

We want to say a bit more about the special alternating  $r$ -linear forms  $D_J$ , which we associated with a basis  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  for  $V^*$  in (5-39). It is important to understand that  $D_J(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  is the determinant of a certain  $r \times r$  matrix. If

$$A_{ij} = f_j(\alpha_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

that is, if

$$\alpha_i = A_{i1}\beta_1 + \dots + A_{in}\beta_n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r$$

and  $J$  is the  $r$ -shuffle  $(j_1, \dots, j_r)$ , then

$$(5-42) \quad D_J(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = \sum_{\sigma} (\text{sgn } \sigma) A(1, j_{\sigma 1}) \cdots A(n, j_{\sigma n}) \\ = \det \begin{bmatrix} A(1, j_1) & \cdots & A(1, j_r) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A(r, j_1) & \cdots & A(r, j_r) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus  $D_J(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  is the determinant of the  $r \times r$  matrix formed from columns  $j_1, \dots, j_r$  of the  $r \times n$  matrix which has (the coordinate  $n$ -tuples of)  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  as its rows. Another notation which is sometimes used for this determinant is

$$(5-43) \quad D_J(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = \frac{\partial(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)}{\partial(\beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_r})}.$$

In this notation, the proof of Theorem 7 shows that every alternating  $r$ -linear form  $L$  can be expressed relative to a basis  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  by the equation

$$(5-44) \quad L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r} \frac{\partial(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)}{\partial(\beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_r})} L(\beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_r}).$$

## 5.7. The Grassman Ring

Many of the important properties of determinants and alternating multilinear forms are best described in terms of a multiplication operation on forms, called the exterior product. If  $L$  and  $M$  are, respectively, alternating  $r$  and  $s$ -linear forms on the module  $V$ , we have an associated product of  $L$  and  $M$ , the tensor product  $L \otimes M$ . This is not an alternating form unless  $L = 0$  or  $M = 0$ ; however, we have a natural way of projecting it into  $\Delta^{r+s}(V)$ . It appears that

$$(5-45) \quad L \cdot M = \pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M)$$

should be the ‘natural’ multiplication of alternating forms. But, is it?

Let us take a specific example. Suppose that  $V$  is the module  $K^n$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are the standard coordinate functions on  $K^n$ . If  $i \neq j$ , then

$$f_i \cdot f_j = \pi_2(f_i \otimes f_j)$$

is the (determinant) function

$$D_{ij} = f_i \otimes f_j - f_j \otimes f_i$$

given by (5-39). Now suppose  $k$  is an index different from  $i$  and  $j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij} \cdot f_k &= \pi_3[(f_i \otimes f_j - f_j \otimes f_i) \otimes f_k] \\ &= \pi_3(f_i \otimes f_j \otimes f_k) - \pi_3(f_j \otimes f_i \otimes f_k). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma following equation (5-36) shows that for any  $r$ -linear form  $L$  and any permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$

$$\pi_r(L_\sigma) = \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \pi_r(L)$$

Hence,  $D_{ij} \cdot f_k = 2\pi_3(f_i \otimes f_j \otimes f_k)$ . By a similar computation,  $f_i \cdot D_{jk} = 2\pi_3(f_i \otimes f_j \otimes f_k)$ . Thus we have

$$(f_i \cdot f_j) \cdot f_k = f_i \cdot (f_j \cdot f_k)$$

and all of this looks very promising. But there is a catch. Despite the computation that we have just completed, the putative multiplication in (5-45) is not associative. In fact, if  $l$  is an index different from  $i, j, k$ , then one can calculate that

$$D_{ij} \cdot D_{kl} = 4\pi_4(f_i \otimes f_j \otimes f_k \otimes f_l)$$

and that

$$(D_{ij} \cdot f_k) \cdot f_l = 6\pi_4(f_i \otimes f_j \otimes f_k \otimes f_l).$$

Thus, in general

$$(f_i \cdot f_j) \cdot (f_k \cdot f_l) \neq [(f_i \cdot f_j) \cdot f_k] \cdot f_l$$

and we see that our first attempt to find a multiplication has produced a non-associative operation.

The reader should not be surprised if he finds it rather tedious to give a direct verification of the two equations showing non-associativity. This is typical of the subject, and it is also typical that there is a general fact which considerably simplifies the work.

