

Figure 1: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 2: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 3: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 4: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 5: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 6: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 7: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 8: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 9: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 10: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 11: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 12: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 13: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 14: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.




Figure 15: Development of utility with different generalization/suppression weights.






Figure 16: Development of runtime with different generalization/suppression weights.