

Then

$$R[s, t] = Rs_1t_1 + \cdots + Rs_it_j + \cdots + Rs_nt_m$$

is a ring containing  $s \pm t$  and  $st$  that is also a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Hence  $s \pm t$  and  $st$  are also integral over  $R$ , which proves (1) and also (2).

To prove (3), let  $t \in T$ . Since  $t$  is integral over  $S$ , it is the root of some monic polynomial  $p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in S[x]$ . Since  $a_i \in S$  is integral over  $R$ , each ring  $R[a_i]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and so the ring  $R_1 = R[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$  is also a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Since the monic polynomial  $p(x)$  has its coefficients in  $R_1$ ,  $t$  is integral over  $R_1$  and it follows that the ring  $R_1[t] = R[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, t]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. By the proposition, this means that  $t$  is integral over  $R$ , which gives (3).

The second statement in Corollary 24 shows that taking the elements of  $S$  that are integral over  $R$  gives a (possibly larger) subring of  $S$ , and the last statement in the corollary shows that the process of taking the integral closure stops after one step:

**Corollary 25.** Let  $R$  be a subring of the commutative ring  $S$  with  $1 \in R$ . Then the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$  is integrally closed in  $S$ .

## Examples

- (1) If  $R$  and  $S$  are fields then  $S$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if  $S$  is algebraic over  $R$  — if  $s \in S$  is a root of the polynomial  $p(x)$  with coefficients in  $R$  then it is a root of the monic polynomial obtained by dividing by the (nonzero) leading coefficient of  $p(x)$ .
- (2) Suppose  $S$  is an integral extension of  $R$  and  $I$  is an ideal in  $S$ . Then  $S/I$  is an integral ring extension of  $R/(R \cap I)$  (reducing the monic polynomial over  $R$  satisfied by  $s \in S$  modulo  $I$  gives a monic polynomial satisfied by  $\bar{s} \in S/I$  over  $R/(R \cap I)$ ).
- (3) If  $R$  is a U.F.D. then  $R$  is integrally closed, as follows. Suppose  $a/b$  is an element in the field of fractions of  $R$  (with  $b \neq 0$  and  $a$  and  $b$  having no common factors) and satisfies  $(a/b)^n + r_{n-1}(a/b)^{n-1} + \cdots + r_1(a/b) + r_0 = 0$  with  $r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} \in R$ . Then

$$a^n = b(-r_{n-1}a^{n-1} - \cdots - r_1ab^{n-2} - r_0b^{n-1})$$

shows that any irreducible element dividing  $b$  divides  $a^n$ , hence divides  $a$ . Since  $a/b$  is in lowest terms, this shows that  $b$  must be a unit, i.e.,  $a/b \in R$ .

- (4) The polynomial ring  $k[x, y]$  over the field  $k$  is integrally closed in its fraction field  $k(x, y)$  by example (3) above. The ideal  $(x^2 - y^3)$  is prime (cf. Exercise 14, Section 9.1), so the quotient ring  $R = k[x, y]/(x^2 - y^3) = k[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$  is an integral domain. This domain is not integrally closed, however, since  $\bar{x}/\bar{y}$  is an element of the fraction field of  $R$  that is integral over  $R$  (since  $(\bar{x}/\bar{y})^3 - \bar{x} = 0$ ), but is not an element of  $R$ . In particular,  $R$  is not a U.F.D. by the previous example.

We next consider the behavior of ideals in integral ring extensions.

**Definition.** Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings.

- (a) If  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$  then the *extension* of  $I$  to  $S$  is the ideal  $\varphi(I)S$  of  $S$  generated by the image of  $I$ .
- (b) If  $J$  is an ideal of  $S$ , then the *contraction* in  $R$  of  $J$  is the ideal  $\varphi^{-1}(J)$ .

In the special case where  $R$  is a subring of  $S$  and  $\varphi$  is the natural injection, the extension of  $I \subseteq R$  is the ideal  $IS$  in  $S$  and the contraction of  $J \subseteq S$  is the ideal  $J \cap R$  of  $R$ .

It is immediate from the definition that

- (1)  $I \subseteq IS \cap R$ , more generally,  $I$  is contained in the contraction of its extension to  $S$ , and
- (2)  $(J \cap R)S \subseteq J$ , more generally,  $J$  contains the extension of its contraction in  $R$ .

In general equality need not hold in either situation (cf. the exercises).

If  $Q$  is a prime ideal in  $S$ , then its contraction is prime in  $R$  (although the contraction of a maximal ideal need not be maximal). On the other hand, if  $P$  is a prime ideal in  $R$ , its extension need not be prime (or even proper) in  $S$ ; moreover, it is not generally true that  $P$  is the contraction of a prime ideal of  $S$  (cf. the exercises). For integral ring extensions, however, the situation is more controlled:

**Theorem 26.** Let  $R$  be a subring of the commutative ring  $S$  with  $1 \in R$  and suppose that  $S$  integral over  $R$ .

