178 Project

Team Hippo Time

Yiqiao Zhao 38332452

Justin Fu 80167602

Data

```
In [1]: import numpy as np
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    import mltools as ml

X = np.genfromtxt("X_train.txt",delimiter=None)
    Y = np.genfromtxt("Y_train.txt",delimiter=None)
    Xtest = np.genfromtxt("X_test.txt",delimiter=None)

Xval, Yval = ml.shuffleData( X, Y );
    Xte, Yte = ml.shuffleData( X, Y);

from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler

scaler = StandardScaler()
    sXte = scaler.fit_transform(Xte)
    sXtest = scaler.transform(Xtest)
    sXval = scaler.fit_transform(Xval)
```

Neural Network

Gradient Boosted Learner

```
In [5]: from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingClassifier
          from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
          gbc = GradientBoostingClassifier(loss= 'exponential',learning_rate = 1.0, n_es
          timators = 100, max_depth = 4)
          gbc.fit(sXte, Yte)
 Out[5]: GradientBoostingClassifier(criterion='friedman mse', init=None,
                        learning_rate=1.0, loss='exponential', max_depth=4,
                        max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=None,
                        min_impurity_split=1e-07, min_samples_leaf=1,
                        min_samples_split=2, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0,
                        n_estimators=100, presort='auto', random_state=None,
                        subsample=1.0, verbose=0, warm start=False)
In [138]: Xval, Yval = ml.shuffleData( X, Y );
          Xte, Yte = ml.shuffleData( X, Y);
          print("Training: {}".format(gbc.score(sXte[:50000], Yte[:50000])))
          print("Validation: {}".format(gbc.score(sXval[50000:], Yval[50000:])))
          Training: 0.59122
          Validation: 0.59188
 In [66]: GradBoostYpred = gbc.predict_proba(sXtest) #scaled to mean zero
 In [67]: | np.savetxt('Yhat_kaggle.txt',
                    np.vstack( (np.arange(len(GradBoostYpred)), GradBoostYpred[:,1])).T,
                     '%d, %.2f', header='ID, Prob1', comments='', delimiter=',');
```

Ada Boosted Learner

3/21/2017 178_ProjectFinal

```
In [8]: from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
          abc = AdaBoostClassifier(n_estimators=500)
          abc.fit(sXte, Yte)
 Out[8]: AdaBoostClassifier(algorithm='SAMME.R', base_estimator=None,
                    learning_rate=1.0, n_estimators=500, random_state=None)
In [139]: Xval, Yval = ml.shuffleData( X, Y );
          Xte, Yte = ml.shuffleData( X, Y);
          print("Training: {}".format(abc.score(sXte[:50000], Yte[:50000])))
          print("Validation: {}".format(abc.score(sXval[50000:], Yval[50000:])))
          Training: 0.60576
          Validation: 0.60762
 In [70]:
          AdaBoostYpred = abc.predict_proba(Xtest)
 In [71]: np.savetxt('Yhat_kaggle.txt',
                    np.vstack( (np.arange(len(AdaBoostYpred)), AdaBoostYpred[:,1])).T,
                     '%d, %.2f', header='ID, Prob1', comments='', delimiter=',');
```

