

Name: Solutions

Student ID: _____

Practice Midterm

Problem 1

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the following distribution with PDF

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 < \theta < \infty$$

- Evaluate $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$, the maximum likelihood estimator of θ .
- Evaluate the Fisher information for this model.
- Determine the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$.
- Find a sufficient statistic for θ . Can we argue that $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is sufficient as well? Why or why not?

$$a. f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\theta x_i^{\theta-1}) = \theta^n (\prod_i x_i)^{\theta-1} = L(\theta)$$

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = n \log \theta + (\theta-1) \log (\prod_i x_i) \\ = n \log \theta + (\theta-1) \sum_i \log x_i$$

Extrema occur when $\ell'(\theta) = 0$.

$$\ell'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_i \log x_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{-n}{\sum_i \log x_i}$$

Check max:

$$\ell''(\hat{\theta}) = \left(\frac{-n}{\sum_i \log x_i} \right)^2 = -\frac{(\sum_i \log x_i)^2}{n} < 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{L. } I(\theta) &= -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_i|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) \\
 &= -E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (\log \theta + (\theta-1)x)\right) \\
 &= -E\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + x\right)\right) \\
 &= -E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^2}\right) = E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Sample:

$$I_n(\theta) = n I(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

C. MLEs have asymptotic distributions in the form:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sim N(0, \frac{1}{I_n(\theta)})$$

So:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \sim N(0, \frac{\theta^2}{n})$$

$$\textcircled{O} \quad \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \sim N(\theta, \theta^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{d. Factor } f(x|\theta) = \theta^n (\pi_i x_i)^{\theta-1} \text{ into } u(x) = 1 \\
 v(T, \theta) = \theta^n (\pi_i x_i)^{\theta-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The only way the data comes in is through $T(x) = \pi_i x_i$

$\therefore T(x) = \pi_i x_i$ is sufficient by factorization theorem.

Notice the MLE

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{-n}{\sum \log x_i} = \frac{-n}{\log(\pi_i x_i)} = \frac{-n}{\log(T)} = g(T)$$

where $g(t) = \frac{-n}{\log(t)}$ is 1:1.

A 1:1 function of a sufficient statistic is still sufficient, so yes.

Problem 2

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution.

- Find method of moments estimators for α and β .
- If β is known to be β_0 , find a sufficient statistic for α .
- If α is known to be α_0 , find a sufficient statistic for β .
- If α and β are both unknown, find jointly sufficient statistics for the pair of them.

a. 2 unknowns \Rightarrow need 2 equations.

Set $E(X) = \bar{X}$ (1st moments)

& $E(X^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ (2nd moments)

We know (table) that:
 $E(X) = \alpha/\beta \Rightarrow \therefore \text{Set: } \alpha/\beta = \bar{X} \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta\bar{X}$ ①

Also:

$$E(X^2) = \text{Var}(X) + E^2(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{\alpha + \alpha^2}{\beta^2}$$

$\therefore \text{Set}$

$$\frac{\alpha + \alpha^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^2 \quad ②$$

Solve ① & ② together:

$$\frac{\alpha + \alpha^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{(\beta\bar{X}) + (\beta\bar{X})^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\beta} + \bar{X}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{X} = \beta \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \bar{X} / \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 \right)$$

$$\text{Also } \alpha = \beta\bar{X} \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \hat{\beta}\bar{X} = \left[\bar{X}^2 / \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 \right) \right] = \hat{\alpha}$$

$$b. f(x|\alpha) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot (\pi_i x_i)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_i x_i}$$

Factor: $u(x) = e^{-\beta \sum_i x_i}$

$$v(T, \alpha) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{(\pi_i x_i)^{\alpha-1}}{\prod_i \pi_i x_i}$$

$T(x) = \pi_i x_i$ is sufficient by factorization.

$$c. f(x|\beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha_0}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \cdot (\pi_i x_i)^{\alpha_0-1} e^{-\beta \sum_i x_i}$$

Factor: $u(x) = \frac{(\pi_i x_i)^{\alpha_0-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)}$

$$v(T, \beta) = \beta^{\alpha_0} \cdot e^{-\beta \sum_i x_i} \Rightarrow T(x) = \sum_i x_i \text{ is suff. by facto.}$$

$$d. f(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\pi_i x_i)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_i x_i}$$

Factor: $u(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_i \pi_i x_i}$

$$v(T, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\pi_i x_i)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_i x_i}$$

$\begin{cases} T_1(x) = \pi_i x_i \\ T_2(x) = \sum_i x_i \end{cases}$ are jointly suff. by facto.

Problem 3

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ distribution.

