

## The Therac-25 Story: A Software Engineering Tragedy

### INTRODUCTION

Today we're going to look at one of the most important case studies in software engineering history - the story of the Therac-25 radiation therapy machine. This isn't just a story about technical failure; it's about ethics, responsibility, and how over-reliance on technology can have devastating consequences.

### WHAT WAS THE THERAC-25?

The Therac-25 was a state-of-the-art medical machine built in the early 1980s for cancer treatment. It was designed to deliver radiation therapy to destroy cancer tumors while sparing healthy tissue.

The machine had two modes:

- A low-power electron beam for shallow tumors
- A high-power X-ray beam for deep-seated tumors

What made the Therac-25 "advanced" was that it relied heavily on computer software to control these functions. Unlike earlier models that had physical safety mechanisms, the Therac-25 trusted software to handle most of the safety checks.

### THE INCIDENTS BEGIN

Between 1985 and 1987, something went terribly wrong. At several cancer treatment centers across the United States and Canada, patients began reporting something strange during their treatments.

Patients described:

- Feeling intense electric shocks
- Seeing flashes of bright light
- Feeling burning sensations
- Hearing buzzing sounds

The machine would often display an error message: "MALFUNCTION 54." But when technicians checked the machine, everything seemed fine. The manufacturer, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (AECL), initially blamed the operators or called these "power surges."

### THE TYLER, TEXAS TRAGEDIES

The worst incidents happened in Tyler, Texas in 1986:

- One patient receiving treatment for skin cancer described it as "the worst pain he had ever experienced" - like an intense sunburn concentrated into one spot. He developed severe radiation burns.
- Another patient, Verna Karr, was being treated for breast cancer when the machine delivered a massive overdose directly to her chest. She died from radiation poisoning several months later.

What's particularly tragic is that the Tyler staff had become suspicious of the machine after the first incident. They contacted the manufacturer repeatedly, but were told the machine was safe and that they must be operating it incorrectly.

### THE TECHNICAL FLAW: WHAT ACTUALLY WENT WRONG?

So what was really happening? After extensive investigation, researchers discovered a critical software bug called a "race condition."

Here's what was happening technically:

1. Operators would set up the treatment using keyboard commands
2. If an operator made a mistake and corrected it quickly using specific keystrokes (up-arrow key to edit, then enter)
3. The software could get "out of sync" - it would think the machine was properly configured when it actually wasn't
4. The result: The machine could deliver the HIGH-POWER electron beam WITHOUT the protective metal target in place
5. Patients received radiation doses hundreds of times stronger than intended in less than a second

### WHY WASN'T THIS CAUGHT EARLIER?

This wasn't just one failure - it was a chain of failures:

1. **Over-reliance on software:** Previous models had hardware safety locks that physically prevented the machine from operating unsafely. The Therac-25 removed these, trusting software to do everything.

2. **Terrible error messages:** "MALFUNCTION 54" meant nothing to operators. It didn't convey the danger or tell them what to do.
3. **Poor corporate response:** When operators reported problems, the company dismissed their concerns rather than investigating thoroughly.
4. **Inadequate testing:** The specific keystroke sequence that triggered the bug was rare, so it wasn't caught during testing.

## THE AFTERMATH AND LESSONS

The Therac-25 accidents led to multiple lawsuits and the machines were eventually recalled. But the most important outcome was the lessons it taught the engineering world:

### Key Lessons for Engineers:

1. **Never rely on software alone for safety-critical functions.** Always have redundant hardware safety mechanisms.
2. **Design clear, actionable error messages.** Users should immediately understand what's wrong and what to do.
3. **Listen to your users.** If people report problems with your system, take them seriously.
4. **Test for edge cases.** Just because a bug is rare doesn't mean it won't happen.
5. **Assume your software will fail.** Build systems that fail safely.

## WHY THIS MATTERS TO YOU

You might wonder why we're studying something that happened in the 1980s. The principles from the Therac-25 case apply directly to modern systems:

- Self-driving cars
- Medical devices
- Air traffic control systems
- Financial systems
- AI systems

The same types of software bugs that affected the Therac-25 - race conditions, poor error handling, inadequate testing - can still happen today. As future engineers and developers, you'll be responsible for building systems that people's lives depend on.

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. Who do you think bears the most responsibility for these tragedies: the programmers, the company management, or the regulators?
2. If you were designing a safety-critical system today, what specific safety measures would you build in?
3. How could better communication between the technicians and the company have prevented accidents?
4. Can you think of modern technology that might have similar risks today?

## CONCLUSION

The Therac-25 story is ultimately about responsibility. It reminds us that behind every line of code, there are real human lives affected by our decisions. The patients who were harmed by this machine were seeking treatment and placed their trust in the technology and the professionals behind it.

As you continue in your studies and careers, remember that technical excellence must be paired with ethical responsibility. The lessons from the Therac-25 have literally saved lives by making all of us better, more careful engineers.