KNN Classifier

```
In [11]: class EnsembleKNNFra(ml.knn.knnClassify):
             def __init__(self, X, Y, **kwargs):
                 self.classes = list(np.unique(Y))
                 self.ensemble = []
                 weights = []
                 for i in range(X.shape[1]):
                     for j in range(X.shape[1]):
                          if (i>=j): continue
                         if (j-i == 1):
                              x = X[:, i:(j+1)]
                         else:
                              x = X[:, i:(j+1):(j-i-1)]
                         tlearner = ml.knn.knnClassify(x,Y, **kwargs)
                         w = tlearner.auc(x, Y)
                         weights.append(w)
                          self.ensemble.append(( tlearner, i, j, w ))
                 weights, self.wscale = ml.transforms.rescale(np.array(weights))
             def predictSoft(self, X):
                 yhatMtrx = []
                 sumW = 0
                 for elearner, i,j,w in self.ensemble:
                     w = (w-self.wscale[0])*self.wscale[1]*10
                     if (w<=10):
                         continue
```

```
sumW += w
            if (j-i == 1):
                x = X[:, i:(j+1)]
            else:
                x = X[:, i:(j+1):(j-i-1)]
            yhatMtrx.append(w * elearner.predict(x))
       yhatM = np.matrix(yhatMtrx)
        p = np.array([(1-m/sumW, m/sumW) for m in np.nditer(yhatM.mean(0)) ])
        return np.ndarray(shape=(X.shape[0], 2), buffer=p)
   def predict(self, X):
        psoft = np.array([float(m>0.5) for m in np.nditer(self.predictSoft(X))
])
        return np.ndarray(shape=psoft.shape, buffer=psoft)
class EnsembleKNNAvg(EnsembleKNNFra):
   def predictSoft(self, X):
       yhatMtrx = []
        sumW = 0
        for elearner, i,j,w in self.ensemble:
            w = (w-self.wscale[0])*self.wscale[1]*10
            if (w<=10):
                continue
            if (j-i == 1):
                x = X[:, i:(j+1)]
            else:
                x = X[:, i:(j+1):(j-i-1)]
            sumW += w
            yhatMtrx.append(elearner.predictSoft(x)[:, 1])
       yhatM = np.matrix(yhatMtrx)
        p = np.array([(1-m, m) for m in np.nditer(yhatM.mean(0)) ])
       return np.ndarray(shape=(X.shape[0],2), buffer=p)
```

```
In [12]: def reduce(X):
    selection = [0, 1, 2, 8]
    return ml.transforms.fsubset(X, len(selection), feat = selection)
```

```
In [13]: ks = (25, 50, 100)
          tempXte = Xte[:1000]; tempYte = Yte[:1000]
          tempXval = Xval; tempYval = Yval
          for k in ks:
              print("K={}, trL={}, vaL={}".format(k, tempXte.shape[0],
          tempXval.shape[0]))
              EknnF = EnsembleKNNFra(reduce(tempXte), tempYte, K=k)
              print("\tWith Fraction: Estimated AUC: {}".format(EknnF.auc(reduce(tempXva
          1), tempYval)))
              EknnA = EnsembleKNNAvg(reduce(tempXte), tempYte, K=k)
              print("\tWith Average: Estimated AUC:
          {}".format(EknnA.auc(reduce(tempXval), tempYval)))
          K=25, trL=1000, vaL=100000
                  With Fraction: Estimated AUC: 0.593516889024
                  With Average: Estimated AUC: 0.625648239937
          K=50, trL=1000, vaL=100000
                  With Fraction: Estimated AUC: 0.590695291217
                  With Average: Estimated AUC: 0.633037623774
          K=100, trL=1000, vaL=100000
                  With Fraction: Estimated AUC: 0.592130105318
                  With Average: Estimated AUC: 0.632815484703
In [117]: | print(EknnA.auc(reduce(tempXte), tempYte))
          0.711762369521
 In [76]: KNnYpred = EknnA.predictSoft(reduce(Xtest))
 In [88]: np.savetxt('Yhat_kaggle.txt',
                    np.vstack( (np.arange(len(KNnYpred)), KNnYpred[:,1])).T,
                     '%d, %.2f', header='ID, Prob1', comments='', delimiter=',');
```

SVM Kernel Methods

```
In [14]: from sklearn import svm
SVMclf = svm.SVC(kernel="sigmoid", probability=True)
# XtrB, YtrB = balance(Xtr, Ytr)
# XtrB, YtrB = ml.shuffleData(XtrB, YtrB)
# XtrS, (mu,scale) = ml.rescale(Xtr)
# XvaS, (mu,scale) = ml.rescale(Xva, (mu,scale))
# , probability=True

SVMclf.fit(reduce(Xte), Yte)
print(SVMclf.score(reduce(Xte), Yte))
print(SVMclf.score(reduce(Xval), Yval))
```

