voyer Mahan Mahatta
6.Jenni Laczynski, DePaul	so	56	54	0.9	6	C Minhall - manage - a
7.Jeanne Villegas, Central Conn. St.	SR	42	40	0.9	5	7 Dhanda Vine Dandalah
8.Marta Rohn, LIU-Brooklyn	so	35	33	0.9	4	8.Kris Atkins, Butler JR 43 27 0.63
9.Pam Sawyer, La Salle	SR	45	41	0.9	1	9. Juanita Clayton, Utah St JR 37 23 0.62
0. Vicki Bartolucci, Connecticut ———	SR	43	38	0.8	8	10.Christine Lange, Canisius ——— FR 33 20 0.61
1. Shannon Taylor, Southern Ill. ——	JR	41	35	0.8	5	11.Theresa Barg, Bucknell ———————————————————————————————————
2.Donna DosAnjos, St. John's (N.Y.) -	SR	47	40	0.8	5	12.Shannon Taylor, Southern Ill. — JR 41 24 0.59
3.Mary McGrath, La Salle	JR ·	40	34	0.8	5	13. June Andrews, San Diego ————— 50 43 25 0.58
4. Amanda Travis, Colgate —	FR :	37	31	0.8	4	14.Marta Rohn, LIU-Brooklyn ———— SO 35 20 0.57
5.Kim Zagajeski, Fairfield ————	JR ·	41		0.8		15. Shelly Gibbs, Southern Ill SR 41 23 0.56
5.Lisa Johnson, Tennessee Tech	SR	40		0.8		16.Amanda Travis, Colgate FR 37 20 0.54
7.Marcia Dion, Vermont	SR .	38		0.83		17. Jody Blose, Akron — SR 46 24 0.52
9.Angie Straub, San Diego ————	SR 4	48	39	0.81		18.Lisa Terczak, Detroit ————————————————————————————————————
National Leader - Julie Smith, Fres	FR	41	33	0.80)	19.Pam Sawyer, La Salle ———————————————————————————————————
Macronal Beader Bulle Smith, Fres	ino :	ST.	, 55	runs	5	20.Anita Paolucci, Akron — FR 46 22 0.48
TOUGHEST TO STRIKE	יייונט					
40.0.1.4	CL	G	AR	SO	AVG.	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE
·						(2.0 ab/game and 60 at bats) CL G AB H TB PCT.
1 Amy Folsom, Notre Dame	- 60	46 :	25	-0	0.00	1.Meg Thompson, Canisius — JR 33 118 57 93 .788
2.Stephanie Nardone, Boston College -	SR 3	34	92	0	0.0	2.Toni Wiggins, Towson St. — JR 26 83 37 65 .783
3. Deanna Winterbottom, Towson St	FR :	27	77	0	0.0	3.Rhonda King-Randolph, Toledo - SR 55 150 59 116 .773
1.Julie Horvath, Mt.St.Mary's (Md.) -	SR 2	22	67	0	0.0	4.Jill Karpinski, Villanova — SR 40 127 48 97 .764
5.Michelle Delloso, South Caro	JR 6	53 2	212	1 2	212.0	5. Jeanne Villegas, Central Conn. SR 42 143 56 107 .748
6.B.G. Scruggs, Texas-Arlington	JR :	51 1	.55	1 1	155.0	6.Maureen Shea, Iona ————————————————————————————————————
7.Ruth Kmak, Notre Dame	SO 4	18 1	.49	1 1	49.0	7. Tracy Schneider, LIU-Brooklyn SO 28 83 34 56 .675
3. Dena Tauriello, Penn St.	SR 4	17 1	.34	1 1	.34.0	8. Dionna Harris, Temple SR 46 152 60 102 .671
).Laurie Miller, Canisius	SR 3	33 1	.24		.24.0	9.Sue Hellman, Wagner FR 34 106 48 71 .670
).Sue Semon, Fairfield	SR 4	12 1	.11		.11.0	10.Laurie Miller, Canisius SR 33 124 56 83 .669
L.Lisa Mignault, Lehigh ——————	JR 3	55 1	.10		.10.0	11.Kim Zagajeski, Fairfield JR 41 124 48 82 .661
2.Chris Stuppi, Princeton ————————————————————————————————————	SR 3	56 1	.09		.09.0	12. Jenni Laczynski, DePaul — SO 56 181 68 119 .657
Janice Richner, San Jose St.	SK 6	0 2	:12		.07.5	13. Robin Chapman, Illinois St JR 55 171 60 112 .655
Lesley Perkins, Adelphi	JK 5)/]	64		83.5	14.Susan Hannon, Siena — FR 23 73 31 47 .644
Jenn Jackson, Western Ill.	<i>3</i> ∪ 4	10 l	.04 .CO		82.0	15.Kelly Kavanaugh, DePaul — SO 54 163 61 104 .638
Nancy Sparrow, Harvard	2K 2)1 J	טט. סלי		80.0	16.Dawn Viti, Western Ill. — JR 50 161 61 99 .615
3. Tricia Gray, Virginia	rk J) / C 4	/9 50		79.0	17. Amanda Travis, Colgate FR 37 103 44 63 .612
Kim Kostyk, Long Beach St.	rk 4	10 I	24		76.0	16.B.J. Charpia, Baptist ———— FR 55 176 69 107 -608
Michelle MacCartney, Ill.—Chicago —	JU 0	,, 4 in 1	47		74.0	19. Holly Aprile, Massachusetts — SO 46 155 63 93 .600
	J. 3	1	- 1	4	73.5	National Leader — Chris Parris, UNLV, 123 total bases

EARNED-RUN AVERAGE	EARNED-RUN AVERAGE
(Minimum 99 innings) CL G IP R ER ERA	(24) = 1
	(Minimum 99 innings) CL G IP R ER ERA
1.Sue Rybczyk, Connecticut ———— SR 26 181.0 16 7 0.27	26 Duby Flores Tone Bonch St
2.Stefni Whitton, Southwestern L SR 26 174.2 10 7 0.28	26. Ruby Flores, Long Beach St. — SO 28 170.2 24 17 0.70
A 1 in	27.Janis Okerlund, Adelphi ——— JR 27 178.1 37 18 0.71 28.Christy Brown, Indiana ———— JR 34 212.2 37 22 0.72
	29.Kristy Burch, Miami (Ohio) — SR 37 237.1 40 25 0.74
5.Michele Granger, California — FR 42 277.2 27 14 0.35	30. Courtney Hankes, N.CCharlott JR 25 179.2 32 19 0.74
6.Christy Larsen, Florida St. — JR 26 178.1 17 9 0.35	31.Keri Kropke, California — FR 18 111.1 21 12 0.75
7. Heather Compton, UCLA ———— FR 20 134.2 7 7 0.36	32.Lori Harrigan, Nevada-Las Vega SO 48 291.2 55 33 0.79
8.Terry Carpenter, Fresno St. — SO 31 208.1 29 11 0.37	33. Shireen Campbell, Cal St. Full SO 33 175.1 26 20 0.80
9.Lisa Longaker, UCLA	34.Ginnie Scheller, Arizona SR 19 111.1 18 13 0.82
10.Kathy McCarthy, Temple JR 24 156.0 57 9 0.40	35.Suzi Bradach, Pacific ———— JR 34 205.1 43 24 0.82 36.Darby Seegrist, Kent ———— SO 37 248.0 60 29 0.82
11.Katie Quinn, Vermont SO 31 164.2 28 10 0.43	36.Darby Seegrist, Kent SO 37 248.0 60 29 0.82
12.Ann Van Dortrecht, Cal St.Full SO 51 310.2 33 19 0.43	37.Michelle White, Kent - FR 16 101.0 30 12 0.83
13.Mary Letourneau, Long Beach St FR 38 244.1 32 16 0.46	38.Terri McFarland, Iowa ———— SO 57 302.0 58 36 0.83
14.Dena Carter, Oklahoma St JR 32 219.0 31 15 0.48	56.5.1.5. 5.6.5. 5.6.5.5. 5.6.5.5.5.
15. Carie Dever, Fresno St. ———————————————————————————————————	** = - * - * - * - * - * - * - * - * - *
	40.Bonnie Ebenkamp, Cal Poly Pomo FR 27 142.0 24 17 0.84
16.Leigh Podlesny, IllChicago - SO 34 222.0 33 18 0.57	41.Melinda Cook, Arizona St SO 24 128.1 27 16 0.87
17. Cathy McAllister, Southwestern SR 24 165.1 29 14 0.59	42.Doreen Juarez, Arizona ——— SO 26 160.0 24 20 0.88
18.Missi Young, Texas A&M ——— FR 41 254.0 31 22 0.61	43. Shannon Covington, Southeaster SO 22 127.2 37 16 0.88
19.Julie Jones, Arizona — JR 29 183.2 35 16 0.61	44.Katie Wiese, Oregon SO 45 285.1 59 36 0.88
20.Amy Madrin, Akron ————————————————————————————————————	45.Sherry Morris, Wagner JR 17 109.0 38 14 0.90