Suppose  $L$  is an  $r$ -linear form and that  $M$  is an  $s$ -linear form on the module  $V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{r+s}((\pi_r L) \otimes (\pi_s M)) &= \pi_{r+s}(\sum_{\sigma, \tau} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma)(\operatorname{sgn} \tau) L_\sigma \otimes M_\tau) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma, \tau} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma)(\operatorname{sgn} \tau) \pi_{r+s}(L_\sigma \otimes M_\tau) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  varies over the symmetric group,  $S_r$ , of all permutations of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ , and  $\tau$  varies over  $S_s$ . Each pair  $\sigma, \tau$  defines an element  $(\sigma, \tau)$  of  $S_{r+s}$  which permutes the first  $r$  elements of  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$  according to  $\sigma$  and the last  $s$  elements according to  $\tau$ . It is clear that

$$\operatorname{sgn} (\sigma, \tau) = (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma)(\operatorname{sgn} \tau)$$

and that

$$(L \otimes M)_{(\sigma, \tau)} = L_\sigma \otimes M_\tau.$$

Therefore

$$\pi_{r+s}[(\pi_r L) \otimes (\pi_s M)] = \sum_{\sigma, \tau} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma, \tau) \pi_{r+s}[(L \otimes M)_{(\sigma, \tau)}].$$

Now we have already observed that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma, \tau) \pi_{r+s}[(L \otimes M)_{(\sigma, \tau)}] = \pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M).$$

Thus, it follows that

$$(5-46) \quad \pi_{r+s}[(\pi_r L) \otimes (\pi_s M)] = r!s! \pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M).$$

This formula simplifies a number of computations. For example, suppose we have an  $r$ -shuffle  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$  and  $s$ -shuffle  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$ . To make things simple, assume, in addition, that

$$i_1 < \dots < i_r < j_1 < \dots < j_s.$$

Then we have the associated determinant functions

$$D_I = \pi_r(E_I)$$

$$D_J = \pi_s(E_J)$$

where  $E_I$  and  $E_J$  are given by (5-30). Using (5-46), we see immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} D_I \cdot D_J &= \pi_{r+s}[\pi_r(E_I) \otimes \pi_s(E_J)] \\ &= r!s! \pi_{r+s}(E_I \otimes E_J). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E_I \otimes E_J = E_{I \cup J}$ , it follows that

$$D_I \cdot D_J = r!s! D_{I \cup J}.$$

This suggests that the lack of associativity for the multiplication (5-45) results from the fact that  $D_I \cdot D_J \neq D_{I \cup J}$ . After all, the product of  $D_I$  and  $D_J$  ought to be  $D_{I \cup J}$ . To repair the situation, we should define a new product, the **exterior product** (or **wedge product**) of an alternating  $r$ -linear form  $L$  and an alternating  $s$ -linear form  $M$  by

$$(5-47) \quad L \wedge M = \frac{1}{r!s!} \pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M).$$

We then have

$$D_I \wedge D_J = D_{I \cup J}$$

for the determinant functions on  $K^n$ , and, if there is any justice at all, we must have found the proper multiplication of alternating multilinear forms. Unfortunately, (5-47) fails to make sense for the most general case under consideration, since we may not be able to divide by  $r!s!$  in the ring  $K$ . If  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero, then (5-47) is meaningful, and one can proceed quite rapidly to show that the wedge product is associative.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero and  $V$  a vector space over  $K$ . Then the exterior product is an associative operation on the alternating multilinear forms on  $V$ . In other words, if  $L$ ,  $M$ , and  $N$  are alternating multilinear forms on  $V$  of degrees  $r$ ,  $s$ , and  $t$ , respectively, then*

$$(L \wedge M) \wedge N = L \wedge (M \wedge N).$$

*Proof.* It follows from (5-47) that  $cd(L \wedge M) = cL \wedge dM$  for any scalars  $c$  and  $d$ . Hence

$$r!s!t![(L \wedge M) \wedge N] = r!s!(L \wedge M) \wedge t!N$$

and since  $\pi_t(N) = t!N$ , it results that

$$\begin{aligned} r!s!t![(L \wedge M) \wedge N] &= \pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M) \wedge \pi_t(N) \\ &= \frac{1}{(r+s)!} \frac{1}{t!} \pi_{r+s+t}[\pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M) \otimes \pi_t(N)]. \end{aligned}$$

