

For (2), note that the ideal  $LT(I)$  of leading terms of any ideal  $I$  is a monomial ideal generated by all the leading terms of the polynomials in  $I$ . By Exercise 1 a finite number of those leading terms suffice to generate  $LT(I)$ , say  $LT(I) = (LT(h_1), \dots, LT(h_k))$  for some  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in I$ . By (1), the polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  are a Gröbner basis of  $I$ , completing the proof.

Proposition 24 proves that Gröbner bases always exist. We next prove a criterion that determines whether a given set of generators of an ideal  $I$  is a Gröbner basis, which we then use to provide an algorithm to find a Gröbner basis. The basic idea is very simple: additional elements in  $LT(I)$  can arise by taking linear combinations of generators that cancel leading terms, as we saw in taking  $yf_1 - xf_2$  in the first example in this section. We shall see that obtaining new leading terms from generators in this simple manner is the only obstruction to a set of generators being a Gröbner basis.

In general, if  $f_1, f_2$  are two polynomials in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $M$  is the monic least common multiple of the monomial terms  $LT(f_1)$  and  $LT(f_2)$  then we can cancel the leading terms by taking the difference

$$S(f_1, f_2) = \frac{M}{LT(f_1)} f_1 - \frac{M}{LT(f_2)} f_2. \quad (9.1)$$

The next lemma shows that these elementary linear combinations account for all cancellation in leading terms of polynomials of the same multidegree.

**Lemma 25.** Suppose  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are polynomials with the same multidegree  $\alpha$  and that the linear combination  $h = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_m f_m$  with constants  $a_i \in F$  has strictly smaller multidegree. Then

$$h = \sum_{i=2}^m b_i S(f_{i-1}, f_i), \quad \text{for some constants } b_i \in F.$$

*Proof:* Write  $f_i = c_i f'_i$  where  $c_i \in F$  and  $f'_i$  is a monic polynomial of multidegree  $\alpha$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \sum a_i c_i f'_i = a_1 c_1 (f'_1 - f'_2) + (a_1 c_1 + a_2 c_2) (f'_2 - f'_3) + \dots \\ &\quad + (a_1 c_1 + \dots + a_{m-1} c_{m-1}) (f'_{m-1} - f'_m) + (a_1 c_1 + \dots + a_m c_m) f'_m. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f'_{i-1} - f'_i = S(f_{i-1}, f_i)$ . Then since  $h$  and each  $f'_{i-1} - f'_i$  has multidegree strictly smaller than  $\alpha$ , we have  $a_1 c_1 + \dots + a_m c_m = 0$ , so the last term on the right hand side is 0 and the lemma follows.

The next proposition shows that a set of generators  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  is a Gröbner basis if there are no new leading terms among the differences  $S(g_i, g_j)$  not already accounted for by the  $g_i$ . This result provides the principal ingredient in an algorithm to construct a Gröbner basis.

For a fixed monomial ordering on  $R = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and ordered set of polynomials  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  in  $R$ , write  $f \equiv r \bmod G$  if  $r$  is the remainder obtained by general polynomial division of  $f \in R$  by  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  (in that order).

**Proposition 26. (Buchberger's Criterion)** Let  $R = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and fix a monomial ordering on  $R$ . If  $I = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is a nonzero ideal in  $R$ , then  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I$  if and only if  $S(g_i, g_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{G}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ .

*Proof:* If  $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I$ , then  $S(g_i, g_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{G}$  by Theorem 23 since each  $S(g_i, g_j)$  is an element of  $I$ .

