

TRIM  
37

trim

TEXTS AND READINGS  
IN MATHEMATICS 37

Tao

Analysis I

# Analysis I

Terence Tao

QA  
300  
.T325  
2006  
v.1



**HA** HINDUSTAN  
BOOK AGENCY

# TEXTS AND READINGS IN MATHEMATICS

---

## **Analysis I**

## **Texts and Readings in Mathematics**

---

### **Advisory Editor**

C. S. Seshadri, Chennai Mathematical Inst., Chennai.

### **Managing Editor**

Rajendra Bhatia, Indian Statistical Inst., New Delhi.

### **Editors**

V. S. Borkar, Tata Inst. of Fundamental Research, Mumbai.

Probal Chaudhuri, Indian Statistical Inst., Kolkata.

R. L. Karandikar, Indian Statistical Inst., New Delhi.

M. Ram Murty, Queen's University, Kingston.

V. S. Sunder, Inst. of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai.

M. Vanninathan, TIFR Centre, Bangalore.

T. N. Venkataramana, Tata Inst. of Fundamental Research, Mumbai.

# **Analysis I**

**Terence Tao**  
University of California  
Los Angeles

 **HINDUSTAN**  
**BOOK AGENCY**



**Published by**

**Hindustan Book Agency (India)  
P 19 Green Park Extension  
New Delhi 110 016  
India**

**email: [hba@vsnl.com](mailto:hba@vsnl.com)  
<http://www.hindbook.com>**

**Copyright © 2006 by Hindustan Book Agency (India)**

**No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner, who has also the sole right to grant licences for translation into other languages and publication thereof.**

**All export rights for this edition vest exclusively with Hindustan Book Agency (India). Unauthorized export is a violation of Copyright Law and is subject to legal action.**

**Produced from camera ready copy supplied by the Author.**

**ISBN 81-85931-62-3**

To my parents, for everything

# Contents

## Volume 1

<b>Preface</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 What is analysis? . . . . .	1
1.2 Why do analysis? . . . . .	3
<b>2 The natural numbers</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1 The Peano axioms . . . . .	16
2.2 Addition . . . . .	27
2.3 Multiplication . . . . .	33
<b>3 Set theory</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1 Fundamentals . . . . .	37
3.2 Russell's paradox (Optional) . . . . .	52
3.3 Functions . . . . .	55
3.4 Images and inverse images . . . . .	64
3.5 Cartesian products . . . . .	70
3.6 Cardinality of sets . . . . .	76
<b>4 Integers and rationals</b>	<b>84</b>
4.1 The integers . . . . .	84
4.2 The rationals . . . . .	92
4.3 Absolute value and exponentiation . . . . .	98



4.4	Gaps in the rational numbers . . . . .	103
<b>5</b>	<b>The real numbers</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1	Cauchy sequences . . . . .	109
5.2	Equivalent Cauchy sequences . . . . .	114
5.3	The construction of the real numbers . . . . .	117
5.4	Ordering the reals . . . . .	127
5.5	The least upper bound property . . . . .	133
5.6	Real exponentiation, part I . . . . .	139
<b>6</b>	<b>Limits of sequences</b>	<b>145</b>
6.1	Convergence and limit laws . . . . .	145
6.2	The extended real number system . . . . .	153
6.3	Suprema and infima of sequences . . . . .	157
6.4	Limsup, liminf, and limit points . . . . .	160
6.5	Some standard limits . . . . .	170
6.6	Subsequences . . . . .	171
6.7	Real exponentiation, part II . . . . .	175
<b>7</b>	<b>Series</b>	<b>179</b>
7.1	Finite series . . . . .	179
7.2	Infinite series . . . . .	189
7.3	Sums of non-negative numbers . . . . .	195
7.4	Rearrangement of series . . . . .	200
7.5	The root and ratio tests . . . . .	204
<b>8</b>	<b>Infinite sets</b>	<b>208</b>
8.1	Countability . . . . .	208
8.2	Summation on infinite sets . . . . .	216
8.3	Uncountable sets . . . . .	224
8.4	The axiom of choice . . . . .	227
8.5	Ordered sets . . . . .	232
<b>9</b>	<b>Continuous functions on <math>\mathbb{R}</math></b>	<b>242</b>
9.1	Subsets of the real line . . . . .	243
9.2	The algebra of real-valued functions . . . . .	250
9.3	Limiting values of functions . . . . .	253

