The structure of inequality and politics of redistribution

Lupu and Pontusson (2011)

Discussion by Julian Enrique Gerez, Zara Riaz & Filippo Teoldi

October 23, 2018

Table of contents

- Aim of the paper
- Design declaration
- Empirical set-up and results
- Robustness check
- Conclusion
- Extensions
- Old slides
- Critiques

Aim of the paper

- 1. Does more inequality lead to more redistribution?
- 2. What is the role of **middle-income voters** on government's redistribution policies?
 - Nedistributive policy outcome correspond to the policy preferences of middle-income voters
 - → The structure of inequality helps explain why the preferences of middle-income voters vary across countries/over time

Inequalities and Social affinity hypotesis

Structure of inequality, rather than the level:

$$\mathsf{Skew} = \frac{90th/50th}{50th/10th}$$

Social affinity hypothesis [Luttermer's (2001) and Shayo(2009)]:
Middle income voters empathize with the poor (affluent) when they perceive the poor (affluent) as living lives similar to their own

 \uparrow skew of income distribution \longmapsto distance between middle and poor is smaller (relative to middle and upper) \longmapsto asking for \uparrow redistribution

Design declaration

- a) declare population = Describes dimensions and distributions over the variables in the population → The study concerns country year units (858 observations).
- b) declare potential outcomes = Takes population or sample and adds potential outcomes produced by interventions → Does more inequality lead to more redistribution?
- c) declare sampling = (takes a population and selects a sample) \longrightarrow N = 858
- d) $declare\ assignment =$ (takes a population or sample and adds treatment assignments) \longrightarrow **XXX**
- e) declare estimand = (takes potential outcomes and calculates a quantity of interest) —> **OLS with robust standard errors**
- f) declare estimator = takes data produced by sampling and assignment and returns estimates) → **XXX**

Empirical set-up

→ For 15-18 advance democracies over 1969 to 2005 period, they estimate a time-series and cross-section model:

$$R_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{s} P_{i,t-s}}{S} + \gamma R_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

where,

- $R_{i,t}$ is the level of redistribution defined as (a) $\frac{Gini_{Gross} Gini_{Disposable}}{Gini_{Gross}}$ or Nonelderly social spending in % of GDP
- S is the number of years between each observation of redistribution
- $P_{i,t-s}$ is a set of policies and structural factors that cause redistribution to deviate from status quo \longrightarrow Control variables: immigration, skills, voting turnout, electoral system, VTR, labor mkt

Empirical results

[insert here: DATA AND TABLE]

→ redistribution increases with dispersion of the upper half of the earnings distribution and with compression of the lower half of the earnings distribution

Empirical results

- → What about preferences of middle-income voters?
 - (i) Correlation (R =.45) btw the **inequality** and **support for redistribution** of the middle-income voters
- (ii) Correlation (R = .43) btw the **preference** of middle-income voters and **redistributive policies** pursued by government
- (iii) Skewed earnings inequality promotes left participation in government ($R^2 = .12$)
 - = Preliminary result (see R and R^2 level)

Robustness check

•

Conclusion

- 1. The structure of **inequality** is statistically and significantly associated with more **redistribution and social spending**
- Middle-income voters are incline to allay with low-income voters and support redistributive policies when the distance between the middle and the poor is small (relative to the distance between the middle and the upper)
- 3. **Left-leaning government** are more likely to redistribute income than right-leaning government and that governments are more likely to be left-leaning when the structure of inequality is skewed

Extensions



Old slides

Critiques

- Endogeneity problem: redistribution as a cause of the structure of inequality?
- → **Data limitation**: fewer than 10 observation for earning inequalities for 6 over 15 countries
- → **Geography**: If median = middle voters, how these results are consistent in developing countries in which the middle class is typically small (Houle, 2015)?
- → **Ethnicity**: Class expressed as a racial group in developed countries? Racial minorities are highly over-represented among the poorest (Alesina and Glaeser, 2004)
- → How **political instability** that influence income inequalities (Perotti, 1995: Alesina & Perotti, 1994)- can affect the results?

References



Lupu and Pontusson (2011)

The structure of Inequalities and the Politics of Redistribution *American Political Science Review* 105(2), 316 – 335.



Alesina and Gleser (2004)

Fighting Poverty in the US and Europe: A World of Difference Oxford UK: Oxford University Press.



Alesina and Perotti, (1994)

The Political Economy of Growth: A Critical Survey of the Recent Literature World Bank Economic Review 1994, vol. 8, issue 3, 351-71