21.Dede Weiman, UCLA FR 17 109.1 13 10 0.64 22.Jennifer Grinath, Rider FR 26 159.2 33 15 0.66	46.Lorrie Muir, Southern Utah St. FR 16 107.0 39 14 0.92
22.Jennifer Grinath, Rider FR 26 159.2 33 15 0.66	47.Roanna Brazier, Kansas SR 39 241.0 53 32 0.93
23.Sandy Green, S.F. Austin St SR 34 218.1 41 21 0.67	48.Sharon Nichols, Bucknell JR 22 127.0 41 17 0.94
24. Vicki Chesnutt, Nicholls St SO 24 134.2 44 13 0.68	49.Jill Jensen, Indiana
25.Debbie DeJohn, Florida St SR 35 225.2 35 22 0.68	50.Lori Frederick, Central Conn. JR 20 148.2 36 20 0.94
	over a reduction, contract country of 20 27012 30 20 0,51
STOLEN BASES	STRIKEOUTS PER SEVEN INNINGS
(Minimum 10 made) CL G SB SBA AVG.	and a man a a
(Internal 15 made) CD G BB BBA AVG.	(Minimum 75 innings) CL G IP SO AVG.
1 Johnnie Crith W.C. Wilminsten CD EC ECt C1 1 12	1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1. Johnnie Smith, N.CWilmington SR 50 56* 61 1.12	1.Michele Granger, California — FR 42 277.2 327* 8.2
2.Kelli Miller, Robert Morris — FR 21 23 26 1.10	2.Christy Larsen, Florida St. — JR 26 178.1 201 7.9
3.Donna DosAnjos, St. John's (N.Y.) - SR 47 46 50 0.98	3.Missi Young, Texas A&M — FR 41 254.0 272 7.5
4.Julie Mariga, Morehead St. ——— FR 34 33 34 0.97	4.Kim Mizesko, Connecticut — SO 21 130.1 137 7.4
5.Missy Keim, St. Louis	5.Karen Snelgrove, Missouri SO 23 145.2 153 7.4
6.Kimberly Griffin, Delaware ———— SO 32 23 25 0.72	6.Dede Weiman, UCLA FR 17 109.1 112 7.2
7.Lisa Fink, Bucknell — FR 34 24 29 0.71	7.Debbie Styx, Indiana St JR 23 113.1 108 6.7
8.Christy Arterburn, Kansas SO 61 42 48 0.69	8.Sandy Green, S.F. Austin St SR 34 218.1 204 6.5
9.Dorsey Steamer, Southwestern La. — SO 51 35 40 0.69	9.Stefni Whitton, Southwestern L SR 26 174.2 160 6.4
10. Jacqui Pitts, Detroit JR 46 30 36 0.65	10.Katie Quinn, Vermont SO 31 164.2 150 6.4
11.Beth Bull, Central Mich	11.Pam Walton, Cleveland St SR 13 90.0 81 6.3
12. Vivian Holm, Arizona — SR 66 41 45 0.62	12.Janis Okerlund, Adelphi — JR 27 178.1 158 6.2
13.Joy Rishel, Nebraska ———————————————————————————————————	
AM	14.Tracy Meade, South Fla SO 20 110.0 94 6.0
	15.Leigh Podlesny, IllChicago - SO 34 222.0 188 5.9
16. Jeanne Villegas, Central Conn. St. SR 42 24 24 0.57	16.Lisa Longaker, UCLA ————————————————————————————————————
17. Shelly Simpson, Nicholls St. ——— SO 52 29 37 0.56	17. Tracy Dudley, South Fla JR 18 104.1 84 5.6
18.Kelli McGahey, La Salle FR 44 23 24 0.52	18.Chrissy Oliver, Oklahoma St FR 16 92.1 74 5.6
19.Julie Garcia, New Mexico SO 50 26 29 0.52	19.Terri McFarland, Iowa SO 57 302.0 241 5.6
19.Tracy Vun Kannon, New Mexico JR 50 26 30 0.52	20.Erin Reiter, Valparaiso FR 28 169.0 132 5.5
MOST VICTORIES	MOST SAVES
CL G IP W L PCT.	CL G IP ERA SV
1.Lisa Kemme, Winthrop JR 52 361.2 34 17 0.667	1.Terri McFarland, Iowa — SO 57 302.0 0.83 9
2.Debbie Nichols, Louisiana Tech SR 49 344.0 33 16 0.673	2.Nora Flores, U.S. Int'l — JR 41 223.1 1.35 8
3.Darby Seegrist, Kent ————— SO 37 248.0 30 7 0.811	
	4. Mary Letourneau, Long Beach St FR 38 244.1 0.46 4
5.Roanna Brazier, Kansas SR 39 241.0 28 7 0.800	4. Michelle Worthington, Central JR 32 140.0 1.20 4
5.Angie Lear, South Caro. — JR 44 292.2 28 13 0.683	4.Heather Frey, Drexel ———— SO 37 182.2 1.61 4
5. Laurie Bowden, Drake ———— FR 48 309.1 28 16 0.636	7.Amy Madrin, Akron ————————————————————————————————————
8.Terri McFarland, Iowa SO 57 302.0 27 18 0.600	7.Roanna Brazier, Kansas — SR 39 241.0 0.93 3
9.Missi Young, Texas A&M ——— FR 41 254.0 26 11 0.703	7.Nikki Ambruso, Rutgers — SO 32 179.2 1.13 3
10.Carie Dever, Fresno St SR 32 220.0 25 6 0.806	7.Mindy Dessert, Western Ill. — SO 25 134.2 1.14 3
10.Debbie DeJohn, Florida St SR 35 225.2 25 8 0.758	7.Kelly Brookhart, Creighton — JR 39 235.0 1.16 3
10.Kristy Burch, Miami (Ohio) SR 37 237.1 25 9 0.735	7. Robin Smith, Illinois St FR 39 205.2 1.36 3
10.Lora Lischinsky, Georgia St SR 38 248.0 25 11 0.694	7.Terri Carnicelli, Arizona St. SO 40 218.2 1.57 3
10.Lori Harrigan, Nevada-Las Vega SO 48 291.2 25 17 0.595	7.Tina Szynal, Drexel ———— FR 8 18.2 1.88 3
15.Christy Brown, Indiana — JR 34 212.2 24 6 0.800	- · · · ·
15.Leigh Podlesny, IllChicago - SO 34 222.0 24 9 0.727	
48 1 1	7.Jill Richards, Eastern Ill. — SO 14 54.2 2.05 3
15.Katie Wiese, Oregon SO 45 285.1 24 12 0.667	7. Stacie Bonner, Princeton —— FR 22 112.1 2.24 3
15.Michelle Hall, Coastal Caro FR 42 246.2 24 13 0.649	7.Jennifer Jay, Wichita St. —— FR 35 190.1 2.39 3
15. Michele Granger, California — FR 42 227.2 24 14 0.632	7.Kristina Duarte, Yale ——— JR 8 43.0 3.58 3
20.Dena Carter, Oklahoma St JR 32 219.0 23 7 0.767	
20.Kelly Brookhart, Creighton — JR 39 235.0 23 11 0.676	

	BATTI								PITCHI	NG						
	G 	W	L	T 	.AB	HITS	AVG.		G	W	L	T	IP	R	ER	ERA
1.Canisius	33	21	11	1	992	347	.350	1.Fresno St.	 77	62	15	0	543.1	63	32 (0.41
2.Wagner —		21	13	0	967	309	.320	2.UCLA -	69	62	7		483.2			0.420
3.Baptist -	55	32	23	0	1469	461	.314	3.Florida St	63	47	16	-	454.0			0.49
4.Eastern Ill		18	16	0	972	294	.302	4.Southwestern La	52	44	8		372.0			0.53
5.Western Ill	51	35	16	0	1416	426	.301	5 Oklahoma St	E.A	43	11		386.0			0.54
6.Morehead St	41	29	9	3	1110	332	.299	6.California	69	41	28		491.2			0.57
7.Towson St	 30	19	11	0	812	242	.298	7.Cal St. Fullerton -	68	45	23		527.0			0.58
8.LIU-Brooklyn	35	26	9	0	954	284	.298	8.Long Beach St.		48	19		514.1		-	0.71
9.Southwestern La		44	8	0	1347	399	.296	9.Connecticut		34	9		325.2			0.75
0.Indiana St		21	12	0	941	278	.295	10.Arizona —	66	49	17		455.0			0.75
1.Kent	52	43	9	0	1339	395	.295	11.Indiana	63	45	18		442.0			
2.Southern Ill.		31	10		1098	321	.292	12.Missouri —		30	14		303.1			0.79
3.La Salle		29	15		1221	355	.291	13.Texas A&M		47	20					0.81
4.Vermont	40	27	13		1129	327	.290	14.Kent -		43	9		461.2			0.82
5.San Diego ————		25	25		1302	375	.288	15.Temple		28	•		349.0	-		0.82
6.Drexel	47	31	16		1262	362	.287	16.South Caro.			18		306.2			0.84
