

Math 125 HW 1

1a) Cancellation at $x=0$ as $f(x) = \frac{0}{0}$

To fix: $\frac{\sqrt{25+x} - 5}{x} \left(\frac{\sqrt{25+x} + 5}{\sqrt{25+x} + 5} \right)$

$$= \frac{x}{x(\sqrt{25+x} + 5)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{25+x} + 5} = g(x)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{25+x} + 5} = \frac{1}{10} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{25+x} - 5}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{25+x} + 5} = \frac{1}{10}$$

b) Cancellation at $x=0$ $f(x) = \frac{0}{0}$

To fix, note for small values of x , $\sin x = x$.

So, $f(x) = \frac{1 - \cos x}{\sin^2 x} = \frac{1 - \cos x}{1 - \cos^2 x} = \frac{1 - \cos x}{(1 - \cos x)(1 + \cos x)} = \frac{1}{1 + \cos x}$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1 + \cos x} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{2x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos x}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

c) Catastrophic cancellation for $x = \pm 2\pi n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$f(x) = \frac{0}{0}$
To fix $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 2\pi n} \frac{1 - \sec x}{1 - \sec^2 x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 2\pi n} \frac{1 - \sec x}{(1 - \sec x)(1 + \sec x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 2\pi n} \frac{1}{1 + \sec x} = \frac{1}{2}$

So use $\frac{1}{1 + \sec x}$ which is same form via trig identities, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 2\pi n} \frac{1 - \sec x}{\tan^2 x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 2\pi n} \frac{1}{1 + \sec x} = \frac{1}{2}$

2a) $\det(A) = \det(L) \det(U)$

$$\det(A) = (L_1 \cdot L_2 \cdots L_k) (U_1 \cdot U_2 \cdots U_k)$$

Where L_1, \dots, L_k and U_1, \dots, U_k are diagonal elements.

To fix under/overflow, take log:

$$\log(\det A) = \log(L_1 \cdot L_2 \cdots L_k \cdot U_1 \cdot U_2 \cdots U_k)$$

$$\log(\det A) = \sum_{i=1}^K \log L_i + \log U_i$$

This handles under/overflow since it scales the numbers, like $\log 10^{-26} = -26$, and $\log 10^{26} = 26$, the original number can be easily recovered by doing $10^{\log \det A}$

2b) Let $M = \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

$$\vec{X} = \vec{x} \cdot \frac{M}{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{M}{M} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{M}{M} x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \|\vec{X}\| &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{M} x_1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M}{M} x_2\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{M}{M} x_n\right)^2} \\ &= M \sqrt{\left(\frac{x_1}{M}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_2}{M}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{x_n}{M}\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

- This handles overflow, however, underflow isn't really an issue with finding $\|\vec{x}\|_2$.

3a) $101110 = 46$ in base 10

$$b) \quad 0.375 = 0.011 \quad .375 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}$$

$$1.25 = 1.010$$

$$1.25 + .375 = 1.625$$

In binary,

$$\begin{array}{r} 0.011 \\ + 1.010 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 0.011 \\ + 1.010 \\ \hline 1.101 = 1.625 = \text{base 10} \end{array}$$

M125

3c) For float: $\boxed{1} \cdot \boxed{23} \boxed{8}$
 sign fraction exponent

$$x = (-1)^s 2^{e-127} (1+f)$$

For smallest:

$$e=1, \text{ as all } e \neq 000, \text{ so smallest} = 2^{1-127} (1+0) = 2^{-126} = 5.175 \times 10^{-38}$$

Largest:

All ones, minus the first one, so $e=255$. as all 1's = 255

$$\text{largest} = 2^{255-127} \cdot (1 + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-3} + \dots + 2^{-23})$$

$$= 2^{127} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{23} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^i \right)$$

$$= 2^{127} \left(1 + \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{24}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$= 2^{127} \cdot 1.99999998 = 3.403 \times 10^{38}$$

Max float: 3.403×10^{38}

Min float: 5.175×10^{-38}

d) π doesn't have an exact representation as it is irrational

e) $\forall \text{ float } \in [1, 2]$, we multiply by 2^k .

