

# MATH-235 HOMEWORK 1 SOLUTION

## • 1.1.20.

Proof. Suppose that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence and there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to some  $x$ . Then we have  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall k \geq N, d(x, x_{n_k}) < \epsilon/2$ . By definition of Cauchy sequence,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n, m \geq M, d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon/2$ . Pick the greater one between  $N$  and  $M$ , say  $K$ , we have  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , such that  $\forall k \geq K, d(x, x_q) < d(x, x_{n_k}) + (x_k, x_{n_k}) < \epsilon$ . Therefore the Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$ .  $\square$

## • 1.4.4

Proof. (a). Consider any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta = (\epsilon/k)^{1/\alpha}$ . Then for all  $x, y \in I$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq k|x - y|^\alpha$  by Holder continuity. By our assumption,  $k|x - y|^\alpha < \epsilon$ . Hence  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

(b). Consider  $\alpha = 1 + \epsilon$  and then by Holder continuity we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y||x - y|^\epsilon$$

divide both sides by  $|x - y|$  and take the limit as  $x \rightarrow y$ , we have

$$|f'(y)| \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow y} K|x - y|^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore  $f$  is a constant on  $I$ .

(c). Straight computation.

(d). First, we show  $g$  is continuous at 0. Consider the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |-1/\ln(x) - 0| = 0$ . Then we show  $g$  is continuous on  $(0, 1/2)$ . Consider

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} |-1/\ln(x) - (-1/\ln(y))| = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left| \frac{-\ln y + \ln x}{\ln y \ln x} \right| = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left| \frac{\ln(x/y)}{\ln y \ln x} \right| = 0$$

Therefore  $g(x)$  is continuous on a compact set  $[0, 1/2]$ , hence it's also uniformly continuous. To show Holder continuity doesn't hold, it suffices to show  $|g(x) - g(0)| > K|x|^\alpha$  where  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ . We prove this by contradiction, which is  $|-1/\ln x| \leq K|x|^\alpha$ . Divide both sides by  $K|x|^\alpha$  and apply L'Hopital's rule as  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} K|\alpha x^{-\alpha}| \leq 1$$

which is not possible for any  $\alpha > 0$ .  $\square$

## • 2.1.29

Proof. By countable subadditivity of countable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$|\cup Z_k|_e \leq \sum |Z_k|_e = 0.$$

By non-negativity of exterior measure, we have  $|\cup Z_k|_e = 0$ .  $\square$

- 2.1.32. Show that if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then its graph

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$$

has measure zero.

Proof. Notice that  $\Gamma_f = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_f^n$ , where  $\Gamma_f^n = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in [-n, n]\}$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x, y \in [-n, n]$  and  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . Divide  $[-n, n]$  into  $K_{n,\delta}$  with equal length  $\delta$  intervals  $[a_l, b_l]$  and consider

$$\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} [a_l, b_l] \times [M_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}, M_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}]$$

where  $M_n = \sup_{x \in [-n, n]} |f(x)|$ . We have  $\Gamma_f^n \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} [a_l, b_l] \times [M_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}, M_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}]$  and by monotonicity,

$$|\Gamma_f^n|_e \leq \sum_l (b_l - a_l) \frac{\epsilon}{4} < \epsilon$$

Hence we have  $|\Gamma_f^n|_e = 0$  which implies  $|\Gamma_f|_e = 0$ .  $\square$

- 2.1.39. Given a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , show that  $|E|_e = 0$  if and only if there exists countably many boxes  $Q_k$  such that  $\sum |Q_k| < \infty$  and each point  $x \in E$  belongs to infinitely many  $Q_k$ .

Proof. Suppose  $|E|_e = 0$ , then  $\forall n \geq 1$ , there exists  $\{Q_k^n\}$  boxes such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k^n$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q_k^n| < \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Notice that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_k^n$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Q_k^n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} < \infty$ . For all  $n$ , let  $x \in E$ , then there exists some  $k_n$  such that  $x \in Q_{k_n}^n$ , hence  $x$  is an element of infinitely many  $Q_k$ .

