

 [erasureprotocol](#) / [erasure-protocol](#) Public
[Code](#) [Issues 45](#) [Pull requests 39](#) [Actions](#) [Projects](#) [Wiki](#) [Security](#) [Insights](#)

<> Code ▾

[Jump to bottom](#)

v1.2.0 Escrows #253

Merged thegostep merged 59 commits into [master](#) from [steph/bay](#)  on Nov 23, 2019

Conversation 33

Commits 59

Checks 0





Files changed 149




thegostep commented on Nov 5, 2019 • edited ▾

Contributor

Done

- ☒ get rid of agreement metadata
- ☒ events to distinguish each function
- ☒ check if better way to pass in agreementFactory
- ☒ check if possible to avoid agreementParams
- ☒ check if possible to have roles module
- ☒ create new deposit module to remove paymentAmount and stakeAmount (may need to be coupled with role)
- ☒ rename status functions at lower level to clarify etherscan ABI and inheritance
- ☒ check for current stake breaks if seller == buyer
- ☒ should agreement inherit operator from escrow?
- ☒ add state machine
- ☒ gas benchmarks
- ☒ use explicit return statements
- ☒ Spawner as Library  [MultiHashWrapper and Spawner are more appropriate to be libraries](#) #281
- ☒ getPunishment   [The function getPunishment is inaccurate](#) #276
- ☒ solc 0.5.13  [The latest version of Solidity should be used](#) #270


WIP


- ☒ add distinction between new and deprecated to releases
- ☒ complete natspec module commenting
- ☒ documentation on use of registries, factories and templates
- ☒ Contract descriptions  [Contract-level introductions are missing](#) #263
- ☒ Save state machines to github (<https://github.com/erasureprotocol/erasure-protocol/blob/v1.2.0/docs/state-machines/CountdownGriefing.png>)

Abandoned

- ☐ generalize escrow execution and move functionality to a module




✕  **erasureprotocol** deleted a comment from **harsh103** on Nov 6, 2019

✕  **erasureprotocol** deleted a comment from **harsh103** on Nov 6, 2019

🔗 **thegostep** added 6 commits 4 years ago

- 🔗  Escrow WIP 57e1c75
- 🔗  add events 2d061bb
- 🔗  optimizations and natspec 93c92e9
- 🔗  fix tests 7534005
- 🔗  fix tests 182a1ea
- 🔗  fix typo cf9348c

🔗  **thegostep** force-pushed the `steph/bay` branch from **785a4b7** to **cf9348c** 4 years ago [Compare](#)

🔗 **thegostep** added 4 commits 4 years ago

- 🔗  deploy rinkeby test 98d6bc3
- 🔗  initial tests and optimizations ede263e
- 🔗  tests WIP c39a6c4
- 🔗  tests WIP f4ebcc1

👁 **jparyani** reviewed on Nov 9, 2019

[View reviewed changes](#)

contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol Outdated ⚡ Show resolved

contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol Outdated ⚡ Show resolved















contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol ⚡ Show resolved



contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol ⚡ Show resolved

contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol ⚡ Show resolved

contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol	Outdated	Show resolved
contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol	Outdated	Show resolved
contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol	Outdated	Show resolved
contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol	Outdated	Show resolved
contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol		Show resolved

 thegostep added 7 commits 4 years ago

-   complete happy tests 628855a
-   fix state machine bugs 50df9d5
-   add state machine diagram b7027aa
-   refactor staking module 0d01fc5
-   fix typos 769d36c
-   fix countdown status visibility d27d13a
-   add contract titles bc8845c

  thegostep requested a review from jparyani 4 years ago

 jparyani reviewed on Nov 12, 2019


[View reviewed changes](#)

contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol	Outdated	Show resolved
---	----------	---------------

 jparyani reviewed on Nov 12, 2019

[View reviewed changes](#)

contracts/escrows/CountdownGriefingEscrow.sol		Show resolved
---	--	---------------

 thegostep added 6 commits 4 years ago

-   update rinkeby deployment 7856c56
-   fix finalize require de34e9c
-   update metadata access control 77d1fd3

  remove unused imports	9297f74
  update countdown grieving state machine	fd580fb
  add state machines	2db2b51

7 hidden items

[Load more...](#) fulldecent suggested changes on Nov 19, 2019[View reviewed changes](#)

fulldecent left a comment · edited ▾

Contributor

2019-11-18 Erasure Bay Contract Review

Erasure Bay Contract Review
William Entriken
Delivered 2019-11-18

Review scope

This is a review of Erasure Protocol, pre-release version 1.2.0. Code as published at <https://github.com/erasureprotocol/erasure-protocol>, commit [4a3d98c](#) .

