

basis of  $V$ . Then for each  $g \in G$  the matrix,  $\varphi(g)$ , of  $g$  acting on  $V$  with respect to this basis is of the form

$$\varphi(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(g) & \psi(g) \\ 0 & \varphi_2(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\varphi_1 = \varphi|_U$  (with respect to the chosen basis of  $U$ ) and  $\varphi_2$  is the representation of  $G$  on  $V/U$  (and  $\psi$  is not necessarily a homomorphism —  $\psi(g)$  need not be a square matrix). So reducible representations are those with a corresponding matrix representation whose matrices are in block upper triangular form.

Assume further that the  $FG$ -module  $V$  is decomposable,  $V = U \oplus U'$ . Take for a basis of  $V$  the union of a basis of  $U$  and a basis of  $U'$ . With this choice of basis the matrix for each  $g \in G$  is of the form

$$\varphi(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

(i.e.,  $\psi(g) = 0$  for all  $g \in G$ ). Thus decomposable representations are those with a corresponding matrix representation whose matrices are in block diagonal form.

## Examples

- (1) As noted above, all degree 1 representations are irreducible, indecomposable and completely reducible. In particular, this applies to the trivial representation and to the representations described in Example 5 above.
- (2) If  $|G| > 1$ , the regular representation of  $G$  is reducible (the augmentation ideal and the trace ideal are proper nonzero submodules). We shall later determine the conditions under which this representation is completely reducible and how it decomposes into a direct sum.
- (3) For  $n > 1$  the  $FS_n$ -module described in Example 10 above is reducible since  $N$  and  $I$  are proper, nonzero submodules. The module  $N$  is irreducible (being 1-dimensional) and if the characteristic of the field  $F$  does not divide  $n$ , then  $I$  is also irreducible.
- (4) The degree 2 representation of the dihedral group  $D_{2n} = G$  described in Example 6 above is irreducible for  $n \geq 3$ . There are no  $G$ -invariant 1-dimensional subspaces since a rotation by  $2\pi/n$  radians sends no line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to itself. Similarly, the degree 2 complex representation of  $Q_8$  described in Example 7 is irreducible since the given matrix  $\varphi(i)$  has exactly two 1-dimensional eigenspaces (corresponding to its distinct eigenvalues  $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ ) and these are not invariant under the matrix  $\varphi(j)$ . The degree 4 representation  $\varphi : Q_8 \rightarrow GL_4(\mathbb{R})$  described in Example 8 can also be shown to be irreducible (see the exercises). We shall see, however, that if we view  $\varphi$  as a complex representation  $\varphi : Q_8 \rightarrow GL_4(\mathbb{C})$  (just by considering the real entries of the matrices to be complex entries) then there is a *complex* matrix  $P$  such that  $P^{-1}\varphi(g)P$  is a direct sum of  $2 \times 2$  block matrices for all  $g \in Q_8$ . Thus an irreducible representation over a field  $F$  may become reducible when the field is extended.
- (5) Let  $G = \langle g \rangle$  be cyclic of order  $n$  and assume  $F$  contains all the  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of 1. As noted in Example 1 in the set of examples of group algebras,  $F\langle g \rangle \cong F[x]/(x^n - 1)$ . Thus the  $FG$ -modules are precisely the  $F[x]$ -modules annihilated by  $x^n - 1$ . The latter (finite dimensional) modules are described, up to equivalence, by the Jordan Canonical Form Theorem.

If the minimal polynomial of  $g$  acting on an  $F\langle g \rangle$ -module  $V$  has distinct roots in  $F$ , there is a basis of  $V$  such that  $g$  (hence all its powers) is represented by a diagonal

matrix (cf. Corollary 25, Section 12.3). In this case,  $V$  is a completely reducible  $F(g)$ -module (being a direct sum of 1-dimensional  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant subspaces). In general, the minimal polynomial of  $g$  acting on  $V$  divides  $x^n - 1$  so if  $x^n - 1$  has distinct roots in  $F$ , then  $V$  is a completely reducible  $F(g)$ -module. The polynomial  $x^n - 1$  has distinct roots in  $F$  if and only if the characteristic of  $F$  does not divide  $n$ . This gives a sufficient condition for every  $F(g)$ -module to be completely reducible.