7.UCLA	60	62	7		1795	508	.283			49	14		440.0			0.89
8.Toledo	56	30	26		1467	414	.282	17.Nevada-Las Vegas		41	27		484.2		63 0	
9.Detroit ————	J0	33	18					18.IllChicago		36	15		345.0			0.93
0.Bucknell -		20			1322	372	.281	19.Nicholls St.		32	25		393.2		53 0	
1.Cleveland St.	34		14		883	247	.280	20.Adelphi ———		30	17		355.0			1.05
2.Colorado St.	26	15	11		744	208	.280	21.N.CCharlotte	52	39	13		375.0		56 1	1.05
2.Colorado St.	40 40	23	17	-	1034	289	.279	22.Winthrop		34	19		372.1		57 1	1.07
	40	27	13	-	1073	298	.278	23.Pacific ——————————————————————————————————		30	28		395.0		61 1	
4.DePaul	56	32	23	1	1478	409	.277	24.Akron	47	32	15	0	340.0	78	53 1	1.09
National Leader — Fre	esno St.	, 55						25.Miami (Ohio)		36	20		397.0	101	62 1	1.09
National Leader — Fre	esno St. FIELDI	•							56	36	20		397.0	101	62 1	1.09
National Leader — Fre		•			РО	A	E PCT.			36	20 L	0	397.0	101 AVG		1.09
1.UCLA	FIELDI G 69	NG	9 hi	ts T		A 486		25.Miami (Ohio)	SCORI G	36 NG W	_ L	O T	RUNS	AV G	_	1.09
1.UCLA	FIELDI G 69	NG W	9 hi	T 0	PO 1442	486	41 .979	25.Miami (Ohio)	56 SCORI G 33	36 NG W	L 11	0 T 1	RUNS —— . 250	AVG	- 8	1.09
1.UCLA	FIELDI G 69	NG W 62 49	E T 7 17	T 0 0	PO ————————————————————————————————————	486 693	41 .979 46 .978	25.Miami (Ohio)	SCORI G	36 NG W 21 12	11 18	0 T 1 0	RUNS	7.5 5.7	- - 8	1.09
1.UCLA	FIELDI G — 69 — 66 — 51	NG W 62 49 35	E L 7 17 16	T 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050	486 693 451	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978	1.Canisius	SCORI G 33 30 34	36 W 21 12 21	11 18 13	0 T 1 0 0	RUNS 250 172 193	7.5 5.7 5.6	8 8 3	1.09
1.UCIA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDI G — 69 — 66 — 51 — 67	NG W 62 49 35 48	L 7 17 16 19	T 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540	486 693 451 760	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974	1.Canisius	SCORI G	36 NG W 21 12 21 29	11 18 13 9	1 0 0 3	RUNS 250 172 193 227	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5	- - 8 3 8	1.09
1.UCIA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDI G — 69 — 66 — 51 — 67	NG W 62 49 35 48 13	1 L 7 17 16 19 15	T 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591	486 693 451 760 235	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972	1.Canisius	56 SCORI G 33 30 34 41 45	36 W 21 12 21 29 29	L 11 18 13 9 15	1 0 0 3 1	250 172 193 227 249	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5	8 3 8 4 3	1.09
1.UCLA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDI: G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47	1 L 7 17 16 19 15 16	0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362	486 693 451 760 235 558	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972	1.Canisius	SCORI G 33 30 34 45 35	36 W 21 12 21 29 29	11 18 13 9 15 9	1 0 0 3 1	250 172 193 227 249 181	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5	 	1.09
1.UCIA —	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47	F hi 7 17 16 19 15 16 28	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176	486 693 451 760 235 558 577	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970	1.Canisius	SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 29 26 10	11 18 13 9 15 9	1 0 0 3 1 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.1 5.1	8 3 8 4 4 3 7	1.09
1.UCIA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31	1 L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970	1.Canisius 2.Manhattan 3.Wagner 4.Morehead St 5.La Salle 6.LIU—Brooklyn 7.Augusta 8.Baptist	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 29 26 10 32	11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23	1 0 0 3 1 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278*	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.1	8 3 8 4 3 7 0	1.09
1.UCLA — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45	1 L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969	1.Canisius 2.Manhattan 3.Wagner 4.Morehead St. 5.La Salle 6.LIU-Brooklyn 7.Augusta 8.Baptist 9.Vermont	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 29 26 10 32 27	11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23	0 T 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9		1.09
1.UCLA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47	Fig. 1. The state of the state	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969	1.Canisius ——————————————————————————————————	56 SCORI G 33 30 34 41 45 35 31 55 40 28	36 W 21 12 21 29 26 10 32 27 13	11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13	1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9	8 3 8 4 3 7 0 5 7	1.09
1.UCLA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47	17 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LIU—Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	56 SCORI G 33 33 34 41 45 35 31 55 40 28 40	36 W 21 12 21 29 29 26 10 32 27 13 22	11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15	1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.9	8 3 8 4 3 7 0 5 7 3 2	1.09
1.UCLA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47 44 62	L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LIU-Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17	T 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7	8 3 8 4 3 7 0 5 7 3 2	1.09
