

Prove that  $z^2 = w$  if  $v \geq 0$  and that  $(\bar{z})^2 = w$  if  $v \leq 0$ . Conclude that every complex number (with one exception!) has two complex square roots.

11. If  $z$  is a complex number, prove that there exists an  $r \geq 0$  and a complex number  $w$  with  $|w| = 1$  such that  $z = rw$ . Are  $w$  and  $r$  always uniquely determined by  $z$ ?

12. If  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are complex, prove that

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \cdots + |z_n|.$$

13. If  $x, y$  are complex, prove that

$$||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y|.$$

14. If  $z$  is a complex number such that  $|z| = 1$ , that is, such that  $z\bar{z} = 1$ , compute

$$|1 + z|^2 + |1 - z|^2.$$

15. Under what conditions does equality hold in the Schwarz inequality?

16. Suppose  $k \geq 3$ ,  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in R^k$ ,  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| = d > 0$ , and  $r > 0$ . Prove:

- (a) If  $2r > d$ , there are infinitely many  $\mathbf{z} \in R^k$  such that

$$|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}| = |\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}| = r.$$

- (b) If  $2r = d$ , there is exactly one such  $\mathbf{z}$ .

- (c) If  $2r < d$ , there is no such  $\mathbf{z}$ .

How must these statements be modified if  $k$  is 2 or 1?

17. Prove that

$$|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|^2 + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2 = 2|\mathbf{x}|^2 + 2|\mathbf{y}|^2$$

if  $\mathbf{x} \in R^k$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in R^k$ . Interpret this geometrically, as a statement about parallelograms.

18. If  $k \geq 2$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in R^k$ , prove that there exists  $\mathbf{y} \in R^k$  such that  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$  but  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$ . Is this also true if  $k = 1$ ?

19. Suppose  $\mathbf{a} \in R^k$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in R^k$ . Find  $\mathbf{c} \in R^k$  and  $r > 0$  such that

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}| = 2|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}|$$

if and only if  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}| = r$ .

(Solution:  $3\mathbf{c} = 4\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}$ ,  $3r = 2|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}|$ .)

20. With reference to the Appendix, suppose that property (III) were omitted from the definition of a cut. Keep the same definitions of order and addition. Show that the resulting ordered set has the least-upper-bound property, that addition satisfies axioms (A1) to (A4) (with a slightly different zero-element!) but that (A5) fails.

# 2

## BASIC TOPOLOGY

### FINITE, COUNTABLE, AND UNCOUNTABLE SETS

We begin this section with a definition of the function concept.

**2.1 Definition** Consider two sets  $A$  and  $B$ , whose elements may be any objects whatsoever, and suppose that with each element  $x$  of  $A$  there is associated, in some manner, an element of  $B$ , which we denote by  $f(x)$ . Then  $f$  is said to be a *function* from  $A$  to  $B$  (or a *mapping* of  $A$  into  $B$ ). The set  $A$  is called the *domain* of  $f$  (we also say  $f$  is defined on  $A$ ), and the elements  $f(x)$  are called the *values* of  $f$ . The set of all values of  $f$  is called the *range* of  $f$ .

**2.2 Definition** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two sets and let  $f$  be a mapping of  $A$  into  $B$ . If  $E \subset A$ ,  $f(E)$  is defined to be the set of all elements  $f(x)$ , for  $x \in E$ . We call  $f(E)$  the *image* of  $E$  under  $f$ . In this notation,  $f(A)$  is the range of  $f$ . It is clear that  $f(A) \subset B$ . If  $f(A) = B$ , we say that  $f$  maps  $A$  *onto*  $B$ . (Note that, according to this usage, *onto* is more specific than *into*.)

If  $E \subset B$ ,  $f^{-1}(E)$  denotes the set of all  $x \in A$  such that  $f(x) \in E$ . We call  $f^{-1}(E)$  the *inverse image* of  $E$  under  $f$ . If  $y \in B$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is the set of all  $x \in A$

such that  $f(x) = y$ . If, for each  $y \in B$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  consists of at most one element of  $A$ , then  $f$  is said to be a 1-1 (*one-to-one*) mapping of  $A$  into  $B$ . This may also be expressed as follows:  $f$  is a 1-1 mapping of  $A$  into  $B$  provided that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  whenever  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,  $x_1 \in A$ ,  $x_2 \in A$ .

(The notation  $x_1 \neq x_2$  means that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are distinct elements; otherwise we write  $x_1 = x_2$ .)

**2.3 Definition** If there exists a 1-1 mapping of  $A$  onto  $B$ , we say that  $A$  and  $B$  can be put in 1-1 *correspondence*, or that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same *cardinal number*, or, briefly, that  $A$  and  $B$  are *equivalent*, and we write  $A \sim B$ . This relation clearly has the following properties:

It is reflexive:  $A \sim A$ .