The scope of review included code-level review (human reading source code), automated code review, (compute reading source code), unit testing (computer running small-scope tests), and a limited amount of functional testing (human using compiled program).

The review was performed for the purpose of comparing Erasure Protocol to the advertised specifications (documentation) and understood use cases ([product README](#), [product introduction](#), [architecture explanation](#), private application specification, private conversations with team). Any deviation from this standard, or deficiency against relevant best programming practices would be an in-scope review finding.

Review exclusions

The following code was excluded from review:

- /contracts-wip folder

Additionally, certain items were excluded from review:

- Suitability and security of cryptographic primitives (ECDSA, Fernet.js, etc.)
- Other library dependencies
- Downstream SDK modules

Findings

Each finding is listed as a bullet item and is organized by topic. Critical findings that are not resolved are marked with the bomb symbol (💣) and other unresolved material findings are marked with the warning symbol (⚠️). Resolved and less-than-material findings have no emoji marking.

Design

All findings are Just from reading the user documentation.

- Use of multihash adds complexity and the decision is not explicitly justified (🔍) **Use of multihash adds complexity and the decision is not explicitly justified** #258
- Posts and feeds are not differentiated (🔍) **Posts and feeds are not differentiated** #259
- 💣 Files should be encrypted offline and uploaded online
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XN4gXOA9VgkX7f80qwmIJDSm-iKWzAq-e5E4VeqCaFg/edit?disco=AAAADgDoZXA>
- Documentation shows posts as “unlisted” which is not appropriate
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XN4gXOA9VgkX7f80qwmIJDSm-iKWzAq-e5E4VeqCaFg/edit?disco=AAAADgDoZXU>
- Design specifications should use prescriptive language
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XN4gXOA9VgkX7f80qwmIJDSm-iKWzAq-e5E4VeqCaFg/edit?disco=AAAADgDoZXc>

Documentation

- Griefing specification is unclear and/or incorrect (🔍) **Griefing specification is unclear and/or incorrect** #260
- Erasure is not able to directly transact ETH or other ERC-20 tokens (🔍) **Erasure is not able to directly transact ETH or other ERC-20 tokens** #261
- Is there one “protocol” or many? (🔍) **Is there one “protocol” or many?** #262
- Contract-level introductions are missing (🔍) **Contract-level introductions are missing** #263
- 💣 Documentation identifies the wrong party as paying money (🔍) **Documentation identifies the wrong party as paying money** #264
- A state diagram could be helpful <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XN4gXOA9VgkX7f80qwmIJDSm-iKWzAq-e5E4VeqCaFg/edit?disco=AAAADgDoZXs>
- Documentation shows that information is available to only certain parties, but actually it is public
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XN4gXOA9VgkX7f80qwmIJDSm-iKWzAq-e5E4VeqCaFg/edit?disco=AAAADgDoZX8>

Specifications

These are from reading just the developer documentation.

- proofHash is underspecified, in regards to prepending (🔍) **proofHash is underspecified** #265
- Some of the API surface is unnecessary and/or duplicative (🔍) **Some of the API surface is unnecessary and/or duplicative** #266

Word choice

The choice of words is scrutinized here.

- Use of "counterparty" is confusing  [Use of "counterparty" is confusing #267](#)

Testing / assurance

These relate to included test cases.

- CountdownGriefingEscrow.js test fails  [CountdownGriefingEscrow.js test fails #268](#)
- Build artifacts from testenv should be excluded from the repository  [Build artifacts from testenv should be excluded from the repository #269](#)







Build

These relate to the build system, not necessarily code.

- The latest version of Solidity should be used  [The latest version of Solidity should be used #270](#)
- Test scripts exit with success code when build fails  [Test scripts exit with success code when build fails #271](#)
- Solidity linter is missing from test suite  [Solidity linter is missing from test suite #272](#)
- Third-party code is not attributed  [Third-party code is not attributed #273](#)

Implementation

These are from reviewing the actual code and program output.

- Implementation of multihash is not future proof  [Implementation of multihash is not future proof #274](#)
- Implementation of multihash does not adhere to its documentation  [Implementation of multihash does not adhere to its documentation #275](#)
- 💣 The function getPunishment is inaccurate  [The function getPunishment is inaccurate #276](#)
- onlyPayloadSize should not be necessary with Solidity 0.5  [onlyPayloadSize should not be necessary with Solidity 0.5 #277](#)
- Factory uses bytes4 to track instanceTypes, this is unjustifiably restrictive  [Factory uses bytes4 to track instanceTypes, this is unjustifiably restrictive #278](#)
- ⚠️ Inconsistent type usage: countdownLength, ratio  ⚠️ [Inconsistent type usage: countdownLength, ratio #279](#)















Implementation — leaking storage

These are situations where the terminal state of a contract uses more storage than necessary.

