

1. (25 pts) For each pair of atomic sentences, provide the most general unifier if it exists:

(a) $P(A, A, B), P(x, y, z)$

$\theta = \{x/A, y/A, z/B\} \rightarrow P(A, A, B), P(A, A, B)$

(b) $Q(y, G(A, B)), Q(G(x, x), y)$

Cannot be unified because $y = G(A, B)$ and $G(A, B) = y$ means $G(A, B) = G(x, x)$, where $A = x$ and $B = x$, which is false, because $A \neq B$

(c) $R(x, A, z), R(B, y, z)$

$\theta = \{x/B, y/A\} \rightarrow R(B, A, z), R(B, A, z)$

(d) $\text{Older}(\text{Father}(y), y), \text{Older}(\text{Father}(x), \text{John})$

$\text{Father}(y) = \text{Father}(x) \rightarrow y = x$

$y = \text{John}, x = \text{John}$

$\theta = \{x/\text{John}, y/\text{John}\} \rightarrow \text{Older}(\text{Father}(\text{John}), \text{John}), \text{Older}(\text{Father}(\text{John}), \text{John})$

(e) $\text{Knows}(\text{Father}(y), y), \text{Knows}(x, x)$

Cannot be unified because it would mean $\text{Father}(y) = x$, and $y = x$. And x cannot be both $\text{Father}(y)$ and y , which is invalid.

2. (75 pts) Consider the following sentences:

(a) Translate these sentences into formulas in first-order logic.

- $\forall x (\text{Food}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Likes}(\text{John}, x))$
- $\text{Food}(\text{Apple})$
- $\text{Food}(\text{Chicken})$
- $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Eats}(x, y) \ \& \ \sim \text{KilledBy}(x, y)) \Rightarrow \text{Food}(y))$
- $\forall x \forall y (\text{KilledBy}(x, y) \Rightarrow \sim \text{Alive}(x))$
- $\text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, \text{Peanuts}) \ \& \ \text{Alive}(\text{Bill})$
- $\forall x (\text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, x) \Rightarrow \text{Eats}(\text{Sue}, x))$

(b) Convert the formulas of part (a) into CNF (also called clausal form).

1. $\sim \text{Food}(x) \mid \text{Likes}(\text{John}, x)$
2. $\text{Food}(\text{Apple})$
3. $\text{Food}(\text{Chicken})$
4. $\sim \text{Eats}(x, y) \mid \text{KilledBy}(x, y) \mid \text{Food}(y)$

5. $\sim \text{KilledBy}(x, y) \mid \sim \text{Alive}(x)$
6. $\text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, \text{Peanuts})$
7. $\text{Alive}(\text{Bill})$
8. $\sim \text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, x) \mid \text{Eats}(\text{Sue}, x)$

(c) Prove that John likes peanuts using resolution.

- 9. $\sim \text{Likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$
- 10. $\sim \text{Food}(\text{Peanuts}) \quad (1, 9) \quad \theta = \{x/\text{Peanuts}\}$
- 11. $\text{KilledBy}(\text{Bill}, \text{Peanuts}) \mid \text{Food}(\text{Peanuts}) \quad (4, 6) \quad \theta = \{x/\text{Bill}, y/\text{Peanuts}\}$
- 12. $\sim \text{KilledBy}(\text{Bill}, \text{Peanuts}) \quad (5, 7) \quad \theta = \{x/\text{Bill}, y/\text{Peanuts}\}$
- 13. $\text{Food}(\text{Peanuts}) \quad (11, 12)$
- 14. $() \quad (10, 13)$

Contradiction, therefore $\text{Likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$ is true.

(d) Use resolution to answer the question, “What does Sue eat?”

- 9. $\text{Eats}(\text{Sue}, \text{Peanuts}) \quad (6, 8) \quad \theta = \{x/\text{Peanuts}\}$

Since Sue eats the same thing as Bill, Sue also eats peanuts.

(e) Use resolution to answer (d) if, instead of the axiom marked with an asterisk (*) above, we had:

- If you don't eat, you die.
- If you die, you are not alive.
- Bill is alive.
- 1. $A x ((A y \sim \text{Eats}(x, y)) \Rightarrow \text{Dies}(x))$
 - CNF: $A x (\sim (A y \sim \text{Eats}(x, y)) \Rightarrow \text{Dies}(x))$
 $\rightarrow A x (E y \text{Eats}(x, y)) \Rightarrow \text{Dies}(x)$
 $\rightarrow A x (\text{Eats}(x, f(x)) \Rightarrow \text{Dies}(x))$
 $\rightarrow \text{CNF: } \text{Eats}(x, f(x)) \mid \text{Dies}(x)$
- 2. $A x (\text{Dies}(x) \Rightarrow \sim \text{Alive}(x))$
 - CNF: $\sim \text{Dies}(x) \mid \sim \text{Alive}(x)$

- 3. Alive(Bill)
 - CNF: Alive(Bill)

Resolution:

- 1. $\sim \text{Food}(x) \mid \text{Likes}(\text{John}, x)$
- 2. $\text{Food}(\text{Apple})$
- 3. $\text{Food}(\text{Chicken})$
- 4. $\sim \text{Eats}(x, y) \mid \text{KilledBy}(x, y) \mid \text{Food}(y)$
- 5. $\sim \text{KilledBy}(x, y) \mid \sim \text{Alive}(x)$
- (NEW) 6. $\text{Eats}(x, f(x)) \mid \text{Dies}(x)$
- (NEW) 7. $\sim \text{Dies}(x) \mid \sim \text{Alive}(x)$
- (NEW) 8. $\text{Alive}(\text{Bill})$
- 9. $\sim \text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, x) \mid \text{Eats}(\text{Sue}, x)$
- 10. $\sim \text{Dies}(\text{Bill}) \quad (7, 8) \quad \{x, \text{Bill}\}$
- 11. $\text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, f(\text{Bill})) \quad (6, 10) \quad \{x, \text{Bill}\}$
- 12. $\text{Eats}(\text{Sue}, f(\text{Bill})) \quad (9, 11) \quad \{x, f(\text{Bill})\}$

Sue also eats $f(\text{Bill})$, which is some type of food that Bill eats.