

Hw 2

1) Reflexive: $x = (x_0, y_0)$ then $x_0^2 + y_0^2 = x_0^2 + y_0^2$ so $x \sim x$
 Symmetric: $x = (x_0, y_0)$ $y = (x_1, y_1)$ $x \sim y$ so
 $x_0^2 + y_0^2 = x_1^2 + y_1^2 \rightarrow y_1^2 + x_1^2 = y_0^2 + x_0^2$ so $y \sim x$

Transitive: $x = (x_0, y_0)$ $y = (x_1, y_1)$ $z = (x_2, y_2)$
 $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$ so:
 $x_0^2 + y_0^2 = x_1^2 + y_1^2$ $x_1^2 + y_1^2 = x_2^2 + y_2^2$ so
 $x_0^2 + y_0^2 = x_2^2 + y_2^2$ and $x \sim z$

This equivalence class is set of circles centered at $(0,0)$ w/ radius $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$

2) There isn't necessarily a b s.t. $a \sim b$
 so it doesn't hold.

3a) Reflexive: $f(x_1) = f(x_1)$ so $x_1 \sim x_1$
 Symmetric: let $x_1 \sim x_2$ $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \rightarrow f(x_2) = f(x_1)$
 so $x_2 \sim x_1$

transitive: Let $x_0 \sim x_1$ and $x_1 \sim x_2$ so
 $f(x_0) = f(x_1)$ $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ so
 $f(x_0) = f(x_2)$ and $x_0 \sim x_2$

Therefore, this is an equivalence relation.

b) Let $f_2: X/\sim \rightarrow Y$
 $[x] \mapsto f_2([x])$

Since all elements in an equivalence class have the same image, it is well defined.

To show injective, let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ then $x_1 \sim x_2$
 If $f_2([x_1]) = f_2([x_2])$ then $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ so $x_1 \sim x_2$
 meaning $[x_1] = [x_2]$ and f_2 is injective function.

To show surjective let $g: X \rightarrow X/\sim$
 $x \mapsto [x]$

From earlier, $f(x) = f_2(g(x))$.

By assumption, $f(x)$ is surjective, meaning that f_a must be surjective. as shown back in HW 1s. Since f_a is surjective and injective, a bijective correspondence exists.

4 Comparability: $x \neq y$ then $x \succ y$ or $y \succ x$
WLOG $x < y$ so $x^2 < y^2$, but if $x^2 = y^2$ then $x < y$ as $x \neq y$.

Antireflexivity: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x \not\succ x, x^2 = x^2$ and $x = x$
So $x \not\succ x$.

Transitivity: $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ if $x \succ y$ and $y \succ z$ then $x \succ z$

Since $x \succ y, x^2 < y^2$ or if $x^2 = y^2, x < y$

Since $y \succ z, y^2 < z^2$ or if $y^2 = z^2, y < z$.

If $x^2 \neq y^2 \neq z^2$ then $x^2 < y^2 < z^2 \rightarrow x^2 < z^2$ so $x \succ z$

If $x^2 = y^2 \neq z^2$ WLOG, $x^2 = y^2$ but $x < y$ and $y^2 < z^2$
 $x^2 = y^2 < z^2$ so $x^2 < z^2$ so $x \succ z$.

If $x^2 = y^2 = z^2$ then $x < y$ and $y < z$ so
 $x < y < z \rightarrow x < z$ and $x \succ z$

Therefore \succ is an order relation.

5) Let $L = \{l \mid l \text{ is a lower bound of } A\}$

Denote $\sup L = b$ and $\forall l \in L, l \leq b$.

Let $U = \{u \mid u \text{ is an upper bound of } L\}$

$A \subseteq U$ as $\forall a \in A$ and $\forall l \in L, l \leq a$ as

l is a lower bound on A so a is an upper bound on L . So, $\forall u \in U, b \leq u$ and $b \leq a$, so $b = \max L$ meaning $b = \inf A$.

6a) Let $b \in B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$

Since $B_1 \subseteq A_1, B_2 \subseteq A_2, \dots$ then $b_1 \in B_1 \subseteq A_1$

$b_1 \in B_2 \subseteq A_2, \dots$ and $b_1 \in A_1, b_2 \in A_2, \dots$

So $b \in A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots = A$ and $B \subseteq A$

b) Let $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in B$ and each B_i is nonempty.

Since $B \subseteq A$, then $b \in A$, so $b_1 \in A_1, b_2 \in A_2, \dots$. But $b_i \in B_i$ and so $b_i \in B_i$ is the stronger restriction and $B_i \subseteq A_i$. Otherwise, if $A_i \subseteq B_i$ then $\exists b \in B_i$ s.t. $b \notin A_i$ which doesn't work as $B \subseteq A$. Therefore, the converse holds.

c) If A is nonempty then no A_i can be empty. If $\exists A_i$ s.t. $A_i = \emptyset$, then $A = \emptyset$ as for any $A \times B$, if $B = \emptyset$ then $A \times \emptyset = \emptyset$. Therefore no A_i can be empty if A is nonempty.

The converse, if each A_i is nonempty then A is nonempty holds. The contrapositive, if A is empty then $\exists A_i$ that is empty holds, as discussed previously, so the original statement, the converse, holds.

d) $(A_1 \cup B_1) \times (A_2 \cup B_2) \times \dots \supseteq A \cup B$
Note this as $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cup B_i) \supseteq A \cup B$

Note the Cartesian product is countable, so can use induction to show that $B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots$
 $A \cup B = (A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots) \cup (B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots)$

But $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cup B_i) = (A_1 \cup B_1) \times (A_2 \cup B_2) \times \dots$

By properties of Cartesian product for $n=2$: $(A_1 \times A_2) \cup (A_1 \times B_2) \cup (B_1 \times A_2) \cup (B_1 \times B_2) \times \dots$

Then this becomes all permutations of A_i and B_i as add more terms so $= (A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots) \cup (A_1 \times B_2 \times \dots)$ and so on, but $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots$ and $B = B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots$ are in this set so $A \cup B \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cup B_i)$

Note this holds if A or B is empty
 as wlog A is empty $A \cup B = B$ but $\exists A_i$ s.t.
 $A_i \cup B_i = B_i$, you still have the rest of the
 product. Note if $B_i = \emptyset$ this still holds as then $B = \emptyset$
 and $\emptyset \supseteq \emptyset$

For $\prod (A_i \cap B_i) \subseteq A \cap B$

Using a similar argument as earlier, for an i

$$\prod (A_i \cap B_i) = \underbrace{(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_i)}_A \cap \underbrace{(A_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_i)}_B$$

But the intersection restricts
 so $\prod (A_i \cap B_i) \subseteq A \cap B$

This holds regardless if A or B is empty
 as if an A_i is empty then $A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$ so $\prod (A_i \cap B_i) = \emptyset$
 and $A = \emptyset$ so $A \cap B = \emptyset$.