- Find a method of moment estimator of λ .
- Find a method of moment estimator of λ based off of the second moment. (Hint: equations of the form $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ can be solved with the quadratic formula: $x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$.)
- Assume now that we take a Bayesian perspective and suppose that the prior distribution of λ is $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$. Find the posterior distribution of λ given X_1, \dots, X_n .
- Calculate the posterior mean.
- Set up (but do not solve) an equation for the posterior median.

$$a. E(X) \stackrel{!}{=} \bar{x}$$

$$\text{For Poisson} \dots E(X) = \lambda \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{\text{mom}} = \bar{x}$$

$$b. E(X^2) \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i = m_2$$

call this

$$E(X^2) = \text{Var}(X) + E^2(X) = \lambda + \lambda^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E(X^2) = \lambda^2 + \lambda \stackrel{!}{=} m_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}^2 + \hat{\lambda} - m_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Quad. Eq: } \hat{\lambda} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4(1)(m_2)}}{2}$$

$$\lambda > 0 \Rightarrow \text{positive root} \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{4m_2 + 1}}{2}$$

(only positive if $m_2 > 0$)

$$c. \bar{\xi}(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}$$

$$f(x|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i}}{(x_1 x_2 \dots)^{x_i}}$$

Posterior pdf:

$$\bar{\xi}(\lambda|x) = \frac{\bar{\xi}(\lambda) f(x|\lambda)}{f(x)} = \frac{\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \left(\frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i}}{(x_1 x_2 \dots)^{x_i}} \right)}{f(x)}$$

$$= k \lambda^{\alpha + \sum x_i - 1} e^{-(\beta + n)\lambda}$$

\rightarrow P kernel $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + \sum x_i, \tilde{\beta} = \beta + n$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda|x \sim P(\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + \sum x_i, \tilde{\beta} = \beta + n)$$

$$d. E(\lambda|x) \stackrel{(table)}{=} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} = \frac{\alpha + \sum x_i}{\beta + n}$$

e. Posterior median is M such that:

$$\int_0^M \frac{(\beta + n)^{\alpha + \sum x_i}}{P(\alpha + \sum x_i)} \lambda^{\alpha + \sum x_i - 1} e^{-(\beta + n)\lambda} d\lambda = 1/2.$$

Problem 4

Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from the Bernoulli(p) distribution.

- Find the maximum likelihood estimator for p and call it \hat{p} . Show that it is unbiased and calculate its variance.
- Compute the Fisher Information for p . Does the MLE attain the Cramér-Rao lower bound?
- Consider the adjusted estimator for the proportion:

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + 1}{n+2}.$$

Calculate the bias of this estimator and show that \tilde{p} is unbiased if and only if $p = 0.5$.

- Calculate $MSE(\hat{p})$ and $MSE(\tilde{p})$.
- Suppose that the true value of p is 0.1. Which estimator performs better in terms of MSE? What about if the true value of p is 0.5? (Hint: you can choose a specific value for n and compare numerically.)

$$a. f(x|p) = p^{\sum_i x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum_i x_i} = \mathcal{L}(p)$$

$$\ell(p) = \sum_i x_i \log p + (n - \sum_i x_i) \log(1-p)$$

$$\ell'(p) = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{p} + \frac{(n - \sum_i x_i)}{1-p} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\sum_i x_i}{p} = \frac{n - \sum_i x_i}{1-p} \Rightarrow \sum_i x_i - p \cancel{\sum_i x_i} = np - p \cancel{\sum_i x_i} \quad \text{Check:} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_i x_i = np \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{n}} \quad \ell''(\hat{p}) = -\frac{\sum_i x_i}{\hat{p}^2} - \frac{n - \sum_i x_i}{(1-\hat{p})^2} < 0$$

$$\text{bias}(\hat{p}) = E(\hat{p}) - p$$

$$E(\hat{p}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i E(x_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i p = \frac{np}{n} = p.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{bias}(\hat{p}) = p - p = 0. \Rightarrow \text{unbiased.}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{p}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i \text{Var}(x_i) = \frac{np(1-p)}{n^2} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

$$b. I(p) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_i|p)}{\partial p^2}\right)$$

$$\log f(x_i|p) = m(p) = x_i \log p + (1-x_i) \log(1-p)$$

$$m'(p) = \frac{x_i}{p} - \frac{1-x_i}{1-p}$$

$$m''(p) = \frac{-x_i}{p^2} - \frac{(1-x_i)}{(1-p)^2}$$

$$I(p) = -E(m''(p)) = E\left(\frac{x_i}{p^2} + \frac{1-x_i}{(1-p)^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{E(x_i)}{p^2} + \frac{1-E(x_i)}{(1-p)^2} = \frac{p}{p^2} + \frac{1-p}{(1-p)^2} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{1-p}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p(1-p)}$$

$$I_n(p) = n I(p) = \frac{n}{p(1-p)}.$$

CRLB:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{p}) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{m'(p)}{I_n(p)} ;$$

LHS:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} \quad \text{From part a.}$$

$$\text{RHS: } m(p) = E(\hat{p}) = p \Rightarrow \frac{m'(p)}{I_n(p)} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{p(1-p)}} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

LHS = RHS \Rightarrow $\text{Var}(\hat{p})$ attains the CRLB & is efficient
 (left hand side) (right " ")

Problem 5

Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from the following

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{3}{\theta^3}x^2 \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \theta$$

- a. Show that the expected value of X_1 is $\frac{3}{4}\theta$.
- b. Find a method of moments estimator of θ .
- c. Is it possible for us to calculate Fisher Information for this sample? If not, which of the assumptions are violated and how?

$$\text{a. } E(X_1) = \int_0^\theta x \cdot f(x) dx = \int_0^\theta x \cdot \frac{3}{\theta^3} x^2 dx = \frac{3}{\theta^3} \left. \frac{x^4}{4} \right|_0^\theta = \frac{3\theta}{4}$$

$$\text{b. } E(L(\theta)) = \frac{3}{4}\theta \stackrel{!}{=} \bar{X}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{4}{3}\bar{X}$$

c. Support depends on θ !

That violates a Fisher Inf. assumption \Rightarrow cannot calculate $I(\theta)$.