It is symmetric: If  $A \sim B$ , then  $B \sim A$ .

It is transitive: If  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ , then  $A \sim C$ .

Any relation with these three properties is called an *equivalence relation*.

**2.4 Definition** For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $J_n$  be the set whose elements are the integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$ ; let  $J$  be the set consisting of all positive integers. For any set  $A$ , we say:

- (a)  $A$  is *finite* if  $A \sim J_n$  for some  $n$  (the empty set is also considered to be finite).
- (b)  $A$  is *infinite* if  $A$  is not finite.
- (c)  $A$  is *countable* if  $A \sim J$ .
- (d)  $A$  is *uncountable* if  $A$  is neither finite nor countable.
- (e)  $A$  is *at most countable* if  $A$  is finite or countable.

Countable sets are sometimes called *enumerable*, or *denumerable*.

For two finite sets  $A$  and  $B$ , we evidently have  $A \sim B$  if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  contain the same number of elements. For infinite sets, however, the idea of "having the same number of elements" becomes quite vague, whereas the notion of 1-1 correspondence retains its clarity.

**2.5 Example** Let  $A$  be the set of all integers. Then  $A$  is countable. For, consider the following arrangement of the sets  $A$  and  $J$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} A: & 0, 1, -1, 2, -2, 3, -3, \dots \\ J: & 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots \end{array}$$

We can, in this example, even give an explicit formula for a function  $f$  from  $J$  to  $A$  which sets up a 1-1 correspondence:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & (n \text{ even}), \\ -\frac{n-1}{2} & (n \text{ odd}). \end{cases}$$

**2.6 Remark** A finite set cannot be equivalent to one of its proper subsets. That this is, however, possible for infinite sets, is shown by Example 2.5, in which  $J$  is a proper subset of  $A$ .

In fact, we could replace Definition 2.4(b) by the statement:  $A$  is infinite if  $A$  is equivalent to one of its proper subsets.

**2.7 Definition** By a *sequence*, we mean a function  $f$  defined on the set  $J$  of all positive integers. If  $f(n) = x_n$ , for  $n \in J$ , it is customary to denote the sequence  $f$  by the symbol  $\{x_n\}$ , or sometimes by  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ . The values of  $f$ , that is, the elements  $x_n$ , are called the *terms* of the sequence. If  $A$  is a set and if  $x_n \in A$  for all  $n \in J$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be a *sequence in  $A$* , or a *sequence of elements of  $A$* .

Note that the terms  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$  of a sequence need not be distinct.

Since every countable set is the range of a 1-1 function defined on  $J$ , we may regard every countable set as the range of a sequence of distinct terms. Speaking more loosely, we may say that the elements of any countable set can be "arranged in a sequence."

Sometimes it is convenient to replace  $J$  in this definition by the set of all nonnegative integers, i.e., to start with 0 rather than with 1.

**2.8 Theorem** Every infinite subset of a countable set  $A$  is countable.

**Proof** Suppose  $E \subset A$ , and  $E$  is infinite. Arrange the elements  $x$  of  $A$  in a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of distinct elements. Construct a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  as follows:

Let  $n_1$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $x_{n_1} \in E$ . Having chosen  $n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}$  ( $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ ), let  $n_k$  be the smallest integer greater than  $n_{k-1}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \in E$ .

Putting  $f(k) = x_{n_k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ), we obtain a 1-1 correspondence between  $E$  and  $J$ .

The theorem shows that, roughly speaking, countable sets represent the "smallest" infinity: No uncountable set can be a subset of a countable set.

**2.9 Definition** Let  $A$  and  $\Omega$  be sets, and suppose that with each element  $\alpha$  of  $A$  there is associated a subset of  $\Omega$  which we denote by  $E_\alpha$ .

The set whose elements are the sets  $E_\alpha$  will be denoted by  $\{E_\alpha\}$ . Instead of speaking of sets of sets, we shall sometimes speak of a collection of sets, or a family of sets.

The *union* of the sets  $E_\alpha$  is defined to be the set  $S$  such that  $x \in S$  if and only if  $x \in E_\alpha$  for at least one  $\alpha \in A$ . We use the notation

$$(1) \quad S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha.$$

If  $A$  consists of the integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , one usually writes

$$(2) \quad S = \bigcup_{m=1}^n E_m$$

or

$$(3) \quad S = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \cdots \cup E_n.$$

If  $A$  is the set of all positive integers, the usual notation is

$$(4) \quad S = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m.$$

The symbol  $\infty$  in (4) merely indicates that the union of a *countable* collection of sets is taken, and should not be confused with the symbols  $+\infty$ ,  $-\infty$ , introduced in Definition 1.23.