Suppose  $\exists \{Q_n\}$  such that  $\sum |Q_k| < \infty$  and each point  $x \in E$  belongs to infinitely many  $Q_k$ , then we have  $E \subseteq \limsup Q_k$  and since  $\sum |Q_k| < \infty$  we have  $|\limsup Q_k| = 0$ , therefore  $|E|_e = 0$ .  $\square$

- 2.2.34. Let  $S_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = r\}$  be the sphere of radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  centered at the origin. Prove that  $|S_r| = 0$ .

Proof.  $\forall k \geq 1$ , divide  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into sides of length  $\frac{1}{k}$ , then there exists finitely many such sides, say  $\{Q_l^k\}_{l=1}^{N_k}$ . Notice that  $S_r \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^{N_k} Q_l^k$  and  $N_k = Ck^{d-1}$  for some constant  $C$ . Then we have

$$|S_r| \leq Ck^{d-1} \cdot \frac{1}{k^d} = \frac{C}{k}$$

which implies  $|S_r| = 0$ .  $\square$

- 2.2.37

Proof.  $a$  implies  $b$ : Suppose  $E$  is Lebesgue measurable, then there exists an open set  $U \supset E$  such that  $|U \setminus E|_e \leq \epsilon/2$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Also, then there exists a closed set  $F \supset E$  such that  $|U \setminus F|_e \leq \epsilon/2$ . Therefore,  $F \subset E \subset U$  and  $|U \setminus F| = \epsilon$ .

$b$  implies  $c$ : By assumption, we can construct a sequence of closed sets  $F_k \subset E$  and a sequence of open sets  $U_k \supset E$  and  $F_k \subset E \subset U_k$  for all  $k$  such that  $|U_k \setminus F_k| < 1/k$ . Now consider  $G_\delta$  set  $G = \bigcap U_k$  and  $F_\sigma$  set  $H = \bigcup F_k$ . We have  $|G \setminus H| \leq |U_k \setminus F_k| < \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k$  grows.

$c$  implies  $a$ : Notice that  $H \subset E$ , which implies  $G \setminus E \subset G \setminus H$  and by monotonicity we have  $|G \setminus E| = 0$ . Therefore  $E$  differs from a  $G_\delta$  set with a set of measure 0, hence  $E$  is measurable.  $\square$

• 2.2.44

Proof. Assume  $A$  and  $B$  are measurable, then by assumption  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $E = A \cup B$  implies  $|E| = |A| + |B| = |A|_e + |B|_e$ .

Assume  $|E| = |A|_e + |B|_e$ , then there exist  $G_\delta$  sets  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $A \subset G$ ,  $B \subset H$  and  $|A|_e = |G|$ ,  $|B|_e = |H|$ . Also,  $\exists K \supset E$  such that  $K$  is a  $G_\delta$  set and  $|K \setminus E| = 0$ . Consider  $G_1 = G \cap K$  and  $H_1 = H \cap K$ , notice that they are both  $G_\delta$  sets and

$$G_1 = G \cap K \supset A \cap E = A$$

Therefore,

$$G_1 \setminus A \subset (G_1 \setminus A) \cup (H_1 \setminus B) = (G_1 \cup H_1) \setminus E \subset K \setminus E$$

Hence we have

$$|G_1 \setminus A|_e \leq |K \setminus E| = 0$$

We conclude that  $A$  is measurable. Following a similar argument we can conclude  $B$  is also measurable.  $\square$

• 2.2.50

Proof.

(1) Since  $\emptyset$  is countable trivially, we have  $\emptyset \in \Sigma$  and  $X \in \Sigma$ .

(2) Suppose  $E \in \Sigma$ , then either  $E$  or  $E^c$  is countable, therefore  $E^c \in \Sigma$ .

(3) Suppose  $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma$ , if  $\forall k \geq 1$ ,  $E_k$  is countable, then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  is also countable, hence  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in \Sigma$ . If  $\exists k_0$  such that  $E_{k_0}^c$  is countable, then  $(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k)^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^c \subset E_{k_0}^c$  is countable.

Hence,  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.  $\square$