If the minimal polynomial of  $g$  acting on  $V$  does *not* have distinct roots (so the characteristic of  $F$  does divide  $n$ ), the Jordan canonical form of  $g$  must have an elementary Jordan block of size  $> 1$ . Since every linear transformation has a unique Jordan canonical form,  $g$  cannot be represented by a diagonal matrix, i.e.,  $V$  is not completely reducible. It follows from results on cyclic modules in Section 12.3 that the (1-dimensional) eigenspace of  $g$  in any Jordan block of size  $> 1$  admits no  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant complement, i.e.,  $V$  is reducible but not completely reducible.

Specifically, let  $p$  be a prime, let  $F = \mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $g$  be of order  $p$ . Let  $V$  be the 2-dimensional space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with basis  $v, w$  and define an action of  $g$  on  $V$  by

$$g \cdot v = v \quad \text{and} \quad g \cdot w = v + w.$$

This endomorphism of  $V$  does have order  $p$  (in  $GL(V)$ ) and the matrix of  $g$  with respect to this basis is the elementary Jordan block

$$\varphi(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now  $V$  is reducible ( $\text{span}\{v\}$  is a  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant subspace) but  $V$  is indecomposable (the above  $2 \times 2$  elementary Jordan matrix is not similar to a diagonal matrix).

The first fundamental result in the representation theory of finite groups shows how Example 5 generalizes to noncyclic groups.

**Theorem 1. (Maschke's Theorem)** Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field whose characteristic does not divide  $|G|$ . If  $V$  is any  $FG$ -module and  $U$  is any submodule of  $V$ , then  $V$  has a submodule  $W$  such that  $V = U \oplus W$  (i.e., every submodule is a direct summand).

*Remark:* The hypothesis of Maschke's Theorem applies to any finite group when  $F$  has characteristic 0.

*Proof:* The idea of the proof of Maschke's Theorem is to produce an  $FG$ -module homomorphism

$$\pi : V \rightarrow U$$

which is a projection onto  $U$ , i.e., which satisfies the following two properties:

- (i)  $\pi(u) = u$  for all  $u \in U$
- (ii)  $\pi(\pi(v)) = \pi(v)$  for all  $v \in V$  (i.e.,  $\pi^2 = \pi$ )

(in fact (ii) is implied by (i) and the fact that  $\pi(V) \subseteq U$ ).

Suppose first that we can produce such an  $FG$ -module homomorphism and let  $W = \ker \pi$ . Since  $\pi$  is a module homomorphism,  $W$  is a submodule. We see that  $W$  is a direct sum complement to  $U$  as follows. If  $v \in U \cap W$  then by (i),  $v = \pi(v)$  whereas by definition of  $W$ ,  $\pi(v) = 0$ . This shows  $U \cap W = 0$ . To show  $V = U + W$  let  $v$  be

an arbitrary element of  $V$  and write  $v = \pi(v) + (v - \pi(v))$ . By definition,  $\pi(v) \in U$ . By property (ii) of  $\pi$ ,

$$\pi(v - \pi(v)) = \pi(v) - \pi(\pi(v)) = \pi(v) - \pi(v) = 0,$$

i.e.,  $v - \pi(v) \in W$ . This shows  $V = U + W$  and hence  $V = U \oplus W$ . To establish Maschke's Theorem it therefore suffices to find such an  $FG$ -module projection  $\pi$ .

Since  $U$  is a subspace it has a vector space direct sum complement  $W_0$  in  $V$  (take a basis  $B_1$  of  $U$ , build it up to a basis  $B$  of  $V$  and let  $W_0$  be the span of  $B - B_1$ ). Thus  $V = U \oplus W_0$  as vector spaces but  $W_0$  need not be  $G$ -stable (i.e., need not be an  $FG$ -submodule). Let  $\pi_0 : V \rightarrow U$  be the vector space projection of  $V$  onto  $U$  associated to this direct sum decomposition, i.e.,  $\pi_0$  is defined by

$$\pi_0(u + w) = u \quad \text{for all } u \in U, w \in W_0.$$

The key idea of the proof is to “average”  $\pi_0$  over  $G$  to form an  $FG$ -module projection  $\pi$ . For each  $g \in G$  define

$$g\pi_0g^{-1} : V \rightarrow U \quad \text{by} \quad g\pi_0g^{-1}(v) = g \cdot \pi_0(g^{-1} \cdot v), \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$

