

**Proof** Let  $G$  have a basis  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}$  for  $r$  factors. Let  $2G = \{2g \mid g \in G\}$ . It is readily checked that  $2G$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}$  for  $r$  factors, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G/2G &\cong (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z})/(2\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times 2\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $r$  factors. Thus  $|G/2G| = 2^r$ , so the number of elements in any finite basis  $X$  is  $\log_2 |G/2G|$ . Thus any two finite bases have the same number of elements.

It remains to show that  $G$  cannot also have an infinite basis. Let  $Y$  be any basis for  $G$ , and let  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s\}$  be distinct elements in  $Y$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s\}$ , and let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the remaining elements of  $Y$ . It is readily checked that  $G \cong H \times K$ , so

$$G/2G \cong (H \times K)/(2H \times 2K) \cong (H/2H) \times (K/2K).$$

Since  $|H/2H| = 2^s$ , we see  $|G/2G| \geq 2^s$ . Since we have  $|G/2G| = 2^r$ , we see that  $s \leq r$ . Then  $Y$  cannot be an infinite set, for we could take  $s > r$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**19.7 Definition** If  $G$  is a free abelian group, the **rank** of  $G$  is the number of elements in a basis for  $G$ . (All bases have the same number of elements.)  $\blacksquare$

### Proof of the Fundamental Theorem

We shall prove the Invariant Factor version of the Fundamental Theorem (Theorem 9.14) by showing that any finitely generated abelian group is isomorphic to a factor group of the form

$$(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z})/(d_1\mathbb{Z} \times d_2\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times d_s\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\} \times \dots \times \{0\}),$$

where both “numerator” and “denominator” have  $n$  factors, and  $d_1$  divides  $d_2$ , which divides  $d_3 \dots$ , which divides  $d_s$ . The Prime Factor version, Theorem 9.12, will then follow.

To show that  $G$  is isomorphic to such a factor group, we will show that there is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}$  onto  $G$  with kernel of the form  $d_1\mathbb{Z} \times d_2\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times d_s\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\} \times \dots \times \{0\}$ . The result will then follow by Theorem 12.14. The theorems that follow give the details of the argument. Our purpose in these introductory paragraphs is to let us see where we are going as we read what follows.

**19.8 Theorem** Let  $G$  be a finitely generated abelian group with generating set  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ . Let

$$\phi : \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}}_{n \text{ factors}} \rightarrow G$$

be defined by  $\phi(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) = h_1a_1 + h_2a_2 + \dots + h_na_n$ . Then  $\phi$  is a homomorphism onto  $G$ .

**Proof** From the meaning of  $h_i a_i$  for  $h_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_i \in G$ , we see at once that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[(h_1, \dots, h_n) + (k_1, \dots, k_n)] &= \phi(h_1 + k_1, \dots, h_n + k_n) \\ &= (h_1 + k_1)a_1 + \dots + (h_n + k_n)a_n \\ &= (h_1a_1 + k_1a_1) + \dots + (h_n a_n + k_n a_n) \\ &= (h_1a_1 + \dots + h_n a_n) + (k_1a_1 + \dots + k_n a_n) \\ &= \phi(h_1, \dots, h_n) + \phi(k_1, \dots, k_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  generates  $G$ , clearly the homomorphism  $\phi$  is onto  $G$ .  $\blacklozenge$

We now prove a “replacement property” that makes it possible for us to adjust a basis.

**19.9 Theorem** If  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  is a basis for a free abelian group  $G$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then for  $i \neq j$ , the set

$$Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + tx_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_r\}$$

is also a basis for  $G$ .

**Proof** Since  $x_j = (-t)x_i + (1)(x_j + tx_i)$ , we see that  $x_j$  can be recovered from  $Y$ , which thus also generates  $G$ . Suppose

$$n_1x_1 + \dots + n_{j-1}x_{j-1} + n_j(x_j + tx_i) + n_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + n_rx_r = 0.$$

Then

$$n_1x_1 + \dots + (n_i + n_jt)x_i + \dots + n_jx_j + \dots + n_rx_r = 0.$$

and since  $X$  is a basis,  $n_1 = \dots = n_i = n_jt = \dots = n_j = \dots = n_r = 0$ . From  $n_j = 0$  and  $n_i + n_jt = 0$ , it follows that  $n_i = 0$  also, so  $n_1 = \dots = n_i = \dots = n_j = \dots = n_r = 0$ , and Condition 2 of Theorem 19.1 is satisfied. Thus  $Y$  is a basis.  $\blacklozenge$

**19.10 Example** A basis for  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is  $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ . Another basis is  $\{(1, 0), (4, 1)\}$  for  $(4, 1) = 4(1, 0) + (0, 1)$ . However,  $\{(3, 0), (0, 1)\}$  is not a basis. For example, we cannot express  $(2, 0)$  in the form  $n_1(3, 0) + n_2(0, 1)$ , for  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $(3, 0) = (1, 0) + 2(1, 0)$ , and a multiple of a basis element was added to *itself*, rather than to a *different* basis element.  $\blacktriangle$

A free abelian group  $G$  of finite rank may have many bases. We show that if  $K \leq G$ , then  $K$  is also free abelian with rank not exceeding that of  $G$ . Equally important, there exist bases of  $G$  and  $K$  nicely related to each other.

**19.11 Theorem** Let  $G$  be a nonzero free abelian group of finite rank  $n$ , and let  $K$  be a nonzero subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $K$  is free abelian of rank  $s \leq n$ . Furthermore, there exists a basis  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  for  $G$  and positive integers,  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s$  where  $d_i$  divides  $d_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ , such that  $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_sx_s\}$  is a basis for  $K$ .

**Proof** We show that  $K$  has a basis of the described form, which will show that  $K$  is free abelian of rank at most  $n$ . Suppose  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is a basis for  $G$ . All nonzero elements in  $K$  can be expressed in the form

$$k_1y_1 + \dots + k_ny_n,$$

where some  $|k_i|$  is nonzero. Among all bases  $Y$  for  $G$ , select one  $Y_1$  that yields the minimal such nonzero value  $|k_i|$  as all nonzero elements of  $K$  are written in terms of the basis elements in  $Y_1$ . By renumbering the elements of  $Y_1$  if necessary, we can assume there is  $w_1 \in K$  such that

$$w_1 = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$$

where  $d_1 > 0$  and  $d_1$  is the minimal attainable coefficient as just described. Using the division algorithm, we write  $k_j = d_1q_j + r_j$  where  $0 \leq r_j < d_1$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$w_1 = d_1(y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n) + r_2y_2 + \dots + r_ny_n. \quad (1)$$

Now let  $x_1 = y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n$ . By Theorem 19.9  $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is also a basis for  $G$ . From Eq. (1) and our choice of  $Y_1$  for minimal coefficient  $d_1$ , we see that  $r_2 = \dots = r_n = 0$ . Thus  $d_1x_1 \in K$ .

We now consider bases for  $G$  of the form  $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ . Each element of  $K$  can be expressed in the form

$$h_1x_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n.$$