Suppose now that  $S(g_i, g_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{G}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  and take any element  $f \in I$ . To see that  $G$  is a Gröbner basis we need to see that  $(LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m))$  contains  $LT(f)$ . Since  $f \in I$ , we can write  $f = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i g_i$  for some polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_m$ . Such a representation is not unique. Among all such representations choose one for which the largest multidegree of any summand (i.e.,  $\max_{i=1, \dots, m} \partial(h_i g_i)$ ) is minimal, say  $\alpha$ . It is clear that the multidegree of  $f$  is no worse than the largest multidegree of all the summands  $h_i g_i$ , so  $\partial(f) \leq \alpha$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i=1}^m h_i g_i = \sum_{\partial(h_i g_i) = \alpha} h_i g_i + \sum_{\partial(h_i g_i) < \alpha} h_i g_i \\ &= \sum_{\partial(h_i g_i) = \alpha} LT(h_i) g_i + \sum_{\partial(h_i g_i) = \alpha} (h_i - LT(h_i)) g_i + \sum_{\partial(h_i g_i) < \alpha} h_i g_i. \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

Suppose that  $\partial(f) < \alpha$ . Then since the multidegree of the second two sums is also strictly smaller than  $\alpha$  it follows that the multidegree of the first sum is strictly smaller than  $\alpha$ . If  $a_i \in F$  denotes the constant coefficient of the monomial term  $LT(h_i)$  then  $LT(h_i) = a_i h'_i$  where  $h'_i$  is a monomial. We can apply Lemma 25 to  $\sum a_i (h'_i g_i)$  to write the first sum above as  $\sum b_i S(h'_{i-1} g_{i-1}, h'_i g_i)$  with  $\partial(h'_{i-1} g_{i-1}) = \partial(h'_i g_i) = \alpha$ . Let  $\beta_{i-1,i}$  be the multidegree of the monic least common multiple of  $LT(g_{i-1})$  and  $LT(g_i)$ . Then an easy computation shows that  $S(h'_{i-1} g_{i-1}, h'_i g_i)$  is just  $S(g_{i-1}, g_i)$  multiplied by the monomial of multidegree  $\alpha - \beta_{i-1,i}$ . The polynomial  $S(g_{i-1}, g_i)$  has multidegree less than  $\beta_{i-1,i}$  and, by assumption,  $S(g_{i-1}, g_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{G}$ . This means that after general polynomial division of  $S(g_{i-1}, g_i)$  by  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ , each  $S(g_{i-1}, g_i)$  can be written as a sum  $\sum q_j g_j$  with  $\partial(q_j g_j) < \beta_{i-1,i}$ . It follows that each  $S(h'_{i-1} g_{i-1}, h'_i g_i)$  is a sum  $\sum q'_j g_j$  with  $\partial(q'_j g_j) < \alpha$ . But then all the sums on the right hand side of equation (2) can be written as a sum of terms of the form  $p_i g_i$  with polynomials  $p_i$  satisfying  $\partial(p_i g_i) < \alpha$ . This contradicts the minimality of  $\alpha$  and shows that in fact  $\partial(f) = \alpha$ , i.e., the leading term of  $f$  has multidegree  $\alpha$ .

If we now take the terms in equation (2) of multidegree  $\alpha$  we see that

$$LT(f) = \sum_{\partial(h_i g_i) = \alpha} LT(h_i) LT(g_i).$$

so indeed  $LT(f) \in (LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m))$ . It follows that  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  is a Gröbner basis.

## Buchberger's Algorithm

Buchberger's Criterion can be used to provide an algorithm to find a Gröbner basis for an ideal  $I$ , as follows. If  $I = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$  and each  $S(g_i, g_j)$  leaves a remainder of 0 when divided by  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  using general polynomial division then  $G$

is a Gröbner basis. Otherwise  $S(g_i, g_j)$  has a nonzero remainder  $r$ . Increase  $G$  by appending the polynomial  $g_{m+1} = r$ :  $G' = \{g_1, \dots, g_m, g_{m+1}\}$  and begin again (note that this is again a set of generators for  $I$  since  $g_{m+1} \in I$ ). It is not hard to check that this procedure terminates after a finite number of steps in a generating set  $G$  that satisfies Buchberger's Criterion, hence is a Gröbner basis for  $I$  (cf. Exercise 16). Note that once an  $S(g_i, g_j)$  yields a remainder of 0 after division by the polynomials in  $G$  it also yields a remainder of 0 when additional polynomials are appended to  $G$ .