(here  $\cdot$  denotes the action of elements of the ring  $FG$ ). Since  $\pi_0$  maps  $V$  into  $U$  and  $U$  is stable under the action of  $g$  we have that  $g\pi_0g^{-1}$  maps  $V$  into  $U$ . Both  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  act as  $F$ -linear transformations, so  $g\pi_0g^{-1}$  is a linear transformation. Furthermore, if  $u$  is in the  $G$ -stable space  $U$  then so is  $g^{-1}u$ , and by definition of  $\pi_0$  we have  $\pi_0(g^{-1}u) = g^{-1}u$ . From this we obtain that for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$g\pi_0g^{-1}(u) = u \quad \text{for all } u \in U$$

(i.e.,  $g\pi_0g^{-1}$  is also a vector space projection of  $V$  onto  $U$ ).

Let  $n = |G|$  and view  $n$  as an element of  $F$  ( $n = 1 + \dots + 1$ ,  $n$  times). By hypothesis  $n$  is not zero in  $F$  and so has an inverse in  $F$ . Define

$$\pi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} g\pi_0g^{-1}.$$

Since  $\pi$  is a scalar multiple of a sum of linear transformations from  $V$  to  $U$ , it is also a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $U$ . Furthermore, each term in the sum defining  $\pi$  restricts to the identity map on the subspace  $U$  and so  $\pi|_U$  is  $1/n$  times the sum of  $n$  copies of the identity. These observations prove the following:

$\pi : V \rightarrow U$  is a linear transformation

$$\pi(u) = u \quad \text{for all } u \in U$$

$$\pi^2(v) = \pi(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

It remains to show that  $\pi$  is an  $FG$ -module homomorphism (i.e., is  $FG$ -linear). It

suffices to prove that for all  $h \in G$ ,  $\pi(hv) = h\pi(v)$ , for  $v \in V$ . In this case

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(hv) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} g\pi_0(g^{-1}hv) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} h(h^{-1}g)\pi_0((g^{-1}h)v) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=h^{-1}g \\ g \in G}} h(k\pi_0(k^{-1}v)) = h\pi(v)\end{aligned}$$

(as  $g$  runs over all elements of  $G$ , so does  $k = h^{-1}g$  and the module element  $h$  may be brought outside the summation by the distributive law in modules). This establishes the existence of the  $FG$ -module projection  $\pi$  and so completes the proof.

The applications of Maschke's Theorem will be to finitely generated  $FG$ -modules. Unlike the situation of  $F[x]$ -modules, however, finitely generated  $FG$ -modules are automatically finite dimensional vector spaces (the difference being that  $FG$  itself is finite dimensional, whereas  $F[x]$  is not). Let  $V$  be an  $FG$ -module. If  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $F$ , then a fortiori  $V$  is finitely generated as an  $FG$ -module (any  $F$  basis gives a set of generators over  $FG$ ). Conversely, if  $V$  is finitely generated as an  $FG$ -module, say by  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ , then one easily sees that  $V$  is spanned as a vector space by the finite set  $\{g \cdot v_i \mid g \in G, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ . Thus

*an  $FG$ -module is finitely generated if and only if it is finite dimensional.*

**Corollary 2.** If  $G$  is a finite group and  $F$  is a field whose characteristic does not divide  $|G|$ , then every finitely generated  $FG$ -module is completely reducible (equivalently, every  $F$ -representation of  $G$  of finite degree is completely reducible).

*Proof:* Let  $V$  be a finitely generated  $FG$ -module. As noted above,  $V$  is finite dimensional over  $F$ , so we may proceed by induction on its dimension. If  $V$  is irreducible, it is completely reducible and the result holds. Suppose therefore that  $V$  has a proper, nonzero  $FG$ -submodule  $U$ . By Maschke's Theorem  $U$  has an  $FG$ -submodule complement  $W$ , i.e.,  $V = U \oplus W$ . By induction, each of  $U$  and  $W$  are direct sums of irreducible submodules, hence so is  $V$ . This completes the induction.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $F$  be a field whose characteristic does not divide  $|G|$  and let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation of  $G$  of finite degree. Then there is a basis of  $V$  such that for each  $g \in G$  the matrix of  $\varphi(g)$  with respect to this basis is block diagonal:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(g) & & & \\ & \varphi_2(g) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \varphi_m(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\varphi_i$  is an irreducible matrix representation of  $G$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .