MATH 1426 IMS, ShanghaiTech

Iterative Methods

Problem Formulation

Gradient Methods

Conjugent Gradient Methods

Boris Houska 3-1

Contents

Problem Formulation

Gradient Methods

Conjugent Gradient Methods

Problem Formulation

We are interested in solving "large" linear equation systems of the form

$$Ax = b$$
,

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a positive definite matrix.

Iterative versus Direct Methods

Examples for direct LA methods are

- Gauss-elimination; LR decomposition)
- Gram-Schmidt methods; QR decomposition
- Cholesky factorization; tailored for symmetric matrices)

These and similar methods

- 1. have complexity $O(n^3)$ (if sparsity is not exploited)
- 2. find x up to small rounding errors, if A is well-conditioned

Iterative versus Direct Methods

Examples for direct LA methods are

- Gauss-elimination; LR decomposition)
- Gram-Schmidt methods; QR decomposition
- Cholesky factorization; tailored for symmetric matrices)

These and similar methods

- 1. have complexity $O(n^3)$ (if sparsity is not exploited)
- 2. find x up to small rounding errors, if A is well-conditioned.

Iterative versus Direct Methods

In contrast to direct elimination/decomposition methods, we concentrate in this lecture on iterative algorithms that

- converge to a solution x of the equation Ax = b,
- improve an iterate for $x_k \approx x$ at every step,
- are stopped whenever a "sufficiently accurate solution" is found or if we run out of time.

Contents

Problem Formulation

Gradient Methods

Conjugent Gradient Methods

An optimization perspective

Notice that solving the equation $Ax=b,\ A\succ 0$, is equivalent to solving the quadratic optimization problem

$$\min_{x} F(x) \quad \text{with} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax - x^{T}b.$$

Proof: The function F is strictly convex (since $F''(x) = A \succ 0$) and the gradient $\nabla F(x) = Ax - b$ is zero if and only if x satisfies Ax = b.

An alternative approach could start by solving $\min_x \|Ax - b\|_2^2$, but then we would square the condition number.

An optimization perspective

Notice that solving the equation $Ax=b,\ A\succ 0$, is equivalent to solving the quadratic optimization problem

$$\min_{x} F(x) \qquad \text{with} \qquad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax - x^{T}b \ .$$

Proof: The function F is strictly convex (since $F''(x) = A \succ 0$) and the gradient $\nabla F(x) = Ax - b$ is zero if and only if x satisfies Ax = b.

An alternative approach could start by solving $\min_x \|Ax - b\|_2^2$, but then we would square the condition number.

An optimization perspective

Notice that solving the equation $Ax=b,\ A\succ 0$, is equivalent to solving the quadratic optimization problem

$$\min_{x} F(x) \qquad \text{with} \qquad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax - x^{T}b \ .$$

Proof: The function F is strictly convex (since $F''(x) = A \succ 0$) and the gradient $\nabla F(x) = Ax - b$ is zero if and only if x satisfies Ax = b.

An alternative approach could start by solving $\min_x \|Ax - b\|_2^2$, but then we would square the condition number.

Gradient Methods

Gradient methods compute iterate by search for the "steepest descent" of F:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla F(x_k) = x_k - \alpha_k (Ax_k - b).$$

The line search parameter α_k can be found "exact line search", that is by solving

$$\min_{\alpha_k} F(x_k - \alpha_k(Ax_k - b)) .$$

Gradient Methods

Gradient methods compute iterate by search for the "steepest descent" of F:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla F(x_k) = x_k - \alpha_k (Ax_k - b).$$

The line search parameter α_k can be found "exact line search", that is, by solving

$$\min_{\alpha_k} F(x_k - \alpha_k(Ax_k - b)) .$$

Gradient Methods

In order to work out explicitly the solution of the line search problem

$$\min_{\alpha_k} F(x_k - \alpha_k(Ax_k - b)) ,$$

we denote with $d_k=Ax_k-b$ the search direction and elimate α_k from the optimality conditions

$$0 = \nabla F(x_k - \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k = d_k^T d_k - \alpha_k d_k^T A d_k$$

which yields

$$\alpha_k = \frac{d_k^T d_k}{d_k^T A d_k} \ .$$

Summary: Gradient Method

1. **Input:** An intitial guess x_0 and tolerance $\epsilon > 0$.

2. Repeat:

- 2.1 compute the step direction $d_k = Ax_k b$,
- 2.2 if $||d|| < \epsilon$, stop.
- 2.3 compute the line search parameter $\alpha_k = \frac{d_k^T d_k}{d_k^T A d_k}$,
- 2.4 set $x_{k+1} = x_k \alpha_k d_k$ and increase the counter $k \leftarrow k+1$.
- 3. **Output:** A numerical approximation $x_k \approx x$ of the solution vector.