Our domain is now $[1 \cdot 2^k, 2 \cdot 2^k] = [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$

Since we multiply all floats by 2^k , no new

floats are introduced. However, there are the same

amount of floats, and as for $k > 0$, $2^{k+1} - 2^k > 1$, then the gaps between large numbers is bigger than the gaps between small numbers.

4 To show $\frac{|y-f(y)|}{|y|} \leq B^{-m}$, First let's

determine an upper bound for $|y-f(y)|$

$$y = \pm B^c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k B^{-k} = \pm \left(B^c \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} d_k B^{-k} + B^c \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} d_k B^{-k} \right)$$

Finite geometric series

$$B^c \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} d_k B^{-k} \leq B^c \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} (B-1) B^{-k} \\ \leq B^c (B-1) \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} B^{-k} \\ \leq B^c (B-1) \cdot \frac{B^{-0} - B^{-(m+1)+1}}{B-1}$$

$$B^c \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} d_k B^{-k} \leq \frac{B^c (B-1)}{B-1} = B^c B^{-m}$$

For an upper bound on $|y|$, $|y| \geq B^c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k B^{-k} \geq B^c$

as minimally, $|y| = B^c B^{-m}$ as $d_1 \dots d_k = 0$

So $\frac{|y-f(y)|}{|y|}$ Note for $|y-f(y)|$, $B^c \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} d_k B^{-k}$ cancel so we just use our bounds

$$\frac{|B^c B^{-m}|}{|B^c|} \leq B^{-m} \rightarrow B^{-m} \leq B^{-m} \quad \square$$

$$\begin{aligned} 5a) \quad p(x) &= x^5 (3x^2 + 2x^3 - 2x^6 + 9x^9) \\ &= x^5 (x^2 (3 + 2x - 2x^4 + 9x^7)) \\ &= x^5 (x^2 (3 + x(2 - 2x^3 + 9x^6))) \\ &= x^5 (x^2 (3 + x(2 + x^3(-2 + 9x^3)))) \end{aligned}$$

$$p(x) = x^3 \cdot x^2 (x^2 (3 + x(2 + x^3(-2 + 9x^2 \cdot x))))$$

Store x^2 and x^3 upon initial computations for reuse.

$$5b) C_k = a_k$$

$$C_{k-1} = a_{k-1} + a_k X_0$$

$$C_{k-2} = a_{k-2} + (a_{k-1} + a_k X_0) X_0$$

$$C_{k-3} = a_{k-3} + (a_{k-2} + a_{k-1} X_0 + a_k X_0^2) X_0$$

Following this pattern

$$C_{k-k} = a_{k-k} + (a_{k-k+1} + a_{k-k+2} X_0 + \dots + a_k X_0^{k-1}) X_0$$

$$C_0 = a_0 + (a_1 + a_2 X_0 + \dots + a_k X_0^{k-1}) X_0$$

$$C_0 = a_0 + a_1 X_0 + a_2 X_0^2 + \dots + a_k X_0^k$$

Since P is a polynomial, the most efficient way to factor is Horner's Scheme which takes $2k$ operations for a degree k polynomial.

c) See Code for specifics. My code got value of 6891205, which matches calculator. Code at end of PDF on last page.

d) The most efficient method would be the following steps.

$$1.) X+1$$

$$2.) (X+1)^2$$

$$3.) ((X+1)^2)^2 = (X+1)^4$$

$$4.) ((X+1)^4)^2 = (X+1)^8$$

This doesn't contradict Horner's Scheme as Horner's Scheme is most efficient w/ no structure in $p(X)$.