If  $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  is a Gröbner basis for the ideal  $I$  and  $LT(g_j)$  is divisible by  $LT(g_i)$  for some  $j \neq i$ , then  $LT(g_j)$  is not needed as a generator for  $LT(I)$ . By Proposition 24 we may therefore delete  $g_j$  and still retain a Gröbner basis for  $I$ . We may also assume without loss that the leading term of each  $g_i$  is monic. A Gröbner basis  $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  for  $I$  where each  $LT(g_i)$  is monic and where  $LT(g_j)$  is not divisible by  $LT(g_i)$  for  $i \neq j$  is called a *minimal Gröbner basis*. While a minimal Gröbner basis is not unique, the number of elements and their leading terms are unique (cf. Exercise 15).

## Examples

- (1) Choose the lexicographic ordering  $x > y$  on  $F[x, y]$  and consider the ideal  $I$  generated by  $f_1 = x^3y - xy^2 + 1$  and  $f_2 = x^2y^2 - y^3 - 1$  as in Example 1 at the beginning of this section. To test whether  $G = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is a Gröbner basis we compute  $S(f_1, f_2) = yf_1 - xf_2 = x + y$ , which is its own remainder when divided by  $\{f_1, f_2\}$ , so  $G$  is not a Gröbner basis for  $I$ . Set  $f_3 = x + y$ , and increase the generating set:  $G' = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ . Now  $S(f_1, f_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{G'}$ , and a brief computation yields

$$S(f_1, f_3) = f_1 - x^2yf_3 = -x^2y^2 - xy^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{G'}$$

$$S(f_2, f_3) = f_2 - xy^2f_3 = -xy^3 - y^3 - 1 \equiv y^4 - y^3 - 1 \pmod{G'}.$$

Let  $f_4 = y^4 - y^3 - 1$  and increase the generating set to  $G'' = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ . The previous 0 remainder is still 0, and now  $S(f_2, f_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{G''}$  by the choice of  $f_4$ . Some additional computation yields

$$S(f_1, f_4) \equiv S(f_2, f_4) \equiv S(f_3, f_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{G''}$$

and so  $\{x^3y - xy^2 + 1, x^2y^2 - y^3 - 1, x + y, y^4 - y^3 - 1\}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I$ . In particular,  $LT(I)$  is generated by the leading terms of these four polynomials, so  $LT(I) = (x^3y, x^2y^2, x, y^4) = (x, y^4)$ , as previously mentioned. Then  $x + y$  and  $y^4 - y^3 - 1$  in  $I$  have leading terms generating  $LT(I)$ , so by Proposition 24,  $\{x + y, y^4 - y^3 - 1\}$  gives a minimal Gröbner basis for  $I$ :

$$I = (x + y, y^4 - y^3 - 1).$$

This description of  $I$  is much simpler than  $I = (x^3y - xy^2 + 1, x^2y^2 - y^3 - 1)$ .

- (2) Choose the lexicographic ordering  $y > x$  on  $F[x, y]$  and consider the ideal  $I$  in the previous example. In this case,  $S(f_1, f_2)$  produces a remainder of  $f_3 = -x - y$ ; then  $S(f_1, f_3)$  produces a remainder of  $f_4 = -x^4 - x^3 + 1$ , and then all remainders are 0 with respect to the Gröbner basis  $\{x^3y - xy^2 + 1, x^2y^2 - y^3 - 1, -x - y, -x^4 - x^3 + 1\}$ . Here  $LT(I) = (-xy^2, -y^3, -y, -x^4) = (y, x^4)$ , as previously mentioned, and  $\{x + y, x^4 + x^3 - 1\}$  gives a minimal Gröbner basis for  $I$  with respect to this ordering:

$$I = (x + y, x^4 + x^3 - 1),$$

a different simpler description of  $I$ .