Most of the convergence proofs for gradient methods first show that we get in every step a sufficient descent of the "Lyapunov function"

$$L(y) = (y - x)^T A(y - x) .$$

One way to show this is by using the equation

$$L(x_{k+1}) = (x_k - x - \alpha_k d_k)^T A (x_k - x - \alpha_k d_k)^T$$
$$= L(x_k) - 2\alpha_k (x_k - x)^T A d_k + \alpha_k^2 d_k^T A d_k$$

Since $d_k = Ax_k - b = A(x_k - x)$ this can be simplified further to

$$L(x_{k+1}) = L(x_k) - 2\alpha_k d_k^T d_k + \alpha_k^2 d_k^T A d_k = L(x_k) - \underbrace{\frac{\|d_k\|_4^4}{d_k^T A d_k}}_{>0}$$

which proves that we get a strict descent

Most of the convergence proofs for gradient methods first show that we get in every step a sufficient descent of the "Lyapunov function"

$$L(y) = (y - x)^T A(y - x) .$$

One way to show this is by using the equation

$$L(x_{k+1}) = (x_k - x - \alpha_k d_k)^T A (x_k - x - \alpha_k d_k)^T$$

= $L(x_k) - 2\alpha_k (x_k - x)^T A d_k + \alpha_k^2 d_k^T A d_k$.

Since $d_k = Ax_k - b = A(x_k - x)$ this can be simplified further to

$$L(x_{k+1}) = L(x_k) - 2\alpha_k d_k^T d_k + \alpha_k^2 d_k^T A d_k = L(x_k) - \underbrace{\frac{\|d_k\|_4^4}{d_k^T A d_k}}_{>0}$$

which proves that we get a strict descent

Most of the convergence proofs for gradient methods first show that we get in every step a sufficient descent of the "Lyapunov function"

$$L(y) = (y - x)^T A(y - x) .$$

One way to show this is by using the equation

$$L(x_{k+1}) = (x_k - x - \alpha_k d_k)^T A (x_k - x - \alpha_k d_k)^T$$

= $L(x_k) - 2\alpha_k (x_k - x)^T A d_k + \alpha_k^2 d_k^T A d_k$.

Since $d_k = Ax_k - b = A(x_k - x)$ this can be simplified further to

$$L(x_{k+1}) = L(x_k) - 2\alpha_k d_k^T d_k + \alpha_k^2 d_k^T A d_k = L(x_k) - \underbrace{\frac{\|d_k\|_2^4}{d_k^T A d_k}}_{>0},$$

which proves that we get a strict descent.

In order to finally prove convergence, we have to analyze the equation

$$L(x_{k+1}) = L(x_k) - \frac{\|d_k\|_2^4}{d_k^T A d_k},$$

a bit further. For this aim, we estimate the term

$$\frac{\|d_k\|_2^4}{d_k^T A d_k L(x_k)} = \frac{\|d_k\|_2^4}{d_k^T A d_k d_k^T A^{-1} d_k} \ge \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\lambda_{\max}(A)} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{cond}_2(A)} \; .$$

This proves that the gradient method converges with linear rate

$$L(x_{k+1}) \le \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\lambda_{\max}(A)}\right) L(x_k)$$

In order to finally prove convergence, we have to analyze the equation

$$L(x_{k+1}) = L(x_k) - \frac{\|d_k\|_2^4}{d_k^T A d_k},$$

a bit further. For this aim, we estimate the term

$$\frac{\|d_k\|_2^4}{d_k^T A d_k L(x_k)} = \frac{\|d_k\|_2^4}{d_k^T A d_k d_k^T A^{-1} d_k} \ge \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\lambda_{\max}(A)} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{cond}_2(A)} \; .$$

This proves that the gradient method converges with linear rate

$$L(x_{k+1}) \le \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\lambda_{\max}(A)}\right) L(x_k)$$

The convergence rate estimate $1-\frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\lambda_{\max}(A)}$ indicates that

- Gradient methods work very well, if $cond_2(A)$ is close to 1.
- The other way round, if A is ill-conditioned the gradient method converges very slowly.

These theoretical prediction are confirmed in numerical experiments.

Convergence of Gradient Methods in Practice

In practice, if we plot the iterates of a gradient method, we typically observe a "zig-zag" behavior. This is due to the fact that subsequent search directions of the gradient method are orthogonal to each other

$$d_{k+1}^T d_k = \left(d_k - \alpha_k A d_k\right)^T d_k = d_k^T d_k - \alpha_k d_k^T A d_k = 0.$$

Remark: the convergence rate estimate of the gradient methods can be improved by using "Kantorovich's inequality" which yields

$$\sqrt{L(x_{k+1})} \le \frac{\lambda_{\max}(A) - \lambda_{\min}(A)}{\lambda_{\max}(A) + \lambda_{\min}(A)} \sqrt{L(x_k)}$$

