

map $\psi : A \rightarrow A$ are compatible homomorphisms. The corresponding induced group homomorphism on cohomology is called the *restriction homomorphism*:

$$\text{Res} : H^n(G, A) \longrightarrow H^n(H, A), \quad n \geq 0.$$

The terminology comes from the fact that the map on cochains from $C^n(G, A)$ to $C^n(H, A)$ is simply restricting a map f from G^n to A to the subgroup H^n of G^n .

- (3) (*The Inflation Homomorphism*) Suppose H is a normal subgroup of G and A is a G -module. The elements A^H of A that are fixed by H are naturally a module for the quotient group G/H under the action defined by $(gH) \cdot a = g \cdot a$. It is then immediate that the projection $\varphi : G \rightarrow G/H$ and the inclusion $\psi : A^H \rightarrow A$ are compatible homomorphisms. The corresponding induced group homomorphism on cohomology is called the *inflation homomorphism*:

$$\text{Inf} : H^n(G/H, A^H) \longrightarrow H^n(G, A), \quad n \geq 0.$$

- (4) (*The Corestriction Homomorphism*) Suppose that H is a subgroup of G of index m and that A is a G -module. Let g_1, \dots, g_m be representatives for the left cosets of H in G . Define a map

$$\psi : M_H^G(A) \longrightarrow A \quad \text{by} \quad f \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \cdot f(g_i^{-1}).$$

Note that if we change any coset representative g_i by $g_i h$, then $(g_i h) f((g_i h)^{-1}) = g_i h f(h^{-1} g_i^{-1}) = g_i h h^{-1} f(g_i^{-1}) = g_i f(g_i^{-1})$ so the map ψ is independent of the choice of coset representatives. It is easy to see that ψ is a G -module homomorphism (and even that it is surjective), so we obtain a group homomorphism from $H^n(G, M_H^G(A))$ to $H^n(G, A)$, for all $n \geq 0$. Since A is also an H -module, by Shapiro's Lemma we have an isomorphism $H^n(G, M_H^G(A)) \cong H^n(H, A)$. The composition of these two homomorphisms is called the *corestriction homomorphism*:

$$\text{Cor} : H^n(H, A) \longrightarrow H^n(G, A), \quad n \geq 0.$$

This homomorphism can be computed explicitly by composing the isomorphism Ψ in the proof of Shapiro's Lemma for any resolution of \mathbb{Z} by projective G -modules P_n (note these are G -modules and not simply H -modules) with the map ψ , as follows. For a cocycle $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}(P_n, A)$ representing a cohomology class $c \in H^n(H, A)$, a cocycle $\text{Cor}(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_n, A)$ representing $\text{Cor}(c) \in H^n(G, A)$ is given by

$$\text{Cor}(f)(p) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \cdot \Psi(f)(p)(g_i^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i f(g_i^{-1} p),$$

for $p \in P_n$. When $n = 0$ this is particularly simple since we can take $P_0 = \mathbb{Z}G$. In this case $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}(\mathbb{Z}G, A) = M_H^G(A)$ is a cocycle if $f = a$ is constant for some $a \in A^H$ and then $\text{Cor}(f)$ is the constant function with value $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i \cdot a \in A^G$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cor} : H^0(H, A) = A^H &\longrightarrow A^G = H^0(G, A) \\ a &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

The next result establishes a fundamental relation between the restriction and corestriction homomorphisms.

Proposition 26. Suppose H is a subgroup of G of index m . Then $\text{Cor} \circ \text{Res} = m$, i.e., if c is a cohomology class in $H^n(G, A)$ for some G -module A , then

$$\text{Cor}(\text{Res}(c)) = mc \in H^n(G, A) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

Proof: This follows from the explicit formula for corestriction in Example 4 above, as follows. If $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}(P_n, A)$ were in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_n, A)$, i.e., if f were also a G -module homomorphism, then $g_i f(g_i^{-1}p) = g_i g_i^{-1} f(p) = f(p)$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since restriction is the induced map on cohomology of the natural inclusion of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_n, A)$ into $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}(P_n, A)$, for such an f we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_n, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}(P_n, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_n, A) \\ & & f & \longmapsto & mf. \end{array}$$

It follows that $\text{Res} \circ \text{Cor}$ is multiplication by m on the cohomology groups as well.

Corollary 27. Suppose the finite group G has order m . Then $mH^n(G, A) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and any G -module A .

