

Examples

- (1) If we apply this construction to the special case $F = \mathbb{R}$ and $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ then we obtain the field

$$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1)$$

which is an extension of degree 2 of \mathbb{R} in which $x^2 + 1$ has a root. The elements of this field are of the form $a + b\theta$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Addition is defined by

$$(a + b\theta) + (c + d\theta) = (a + c) + (b + d)\theta. \quad (13.2a)$$

To multiply we use the fact that $\theta^2 + 1 = 0$, i.e., $\theta^2 = -1$ in K . (Alternatively, note that -1 is also the remainder when x^2 is divided by $x^2 + 1$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]$.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b\theta)(c + d\theta) &= ac + (ad + bc)\theta + bd\theta^2 \\ &= ac + (ad + bc)\theta + bd(-1) \\ &= (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (13.2b)$$

These are, up to changing θ to i , the formulas for adding and multiplying in \mathbb{C} . Put another way, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ a + bx &\mapsto a + bi \end{aligned}$$

is a homomorphism. Since it is bijective (as a map of vector spaces over the reals, for example), it is an isomorphism. Notice that instead of taking the existence of \mathbb{C} for granted (along with the fairly tedious verification that it is in fact a field), we could have *defined* \mathbb{C} by this isomorphism. Then the fact that it is a field is a consequence of Theorem 4.

- (2) Take now $F = \mathbb{Q}$ to be the field of rational numbers and again take $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ (still irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , of course). Then the same construction, with the same addition and multiplication formulas as (2a) and (2b) above, except that now a and b are elements of \mathbb{Q} , defines a field extension $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ of \mathbb{Q} of degree 2 containing a root i of $x^2 + 1$.
- (3) Take $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $p(x) = x^2 - 2$, irreducible over \mathbb{Q} by Eisenstein's Criterion, for example. Then we obtain a field extension of \mathbb{Q} of degree 2 containing a square root θ of 2, denoted $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. If we denote θ by $\sqrt{2}$, the elements of this field are of the form

$$a + b\sqrt{2}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$$

with addition defined by

$$(a + b\sqrt{2}) + (c + d\sqrt{2}) = (a + c) + (b + d)\sqrt{2}$$

and multiplication defined by

$$(a + b\sqrt{2})(c + d\sqrt{2}) = (ac + 2bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}.$$

- (4) Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $p(x) = x^3 - 2$, irreducible again by Eisenstein. Denoting a root of $p(x)$ by θ , we obtain the field

$$\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 - 2) \cong \{a + b\theta + c\theta^2 \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

with $\theta^3 = 2$, an extension of degree 3. To find the inverse of, say, $1 + \theta$ in this field, we can proceed as follows: By the Euclidean Algorithm in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ there are polynomials $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ with

$$a(x)(1 + x) + b(x)(x^3 - 2) = 1$$

(since $p(x) = x^3 - 2$ is irreducible, it is relatively prime to every polynomial of smaller degree). In the quotient field this equation implies that $a(\theta)$ is the inverse of $1 + \theta$. In this case, a simple computation shows that we can take $a(x) = \frac{1}{3}(x^2 - x + 1)$ (and $b(x) = -\frac{1}{3}$), so that

$$(1 + \theta)^{-1} = \frac{\theta^2 - \theta + 1}{3}.$$

- (5) In general, if $\theta \in K$ is a root of the irreducible polynomial

$$p(x) = p_n x^n + p_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + p_1 x + p_0$$

we can compute $\theta^{-1} \in K$ from

$$\theta(p_n \theta^{n-1} + p_{n-1} \theta^{n-2} + \cdots + p_1) = -p_0$$

namely

$$\theta^{-1} = \frac{-1}{p_0}(p_n \theta^{n-1} + p_{n-1} \theta^{n-2} + \cdots + p_1) \in K$$

(note that $p_0 \neq 0$ since $p(x)$ is irreducible).

Remark: Determining inverses in extensions of this type may be familiar from elementary algebra in the case of \mathbb{C} or Example 3 under the name “rationalizing denominators.” The last two examples indicates a procedure which is much more general than the ad hoc procedures of elementary algebra.

- (6) Take $F = \mathbb{F}_2$, the finite field with two elements, and $p(x) = x^2 + x + 1$, which we have previously checked is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_2 . Here we obtain a degree 2 extension of \mathbb{F}_2

$$\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2 + x + 1) \cong \{a + b\theta \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$$

where $\theta^2 = -\theta - 1 = \theta + 1$. Multiplication in this field $\mathbb{F}_2(\theta)$ (which contains four elements) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b\theta)(c + d\theta) &= ac + (ad + bc)\theta + bd\theta^2 \\ &= ac + (ad + bc)\theta + bd(\theta + 1) \\ &= (ac + bd) + (ad + bc + bd)\theta. \end{aligned}$$

- (7) Let $F = k(t)$ be the field of rational functions in the variable t over a field k (for example, $k = \mathbb{Q}$ or $k = \mathbb{F}_p$). Let $p(x) = x^2 - t \in F[x]$. Then $p(x)$ is irreducible (it is Eisenstein at the prime (t) in $k[t]$). If we denote a root by θ , the corresponding degree 2 field extension $F(\theta)$ consists of the elements

$$\{a(t) + b(t)\theta \mid a(t), b(t) \in F\}$$

where the coefficients $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are rational functions in t with coefficients in k and where $\theta^2 = t$.

Suppose F is a subfield of a field K and $\alpha \in K$ is an element of K . Then the collection of subfields of K containing both F and α is nonempty (K is such a field, for example). Since the intersection of subfields is again a subfield, it follows that there is a unique minimal subfield of K containing both F and α (the intersection of all subfields with this property). Similar remarks apply if α is replaced by a collection α, β, \dots of elements of K .