0.65878 0.65878

Random Forests

```
In [20]:
         class RandomForestFra(ml.base.classifier):
             # soft prediction: return the fraction of members of ensemble that predict
         ed class 1,
             def __init__(self, X, Y, **kwargs):
                 self.classes = list(np.unique(Y))
                 self.ensemble = []
                 s = kwargs['size']
                 del kwargs['size']
                 t = kwargs['type']
                 del kwargs['type']
                   print(t+"(x,y, **kwargs)")
         #
                 for i in range(s):
                     x, y = ml.bootstrapData(X, Y)
                     tlearner = eval(t+"(x,y, **kwargs)")
                     self.ensemble.append(tlearner)
             def predictSoft(self, X):
                 yhatMtrx = []
                 for elearner in self.ensemble:
                     yhatMtrx.append(elearner.predict(X))
                 yhatM = np.matrix(yhatMtrx)
                  p = np.array([(1-m, m) for m in np.nditer(yhatM.mean(0)) ])
                 return np.ndarray(shape=(X.shape[0], 2), buffer=np.array(p))
             def predict(self, X):
                  psoft = np.array([float(m>0.5) for m in np.nditer(self.predictSoft(X))
          ])
                 return np.ndarray(shape=psoft.shape, buffer=psoft)
         class RandomForestAvg(RandomForestFra):
             # soft prediction: return the average of your ensemble members' soft predi
         ction scores
             def predictSoft(self, X):
                 yhatMtrx = []
                 for learner in self.ensemble:
                     yhatMtrx.append(learner.predictSoft(X)[:, 1])
                 yhatM = np.matrix(yhatMtrx)
                  p = np.array([(1-m, m) for m in np.nditer(yhatM.mean(0)) ])
                 return np.ndarray(shape=(X.shape[0],2), buffer=np.array(p))
```

```
In [25]: t ="ml.dtree.treeClassify"
          s = 30
          nF = 3
          print("ClassifierType:{}, size:{}, other Parameter: nF={}".format(t, s, nF))
          RFF_DecsTree = RandomForestFra(Xte, Yte, type=t, size=s, maxDepth=15, nFeature
          s = nF)
          print("\tWith Fraction: Estimated AUC: {}".format(RFF DecsTree.auc(Xval,
          RFA_DecsTree = RandomForestAvg(Xte, Yte, type=t, size=s, maxDepth=15, nFeature
          s = nF)
          print("\tWith Average: Estimated AUC: {}".format(RFA DecsTree.auc(Xval,
          Yval)))
          ClassifierType:ml.dtree.treeClassify, size:30, other Parameter: nF=3
                  With Fraction: Estimated AUC: 0.833704859666
                  With Average: Estimated AUC: 0.857241041733
In [141]: Xval, Yval = ml.shuffleData( X, Y );
          Xte, Yte = ml.shuffleData( X, Y);
          print("Training: {}".format(RFA_DecsTree.auc(Xte[:50000], Yte[:50000])))
          print("Validation: {}".format(RFA_DecsTree.auc(Xval[50000:], Yval[50000:])))
          Training: 0.859770193488
          Validation: 0.855987161841
 In [80]: RFADtYpred = RFA_DecsTree.predictSoft(Xtest)
 In [89]: np.savetxt('Yhat_kaggle.txt',
                    np.vstack( (np.arange(len(RFADtYpred)), RFADtYpred[:,1])).T,
                     '%d, %.2f', header='ID, Prob1', comments='', delimiter=',');
 In [26]: t ="ml.knn.knnClassify"
          s = 30
          k = 25
          print("ClassifierType:{}, size:{}, other Parameter: k={}".format(t, s, k))
          RFF KNN = RandomForestFra(reduce(Xte[:1000]), Yte[:1000], type=t, size=s, K=k)
          print("\tWith Fraction: Estimated AUC: {}".format(RFF_KNN.auc(reduce(Xval[:100
          0]), Yval[:1000])))
          RFA_KNN = RandomForestAvg(reduce(Xte[:1000]), Yte[:1000], type=t, size=s, K=k)
          print("\tWith Average: Estimated AUC: {}".format(RFA KNN.auc(reduce(Xval[:100
          0]), Yval[:1000])))
          ClassifierType:ml.knn.knnClassify, size:30, other Parameter: k=25
                  With Fraction: Estimated AUC: 0.630819846978
                  With Average: Estimated AUC: 0.639121006981
In [120]: print(RFA_KNN.auc(reduce(Xte[:1000]), Yte[:1000]))
          0.726098831216
 In [81]: RFAKNnYpred = RFA_KNN.predictSoft(reduce(Xtest))
```

Ensemble of all Classifiers

'%d, %.2f', header='ID, Prob1', comments='', delimiter=',');

Kaggle scores

Classifier	Training Data	Validation Data	Kaggle Score
Neural Net	0.60732	0.61092	0.69068
Gradient Boost	0.59122	0.59188	0.72516
Ada Boost	0.60576	0.60762	0.50535
SVM Kernel	0.65236	0.65368	0.61
KNN	0.71176	0.63303	0.63627
Random Forest: Decision Tree	0.85977	0.85598	0.74408
Random Forest: KNN	0.72609	0.63912	0.62976
Ensemble (Bolded)	n/a	n/a	0.74096

Neural Network

We used the MLP Classifier provided by SK learn. We set early stopping to true because the size of the data set was so large. We also gave it hidden layers = (250,3). At first we started with (1,1,1,1....1) with 14 hidden layers each with one node, but with more layers, the slower the classifier became. We shrunk the layers down, increasing the amount of nodes until we decided that less layers and more nodes was the way to go. We also noticed that if the first layer had a large amount of nodes, the layers after it would take exponentially longer with more nodes. We also trained the neural network with a data set that is scaled toe mean zero. The scaled data allowed the values to have equal meaning since each feature had a different meaning.