Proof: Let $H = 1$, so that $[G : H] = m$, in Proposition 26. Then for any class $c \in H^n(G, A)$ we have $mc = \text{Cor}(\text{Res}(c))$. Since $\text{Res}(c) \in H^n(H, A) = H^n(1, A)$, we have $\text{Res}(c) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ by the second example preceding Proposition 20. Hence $mc = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, which is the corollary.

Corollary 28. If G is a finite group then $H^n(G, A)$ is a torsion abelian group for all $n \geq 1$ and all G -modules A .

Proof: This is immediate from the previous corollary.

Corollary 29. Suppose G is a finite group whose order is relatively prime to the exponent of the G -module A . Then $H^n(G, A) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, if A is a finite abelian group with $(|G|, |A|) = 1$ then $H^n(G, A) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof: This follows since the abelian group $H^n(G, A)$ is annihilated by $|G|$ by the previous corollary and is annihilated by the exponent of A by Proposition 20.

Note that the statements in the preceding corollaries are not in general true for $n = 0$, since then $H^0(G, A) = A^G$, which need not even be torsion.

We mention without proof the following result. Suppose that H is a normal subgroup of G and A is a G -module. The cohomology groups $H^n(H, A)$ can be given the structure of G/H -modules (cf. Exercise 17). It can be shown that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^1(H, A)^{G/H} \xrightarrow{\text{Tra}} H^2(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^2(G, A)$$

where $H^1(H, A)^{G/H}$ denotes the fixed points of $H^1(H, A)$ under the action of G/H and Tra is the so-called *transgression homomorphism*. This exact sequence relates the

cohomology groups for G to the cohomology groups for the normal subgroup H and for the quotient group G/H . Put another way, the cohomology for G is related to the cohomology for the factors in the filtration $1 \leq H \leq G$ for G . More generally, one could try to relate the cohomology for G to the cohomology for the factors in a longer filtration for G . This is the theory of *spectral sequences* and is an important tool in homological algebra.

Galois Cohomology and Profinite Groups

One important application of group cohomology occurs when the group G is the Galois group of a field extension K/F . In this case there are many groups of interest on which G acts, for example the additive group of K , the multiplicative group K^\times , etc. The Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$ is the inverse limit $\varprojlim \text{Gal}(L/F)$ of the Galois groups of the finite extensions L of F contained in K and is a compact topological group with respect to its Krull topology (i.e., the group operations on G are continuous with respect to the topology defined by the subgroups $\text{Gal}(K/L)$ of G of finite index), cf. Section 14.9. In this situation it is useful (and often essential) to take advantage of the additional topological structure of G . For example the subfields of K containing F correspond bijectively with the *closed* subgroups of $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$, and the example of the composite of the quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q} discussed in Section 14.9 shows that in general there are many subgroups of G that are not closed. Fortunately, the modifications necessary to define the cohomology groups in this context are relatively minor and apply to arbitrary inverse limits of finite groups (the *profinite* groups). If G is a profinite group then $G = \varprojlim G/N$ where the inverse limit is taken over the open normal subgroups N of G (cf. Exercise 23).

Definition. If G is a profinite group then a *discrete G -module* A is a G -module A with the discrete topology such that the action of G on A is continuous, i.e., the map $G \times A \rightarrow A$ mapping (g, a) to $g \cdot a$ is continuous.

Since A is given the discrete topology, every subset of A is open, and in particular every element $a \in A$ is open. The continuity of the action of G on A is then equivalent to the statement that the stabilizer G_a of a in G is an open subgroup of G , hence is of finite index since G is compact (cf. Exercise 22). This in turn is equivalent to the statement that $A = \cup A^H$ where the union is over the open subgroups H of G .

Some care must be taken in defining the cohomology groups $H^n(G, A)$ of a profinite group G acting on a discrete G -module A since there are not enough projectives in this category. For example, when G is infinite, the free G -module $\mathbb{Z}G$ is not a discrete G -module (G does not act continuously, cf. Exercise 25). Nevertheless, the explicit description of $H^n(G, A)$ given in this section (occasionally referred to as the *discrete* cohomology groups) can be easily modified — it is only necessary to require the cochains $C^n(G, A)$ to be *continuous* maps from G^n to A . The definition of the coboundary maps d_n in equation (18) is precisely the same, as is the definition of the groups of cocycles, coboundaries, and the corresponding cohomology groups. It is customary not to introduce a separate notation for these cohomology groups, but to specify which cohomology is meant in the terminology.