Convergence of Gradient Methods in Practice

In practice, if we plot the iterates of a gradient method, we typically observe a "zig-zag" behavior. This is due to the fact that subsequent search directions of the gradient method are orthogonal to each other

$$d_{k+1}^T d_k = \left(d_k - \alpha_k A d_k\right)^T d_k = d_k^T d_k - \alpha_k d_k^T A d_k = 0.$$

Remark: the convergence rate estimate of the gradient methods can be improved by using "Kantorovich's inequality" which yields

$$\sqrt{L(x_{k+1})} \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(A) - \lambda_{\min}(A)}{\lambda_{\max}(A) + \lambda_{\min}(A)} \sqrt{L(x_k)}.$$

Contents

Problem Formulation

Gradient Methods

Conjugent Gradient Methods

Conjugent Vectors

Two vectors u and v are called conjugent (or "A-orthogonal") with respect to a matrix A, if

$$u^T A v = 0$$
.

- for gradient methods only successive search directions are orthogonal
- main idea of conjugent gradient methods: maintain A-orthogonality of all search directions.

Conjugent Vectors

Two vectors u and v are called conjugent (or "A-orthogonal") with respect to a matrix A, if

$$u^T A v = 0$$
.

- for gradient methods only successive search directions are orthogonal
- main idea of conjugent gradient methods: maintain A-orthogonality of all search directions.

Krylov subspaces

The affine vector spaces

$$K_i(A, d_0) = \operatorname{span}\left(d_0, Ad_0, A^2d_0, \dots, A^id_0\right)$$

are called Krylov subspaces.

The main idea is to construct iterates of the form

$$x_i = x_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_j d_j$$

such that the coefficients β_i are minimizers of

$$\min_{\beta} F\left(x_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{j-1} \beta_j d_j\right) \quad \text{with} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x \;,$$

which gives the optimality conditions $(Ax_i - b)^T d_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, i - 1$.

Let the previous search directions $d_0=Ax_0-b,d_1,\dots,d_{i-1}$ be an A-orthogonal basis of the Krylov space $K_i(A,d_0)$. We may assume $Ax_i-b\notin K_i(A,d_0)$ as we would have $x_i=x$ otherwise. This motivates to construct the next search direction $d_i\in K_{i+1}(A,d_0)$ from

$$d_i = -(Ax_i - b) + \beta_{i-1}d_{i-1} .$$

This direction satisfies the orthogonality condition

$$d_i^T A d_j = -(Ax_i - b)^T A d_j + \beta_{i-1} d_{i-1}^T A d_j = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, i-2$ by construction.

The parameter β_{i-1} is then constructed in such a way that we have

$$0 = d_i^T A d_{i-1} = -(Ax_i - b)^T A d_{i-1} + \beta_{i-1} d_{i-1}^T A d_{i-1}$$

$$\implies \beta_{i-1} = \frac{(Ax_i - b)^T A d_{i-1}}{d_{i-1}^T A d_{i-1}}.$$

Thus yields the recursion law for the conjugent gradient method

$$g_{k+1} = g_k + \alpha_k A g_k$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$$

$$d_{k+1} = -g_k + \beta_k d_k$$

with $\alpha_k=\frac{g_k^Td_k}{d_k^TAd_k}=\frac{\|g_k\|_2^2}{d_k^TAd_k}$ and $\beta_k=\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|_2^2}{\|g_k\|_2^2}.$ The method is started with $d_0=-q_0=b-Ax_0.$

The parameter β_{i-1} is then constructed in such a way that we have

$$0 = d_i^T A d_{i-1} = -(Ax_i - b)^T A d_{i-1} + \beta_{i-1} d_{i-1}^T A d_{i-1}$$

$$\implies \beta_{i-1} = \frac{(Ax_i - b)^T A d_{i-1}}{d_{i-1}^T A d_{i-1}}.$$

Thus yields the recursion law for the conjugent gradient method

$$g_{k+1} = g_k + \alpha_k A g_k$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$$

$$d_{k+1} = -g_k + \beta_k d_k$$

with $\alpha_k=\frac{g_k^Td_k}{d_k^TAd_k}=\frac{\|g_k\|_2^2}{d_k^TAd_k}$ and $\beta_k=\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|_2^2}{\|g_k\|_2^2}.$ The method is started with $d_0=-g_0=b-Ax_0.$

Convergence of Conjugent Gradient Methods

Since we are constructing an A-orthogonal basis, the conjugent gradient method terminates after at most n steps.

- ullet If we run the conjugent gradient method for n steps, it is a "direct method".
- In practice, the conjugent gradient method is terminated whenever sufficient accuracy is achcieved, e.g., if $\|g_k\| \le \epsilon$.

Convergence of Conjugent Gradient Methods

Since we are constructing an A-orthogonal basis, the conjugent gradient method terminates after at most n steps.

- ullet If we run the conjugent gradient method for n steps, it is a "direct method".
- In practice, the conjugent gradient method is terminated whenever sufficient accuracy is achcieved, e.g., if $\|g_k\| \le \epsilon$.