Managing Uncertainty

Bayesian Linear Regression and Kalman Filter

December 4, 2017

Objectives

The goal of this lab is multiple:

- 1. First it is a reminder of some central elementary notions of Bayesian Machine Learning in the specific context of linear regression: Bayesian inference, MLE and MAP estimators, conjugate prior, prior as a regularization factor, etc.
- 2. Second it shows how the classical Ordinary Least Square (OLS) and the ridge regression (with L2 regularization) can be interpreted as special cases of a more general Bayesian linear regression. In other words, it shows how the frequentist and Bayesian approaches of Machine Learning intersect.
- 3. Third it introduces Recursive Least Square, an original application of Kalman filter to fit parameters of a linear model in an online manner.

Notations

In the following, a matrix will be denoted X, a column vector \boldsymbol{x} and a scalar x. \boldsymbol{I} is the identity matrix and \boldsymbol{X}^T is the transpose of matrix \boldsymbol{X} . Given vector \boldsymbol{c} , $\boldsymbol{c}^{(j)}$ denotes the jth component of vector \boldsymbol{c} .

1 Ordinary Least Square

Given a dataset \mathcal{D} of samples $(\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i)_{i=1,...,n}$ (with $\boldsymbol{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$), regression consists in learning a model to predict some value for y given some new \boldsymbol{x} . Values of \boldsymbol{x} are called inputs and values of y outputs. A parametric approach to solve regression problems consists in learning from the samples the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ of some parameterized predictive function $f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, so that $y \approx f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x})$. A linear model is such that the output is assumed to be a linear combination of the input variables:

$$f_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \theta^{(j)} x^{(j)} = \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{\theta}$$
 (1)

Ordinary Least Square (OLS) consists in finding the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{OLS}^*$ minimizing the empirical risk $J_2(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for a quadratic loss:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{OLS}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \text{ with } J_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^2 \right)$$
 (2)

This can be rewritten in a matrix form by introducing y as the n-vector containing outputs y_i and X as the $n \times m$ -matrix whose i^{th} line is the input x_i :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{OLS}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \text{ with } J_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2$$
 (3)

Question 1.1 Compute the gradient of $J(\theta)$. Deduce that:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{OLS}^* = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}$$
 (4)

 $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ is called the *pseudoinverse matrix* of \mathbf{X} .

In order to learn and evaluate linear regressors, one considers an affine model in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 and one artificially generates n samples from that model, i.e. such that:

$$y = \theta_0 x^{(1)} + \theta_1 x^{(2)} + \theta_2 + \epsilon = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{\theta} \text{ with } \mathbf{x}^T = [x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, 1]$$
 (5)

Parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ will be fixed to some arbitrary values, for instance $\boldsymbol{\theta}^T = [1, 2, 3]$. White noise ϵ is sampled from distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ and the input matrix $[x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}]$ of size (n, 2) will be drawn from the unit square.

In the following, one will use *Python3* and the matrix operators of *Numpy*. Most functions are already provided in the script linear.py. Some numpy functions that might be useful are recalled in the appendix section. To run this script, you need to pass arguments from the command line to tell which test function you want to call, with what values for the main arguments. For instance you must type in a terminal:

in order to call the test function number 1, i.e. the function testSimpleOLS(50,0.1) (see the bottom code of linear.py) that computes OLS from 50 random samples drawn in the unit square with $\sigma_e = 0.1$.

Question 1.2 Look at the provided source code linear.py. See what does the function

testRegressor. Implement the OLS estimator in function computeOLS using numpy and evaluate it by coding the test function N°1 testSimpleOLS (for instance by calling testRegressor).

Now one wants to compare the evaluated risks of the OLS estimator for various values of sample numbers n and noise level σ_{ϵ} .

Question 1.3 Compare the empirical risk J_e obtained by OLS on the training dataset with

the empirical risk J_r on a test set. Represent graphically both risks as functions of σ_{ϵ} and n using the following recommandations. To do this, complete the test function number 2 evaluateSimpleOLS by calling function evaluateRegressor (see documentation in the source code).

Question 1.4 OLS is undefined when X^TX is singular. When does it happen?

Question 1.5 Evaluate the OLS estimator when this case is almost reached (one then says

 $\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X}$ is ill defined) by completing test function 3 using function drawInputsAlmostAligned instead of drawInputsInSquare. To this end, use functions testRegressor and evaluateRegressor in order to compare with the case where inputs are drawn uniformly in the unit square. To choose a correct value for the alignment factor provided to drawInputsAlmostAligned, one can observe graphically the amount of point alignement.

2 Regularized Linear Regression

2.1 Maximum Likelihood Estimation and OLS

The OLS can be interpreted as a Bayesian estimation problem. To this end, a discriminative model must be defined, i.e. the distribution $P(Y|\mathbf{X})$ of Y given $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_m]^T$.