1.UCLA ————————————————————————————————————	FIELDII G	NIG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47 44 62 34	L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO ————————————————————————————————————	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 72 .967	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LIU-Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — 13.Towson St. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14	T 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7	8 3 8 4 3 7 0 5 7 3 2 4 0	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 8.Princeton 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah	FIELDII G	NIG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47 46 23 34 32	L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28 30	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO ————————————————————————————————————	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 72 .967 66 .966	1.Canisius	56 SCORI G	36 NG W 21 12 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43	11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14	T 1 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.7		1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 8.Princeton 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47 44 62 34 32 41	The state of the s	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627 1364 1252 1472	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 78 .967 66 .966 73 .965	1.Canisius 2.Manhattan 3.Wagner 4.Morehead St. 5.La Salle 6.LIU-Brooklyn 7.Augusta 8.Baptist 9.Vermont 10.Brooklyn 11.Villanova 12.Bucknell 13.Towson St. 14.Kent	56 SCORI G 33 30 34 41 45 35 35 40 28 40 34 30 52	36 NG W 21 12 29 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25	T 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7	 	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro.	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47 44 62 34 32 41 27	7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28 30 28 13	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627 1364 1252 1472 853	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548 398	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 72 .967 66 .966 73 .965 46 .965	1.Canisius 2.Manhattan 3.Wagner 4.Morehead St. 5.La Salle 6.LIU-Brooklyn 7.Augusta 8.Baptist 9.Vermont 10.Brooklyn 11.Villanova 12.Bucknell 13.Towson St. 14.Kent 15.San Diego 16.Southern Ill.	56 SCORI G	36 NG W 21 12 29 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25	T 1 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.7	 	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro. 7.San Diego St.	FIELDII G — 69 — 66 — 51 — 67 — 28 — 63 — 59 — 37 — 68 — 66 — 52 — 77 — 62 — 62 — 69 — 40 — 59	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47 44 62 34 32 41 27 21	Fig. 12 Per 1 Per	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627 1364 1252 1472 853 1269	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 386 717 719 645 548 398 742	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 72 .967 66 .966 73 .965 46 .965 74 .965	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LIU—Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — 13.Towson St. — 14.Kent — 15.San Diego — 16.Southern Ill. — 17.Tennessee Tech	56 SCORI G	36 NG W 21 12 29 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31 20	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25 10 20	T 1 0 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232 189 182	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.6	83844370573240541	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro. 7.San Diego St.	FIELDII G — 69 — 66 — 51 — 67 — 28 — 63 — 59 — 37 — 68 — 66 — 52 — 77 — 62 — 62 — 62 — 69 — 40 — 59 — 43	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 45 47 44 62 34 32 41 27 21 34	L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 8 15 28 308 13 38 9	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627 1364 1252 853 1269 977	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548 398 742 532	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 72 .967 66 .966 73 .965 46 .965 74 .965 56 .964	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LIU—Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — 13.Towson St. — 14.Kent — 15.San Diego — 16.Southern Ill. — 17.Tennessee Tech — 18.Indiana St.	56 SCORI G 33 33 34 41 45 35 31 55 40 28 40 34 30 52 40 34 30 34 30 31 30 31 30 31 30 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	36 W 21 122 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31 20 21	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25	T 1 0 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232 189	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.9 4.7 4.7 4.6 4.6	7.—83843705732405415	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 8.Princeton 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro. 7.San Diego St. 8.Connecticut 9.South Caro.	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 47 31 15 47 44 47 32 41 27 21 34 49	L	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627 1364 1252 853 1269 977 1331	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548 398 742 532 648	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 72 .967 66 .966 73 .965 74 .965 74 .965	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LIU—Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — 13.Towson St. — 14.Kent — 15.San Diego — 16.Southern Ill. — 17.Tennessee Tech — 18.Indiana St. — 19.Siena — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	56 SCORI G	36 NG W 21 12 29 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31 20	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25 10 20	T 1 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232 189 182	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.1 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.5		1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 8.Princeton 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro. 7.San Diego St. 8.Connecticut 9.South Caro. 0.New Mexico	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 15 47 44 62 34 127 21 34 49 31	L L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28 30 28 13 38 9 14 19	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627 1364 1252 1472 853 1269 977 1331 1048	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 