The *intersection* of the sets  $E_\alpha$  is defined to be the set  $P$  such that  $x \in P$  if and only if  $x \in E_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in A$ . We use the notation

$$(5) \quad P = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha,$$

or

$$(6) \quad P = \bigcap_{m=1}^n E_m = E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_n,$$

or

$$(7) \quad P = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m,$$

as for unions. If  $A \cap B$  is not empty, we say that  $A$  and  $B$  *intersect*; otherwise they are *disjoint*.

## 2.10 Examples

(a) Suppose  $E_1$  consists of  $1, 2, 3$  and  $E_2$  consists of  $2, 3, 4$ . Then  $E_1 \cup E_2$  consists of  $1, 2, 3, 4$ , whereas  $E_1 \cap E_2$  consists of  $2, 3$ .

(b) Let  $A$  be the set of real numbers  $x$  such that  $0 < x \leq 1$ . For every  $x \in A$ , let  $E_x$  be the set of real numbers  $y$  such that  $0 < y < x$ . Then

(i)  $E_x \subset E_z$  if and only if  $0 < x \leq z \leq 1$ ;

(ii)  $\bigcup_{x \in A} E_x = E_1$ ;

(iii)  $\bigcap_{x \in A} E_x$  is empty;

(i) and (ii) are clear. To prove (iii), we note that for every  $y > 0$ ,  $y \notin E_x$  if  $x < y$ . Hence  $y \notin \bigcap_{x \in A} E_x$ .

**2.11 Remarks** Many properties of unions and intersections are quite similar to those of sums and products; in fact, the words sum and product were sometimes used in this connection, and the symbols  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  were written in place of  $\bigcup$  and  $\bigcap$ .

The commutative and associative laws are trivial:

$$(8) \quad A \cup B = B \cup A; \quad A \cap B = B \cap A.$$

$$(9) \quad (A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C); \quad (A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C).$$

Thus the omission of parentheses in (3) and (6) is justified.

The distributive law also holds:

$$(10) \quad A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C).$$

To prove this, let the left and right members of (10) be denoted by  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively.

Suppose  $x \in E$ . Then  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B \cup C$ , that is,  $x \in B$  or  $x \in C$  (possibly both). Hence  $x \in A \cap B$  or  $x \in A \cap C$ , so that  $x \in F$ . Thus  $E \subset F$ .

Next, suppose  $x \in F$ . Then  $x \in A \cap B$  or  $x \in A \cap C$ . That is,  $x \in A$ , and  $x \in B \cup C$ . Hence  $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$ , so that  $F \subset E$ .

It follows that  $E = F$ .

We list a few more relations which are easily verified:

$$(11) \quad A \subset A \cup B,$$

$$(12) \quad A \cap B \subset A.$$

If  $0$  denotes the empty set, then

$$(13) \quad A \cup 0 = A, \quad A \cap 0 = 0.$$

If  $A \subset B$ , then

$$(14) \quad A \cup B = B, \quad A \cap B = A.$$

**2.12 Theorem** Let  $\{E_n\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , be a sequence of countable sets, and put

$$(15) \quad S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n.$$

Then  $S$  is countable.

**Proof** Let every set  $E_n$  be arranged in a sequence  $\{x_{nk}\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and consider the infinite array

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} & \dots & & \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} & \dots & & \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & x_{34} & \dots & & \\ x_{41} & x_{42} & x_{43} & x_{44} & \dots & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & & \end{array}$$

in which the elements of  $E_n$  form the  $n$ th row. The array contains all elements of  $S$ . As indicated by the arrows, these elements can be arranged in a sequence

$$(17) \quad x_{11}; x_{21}, x_{12}; x_{31}, x_{22}, x_{13}; x_{41}, x_{32}, x_{23}, x_{14}; \dots$$

If any two of the sets  $E_n$  have elements in common, these will appear more than once in (17). Hence there is a subset  $T$  of the set of all positive integers such that  $S \sim T$ , which shows that  $S$  is at most countable (Theorem 2.8). Since  $E_1 \subset S$ , and  $E_1$  is infinite,  $S$  is infinite, and thus countable.

**Corollary** Suppose  $A$  is at most countable, and, for every  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $B_\alpha$  is at most countable. Put

$$T = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} B_\alpha.$$

Then  $T$  is at most countable.

For  $T$  is equivalent to a subset of (15).

**2.13 Theorem** Let  $A$  be a countable set, and let  $B_n$  be the set of all  $n$ -tuples  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where  $a_k \in A$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), and the elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  need not be distinct. Then  $B_n$  is countable.

**Proof** That  $B_1$  is countable is evident, since  $B_1 = A$ . Suppose  $B_{n-1}$  is countable ( $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ ). The elements of  $B_n$  are of the form

$$(18) \quad (b, a) \quad (b \in B_{n-1}, a \in A).$$

For every fixed  $b$ , the set of pairs  $(b, a)$  is equivalent to  $A$ , and hence countable. Thus  $B_n$  is the union of a countable set of countable sets. By Theorem 2.12,  $B_n$  is countable.

The theorem follows by induction.