From (5-46) we now see that

$$r!s!t![(L \wedge M) \wedge N] = \pi_{r+s+t}(L \otimes M \otimes N).$$

By a similar computation

$$r!s!t![(L \wedge M) \wedge N] = \pi_{r+s+t}(L \otimes M \otimes N)$$

and therefore,  $(L \wedge M) \wedge N = L \wedge (M \wedge N)$ . ■

Now we return to the general case, in which it is only assumed that  $K$  is a commutative ring with identity. Our first problem is to replace (5-47) by an equivalent definition which works in general. If  $L$  and  $M$  are alternating multilinear forms of degrees  $r$  and  $s$  respectively, we shall construct a canonical alternating multilinear form  $L \wedge M$  of degree  $r+s$  such that

$$r!s!(L \wedge M) = \pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M).$$

Let us recall how we define  $\pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M)$ . With each permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$  we associate the multilinear function

$$(5-48) \quad (\text{sgn } \sigma)(L \otimes M)_\sigma$$

where

$$(L \otimes M)_\sigma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+s}) = (L \otimes M)(\alpha_{\sigma 1}, \dots, \alpha_{\sigma(r+s)})$$

and we sum the functions (5-48) over all permutations  $\sigma$ . There are  $(r+s)!$  permutations; however, since  $L$  and  $M$  are alternating, many of the functions (5-48) are the same. In fact there are at most

$$\frac{(r+s)!}{r!s!}$$

distinct functions (5-48). Let us see why. Let  $S_{r+s}$  be the set of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$ , i.e., let  $S_{r+s}$  be the symmetric group of degree  $r+s$ . As in the proof of (5-46), we distinguish the subset  $G$  that consists of the permutations  $\sigma$  which permute the sets  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $\{r+1, \dots, r+s\}$  within themselves. In other words,  $\sigma$  is in  $G$  if  $1 \leq \sigma i \leq r$  for each  $i$  between 1 and  $r$ . (It necessarily follows that  $r+1 \leq \sigma j \leq r+s$  for each  $j$  between  $r+1$  and  $r+s$ .) Now  $G$  is a subgroup of  $S_{r+s}$ , that is, if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are in  $G$  then  $\sigma\tau^{-1}$  is in  $G$ . Evidently  $G$  has  $r!s!$  members.

We have a map

$$S_{r+s} \xrightarrow{\psi} M^{r+s}(V)$$

defined by

$$\psi(\sigma) = (\text{sgn } \sigma)(L \otimes M)_\sigma.$$

Since  $L$  and  $M$  are alternating,

$$\psi(\gamma) = L \otimes M$$

for every  $\gamma$  in  $G$ . Therefore, since  $(N\sigma)\tau = N\tau\sigma$  for any  $(r+s)$ -linear form  $N$  on  $V$ , we have

$$\psi(\tau\gamma) = \psi(\tau), \quad \tau \text{ in } S_{r+s}, \gamma \text{ in } G.$$

This says that the map  $\psi$  is constant on each (left) **coset**  $\tau G$  of the subgroup  $G$ . If  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are in  $S_{r+s}$ , the cosets  $\tau_1 G$  and  $\tau_2 G$  are either identical or disjoint, according as  $\tau_2^{-1} \tau_1$  is in  $G$  or is not in  $G$ . Each coset contains  $r!s!$  elements; hence, there are

$$\frac{(r+s)!}{r!s!}$$

distinct cosets. If  $S_{r+s}/G$  denotes the collection of cosets then  $\psi$  defines a function on  $S_{r+s}/G$ , i.e., by what we have shown, there is a function  $\tilde{\psi}$  on that set so that

$$\psi(\tau) = \tilde{\psi}(\tau G)$$

for every  $\tau$  in  $S_{r+s}$ . If  $H$  is a left coset of  $G$ , then  $\tilde{\psi}(H) = \psi(\tau)$  for every  $\tau$  in  $H$ .