398 742 532 648 524	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 72 .967 66 .966 73 .965 56 .965 74 .965 56 .964 74 .964 60 .963	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LTU-Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — 13.Towson St. — 14.Kent — 15.San Diego — 16.Southern Ill. — 17.Tennessee Tech — 18.Indiana St. — 19.Siena — 20.Drexel — — 20.Drexel — — 20.Drexel — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 122 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31 20 21	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25 10 20 12	T 1 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232 189 182 147	7.5 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.5 4.4	<u> </u>	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 8.Princeton 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 5.East Caro. 7.San Diego St. 8.Connecticut 9.South Caro. 0.New Mexico 1.Akron	FIELDII G	NG W 62 49 35 48 13 15 47 44 62 34 127 21 34 49 31 32	1 L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28 30 28 13 38 9 14 19 15	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO ————————————————————————————————————	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548 398 742 532 648 524 343	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 49 .968 78 .968 78 .967 66 .966 73 .965 46 .965 74 .965 56 .964 60 .963 52 .963	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LIU-Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — 13.Towson St. — 14.Kent — 15.San Diego — 16.Southern Ill. — 17.Tennessee Tech — 18.Indiana St. — 19.Siena — 20.Drexel — 21.East Caro.	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 43 25 31 20 21 10	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25 10 20 12 13	1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232 189 182 147	7.5 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.4 4.4	 - 838437057324054155534	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro. 7.San Diego St. 8.Connecticut 9.South Caro. 0.New Mexico 1.Akron 2.Cal Poly Pomona	FIELDII G 69 66 51 67 28 63 59 37 68 66 52 77 62 62 69 40 59 43 63 50 47	NG W 629 499 348 131 155 447 21 349 431 32 34	1 L 7 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28 30 28 13 38 9 14 19 15 32	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO ————————————————————————————————————	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548 398 742 548 398 742 548 398 742 548 343 688	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 78 .967 66 .966 73 .965 46 .965 74 .965 56 .964 74 .964 60 .963 52 .963 79 .963	1.Canisius — 2.Manhattan — 3.Wagner — 4.Morehead St. — 5.La Salle — 6.LTU-Brooklyn — 7.Augusta — 8.Baptist — 9.Vermont — 10.Brooklyn — 11.Villanova — 12.Bucknell — 13.Towson St. — 14.Kent — 15.San Diego — 16.Southern Ill. — 17.Tennessee Tech — 18.Indiana St. — 19.Siena — 20.Drexel — — 20.Drexel — — 20.Drexel — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 29 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31 20 21 10 31	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25 10 12 13 13 15 16	1 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 193 161 141 242 232 189 182 147 102 204	7.55 5.75 5.65 5.55 5.15 5.10 4.99 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.3	838437057324054155342	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 8.Princeton 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro. 7.San Diego St. 8.Connecticut 9.South Caro. 0.New Mexico 1.Akron 2.Cal Poly Pomona 3.U.S. Int'1	FIELDII G	NG W 629 499 348 131 155 447 444 27 21 449 132 344 27 27 27	P hi L 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28 13 38 9 14 19 15 32 33 33 33 33 33	T 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PO 1442 1365 1050 1540 591 1362 1176 739 1594 1385 1116 1627 1364 1252 853 1269 977 1331 1048 1013 1368 1161	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548 398 742 532 648 524 343 688 501	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 49 .968 78 .968 78 .967 66 .966 73 .965 46 .965 74 .965 56 .964 60 .963 52 .963	1.Canisius 2.Manhattan 3.Wagner 4.Morehead St. 5.La Salle 6.LIU-Brooklyn 7.Augusta 8.Baptist 9.Vermont 10.Brooklyn 11.Villanova 12.Bucknell 13.Towson St. 14.Kent 15.San Diego 16.Southern Ill. 17.Tennessee Tech 18.Indiana St. 19.Siena 20.Drexel 21.East Caro. 22.N.CCharlotte 23.Detroit	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31 20 21 10 31 27 39	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 15 17 14 11 9 25 10 20 12 13 16 13	T 1 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	RUNS 250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232 189 182 147 102 204 173	7.55 5.75 5.55 5.55 5.11 5.00 4.99 4.87 4.64 4.64 4.64 4.44 4.43 4.33	8384370573240541553421	1.09
1.UCLA 2.Arizona 3.Western Ill. 4.Long Beach St. 5.Yale 6.Florida St. 7.Cal St. Sacramento 8.Princeton 9.Cal St. Fullerton 0.Texas A&M 1.Southwestern La. 2.Fresno St. 3.San Jose St. 4.Utah 5.California 6.East Caro. 7.San Diego St. 8.Connecticut 9.South Caro. 0.New Mexico 1.Akron 2.Cal Poly Pomona 3.U.S. Int'1	FIELDII G — 69 — 66 — 51 — 67 — 28 — 63 — 59 — 37 — 68 — 66 — 52 — 77 — 62 — 62 — 69 — 40 — 59 — 43 — 63 — 50 — 47 — 66 — 55 — 47 — 66 — 55 — 47 — 66	NG W 629 499 348 131 155 447 21 349 431 32 34	1 L 7 7 17 16 19 15 16 28 21 23 20 8 15 28 30 28 13 38 9 14 19 15 32	T 000000000000000000000000000000000000	PO ————————————————————————————————————	486 693 451 760 235 558 577 469 691 561 386 717 719 645 548 398 742 548 398 742 548 398 742 548 343 688	41 .979 46 .978 34 .978 61 .974 24 .972 56 .972 54 .970 38 .970 73 .969 63 .969 49 .968 78 .968 78 .967 66 .966 73 .965 46 .965 74 .965 56 .964 74 .964 60 .963 52 .963 79 .963	1.Canisius 2.Manhattan 3.Wagner 4.Morehead St. 5.La Salle 6.LIU-Brooklyn 7.Augusta 8.Baptist 9.Vermont 10.Brooklyn 11.Villanova 12.Bucknell 13.Towson St. 14.Kent 15.San Diego 16.Southern Ill. 17.Tennessee Tech 18.Indiana St. 19.Siena 20.Drexel 21.East Caro. 22.N.CCharlotte	56 SCORI G	36 W 21 12 21 29 26 10 32 27 13 22 20 19 43 25 31 20 21 10 31 27 39	L 11 18 13 9 15 9 21 23 13 15 17 14 11 9 25 10 20 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	T 1 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	RUNS 250 172 193 227 249 181 158 278* 199 138 193 161 141 242 232 189 182 147 102 204 173 224	7.5 5.7 5.7 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.7 4.7 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.3 4.3	83843705732405415534217	1.09

