

be exactly one such α . These intuitive ideas are correct for finite-dimensional subspaces and for some, but not all, infinite-dimensional subspaces. Since the precise situation is too complicated to treat here, we shall prove only the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let W be a subspace of an inner product space V and let β be a vector in V .*

- (i) *The vector α in W is a best approximation to β by vectors in W if and only if $\beta - \alpha$ is orthogonal to every vector in W .*
- (ii) *If a best approximation to β by vectors in W exists, it is unique.*
- (iii) *If W is finite-dimensional and $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is any orthonormal basis for W , then the vector*

$$\alpha = \sum_k \frac{(\beta|\alpha_k)}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k$$

is the (unique) best approximation to β by vectors in W .

Proof. First note that if γ is any vector in V , then $\beta - \gamma = (\beta - \alpha) + (\alpha - \gamma)$, and

$$\|\beta - \gamma\|^2 = \|\beta - \alpha\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta - \alpha|\alpha - \gamma) + \|\alpha - \gamma\|^2.$$

Now suppose $\beta - \alpha$ is orthogonal to every vector in W , that γ is in W and that $\gamma \neq \alpha$. Then, since $\alpha - \gamma$ is in W , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta - \gamma\|^2 &= \|\beta - \alpha\|^2 + \|\alpha - \gamma\|^2 \\ &> \|\beta - \alpha\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that $\|\beta - \gamma\| \geq \|\beta - \alpha\|$ for every γ in W . Then from the first equation above it follows that

$$2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta - \alpha|\alpha - \gamma) + \|\alpha - \gamma\|^2 \geq 0$$

for all γ in W . Since every vector in W may be expressed in the form $\alpha - \gamma$ with γ in W , we see that

$$2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta - \alpha|\tau) + \|\tau\|^2 \geq 0$$

for every τ in W . In particular, if γ is in W and $\gamma \neq \alpha$, we may take

$$\tau = -\frac{(\beta - \alpha|\alpha - \gamma)}{\|\alpha - \gamma\|^2} (\alpha - \gamma).$$

Then the inequality reduces to the statement

$$-2 \frac{|(\beta - \alpha|\alpha - \gamma)|^2}{\|\alpha - \gamma\|^2} + \frac{|(\beta - \alpha|\alpha - \gamma)|^2}{\|\alpha - \gamma\|^2} \geq 0.$$

This holds if and only if $(\beta - \alpha|\alpha - \gamma) = 0$. Therefore, $\beta - \alpha$ is orthogonal to every vector in W . This completes the proof of the equivalence of the two conditions on α given in (i). The orthogonality condition is evidently satisfied by at most one vector in W , which proves (ii).

Now suppose that W is a finite-dimensional subspace of V . Then we know, as a corollary of Theorem 3, that W has an orthogonal basis. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be any orthogonal basis for W and define α by (8-11). Then, by the computation in the proof of Theorem 3, $\beta - \alpha$ is orthogonal to each of the vectors α_k ($\beta - \alpha$ is the vector obtained at the last stage when the orthogonalization process is applied to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta$). Thus $\beta - \alpha$ is orthogonal to every linear combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, i.e., to every vector in W . If γ is in W and $\gamma \neq \alpha$, it follows that $\|\beta - \gamma\| > \|\beta - \alpha\|$. Therefore, α is the best approximation to β that lies in W . ■

Definition. Let V be an inner product space and S any set of vectors in V . The **orthogonal complement** of S is the set S^\perp of all vectors in V which are orthogonal to every vector in S .

The orthogonal complement of V is the zero subspace, and conversely $\{0\}^\perp = V$. If S is any subset of V , its orthogonal complement S^\perp (S perp) is always a subspace of V . For S is non-empty, since it contains 0; and whenever α and β are in S^\perp and c is any scalar,

$$\begin{aligned}(c\alpha + \beta | \gamma) &= c(\alpha | \gamma) + (\beta | \gamma) \\ &= c0 + 0 \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for every γ in S , thus $c\alpha + \beta$ also lies in S . In Theorem 4 the characteristic property of the vector α is that it is the only vector in W such that $\beta - \alpha$ belongs to W^\perp .

Definition. Whenever the vector α in Theorem 4 exists it is called the **orthogonal projection of β on W** . If every vector in V has an orthogonal projection on W , the mapping that assigns to each vector in V its orthogonal projection on W is called the **orthogonal projection of V on W** .

By Theorem 4, the orthogonal projection of an inner product space on a finite-dimensional subspace always exists. But Theorem 4 also implies the following result.

Corollary. Let V be an inner product space, W a finite-dimensional subspace, and E the orthogonal projection of V on W . Then the mapping

$$\beta \rightarrow \beta - E\beta$$

is the orthogonal projection of V on W^\perp .

