Question 2 Fulin Guo

January 21, 2019

Fulin Guo

1 Question 2

1.1 Exercise **2.1**

```
In [1]: def g(x):
            g=0.1*(x**4)-1.5*x**3+0.53*x**2+2*x+1
        def ing(g,a,b,n,method='midpoint'):
            inter=0
            if method=='midpoint':
                for i in range(n+1):
                    inter+=((b-a)/n)*g(a+((2*i+1)*(b-a))/(2*n))
            elif method=='trapezoid':
                for i in range(1,n):
                    inter+=((b-a)/(2*n))*(2*g(a+i*(b-a)/n))
                inter+=((b-a)/(2*n))*(g(a)+g(b))
            elif method=='Simpsons':
                for i in range(1,n):
                    if i%2==1:
                        inter+=((b-a)/(3*n))*(4*g((a+((i*(b-a)))/n)))
                    else:
                        inter+=((b-a)/(3*n))*(2*g((a+((i*(b-a))/n))))
                inter+=((b-a)/(3*n))*(g(a)+g(b))
            return inter
        print('The numerical approximation of the intergral using midpoint:', ing(g,-10,10,100
        print('The numerical approximation of the intergral using trapezoid:',ing(g,-10,10,100
        print('The numerical approximation of the intergral using Simpsons:',ing(g,-10,10,1000
        print('The difference between the midpoint method and the true value:',ing(g,-10,10,10)
        print('The difference between the trapezoid method and the true value:',ing(g,-10,10,10,10)
        print('The difference between the Simpsons method and the true value:',ing(g,-10,10,10)
```

The difference between the midpoint method and the true value: -0.0051866873354811105 The difference between the trapezoid method and the true value: 0.0033333607671011123

The numerical approximation of the intergral using midpoint: 4373.324813312664 The numerical approximation of the intergral using trapezoid: 4373.3333333360767 The numerical approximation of the intergral using Simpsons: 4373.3333333333468 The difference between the Simpsons method and the true value: 0.003333333467708144

1.2 Exercise **2.2**

The two arrays above represent Wn and Zn respectively.

1.3 Exercise **2.3**

```
In [3]: import math
       def lf(mu,siga,n,k):
          w=np.array([0.0]*n)
          z = np.linspace(mu-siga*k,mu+siga*k,n)
          w[0]=scipy.stats.norm.cdf((z[0]+z[1])/2,mu,siga)
          w[n-1]=1-scipy.stats.norm.cdf((z[n-2]+z[n-1])/2,mu,siga)
          for i in range(1,n-1):
              z=np.array([math.exp(i) for i in z])
          return [w,z]
       lf(0,1,11,3)
Out[3]: [array([0.00346697, 0.01439745, 0.04894278, 0.11725292, 0.19802845,
              0.23582284, 0.19802845, 0.11725292, 0.04894278, 0.01439745,
              0.00346697]),
        array([ 0.04978707, 0.09071795, 0.16529889, 0.30119421, 0.54881164,
                       , 1.8221188 , 3.32011692, 6.04964746, 11.02317638,
              20.08553692])]
```

We could see from the above answer that the space nodes (the first array) are not evenly distributed, but the weights (the second array) are the same with those in Exercise 2.2

1.4 Exercies **2.4**

1.5 Exercise **3.1**

```
In [5]: def g(x):  x=0.1*(x**4)-1.5*x**3+0.53*x**2+2*x+1  return x  def \ func(x): \\ return \ [x[0]+x[1]+x[2]-20, \\ x[0]*x[3]+x[1]*x[4]+x[2]*x[5]-0, \\ x[0]*(x[3]**2)+x[1]*(x[4]**2)+x[2]*(x[5]**2)-2000/3, \\ x[0]*(x[3]**3)+x[1]*(x[4]**3)+x[2]*(x[5]**3-0), \\ x[0]*(x[3]**3)+x[1]*(x[4]**3)+x[2]*(x[5]**4)-((10**5)*2)/5, \\ x[0]*(x[3]**5)+x[1]*(x[4]**5)+x[2]*(x[5]**5)-0]  s=scipy.optimize.root(func, [20/3,20/3,20/3,-10,0,10]) print('The approximated intergral using Gaussian quadrature:', s.x[0]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[1]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[1]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[1]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[1]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[2]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[3]*g(s.x[3])+s.x[3]*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(s.x[3])*g(
```

The approximated intergral using Gaussian quadrature: 4373.333333588602 The absolute error to the answer in exercise 2.1: 0.00852027593737148 The absolute error to the true value: 0.0033335886018903693

1.6 Exercies 3.2

1.7 Exercise **4.1**

```
In [24]: import random
         def f(g,oumu,n):
             ans=0
             random.seed(25)
             for i in range(n):
                 x=random.uniform(oumu[0][0],oumu[0][1])
                 y=random.uniform(oumu[1][0],oumu[1][1])
                 ans+=g(x,y)
             return ans
         def g(x,y):
             if x**2+y**2<1:</pre>
                 return 1
             else:
                 return 0
         print('N=10000:',4*f(g,[[-1,1],[-1,1]],10000)/10000)
         print('N=100000:',4*f(g,[[-1,1],[-1,1]],100000)/100000)
         print('N=1000000:',4*f(g,[[-1,1],[-1,1]],1000000)/1000000)
         print('N=990000:',4*f(g,[[-1,1],[-1,1]],990000)/990000)
         print('N=999000:',4*f(g,[[-1,1],[-1,1]],999000)/999000)
N=10000: 3.126
N=100000: 3.14336
N=1000000: 3.141592
N=990000: 3.141737373737374
N=999000: 3.1415935935935937
```

Therefore, in order to match the true value to the 4th decimal, we need set N at least 999000 (i.e. about 1000000)

1.8 Exercies 4.2

```
In [16]: def prime(x):
    # Return True if x is a prime number. Otherwise, return False
    ans=True
    for i in range(2,int(math.sqrt(x))+1):
        if x%i==0:
            return False
            break
    return ans
    def p(n):
        # Return the nth prime number
    a=[]
    i=2
    while len(a)<n:
        if prime(i)==True:
        a.append(i)</pre>
```

1.9 Exercise 4.3

```
In [27]: import random
         random.seed(25)
         def ff(g,n,method='Weyl'):
             ans=0
             for i in range(1,n+1):
                 x=quam(i,2,method)[0]
                 y=quam(i,2,method)[1]
                 ans+=g(x,y)
             return ans
         def g(x,y):
             if x**2+y**2<1:
                 return 1
             else:
                 return 0
         print('N=10000',4*ff(g,10000)/10000)
         print('N=100000',4*ff(g,100000)/100000)
         print('N=1000000',4*ff(g,1000000)/1000000)
N=10000 3.1412
N=100000 3.14036
N=1000000 3.14148
```

We could from the above answer that in order to match the true value to the 4th decimal, we need set N at least about 1000000