FINAL 1990 DIVISION I SOFTBALL .

	DOUBL	ES					TRIPLES	
	G	W	L	T	2B	AVG.		AVG
.Indiana St	33	21	12	0	48	1.45	1.Stetson 44 19 25 0 38* 0	0.8
.Western Ill	51	35	16	0	69*	1.35	2:Holy Cross — 28 13 15 0 23 0	3.8
.Eastern Ill	34	18	16	0	44	1.29	3. Canisius ——————————————————————————————————	7.0
.Hofstra	37	19	17	1	45	1.22		0.6
.Southern Utah St	38	22	16	0	42	1.11		0.6
	44	23	19	2	48	1.09		0.5
.Miami (Ohio)	56	36	20	0	61	1.09	<u> </u>	0.5
.Wagner -	 34	21	13	0	37	1.09		0.5
.Drexel	47	31	16	0	51	1.09		0.4
.Oklahoma St	54	43	11	0	58	1.07		0.4
.Niagara		7	21	0	30	1.07	-	0.4
.Northwestern La		43	17	0	64	1.07		0.4
.Dayton		15	14	0	30	1.03).4
.Illinois St		29	26	0	56	1.02		0.4
.DePaul —	56	32	23	1	56	1.00		0.4
Louisiana Tech		33	18	ō	51	1.00		0.4
.Southeastern La	45	24	21	o	44	0.98).4).4
.Vermont -		27	13	Ö	39	0.98		0.4
.Canisius —		21	11	1	39	0.98		J.4 J.4
.Indiana	63	45	18	0	61	0.97		
.Southern Ill. ———		31	10	0	39	0.97).4).4
Bowling Green	G1	19	32	ő	48	0.94		
Iona ————	30	6	24	0	28	0.94	•	0.3
.Baptist ———		32	23	0	20 51	0.93).3).3
		32				U.93	24.N.CCharlotte 32 39 13 0 20 0.	J 3
5.Kent ————	52 HOME R	43 UNS	9	Ö	48	0.92		
5.Kent	52			-		0.92 AVG.	24.Southwestern La 52 44 8 0 20 0. SLUGGING PERCENTAGE	0.3
	——— 52 HOME R G	UNS W	9 L	0 T	48 HR	AVG.	24.Southwestern La. ———— 52 44 8 0 20 0. SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB 7	тв
.Wagner	HOME R G	UNS W	9 L 13	0 T 0	48 HR 15	AVG.	24.Southwestern La. ———— 52 44 8 0 20 0. SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB 5 ———————————————————————————————————	TB
.Wagner	HOME R G 34 28	UNS W 	9 L 13 15	0 T 0 0	48 HR 15 12	AVG. 0.44 0.43	24.Southwestern La. ———————————————————————————————————	TB 465
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul	HOME R G	UNS W 	9 L 13 15 23	0 T 0 0 1	48 HR 15 12 23*	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41	24.Southwestern La. ———————————————————————————————————	TB 465 435
.Wagner	HOME R G 34 28 56 33	UNS W 21 13 32 21	9 L 13 15 23 11	0 T 0 0 1 1	48 HR 15 12 23* 12	0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36	24.Southwestern La. — 52 44 8 0 20 0 SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB ST	TB 465 435 521 384
Wagner	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10	9 L 13 15 23 11 33	0 T 0 0 1 1	48 HR 15 12 23* 12 15	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35	24.Southwestern La. ———————————————————————————————————	TB 465 435 521 384 473
Wagner	HOME R G 34 56 33 43	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32	9 L 13 15 23 11 33 23	0 T 0 0 1 1 0	48 HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35	24.Southwestern La. — 52 44 8 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TB 465 435 521 384 473 439
.Wagner	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26	9 L 13 15 23 11 33 23 9	0 T 0 0 1 1 0 0	48 HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35	24.Southwestern La. — 52 44 8 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TB 465 435 621 384 473 439
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19	9 L 13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0	48 HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.35	24.Southwestern La. — 52 44 8 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TB 465 435 521 384 473 439 374
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43	9 L 13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9	0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	48 HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31	24.Southwestern La. — 52 44 8 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TB 465 435 521 384 473 439 527 553
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana St.	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21	13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9	0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	48 HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.32 0.31 0.30	24.Southwestern La. — 52 44 8 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TB 465 435 621 384 473 439 374 527 553
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana St.	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31	9 L 13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9 12 19	0 T 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30	24.Southwestern La. — 52 44 8 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TB
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson St.	Fig. 52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19	9 L 13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9 12 19 11	0 T O O O O O O O O O	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB SUBSTREE G W L T AB G W L T AB SUBSTREE G W L T AB SUBS	TB
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson St.	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19	9 L 13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9 12 19 11 25	0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB SUBSTREE G W L T AB G W L T AB SUBSTREE G W L T AB SUBS	TB 465 435 521 439 374 527 553 379 568 310 461