Proof. Let β be an arbitrary vector in V . Then $\beta - E\beta$ is in W^\perp , and for any γ in W^\perp , $\beta - \gamma = E\beta + (\beta - E\beta - \gamma)$. Since $E\beta$ is in W and $\beta - E\beta - \gamma$ is in W^\perp , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta - \gamma\|^2 &= \|E\beta\|^2 + \|\beta - E\beta - \gamma\|^2 \\ &\geq \|\beta - (\beta - E\beta)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

with strict inequality when $\gamma \neq \beta - E\beta$. Therefore, $\beta - E\beta$ is the best approximation to β by vectors in W^\perp . ■

EXAMPLE 14. Give R^3 the standard inner product. Then the orthogonal projection of $(-10, 2, 8)$ on the subspace W that is spanned by $(3, 12, -1)$ is the vector

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{((-10, 2, 8)|(3, 12, -1))}{9 + 144 + 1} (3, 12, -1) \\ &= \frac{-14}{154} (3, 12, -1). \end{aligned}$$

The orthogonal projection of R^3 on W is the linear transformation E defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \rightarrow \left(\frac{3x_1 + 12x_2 - x_3}{154} \right) (3, 12, -1).$$

The rank of E is clearly 1; hence its nullity is 2. On the other hand,

$$E(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 0, 0)$$

if and only if $3x_1 + 12x_2 - x_3 = 0$. This is the case if and only if (x_1, x_2, x_3) is in W^\perp . Therefore, W^\perp is the null space of E , and $\dim(W^\perp) = 2$. Computing

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \left(\frac{3x_1 + 12x_2 - x_3}{154} \right) (3, 12, -1)$$

we see that the orthogonal projection of R^3 on W^\perp is the linear transformation $I - E$ that maps the vector (x_1, x_2, x_3) onto the vector

$$\frac{1}{154} (145x_1 - 36x_2 + 3x_3, -36x_1 + 10x_2 + 12x_3, 3x_1 + 12x_2 + 153x_3).$$

The observations made in Example 14 generalize in the following fashion.

Theorem 5. *Let W be a finite-dimensional subspace of an inner product space V and let E be the orthogonal projection of V on W . Then E is an idempotent linear transformation of V onto W , W^\perp is the null space of E , and*

$$V = W \oplus W^\perp.$$

Proof. Let β be an arbitrary vector in V . Then $E\beta$ is the best approximation to β that lies in W . In particular, $E\beta = \beta$ when β is in W . Therefore, $E(E\beta) = E\beta$ for every β in V ; that is, E is idempotent: $E^2 = E$. To prove that E is a linear transformation, let α and β be any vectors in

V and c an arbitrary scalar. Then, by Theorem 4, $\alpha - E\alpha$ and $\beta - E\beta$ are each orthogonal to every vector in W . Hence the vector

$$c(\alpha - E\alpha) + (\beta - E\beta) = (c\alpha + \beta) - (cE\alpha + E\beta)$$

also belongs to W^\perp . Since $cE\alpha + E\beta$ is a vector in W , it follows from Theorem 4 that

$$E(c\alpha + \beta) = cE\alpha + E\beta.$$

Of course, one may also prove the linearity of E by using (8-11). Again let β be any vector in V . Then $E\beta$ is the unique vector in W such that $\beta - E\beta$ is in W^\perp . Thus $E\beta = 0$ when β is in W^\perp . Conversely, β is in W^\perp when $E\beta = 0$. Thus W^\perp is the null space of E . The equation

$$\beta = E\beta + \beta - E\beta$$

shows that $V = W + W^\perp$; moreover, $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$. For if α is a vector in $W \cap W^\perp$, then $(\alpha|\alpha) = 0$. Therefore, $\alpha = 0$, and V is the direct sum of W and W^\perp . ■

Corollary. Under the conditions of the theorem, $I - E$ is the orthogonal projection of V on W^\perp . It is an idempotent linear transformation of V onto W^\perp with null space W .

Proof. We have already seen that the mapping $\beta \rightarrow \beta - E\beta$ is the orthogonal projection of V on W^\perp . Since E is a linear transformation, this projection on W^\perp is the linear transformation $I - E$. From its geometric properties one sees that $I - E$ is an idempotent transformation of V onto W . This also follows from the computation

$$\begin{aligned} (I - E)(I - E) &= I - E - E + E^2 \\ &= I - E. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(I - E)\beta = 0$ if and only if $\beta = E\beta$, and this is the case if and only if β is in W . Therefore W is the null space of $I - E$. ■

The Gram-Schmidt process may now be described geometrically in the following way. Given an inner product space V and vectors β_1, \dots, β_n in V , let P_k ($k > 1$) be the orthogonal projection of V on the orthogonal complement of the subspace spanned by $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}$, and set $P_1 = I$. Then the vectors one obtains by applying the orthogonalization process to β_1, \dots, β_n are defined by the equations

$$(8-12) \quad \alpha_k = P_k \beta_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Theorem 5 implies another result known as **Bessel's inequality**.