6a) If backwards stable, $\tilde{f}(x,y) = f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$

$$f(x) \ominus f(y) = [x(1+E_1) + y(1+E_2)](1+E_3)$$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{x} = x(1+E_1)(1+E_3), \tilde{y} = y(1+E_2)(1+E_3)$$

We must prove $\left| \frac{\tilde{x} - x}{|x|} \right| \leq \epsilon_{\text{mc}}$ and $\left| \frac{\tilde{y} - y}{|y|} \right| \leq \epsilon_{\text{m}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\tilde{x} - x}{|x|} \right| &= \left| \frac{x(1+\epsilon_1)(1+\epsilon_3) - x}{|x|} \right| = \left| \frac{x + x\epsilon_1 + x\epsilon_3 + x\epsilon_1\epsilon_3 - x}{|x|} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{x\epsilon_1 + x\epsilon_3 + x\epsilon_1\epsilon_3}{|x|} \right| = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_1\epsilon_3 \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is small,

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_1\epsilon_3| &\leq |\epsilon_1| + |\epsilon_3| + |\epsilon_1\epsilon_3| \\ &\leq 3(\epsilon_{\text{machine}}) \\ &\leq O(\epsilon_{\text{machine}}) \end{aligned}$$

For y , it's a similar process.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\tilde{y} - y}{|y|} \right| &= \left| \frac{y(1+\epsilon_2)(1+\epsilon_3) - y}{|y|} \right| = \left| \frac{y\epsilon_2 + y\epsilon_3 + y\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}{|y|} \right| \\ &= |\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_2\epsilon_3| \leq |\epsilon_2| + |\epsilon_3| + |\epsilon_2\epsilon_3| \\ &\leq 3\epsilon_{\text{machine}} \\ &\leq O(\epsilon_{\text{machine}}) \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $\tilde{f}(x, y) = f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ and subtraction is backwards stable.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } xy^T &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} [y_1 \dots y_m] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1 (1+\epsilon_1) & \dots & x_1 y_m (1+\epsilon_m) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_n y_1 (1+\epsilon_n) & \dots & x_n y_m (1+\epsilon_m) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Each multiplication has its own ϵ .

Normally, $xy^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1 & \dots & x_1 y_m \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_n y_1 & \dots & x_n y_m \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank } 1.$

However by introducing $(1+\epsilon)$ we don't preserve rank anymore, as xy^T can no longer be rank 1, as each product is multiplied by a unique $(1+\epsilon)$ term.

7a) Number converted to binary is:

0.09999990463256835988

-I'm calling it 0.0999999 for simplicity

$$|0.0999999 - \frac{1}{10}| = \boxed{0.0000001}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } \frac{.0000001 \text{ error}}{1/10 \text{ sec}} &= \frac{.000001 \text{ error}}{\text{sec}} \cdot \frac{60 \text{ sec}}{\text{min}} \cdot \frac{60 \text{ min}}{\text{hr}} \cdot \frac{100 \text{ hr}}{\text{operation}} \\ &= 0.036 \text{ hrs off} = \boxed{129.6 \text{ seconds}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{c) } \frac{3750 \text{ miles}}{1 \text{ hr}} \cdot \frac{1 \text{ hr}}{60 \text{ min}} \cdot \frac{1 \text{ min}}{60 \text{ sec}} = 1.04 \text{ miles}$$

$$1.04 \text{ miles} \times 129.6 \text{ sec} = \boxed{134.784 \text{ miles}}$$


```
#This function implements Horner's method in Python
def horner(coeff, x):
    result = coeff[0]
    for i in range(1, len(coeff)):
        result = result*x+coeff[i]
    return result

#p(x) = 7x^3 -11x^2 +12x + 5 is polynomial in question
#Horner's method means p(x) = 5 + x(12 + x(-11+7x))

coeff = [7, -11, 12, 5] #Coefficients of p(x) for use
x = 100 #Initial value
print(horner(coeff, x)) #Prints result
```