- Enumeration status do not use zero for final state  [Enumeration status do not use zero for final state #280](#)



Code style and quality

These are sniffs not directly related to implementation functionality.

- MultiHashWrapper and Spawner are more appropriate to be libraries  [MultiHashWrapper and Spawner are more appropriate to be libraries](#) #281
-  Griefing RatioType is not type safe, improper decoding   [Griefing RatioType is not type safe, improper decoding](#) #282
- Purpose of Operated. _status is unclear  [Purpose of Operated. _status is unclear](#) #283
- Operator module functions are WET  [Operator module functions are WET](#) #284
- isCreator can be removed from API surface  [isCreator can be removed from API surface](#) #285
- All test contracts should have Test in their name  [All test contracts should have Test in their name](#) #286
- Functions with similar arguments should have similar argument order  [Functions with similar arguments should have similar argument order](#) #287
- Same-contract variable is accessed using internal scoping  [Same-contract variable is accessed using internal scoping](#) #288
- Separate all test code  [Separate all test code](#) #289
- Uses of address types can be more specific  [Uses of address types can be more specific](#) #290
- TODO is present in code  [TODO is present in code](#) #291
- Variety of approaches is used for restricting actor for permission checking  [Variety of approaches is used for restricting actor for permission checking](#) #292



Code documentation

These relate to communicating to other developers on the project.

-  Mint / burn should be explained clearly   [Mint / burn should be explained clearly](#) #293
-  submitHash does NOT accept multihashes   [submitHash does NOT accept multihashes](#) #294




Unused code




These are implementation details which have no effect on the product.

- contracts-wip should be deleted  [contracts-wip should be deleted](#) #295
- Unused libraries are checked into the repository  [Unused libraries are checked into the repository](#) #296

Quick fixes

These are all pull requests against the steph/bay branch.

- fix-remove-wip-contracts  [Fix remove wip contracts](#) #297
- fix-whitespace  [Fix doubled whitespace](#) #298
- fix-prescriptive-hashing  [Be prescriptive in hashing introduction](#) #299

- fix-countdown-griefing-build  [Fix test for countdown grieving](#) #300
- fix-erc-20-approve  [Clarify the meaning of "approve" for staking](#) #301
- fix-wording  [Fix wording](#) #302


Other notes





























- I love the bracket blocks to scope out variable assignments (in `finalize()`). This is very c-like, very readable!



2 hidden items

[Load more...](#)

 **fulldacent** and others added 23 commits 4 years ago

  Be prescriptive in hashing introduction	3674009
  Fix test for countdown grieving	98c6d2a
  Clarify the meaning of "approve" for staking	bfc30f2
  Spelling and wording updates	8062a46
  clarify grieving docs fixes #260	1923453
  clarify use of NMR in escrow fixes #261	ee33618
  clarify single protocol fixes #262	889172b
  update approval documentation fixes #264	491d057
  remove unused contracts fixes #296	77504c1
  remove TODO fixes #291	a99ff0f
  deprecate Post fixes #259	8d2fbb7
  use SHA-256 for proofhash fixes #258	0d59cb4
  remove build artifacts fixes #269	1866d77
  remove deployment from CI	1905758
  fix testenv	d47e4f0
  clarify burn behavior fixes #293	22f3d11
  move MockNMR fixes #289	aa248c1
  clean func reference fix #288 fix #285 fix #266	ef607af

- 5/31/23, 2:26 PMv1.2.0 Escrows by thegostep · Pull Request #253 · erasureprotocol/erasure-protocol
- make consistent argument order [fix #287](#)

8e72eac
- remove unused contract [fix #286](#)

c9f4110
- simplify operated module [fix #283](#)

63d3d68
- explicit ratioType [fix #282](#)

3b4f97c
- fix travis build

a62d801

jgeary reviewed on Nov 22, 2019

[View reviewed changes](#)

contracts/modules/Countdown.sol

Show resolved

- thegostep** added 5 commits 4 years ago
- update solc to 0.5.13

8ecfe27
- clarify getPunishment [fix #276](#)

0f963d8
- update deployment script

5326057
- host state machines on github

929eeff
- update contract headers WIP

7323709
- thegostep** merged commit **6a28933** into master on Nov 23, 2019

[Revert](#)

Reviewers

jparyani

jgeary

fulldecent

Assignees

No one assigned

Labels

None yet

Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Development

Successfully merging this pull request may close these issues.

None yet

4 participants