Corollary. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be an orthogonal set of non-zero vectors in an inner product space V . If β is any vector in V , then

$$\sum_k \frac{|(\beta|\alpha_k)|^2}{||\alpha_k||^2} \leq ||\beta||^2$$

and equality holds if and only if

$$\beta = \sum_k \frac{(\beta|\alpha_k)}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma = \sum_k [(\beta|\alpha_k)/\|\alpha_k\|^2] \alpha_k$. Then $\beta = \gamma + \delta$ where $(\gamma|\delta) = 0$. Hence

$$\|\beta\|^2 = \|\gamma\|^2 + \|\delta\|^2.$$

It now suffices to prove that

$$\|\gamma\|^2 = \sum_k \frac{|(\beta|\alpha_k)|^2}{\|\alpha_k\|^2}.$$

This is straightforward computation in which one uses the fact that $(\alpha_j|\alpha_k) = 0$ for $j \neq k$. ■

In the special case in which $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an orthonormal set, Bessel's inequality says that

$$\sum_k |(\beta|\alpha_k)|^2 \leq \|\beta\|^2.$$

The corollary also tells us in this case that β is in the subspace spanned by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ if and only if

$$\beta = \sum_k (\beta|\alpha_k) \alpha_k$$

or if and only if Bessel's inequality is actually an equality. Of course, in the event that V is finite dimensional and $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis for V , the above formula holds for every vector β in V . In other words, if $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V , the k th coordinate of β in the ordered basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is $(\beta|\alpha_k)$.

EXAMPLE 15. We shall apply the last corollary to the orthogonal sets described in Example 11. We find that

$$(a) \quad \sum_{k=-n}^n \left| \int_0^1 f(t) e^{-2\pi i k t} dt \right|^2 \leq \int_0^1 |f(t)|^2 dt$$

$$(b) \quad \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{2\pi i k t} \right|^2 dt = \sum_{k=-n}^n |c_k|^2$$

$$(c) \quad \int_0^1 (\sqrt{2} \cos 2\pi t + \sqrt{2} \sin 4\pi t)^2 dt = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

Exercises

1. Consider R^4 with the standard inner product. Let W be the subspace of R^4 consisting of all vectors which are orthogonal to both $\alpha = (1, 0, -1, 1)$ and $\beta = (2, 3, -1, 2)$. Find a basis for W .

2. Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the vectors $\beta_1 = (1, 0, 1)$, $\beta_2 = (1, 0, -1)$, $\beta_3 = (0, 3, 4)$, to obtain an orthonormal basis for R^3 with the standard inner product.

3. Consider C^3 , with the standard inner product. Find an orthonormal basis for the subspace spanned by $\beta_1 = (1, 0, i)$ and $\beta_2 = (2, 1, 1 + i)$.

4. Let V be an inner product space. The **distance** between two vectors α and β in V is defined by

$$d(\alpha, \beta) = \|\alpha - \beta\|.$$

Show that

- (a) $d(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$;
- (b) $d(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$;
- (c) $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\beta, \alpha)$;
- (d) $d(\alpha, \beta) \leq d(\alpha, \gamma) + d(\gamma, \beta)$.

5. Let V be an inner product space, and let α, β be vectors in V . Show that $\alpha = \beta$ if and only if $(\alpha|\gamma) = (\beta|\gamma)$ for every γ in V .

6. Let W be the subspace of R^2 spanned by the vector $(3, 4)$. Using the standard inner product, let E be the orthogonal projection of R^2 onto W . Find

- (a) a formula for $E(x_1, x_2)$;
- (b) the matrix of E in the standard ordered basis;
- (c) W^\perp ;
- (d) an orthonormal basis in which E is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

7. Let V be the inner product space consisting of R^2 and the inner product whose quadratic form is defined by

$$\|(x_1, x_2)\|^2 = (x_1 - x_2)^2 + 3x_2^2.$$

Let E be the orthogonal projection of V onto the subspace W spanned by the vector $(3, 4)$. Now answer the four questions of Exercise 6.

8. Find an inner product on R^2 such that $(e_1, e_2) = 2$.

9. Let V be the subspace of $R[x]$ of polynomials of degree at most 3. Equip V with the inner product

$$(f|g) = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt.$$

- (a) Find the orthogonal complement of the subspace of scalar polynomials.
- (b) Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the basis $\{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$.

10. Let V be the vector space of all $n \times n$ matrices over C , with the inner product $(A|B) = \text{tr}(AB^*)$. Find the orthogonal complement of the subspace of diagonal matrices.

11. Let V be a finite-dimensional inner product space, and let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V . Show that for any vectors α, β in V

$$(\alpha|\beta) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha|\alpha_k)(\beta|\alpha_k).$$