Definition. Let K be an extension of the field F and let $\alpha, \beta, \dots \in K$ be a collection of elements of K . Then the smallest subfield of K containing both F and the elements α, β, \dots , denoted $F(\alpha, \beta, \dots)$ is called the field *generated by α, β, \dots over F* .

Definition. If the field K is generated by a single element α over F , $K = F(\alpha)$, then K is said to be a *simple* extension of F and the element α is called a *primitive element* for the extension.

We shall later characterize which extensions of a field F are simple. In particular we shall prove that every finite extension of a field of characteristic 0 is a simple extension.

The connection between the simple extension $F(\alpha)$ generated by α over F where α is a root of some irreducible polynomial $p(x)$ and the field constructed in Theorem 3 is provided by the following:

Theorem 6. Let F be a field and let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial. Suppose K is an extension field of F containing a root α of $p(x)$: $p(\alpha) = 0$. Let $F(\alpha)$ denote the subfield of K generated over F by α . Then

$$F(\alpha) \cong F[x]/(p(x)).$$

Remark: This theorem says that *any* field over F in which $p(x)$ contains a root contains a subfield isomorphic to the extension of F constructed in Theorem 3 and that this field is (up to isomorphism) the smallest extension of F containing such a root. The difference between this result and Theorem 3 is that Theorem 6 *assumes* the existence of a root α of $p(x)$ in some field K and the major point of Theorem 3 is *proving* that there exists such an extension field K .

Proof: There is a natural homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : F[x] &\longrightarrow F(\alpha) \subseteq K \\ a(x) &\longmapsto a(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

obtained by mapping F to F by the identity map and sending x to α and then extending so that the map is a ring homomorphism (i.e., the polynomial $a(x)$ in x maps to the polynomial $a(\alpha)$ in α). Since $p(\alpha) = 0$ by assumption, the element $p(x)$ is in the kernel of φ , so we obtain an induced homomorphism (also denoted φ):

$$\varphi : F[x]/(p(x)) \longrightarrow F(\alpha).$$

But since $p(x)$ is irreducible, the quotient on the left is a *field*, and φ is not the 0 map (it is the identity on F , for example), hence φ is an isomorphism of the field on the left with its image. Since this image is then a subfield of $F(\alpha)$ containing F and containing α , by the definition of $F(\alpha)$ the map must be surjective, proving the theorem.

Combined with Corollary 5, this determines the field $F(\alpha)$ when α is a root of an irreducible polynomial $p(x)$:

Corollary 7. Suppose in Theorem 6 that $p(x)$ is of degree n . Then

$$F(\alpha) = \{a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in F\} \subseteq K.$$

Describing fields generated by more than one element is more complicated and we shall return to this question in the following section.

Examples

- (1) In Example 3 above, we have determined the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ generated over \mathbb{Q} by the element $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, having suggestively denoted the abstract solution θ of the equation $x^2 - 2 = 0$ by the symbol $\sqrt{2}$, which has an independent meaning in the field \mathbb{R} (namely the *positive* square root of 2 in \mathbb{R}).
- (2) The equation $x^2 - 2 = 0$ has another solution in \mathbb{R} , namely $-\sqrt{2}$, the *negative* square root of 2 in \mathbb{R} . The field generated over \mathbb{Q} by this solution consists of the elements $\{a + b(-\sqrt{2}) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, and is again isomorphic to the field in Example 3 above (hence also isomorphic to the field just considered, the isomorphism given explicitly by $a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto a - b\sqrt{2}$). As a subset of \mathbb{R} this is the same set of elements as in Example 1.
- (3) Similarly, if we use the symbol $\sqrt[3]{2}$ to denote the (positive) cube root of 2 in \mathbb{R} , then the field generated by $\sqrt[3]{2}$ over \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} consists of the elements

$$\{a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c(\sqrt[3]{2})^2 \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

and is isomorphic to the field constructed in Example 4 above.

- (4) The equation $x^3 - 2 = 0$ has no further solutions in \mathbb{R} , but there are two additional solutions in \mathbb{C} given by $\sqrt[3]{2}(\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $\sqrt[3]{2}(\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2})$ ($\sqrt{3}$ denoting the positive real square root of 3) as can easily be checked. The fields generated by either of these two elements over \mathbb{Q} are subfields of \mathbb{C} (but not of \mathbb{R}) and are both isomorphic to the field constructed in the previous example (and to Example 4 earlier).

As Theorem 6 indicates, the roots of an irreducible polynomial $p(x)$ are *algebraically indistinguishable* in the sense that the fields obtained by adjoining any root of an irreducible polynomial are isomorphic. In the last two examples above, the fields obtained by adjoining one of the three possible (complex) roots of $x^3 - 2 = 0$ to \mathbb{Q} were all algebraically isomorphic. The fields were distinguished not by their algebraic properties, but by whether their elements were *real*, which involves *continuous* operations.

The fact that different roots of the same irreducible polynomial have the same algebraic properties can be extended slightly, as follows:

Let $\varphi : F \xrightarrow{\sim} F'$ be an isomorphism of fields. The map φ induces a ring isomorphism (also denoted φ)

$$\varphi : F[x] \xrightarrow{\sim} F'[x]$$

defined by applying φ to the coefficients of a polynomial in $F[x]$. Let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial and let $p'(x) \in F'[x]$ be the polynomial obtained by applying the map φ to the coefficients of $p(x)$, i.e., the image of $p(x)$ under φ . The isomorphism φ maps the maximal ideal $(p(x))$ to the ideal $(p'(x))$, so this ideal is also