

of the time is congruent to -1 rather than $+1$ modulo q .

- (ii) $m/2$ is not a multiple of either $p-1$ or $q-1$. In this case $a^{m/2}$ is $\equiv 1$ modulo both p and q (and hence modulo n) exactly 25% of the time, it is $\equiv -1$ modulo both p and q exactly 25% of the time, and for the remaining 50% of the values of a it is $\equiv 1$ modulo one of the primes and $\equiv -1$ modulo the other prime.

Thus, by trying a 's at random with high probability we will soon find an a for which $a^{m/2} - 1$ is divisible by one of the two primes (say, p) but not the other. (Each randomly selected a has a 50% chance of satisfying this statement.) Once we find such an a we can immediately factor n , because $\text{g.c.d.}(n, a^{m/2} - 1) = p$.

The above procedure is an example of a *probabilistic algorithm*. We shall encounter other probabilistic algorithms in the next chapter.

3. How do we send a signature in RSA? When discussing authentication in the last section, we assumed for simplicity that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}$. We have a slightly more complicated set-up in RSA. Here is one way to avoid the problem of different n_A 's and different block sizes (k , the number of letters in a plaintext message unit, being less than ℓ , the number of letters in a ciphertext message unit). Suppose that, as in the last section, Alice is sending her signature (some plaintext P) to Bob. She knows Bob's enciphering key $K_{E,B} = (n_B, e_B)$ and her own deciphering key $K_{D,A} = (n_A, d_A)$. What she does is send $f_B f_A^{-1}(P)$ if $n_A < n_B$, or else $f_A^{-1} f_B(P)$ if $n_A > n_B$. That is, in the former case she takes the least positive residue of P^{d_A} modulo n_A ; then, regarding that number modulo n_B , she computes $(P^{d_A} \bmod n_A)^{e_B} \bmod n_B$, which she sends as a ciphertext message unit. In the case $n_A > n_B$, she first computes $P^{e_B} \bmod n_B$ and then, working modulo n_A , she raises this to the d_A -th power. Clearly, Bob can verify the authenticity of the message in the first case by raising to the d_B -th power modulo n_B and then to the e_A -th power modulo n_A ; in the second case he does these two operations in the reverse order.

Exercises

- Suppose that the following 40-letter alphabet is used for all plaintexts and ciphertexts: A–Z with numerical equivalents 0–25, blank=26, . = 27, ? = 28, \$ = 29, the numerals 0–9 with numerical equivalents 30–39. Suppose that plaintext message units are digraphs and ciphertext message units are trigraphs (i.e., $k = 2$, $\ell = 3$, $40^2 < n_A < 40^3$ for all n_A).
 - Send the message "SEND \$7500" to a user whose enciphering key is $(n_A, e_A) = (2047, 179)$.
 - Break the code by factoring n_A and then computing the deciphering key (n_A, d_A) .
 - Explain why, even without factoring n_A , a codebreaker could find the deciphering key rather quickly. In other words, why (in addition to its small size) is 2047 a particularly bad choice for n_A ?