Question 2.6 Choose the most obvious model for P(Y|X) and precise its parameters θ .

One recalls that the MLE estimator chooses the parameter values that maximize the likelihood:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{MLE}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \tag{6}$$

Let first assume the variance of the additive white noise is known.

Question 2.7 Give the expression of the loglikelihood. Deduce that $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{MLE}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{OLS}^*$

2.2 MAP estimator and Ridge regression

MLE assumes a uniform prior on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. We now relaxes this assumption and look for the MAP estimator $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{MAP}^*$ that maximizes the posterior distribution $P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y})$:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{MAP}^{*} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) \right) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) P(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \tag{7}$$

One assumes the prior is a multivariate normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$:

Question 2.8 Show that $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{MAP}^*$ minimizes a regularized quadratic risk $J_r(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Give its expression.

Question 2.9 Find the expression of the gradient of $J_r(\theta)$ and give the expression of θ_{MAP}^* .

The standard ridge regression consists in an L2 regularized version of OLS:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{Ridge}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{a}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(J_{Ridge}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \text{ with } J_{Ridge}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = J_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2$$
 (8)

Question 2.10 Show that Ridge regression can be interpreted as a special case of a MAP

estimator for some values of μ_0 and Σ_0 . Interpret the expression of λ as a balance between confidence in prior and observations.

Question 2.11 Implement the Ridge estimator (or equivalently the MAP estimator) by com-

pleting functions computeRidge and testRidgeRegression (in a similar way as for question 1.2). Compare its level of performance with the OLS's one on almost aligned inputs for various values of λ using function evaluateRegressor.

3 Strong Bayesian Approach and Recursive Least Square

We would like to regress the model online, i.e. on the fly, every time a new sample is received. This is possible with a "strong" Bayesian approach where the parameters are described by some distribution $P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ that is updated every time a new observation o is received, i.e by replacing the prior $P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ by the posterior distribution $P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|o)$.

3.1 Recursive Least Square

Assuming the prior $P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a multivariate normal distribution, the online estimation of $P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D})$ can be interpreted as a particular Kalman filter. Indeed the output y can be interpreted as an observation linear with the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to estimate.

Question 3.12 Specify the Kalman filter that estimates $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ from a stream of data (i.e. a

temporal sequence of couple (\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t) of inputs/output). Is it a stationnary filter? This online method is called *Recursive Least Square*.

Question 3.13 Implement it in Python by completing the constructor __init__ and the method update of the provided class Filter.

To test it, call the function testRecursiveLeastSquare. At each iteration, this function will update the filter with a new sample (\boldsymbol{x},y) and will save the current state of the filter and the diagonal coefficients of the covariance matrix \boldsymbol{P} in two distinct matrices. Once all data are processed, these matrices are passed as arguments to the function plotFilterState in order to display the state convergence (This function draws for each parameter θ_0 , θ_1 and θ_2 the expected value $\hat{\theta}_x$ within a confidence interval of $[\hat{\theta}_x - 2\,\sigma_{\theta_x}, \hat{\theta}_x + 2\,\sigma_{\theta_x}]$.)

Question 3.14 Observe how the initial covariance on the filter state has some influence on the convergence. Compare the final estimate of the filter with the OLS estimation computed on the set of samples used to update the filter. Explain.

3.2 Kalman Filter

In the previous Kalman filter, the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ are supposed to be constant. Let's now assume every parameter has a slow random drift with time. This drift is modelled as a random walk whose standard deviation σ_c per sample is given (i.e every time a new sample is generated, every coefficient of θ is first updated with an additive normal noise $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_c^2)$. Suppose one wants to track the parameters of our model and that observations come.

Question 3.15 Modify your filter to take into account the drift (i.e implement the integrate

method of the filter). Then observe the behaviour of your filter for $\theta = [1, 2, 3]$, $\sigma_c = 0.5$, $\sigma_e = 0.1$ (using function testKalman that itself uses function drawOutputWithDrift to generate the data with a drift). Compare the final estimation of parameters with the estimation provided by OLS and the real parameter values [1, 2, 3].

3.3 Appendix

Here are some Python commands that can be useful:

```
import numpy as np
A = np.arange(0.1, 1, 0.2) # Create a numpy array [0.1, 0.3, ..., 0.9]
A /= 2 # Divide coefficients of A by 2
B = np.zeros((2,4)) # Create a null matrix of size (2,4)
print(B.T) # Print transpose of B
C = np.eye(4) # Create a 2D array equal to the identity matrix # of size 4 x 4
B * C # Be careful: this is the array multiplication # component by component
D = np.asmatrix(C) # Interpret C as a matrix
B * D # Works now as the standard matrix multiplication
E = np.array([1,2,3,4]) # Create a 1D array
```