9.4	Continuous functions . . . . .	261
9.5	Left and right limits . . . . .	266
9.6	The maximum principle . . . . .	269
9.7	The intermediate value theorem . . . . .	273
9.8	Monotonic functions . . . . .	276
9.9	Uniform continuity . . . . .	279
9.10	Limits at infinity . . . . .	286
<b>10</b>	<b>Differentiation of functions</b>	<b>288</b>
10.1	Basic definitions . . . . .	288
10.2	Local maxima, local minima, and derivatives . . .	295
10.3	Monotone functions and derivatives . . . . .	298
10.4	Inverse functions and derivatives . . . . .	300
10.5	L'Hôpital's rule . . . . .	303
<b>11</b>	<b>The Riemann integral</b>	<b>306</b>
11.1	Partitions . . . . .	307
11.2	Piecewise constant functions . . . . .	312
11.3	Upper and lower Riemann integrals . . . . .	317
11.4	Basic properties of the Riemann integral . . . . .	321
11.5	Riemann integrability of continuous functions . . .	326
11.6	Riemann integrability of monotone functions . . .	330
11.7	A non-Riemann integrable function . . . . .	332
11.8	The Riemann-Stieltjes integral . . . . .	334
11.9	The two fundamental theorems of calculus . . . . .	338
11.10	Consequences of the fundamental theorems . . . . .	343
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix: the basics of mathematical logic</b>	<b>349</b>
A.1	Mathematical statements . . . . .	350
A.2	Implication . . . . .	357
A.3	The structure of proofs . . . . .	364
A.4	Variables and quantifiers . . . . .	367
A.5	Nested quantifiers . . . . .	372
A.6	Some examples of proofs and quantifiers . . . . .	375
A.7	Equality . . . . .	377

<b>B Appendix: the decimal system</b>	<b>380</b>
B.1 The decimal representation of natural numbers . . .	381
B.2 The decimal representation of real numbers . . . .	385
<b>Index</b>	<b>I</b>

## Volume 2

<b>Preface</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>12 Metric spaces</b>	<b>389</b>
12.1 Definitions and examples . . . . .	389
12.2 Some point-set topology of metric spaces . . . . .	400
12.3 Relative topology . . . . .	405
12.4 Cauchy sequences and complete metric spaces . . .	408
12.5 Compact metric spaces . . . . .	412
<b>13 Continuous functions on metric spaces</b>	<b>420</b>
13.1 Continuous functions . . . . .	420
13.2 Continuity and product spaces . . . . .	423
13.3 Continuity and compactness . . . . .	427
13.4 Continuity and connectedness . . . . .	429
13.5 Topological spaces (Optional) . . . . .	433
<b>14 Uniform convergence</b>	<b>440</b>
14.1 Limiting values of functions . . . . .	441
14.2 Pointwise and uniform convergence . . . . .	444
14.3 Uniform convergence and continuity . . . . .	449
14.4 The metric of uniform convergence . . . . .	452
14.5 Series of functions; the Weierstrass $M$ -test . . . .	455
14.6 Uniform convergence and integration . . . . .	458
14.7 Uniform convergence and derivatives . . . . .	461
14.8 Uniform approximation by polynomials . . . . .	464

<b>15 Power series</b>	<b>474</b>
15.1 Formal power series . . . . .	474
15.2 Real analytic functions . . . . .	477
15.3 Abel's theorem . . . . .	483
15.4 Multiplication of power series . . . . .	487
15.5 The exponential and logarithm functions . . . . .	490
15.6 A digression on complex numbers . . . . .	494
15.7 Trigonometric functions . . . . .	503
<b>16 Fourier series</b>	<b>510</b>
16.1 Periodic functions . . . . .	511
16.2 Inner products on periodic functions . . . . .	514
16.3 Trigonometric polynomials . . . . .	518
16.4 Periodic convolutions . . . . .	521
16.5 The Fourier and Plancherel theorems . . . . .	526
<b>17 Several variable differential calculus</b>	<b>533</b>
17.1 Linear transformations . . . . .	533
17.2 Derivatives in several variable calculus . . . . .	540
17.3 Partial and directional derivatives . . . . .	544
17.4 The several variable calculus chain rule . . . . .	552
17.5 Double derivatives and Clairaut's theorem . . . . .	555
17.6 The contraction mapping theorem . . . . .	558
17.7 The inverse function theorem . . . . .	561
17.8 The implicit function theorem . . . . .	567
<b>18 Lebesgue measure</b>	<b>573</b>
18.1 The goal: Lebesgue measure . . . . .	575
18.2 First attempt: Outer measure . . . . .	577
18.3 Outer measure is not additive . . . . .	587
18.4 Measurable sets . . . . .	590
18.5 Measurable functions . . . . .	597
<b>19 Lebesgue integration</b>	<b>602</b>
19.1 Simple functions . . . . .	602
19.2 Integration of non-negative measurable functions . . . . .	608
19.3 Integration of absolutely integrable functions . . . . .	617

