

Using the data extracted from online networks for election prediction is often criticized as having a solid demographic bias, referring to a well-known phenomenon that participation in online networks is strongly affected by users' age, sex, education level, race, income level, etc. (Mislove et al. 2011; Sloan 2017; An and Weber 2015; Cohen and Ruths 2013; Filho et al. 2015; Barbera 2016; Olteanu et al. 2019; Sen et al. 2020). Therefore, to deal with demographic bias, recent research has more increasingly utilized the multilevel regression and post-stratification method (MRP), which is based on an adjustment of every possible combination of characteristics according to their actual representations in the population (Lax and Phillips 2009; Park, Gelman and Bafumi 2004; Gelman 2007; Gelman and Litte 1997). The method has been developed to predict national-level data by non-representative polls or data for smaller areas from representative surveys (called small area estimation).

Reference list:

- An, J., & Weber, I. (2015). Whom should we sense in "social sensing"-analyzing which users work best for social media now-casting. *EPJ Data Science*, 4, 1-22.
- Barberá, P. (2016). Less is more? How demographic sample weights can improve public opinion estimates based on Twitter data. *Work Pap NYU*.
- Cohen, R., & Ruths, D. (2013, June). Classifying political orientation on Twitter: It's not easy!. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media* (Vol. 7, No. 1).
- Filho, Renato Miranda, Jussara M. Almeida, and Gisele L. Pappa. "Twitter population sample bias and its impact on predictive outcomes: a case study on elections." *2015 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)*. IEEE, 2015.
- Gelman, A. (2007). Struggles with survey weighting and regression modeling. *Statistical Science*, 22(2), 153-164.
- Gelman, A., & Little, T. C. (1997). Poststratification into many categories using hierarchical logistic regression. *Survey Methodology*, 23, 127–135.
- Lax, J. R., & Phillips, J. H. (2009). How should we estimate public opinion in the states?. *American Journal of Political Science*, 53(1), 107-121.
- Mislove, A., Lehmann, S., Ahn, Y. Y., Onnela, J. P., & Rosenquist, J. (2011, July). Understanding the demographics of Twitter users. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media* (Vol. 5, No. 1) .
- Olteanu, A., Castillo, C., Diaz, F., & Kiciman, E. (2019). Social data: Biases, methodological pitfalls, and ethical boundaries. *Frontiers in Big Data*, 2, 13.
- Park, D. K., Gelman, A., & Bafumi, J. (2004). Bayesian multilevel estimation with poststratification: State-level estimates from national polls. *Political Analysis*, 12(4), 375-385.
- Sen, I., Floeck, F., Weller, K., Weiss, B., & Wagner, C. (2019). A total error framework for digital traces of humans. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.08228*.

Sloan, L. (2017). Who tweets in the United Kingdom? Profiling the Twitter population using the British social attitudes survey 2015. *Social Media+ Society*, 3(1), 2056305117698981.