- (1) Assume that  $S$  is an integral domain. Then  $R$  is a field if and only if  $S$  is a field.
- (2) Let  $P$  be a prime ideal in  $R$ . Then there is a prime ideal  $Q$  in  $S$  with  $P = Q \cap R$ . Moreover,  $P$  is maximal if and only if  $Q$  is maximal.
- (3) (*The Going-up Theorem*) Let  $P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P_n$  be a chain of prime ideals in  $R$  and suppose there are prime ideals  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_m$  of  $S$  with  $P_i = Q_i \cap R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $m < n$ . Then the ascending chain of ideals can be completed: there are prime ideals  $Q_{m+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_n$  in  $S$  such that  $P_i = Q_i \cap R$  for all  $i$ .
- (4) (*The Going-down Theorem*) Assume that  $S$  is an integral domain and  $R$  is integrally closed in  $S$ . Let  $P_1 \supseteq P_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq P_n$  be a chain of prime ideals in  $R$  and suppose there are prime ideals  $Q_1 \supseteq Q_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Q_m$  of  $S$  with  $P_i = Q_i \cap R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $m < n$ . Then the descending chain of ideals can be completed: there are prime ideals  $Q_{m+1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Q_n$  in  $S$  such that  $P_i = Q_i \cap R$  for all  $i$ .

*Proof:* To prove (1) assume first that  $R$  is a field and let  $s$  be a nonzero element of  $S$ . Then  $s$  is integral over  $R$ , so

$$s^n + a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1s + a_0 = 0$$

for some  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  in  $R$ . Since  $S$  is an integral domain, we may assume  $a_0 \neq 0$  (otherwise cancel factors of  $s$ ). Then

$$s(s^{n-1} + a_{n-1}s^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1) = -a_0$$

and since  $(-1/a_0) \in R$ , this shows that  $(-1/a_0)(s^{n-1} + a_{n-1}s^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1)$  is an inverse for  $s$  in  $S$ , so  $S$  is a field. Conversely, suppose  $S$  is a field and  $r$  is a nonzero element of  $R$ . Since  $r^{-1} \in S$  is integral over  $R$  we have

$$r^{-m} + a_{m-1}r^{-m+1} + \cdots + a_1r^{-1} + a_0 = 0$$

for some  $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \in R$ . Then  $r^{-1} = -(a_{m-1} + \dots + a_1 r^{m-2} + a_0 r^{m-1}) \in R$ , so  $R$  is a field.

The proof of the first statement in (2) is given in Corollary 50. For the second statement, observe that the integral domain  $S/Q$  is an integral extension of  $R/P$  (Example 2 following Corollary 25). By (1),  $S/Q$  is a field if and only if  $R/P$  is a field, i.e.,  $Q$  is maximal if and only if  $P$  is maximal.

To prove (3), it suffices by induction to prove that if  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$  and  $Q_1$  is a prime of  $S$  with  $Q_1 \cap R = P_1$  then there is a prime  $Q_2$  of  $S$  with  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$  and  $Q_2 \cap R = P_2$ . Since  $\bar{S} = S/Q_1$  is an integral extension of  $\bar{R} = R/P_1$ , the first part of (2) shows that there exists a prime  $\bar{Q}_2$  of  $\bar{S}$  with  $\bar{Q}_2 \cap \bar{R} = P_2/P_1$ . Then the preimage  $Q_2$  of  $\bar{Q}_2$  in  $S$  is a prime ideal containing  $Q_1$  with  $Q_2 \cap R = P_2$ .

The proof of (4) is outlined in Exercise 24 in Section 4.

**Corollary 27.** Suppose  $R$  is a subring of the ring  $S$  with  $1 \in R$  and assume  $S$  is integral and finitely generated (as a ring) over  $R$ . If  $P$  is a maximal ideal in  $R$  then there is a nonzero and finite number of maximal ideals  $Q$  of  $S$  with  $Q \cap R = P$ .

*Proof:* There exists at least one maximal ideal  $Q$  lying over  $P$  by (2) of the theorem, so we must see why there are only finitely many such maximal ideals in  $S$ . If  $Q$  is a maximal ideal of  $S$  with  $Q \cap R = P$  then  $S/Q$  is a field containing the field  $R/P$ . To prove that there are only finitely many possible  $Q$  it suffices to prove that there are only finitely many homomorphisms from  $S$  to a field containing  $R/P$  that extend the homomorphism from  $R$  to  $R/P$ . Let  $S = R[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ , where the elements  $s_i$  are integral over  $R$  by assumption, and let  $p_i(x)$  be a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $R$  satisfied by  $s_i$ . If  $Q$  is a maximal ideal of  $S$  then  $S/Q = (R/P)[\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n]$  is the field extension of the field  $R/P$  with generators  $\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n$ . The element  $\bar{s}_i$  is a root of the monic polynomial  $\bar{p}_i(x)$  with coefficients in  $R/P$  obtained by reducing the coefficients of  $p_i(x)$  mod  $P$ . There are only a finite number of possible roots of this monic polynomial (in a fixed algebraic closure of  $R/P$ ), and so only finitely many possible field extensions of the form  $(R/P)[\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n]$ , which proves the corollary.

## Algebraic Integers

We can use the concept of an integral ring extension to define the “integers” in extension fields of the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be an extension field of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- (1) An element  $\alpha \in K$  is called an *algebraic integer* if  $\alpha$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e., if  $\alpha$  is the root of some monic polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2) The integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $K$  is called the *ring of integers* of  $K$ , and is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

An algebraic integer is clearly algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so the ring of all algebraic integers is the ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Examples of algebraic integers include  $\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\sqrt{-1}$ ,  $\sqrt[3]{5}$ , etc. since these elements are certainly roots of monic polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The definition of an algebraic integer  $\alpha$  is that  $\alpha$  be a root