19.4 Comparison with the Riemann integral . . . . .	622
19.5 Fubini's theorem . . . . .	624

<b>Index</b>	<b>I</b>
--------------	----------

## Preface

This text originated from the lecture notes I gave teaching the honours undergraduate-level real analysis sequence at the University of California, Los Angeles, in 2003. Among the undergraduates here, real analysis was viewed as being one of the most difficult courses to learn, not only because of the abstract concepts being introduced for the first time (e.g., topology, limits, measurability, etc.), but also because of the level of rigour and proof demanded of the course. Because of this perception of difficulty, one was often faced with the difficult choice of either reducing the level of rigour in the course in order to make it easier, or to maintain strict standards and face the prospect of many undergraduates, even many of the bright and enthusiastic ones, struggling with the course material.

Faced with this dilemma, I tried a somewhat unusual approach to the subject. Typically, an introductory sequence in real analysis assumes that the students are already familiar with the real numbers, with mathematical induction, with elementary calculus, and with the basics of set theory, and then quickly launches into the heart of the subject, for instance the concept of a limit. Normally, students entering this sequence do indeed have a fair bit of exposure to these prerequisite topics, though in most cases the material is not covered in a thorough manner. For instance, very few students were able to actually *define* a real number, or even an integer, properly, even though they could visualize these numbers intuitively and manipulate them algebraically. This seemed

to me to be a missed opportunity. Real analysis is one of the first subjects (together with linear algebra and abstract algebra) that a student encounters, in which one truly has to grapple with the subtleties of a truly rigorous mathematical proof. As such, the course offered an excellent chance to go back to the foundations of mathematics, and in particular the opportunity to do a proper and thorough construction of the real numbers.

Thus the course was structured as follows. In the first week, I described some well-known “paradoxes” in analysis, in which standard laws of the subject (e.g., interchange of limits and sums, or sums and integrals) were applied in a non-rigorous way to give nonsensical results such as  $0 = 1$ . This motivated the need to go back to the very beginning of the subject, even to the very definition of the natural numbers, and check all the foundations from scratch. For instance, one of the first homework assignments was to check (using only the Peano axioms) that addition was associative for natural numbers (i.e., that  $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$  for all natural numbers  $a, b, c$ : see Exercise 2.2.1). Thus even in the first week, the students had to write rigorous proofs using mathematical induction. After we had derived all the basic properties of the natural numbers, we then moved on to the integers (initially defined as formal differences of natural numbers); once the students had verified all the basic properties of the integers, we moved on to the rationals (initially defined as formal quotients of integers); and then from there we moved on (via formal limits of Cauchy sequences) to the reals. Around the same time, we covered the basics of set theory, for instance demonstrating the uncountability of the reals. Only then (after about ten lectures) did we begin what one normally considers the heart of undergraduate real analysis - limits, continuity, differentiability, and so forth.

The response to this format was quite interesting. In the first few weeks, the students found the material very easy on a conceptual level, as we were dealing only with the basic properties of the standard number systems. But on an intellectual level it was very challenging, as one was analyzing these number systems from a foundational viewpoint, in order to rigorously derive the