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19 19	13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9 12 19 11 25 16	0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB T	TB
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence .Southern Utah StLa Salle	Fig. 52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19 19 22 29	13 15 23 11 33 23 25 9 12 19 11 25 16 15	0 T 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB	TB
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence .Southern Utah StLa Salle .Toledo	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19 19 22 29 30	13 15 23 11 33 23 25 9 12 19 11 25 16 15 26	0 T 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB T	TB
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence .Southern Utah StLa Salle .Toledo .Villanova	HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19 19 22 29 30 22	13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9 12 19 11 25 16 15 26	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.28	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB SLUGGING PERCE	TB
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence .Southern Utah StToledo .Villanova .Harvard	Fig. 52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 19 19 22 29 30 22 6	13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9 12 19 11 25 16 15 26 17 31	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11 10	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.28 0.27	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB SLUGGING PERCE	TB 465 435 521 384 473 374 527 553 379 568 310 462 462 492 517 408 514
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence .Southern Utah StLa Salle .Toledo .Villanova .Harvard .Central Conn. St.	52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19 19 22 29 30 22 6 28	13 15 23 11 33 23 25 9 12 19 11 25 16 15 26 17 31	0 TT 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11 10 10	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.2	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB SLUGGING PERCE	TB 465 435 521 384 473 374 553 379 568 310 462 492 517 408 514 525
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence .Southern Utah StLa Salle .Toledo .Villanova .Harvard .Central Conn. StGa. Southern	52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 19 19 22 29 30 22 6	13 15 23 11 33 23 25 9 12 19 11 25 16 15 26 17 31 14 25	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11 10 10 10	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.2	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB 1.Canisius	TB 465 435 521 384 473 374 553 379 568 310 462 492 517 408 514 525
Wagner Wagner Brooklyn DePaul Canisius Fordham Baptist LIU-Brooklyn Stetson Kent Indiana St. New Mexico Towson St. Providence Southern Utah St. La Salle Toledo Villanova Harvard Central Conn. St. Ga. Southern Southern Ill.	52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 11 19 22 29 30 22 6 28 19 31	13 15 23 11 33 23 25 9 12 16 15 26 17 31 14 25 10	0 T 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11 10 10 9	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.2	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB T	TB
.Wagner .Brooklyn .DePaul .Canisius .Fordham .Baptist .LIU-Brooklyn .Stetson .Kent .Indiana StNew Mexico .Towson StProvidence .Southern Utah StIta Salle .Toledo .Villanova .Harvard .Central Conn. StSouthern .Southern Ill .Southern Ill	52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 31 19 22 29 30 22 6 28 19	13 15 23 11 33 23 25 9 12 19 11 25 16 15 26 17 31 14 25	0 T 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11 10 10 10	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.2	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB T	TB
1.Wagner 2.Brooklyn 3.DePaul 4.Canisius 5.Fordham 5.Baptist 7.LIU-Brooklyn 3.Stetson 5.Kent 1.Indiana St. 1.New Mexico 1.Towson St. 3.Providence 4.Southern Utah St. 5.La Salle 5.Toledo 7.Villanova 8.Harvard 9.Central Conn. St. 1.Southern Ill. 2.N.CCharlotte 3.Connecticut	52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 11 19 22 29 30 22 6 28 19 31	13 15 23 11 33 23 25 9 12 16 15 26 17 31 14 25 10	0 T 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11 10 10 9	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.2	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB T 1. Canisius 33 21 11 1 992 46 2. Wagner 34 21 13 0 967 43 3. Baptist 55 32 23 0 1469 66 4. LIU-Brooklyn 35 26 9 0 954 36 5. Stetson 44 19 25 0 1181 47 6. Southern Ill. 41 31 10 0 1098 43 7. Indiana St. 33 21 12 0 941 37 8. Kent 52 43 9 0 1339 53 9. Western Ill. 51 35 16 0 1416 53 10. Eastern Ill. 34 18 16 0 972 37 11. DePaul 56 32 23 1 1478 56 12. Towson St. 30 19 11 0 812 33 13. La Salle 45 29 15 1 1221 46 14. Drexel 47 31 16 0 1262 46 15. Southwestern La. 52 44 8 0 1347 43 16. Illinois St. 55 29 26 0 1421 53 17. Vermont 40 27 13 0 1129 46 18. North Caro. 56 38 18 0 1428 53 19. Toledo 56 30 26 0 1467 53 20. Southern Utah St. 38 22 16 0 1063 36 21. Oklahoma St. 54 43 11 0 1470 53 22. Tennessee Tech 40 20 20 0 1116 33	TB 465 435 521 437 337 4 527 553 379 568 514 525 380 516
1.Wagner 2.Brooklyn 3.DePaul 4.Canisius 5.Fordham 6.Baptist 7.LIU-Brooklyn 8.Stetson 9.Kent 1.New Mexico 1.Towson St. 3.Providence 4.Southern Utah St. 5.La Salle 6.Toledo 7.Villanova 8.Harvard 9.Central Conn. St. 9.Ca. Southern 1.Southern Ill.	52 HOME R G	UNS W 21 13 32 21 10 32 26 19 43 21 19 22 29 30 22 6 28 19 31 39	13 15 23 11 33 23 9 25 9 12 11 25 16 15 26 17 31 14 25 10 13	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HR 15 12 23* 12 15 19 12 14 16 10 15 9 14 11 13 16 11 10 10 9 11	AVG. 0.44 0.43 0.41 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.34 0.32 0.31 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.2	SLUGGING PERCENTAGE G W L T AB T	TB 465 435 521 437 337 4 527 553 379 568 514 525 380 516 391

FINAL 1990 DIVISION I SOFTBALL .