We now define the **exterior product** of the alternating multilinear forms  $L$  and  $M$  of degrees  $r$  and  $s$  by setting

$$(5-49) \quad L \wedge M = \sum_H \tilde{\psi}(H)$$

where  $H$  varies over  $S_{r+s}/G$ . Another way to phrase the definition of  $L \wedge M$  is the following. Let  $S$  be any set of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$  which contains exactly one element from each left coset of  $G$ . Then

$$(5-50) \quad L \wedge M = \sum_\sigma (\text{sgn } \sigma)(L \otimes M)_\sigma$$

where  $\sigma$  varies over  $S$ . Clearly

$$r!s! L \wedge M = \pi_{r+s}(L \otimes M)$$

so that the new definition is equivalent to (5-47) when  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $V$  be a module over  $K$ . Then the exterior product is an associative operation on the alternating multilinear forms on  $V$ . In other words, if  $L$ ,  $M$ , and  $N$  are alternating multilinear forms on  $V$  of degrees  $r$ ,  $s$ , and  $t$ , respectively, then*

$$(L \wedge M) \wedge N = L \wedge (M \wedge N).$$

*Proof.* Although the proof of Theorem 8 does not apply here, it does suggest how to handle the general case. Let  $G(r, s, t)$  be the subgroup of  $S_{r+s+t}$  that consists of the permutations which permute the sets

$$\{1, \dots, r\}, \{r+1, \dots, r+s\}, \{r+s+1, \dots, r+s+t\}$$

within themselves. Then  $(\text{sgn } \mu)(L \otimes M \otimes N)_\mu$  is the same multilinear function for all  $\mu$  in a given left coset of  $G(r, s, t)$ . Choose one element from each left coset of  $G(r, s, t)$ , and let  $E$  be the sum of the corresponding terms  $(\text{sgn } \mu)(L \otimes M \otimes N)_\mu$ . Then  $E$  is independent of the way in which the representatives  $\mu$  are chosen, and

$$r!s!t! E = \pi_{r+s+t}(L \otimes M \otimes N).$$

We shall show that  $(L \wedge M) \wedge N$  and  $L \wedge (M \wedge N)$  are both equal to  $E$ .

Let  $G(r+s, t)$  be the subgroup of  $S_{r+s+t}$  that permutes the sets

$$\{1, \dots, r+s\}, \{r+s+1, \dots, r+s+t\}$$

within themselves. Let  $T$  be any set of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, r+s+t\}$  which contains exactly one element from each left coset of  $G(r+s, t)$ . By (5-50)

$$(L \wedge M) \wedge N = \sum_{\tau} (\text{sgn } \tau)[(L \wedge M) \otimes N]_\tau$$

where the sum is extended over the permutations  $\tau$  in  $T$ . Now let  $G(r, s)$  be the subgroup of  $S_{r+s}$  that permutes the sets

$$\{1, \dots, r\}, \{r+1, \dots, r+s\}$$

within themselves. Let  $S$  be any set of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$  which contains exactly one element from each left coset of  $G(r, s)$ . From (5-50) and what we have shown above, it follows that

$$(L \wedge M) \wedge N = \sum_{\sigma, \tau} (\text{sgn } \sigma)(\text{sgn } \tau)[(L \otimes M)_\sigma \otimes N]_\tau,$$

where the sum is extended over all pairs  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $S \times T$ . If we agree to identify each  $\sigma$  in  $S_{r+s}$  with the element of  $S_{r+s+t}$  which agrees with  $\sigma$  on  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$  and is the identity on  $\{r+s+1, \dots, r+s+t\}$ , then we may write

$$(L \wedge M) \wedge N = \sum_{\sigma, \tau} \text{sgn } (\sigma \tau)[(L \otimes M \otimes N)_\sigma]_\tau.$$

But,

$$[(L \otimes M \otimes N)_\sigma]_\tau = (L \otimes M \otimes N)_{\tau\sigma}.$$

Therefore

$$(L \wedge M) \wedge N = \sum_{\sigma, \tau} \text{sgn } (\tau \sigma)(L \otimes M \otimes N)_{\tau\sigma}.$$

Now suppose we have

$$\tau_1 \sigma_1 = \tau_2 \sigma_2 \gamma$$

with  $\sigma_i$  in  $S$ ,  $\tau_i$  in  $T$ , and  $\gamma$  in  $G(r, s, t)$ . Then  $\tau_2^{-1} \tau_1 = \sigma_2 \gamma \sigma_1^{-1}$ , and since