more advanced facts about these number systems from the more primitive ones. One student told me how difficult it was to explain to his friends in the non-honours real analysis sequence (a) why he was still learning how to show why all rational numbers are either positive, negative, or zero (Exercise 4.2.4), while the non-honours sequence was already distinguishing absolutely convergent and conditionally convergent series, and (b) why, despite this, he thought his homework was significantly harder than that of his friends. Another student commented to me, quite wryly, that while she could obviously *see* why one could always divide a natural number  $n$  into a positive integer  $q$  to give a quotient  $a$  and a remainder  $r$  less than  $q$  (Exercise 2.3.5), she still had, to her frustration, much difficulty in writing down a proof of this fact. (I told her that later in the course she would have to prove statements for which it would not be as obvious to see that the statements were true; she did not seem to be particularly consoled by this.) Nevertheless, these students greatly enjoyed the homework, as when they did persevere and obtain a rigorous proof of an intuitive fact, it solidified the link in their minds between the abstract manipulations of formal mathematics and their informal intuition of mathematics (and of the real world), often in a very satisfying way. By the time they were assigned the task of giving the infamous “epsilon and delta” proofs in real analysis, they had already had so much experience with formalizing intuition, and in discerning the subtleties of mathematical logic (such as the distinction between the “for all” quantifier and the “there exists” quantifier), that the transition to these proofs was fairly smooth, and we were able to cover material both thoroughly and rapidly. By the tenth week, we had caught up with the non-honours class, and the students were verifying the change of variables formula for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, and showing that piecewise continuous functions were Riemann integrable. By the conclusion of the sequence in the twentieth week, we had covered (both in lecture and in homework) the convergence theory of Taylor and Fourier series, the inverse and implicit function theorem for continuously differentiable functions of several variables, and established the



dominated convergence theorem for the Lebesgue integral.

In order to cover this much material, many of the key foundational results were left to the student to prove as homework; indeed, this was an essential aspect of the course, as it ensured the students truly appreciated the concepts as they were being introduced. This format has been retained in this text; the majority of the exercises consist of proving lemmas, propositions and theorems in the main text. Indeed, I would strongly recommend that one do as many of these exercises as possible - and this includes those exercises proving “obvious” statements - if one wishes to use this text to learn real analysis; this is not a subject whose subtleties are easily appreciated just from passive reading. Most of the chapter sections have a number of exercises, which are listed at the end of the section.

To the expert mathematician, the pace of this book may seem somewhat slow, especially in early chapters, as there is a heavy emphasis on rigour (except for those discussions explicitly marked “Informal”), and justifying many steps that would ordinarily be quickly passed over as being self-evident. The first few chapters develop (in painful detail) many of the “obvious” properties of the standard number systems, for instance that the sum of two positive real numbers is again positive (Exercise 5.4.1), or that given any two distinct real numbers, one can find rational number between them (Exercise 5.4.5). In these foundational chapters, there is also an emphasis on *non-circularity* - not using later, more advanced results to prove earlier, more primitive ones. In particular, the usual laws of algebra are not used until they are derived (and they have to be derived separately for the natural numbers, integers, rationals, and reals). The reason for this is that it allows the students to learn the art of abstract reasoning, deducing true facts from a limited set of assumptions, in the friendly and intuitive setting of number systems; the payoff for this practice comes later, when one has to utilize the same type of reasoning techniques to grapple with more advanced concepts (e.g., the Lebesgue integral).

The text here evolved from my lecture notes on the subject, and thus is very much oriented towards a pedagogical perspec-

tive; much of the key material is contained inside exercises, and in many cases I have chosen to give a lengthy and tedious, but instructive, proof instead of a slick abstract proof. In more advanced textbooks, the student will see shorter and more conceptually coherent treatments of this material, and with more emphasis on intuition than on rigour; however, I feel it is important to know how to do analysis rigorously and “by hand” first, in order to truly appreciate the more modern, intuitive and abstract approach to analysis that one uses at the graduate level and beyond.

The exposition in this book heavily emphasizes rigour and formalism; however this does not necessarily mean that lectures based on this book have to proceed the same way. Indeed, in my own teaching I have used the lecture time to present the intuition behind the concepts (drawing many informal pictures and giving examples), thus providing a complementary viewpoint to the formal presentation in the text. The exercises assigned as homework provide an essential bridge between the two, requiring the student to combine both intuition and formal understanding together in order to locate correct proofs for a problem. This I found to be the most difficult task for the students, as it requires the subject to be genuinely *learnt*, rather than merely memorized or vaguely absorbed. Nevertheless, the feedback I received from the students was that the homework, while very demanding for this reason, was also very rewarding, as it allowed them to connect the rather abstract manipulations of formal mathematics with their innate intuition on such basic concepts as numbers, sets, and functions. Of course, the aid of a good teaching assistant is invaluable in achieving this connection.

With regard to examinations for a course based on this text, I would recommend either an open-book, open-notes examination with problems similar to the exercises given in the text (but perhaps shorter, with no unusual trickery involved), or else a take-home examination that involves problems comparable to the more intricate exercises in the text. The subject matter is too vast to force the students to memorize the definitions and theorems, so I would not recommend a closed-book examination, or an exami-