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
ST	OLEN F	BASES	5				
	G	W	L	T	SB	SBA	AVG.
	_	—					
1.Bucknell		20	14	0	89		2.62
2.Nebraska ———	50	31	19		124*		
A New Moning	21	7	14	0			2.48
5 Detroit	50 E1	31	19		116		2.32
3.Robert Morris	42	33 28	18 14	0	114		2.24
		17	33	0	82 97		1.95
8.Kansas ——————————————————————————————————	61	44	17		113		1.85
9.DePaul	56	32	23		100		1.79
10.Southwestern La. ———————————————————————————————————	 52	44	8	ō	92		1.77
l1.St. Louis -	— 29	11	17	1	50		1.72
2.Villanova	40	22	17	ī	68		1.70
3.Arizona —	— 66	49	17	-	109		1.65
4.Central Mich. ————————————————————————————————————	55	33	22	Õ	89	107	
5.Missouri	44	30	14	ō	71		1.61
6.St. John's (N.Y.)	49	32	17	ō	78		1.59
7.Winthrop	53	34	19	ō	82		1.55
8.Wright St	— 39	16	23	ō	60		1.54
9.Nicholls St.	57	32	25	0	85	111	
6.St. John's (N.Y.) 7.Winthrop 8.Wright St. 9.Nicholls St.	37	16	21	0	55		1.49
1.Campbell	42	20	22	0	59		1.40
2.Northwestern La	60	43	17	0	84	104	1.40
1.Campbell ———————————————————————————————————	— 5 6	29	27	0	78	99	1.39
4.Indiana	63	45	18	0	85	95	1.35
5.St. Joseph's (Pa.)	38	10	28	0	51	62	1.34
TEZ	MON MON			_			
		W	L	T	PCT.	,	
1.UCLA		62			0.899	-	
2.Southwestern La.		44	8		0.846		
3.Kent		43	9		0.827		
4.Fresno St.		62	15		0.805		
5.Oklahoma St			11		0.796		
6.Connecticut		21	9		0.791		
7. South Caro		40	14		0.778		
8.Southern Ill.		21	10		0.756		
9.N.CCharlotte		39	13	0	0.750	1	
0.Florida St. ————		47	16	0	0.746	;	
1.Morehead St		29	9	3	0.744		
2.LIU-Brooklyn		26	9	0	0.743		
3.Arizona		49	17	0	0.742		
4.Kansas —		44	17	0	0.721		
5.Northwestern La. ———— 6.Long Beach St. ————		43	17	0	0.717		
6.Long Beach St		48	19	0	0.716		
7 Indiana		AE	18	0	0.714		
8. Texas A&M		47	20		0.712		
9.IllChicago —————— 0.Western Ill. —————			15		0.706		
U.Western Ill		35	16		0.686		
1.Missouri ————			14		0.682		
2.Akron		32	15		0.681		
A Post Com-		38	18		0.679		
2.Akron — — — — — — — — — — — 4.East Caro. — — — — 4.Vermont — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		27	13		0.675		
* · v o t MOIIC		27	13	U	0.675		

DOUB	LE P	LAYS				
	G	W	L	T	DP	AVG.
1.Georgia Tech	49	15	34	0	40*	0.82
2.Rider		30	16	Õ	30	0.65
3.Temple		28	18	ō	26	0.57
4.Wright St. —	39	16	23	ō	22	0.56
5.Cal St. Fullerton -		45	23	ŏ	38	0.56
6.Harvard —	37	6	31	ō	19	0.51
7.Rutgers —	49	27	21	1	25	0.51
8.Central Mich.	55	33	22	0	28	0.51
9.Penn St. ————	47	25	22	Ō	23	0.49
10.LIU-Brooklyn	35	26	9	Ó	17	0.49
11.N.CAsheville	32	8	24	0	15	0.47
12.Cal Poly Pomona -	66	34	32	ō	30	0.45
12.Northern Ill.	44	23	19	2	20	0.45
14.San Jose St	62	34	28	ō	28	0.45
15.MoKansas City	27	5	22	ō	12	0.44
16.Princeton —		15	21	1	16	0.43
17.East Caro	40	27	13	ō	17	0.43
18.IllChicago	51	36	15	ō	21	0.41
19.Creighton		39	23	Õ	25	0.40
20.New Mexico -		31	19	0	20	0.40
20.San Diego	50	25	25	ō	20	0.40
22.Nevada-Las Vegas	68	41	27	0	27	0.40
23.Pacific		30	28	Ŏ	23	0.40
24.Canisius —	33	21	11	ĭ	13	0.39
25.St. John's (N.Y.)	49	32	17	ō	19	0.39
· ·				-		

^{* -} Denotes National Leader.