Gradient Boosted Learner

The gradient Boosted Learner was taken from SK learn. We tried both deviant and exp ontial loss and decided to go with exponential. We predicted that it was more suite d for the large data set. We looped through 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and decided to go wi th learning_rate because it consistently had higher AUC scores. With n_esitmators a nd max_depth, we found that with more estimators the less amount of depth we needed or the Learner would be extremely slow. In addition, we sclaed the data set to mea n zero as well.

Ada Boosted Learner

3/21/2017 178_ProjectFinal

The Ada Boosted Learner was taken from SK learn. We can this learner a n_estimator of 500 by looping through a collection of numbers and deciding on the one with the best score. We scaled the data set to mean zero as well. This learner however did n ot perform very well and was not included in the final ensemble.

KNN Classifier

The problem with KNN learner is mainly the high dimension of the data and unbalance d classes, especially the high dimension which cause the Euclidean distance formula to perform poorly.

My solution for the problem with high dimension is to split the data into sections with lower dimensions and combine them back together and I wrote an ensemble K-nea rest neighbor classifier, which based on the KNN classifier in mltool. In summary, it creates several classifiers with each pair of two columns (column 0 and 1, column 0 and 2, column 1 and 2, etc). I also manually chose four features (column 0, 1, 2 and 8) to improve the computational performance. The prediction of ensemble KNN classifier is the weighted average of the predictions from all individual classifiers and the weight of each classifier is determined by its scaled AUC score based on training data. The classifiers with weight less than a threshold will be ignored whereas the predictions others will be multiplied by the weight and summed up toge ther. Regarding to unbalanced classes, I removed some of the data point to make the proportion of each class equal, which increases the performance a little (from 0.5 9 to 0.61).

The critical parameter setting is K, which is determined by testing out several possible settings. Starting from a number, the possible parameter setting doubles until the model is overfitting. For example, the possible settings are 25, 50, 100, 200 for 1000 data points and the model is overfitting when K=200.

SVM Kernel4

For Support Vector Machine, I used the Scikit-learn library (sklearn.svm). The support vector machine doesn't work well with high dimension data since it also depends on distance between data points. I manually reduced the number of features to 6 (0, 1, 2, 8, 10 and 11) and train the model with it. Without the process of reducing features, it will require a huge amount of time to execute the code. Scaling the data doesn't affect the result much. The validation score only increased by 0.001. On the other hand, balancing data actually has negative on the result, causes the score to decrease by 0.03.

Sklearn.svm supports different choices of kernels: RBF, linear, polynomial and sigm oid. Polynomial kernel takes too long to even produce a result. The results of RBF and linear are rather similar while the result of sigmoid kernel is about 0.02 low er. In the end, I chose RBF kernel to train on reduced, not balanced, not scaled d ata.

3/21/2017 178_ProjectFinal

Random Forest Tree & Random Forest KNN

I generalized the Random forest to take any classifier and I tried it on decision t ree and KNN classifier. For random forest on KNN classifier, it improves the perfor mance a little bit, but it takes too much computation to improve further. Random fo rest with decision tree is way better in terms of performance and speed. Parameters are size, max depth of each decision tree, and numbers of features. Among them, si ze of the forest is the most critical one. Larger amount leads to a better predicti on by reducing the overfitting caused by the relatively large maximum depth of each tree. The parameter I chose is maximum depth = 15, number of feature = 3 and size of forest = 30. The final result is the average of soft prediction

Ensemble

For the Emsemble, we wanted to include every learner that we studied. However, we noticed that some of our learners were very weak and in turn were excluded. In the end, we only used the neural Network, the Gradient Boosted Learner, and the Random Forest Tree. We took the predictSoft or predict_prob of each learner and averaged the values with their corresponding indexes. We chose this method because our three learners already had very high scores and we did not want to run the risk of corrupt ing it. The average of the three learners gave us a reasonably high score on Kaggle, 0.74.

In I I	
⊥ ''	