

Corollary 15. Suppose R is commutative and M, N , and L are left R -modules. Then

$$(M \otimes N) \otimes L \cong M \otimes (N \otimes L)$$

as R -modules for the standard R -module structures on M, N and L .

There is a natural extension of the notion of a bilinear map:

Definition. Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n and L be R -modules with the standard R -module structures. A map $\varphi : M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \rightarrow L$ is called *n -multilinear over R* (or simply *multilinear* if n and R are clear from the context) if it is an R -module homomorphism in each component when the other component entries are kept constant, i.e., for each i

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, rm_i + r'm'_i, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n) \\ = r\varphi(m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_n) + r'\varphi(m_1, \dots, m'_i, \dots, m_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m_i, m'_i \in M_i$ and $r, r' \in R$. When $n = 2$ (respectively, 3) one says φ is *bilinear* (respectively *trilinear*) rather than 2-multilinear (or 3-multilinear).

One may construct the n -fold tensor product $M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$ from first principles and prove its analogous universal property with respect to multilinear maps from $M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$ to L . By the previous theorem and corollary, however, an n -fold tensor product may be obtained unambiguously by iterating the tensor product of pairs of modules since any bracketing of $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$ into tensor products of pairs gives an isomorphic R -module. The universal property of the tensor product of a pair of modules in Theorem 10 and Corollary 12 then implies that multilinear maps factor uniquely through the R -module $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$, i.e., this tensor product is the universal object with respect to multilinear functions:

Corollary 16. Let R be a commutative ring and let M_1, \dots, M_n, L be R -modules. Let $M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$ denote any bracketing of the tensor product of these modules and let

$$\iota : M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \rightarrow M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$$

be the map defined by $\iota(m_1, \dots, m_n) = m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_n$. Then

- (1) for every R -module homomorphism $\Phi : M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \rightarrow L$ the map $\varphi = \Phi \circ \iota$ is n -multilinear from $M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$ to L , and
- (2) if $\varphi : M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \rightarrow L$ is an n -multilinear map then there is a unique R -module homomorphism $\Phi : M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \rightarrow L$ such that $\varphi = \Phi \circ \iota$.

Hence there is a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} n\text{-multilinear maps} \\ \varphi : M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \rightarrow L \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} R\text{-module homomorphisms} \\ \Phi : M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \rightarrow L \end{array} \right\}$$

with respect to which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times \dots \times M_n & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & L \end{array}$$

We have already seen examples where $M_1 \otimes_R N$ is not contained in $M \otimes_R N$ even when M_1 is an R -submodule of M . The next result shows in particular that (an isomorphic copy of) $M_1 \otimes_R N$ is contained in $M \otimes_R N$ if M_1 is an R -module *direct summand* of M .

Theorem 17. (Tensor Products of Direct Sums) Let M, M' be right R -modules and let N, N' be left R -modules. Then there are unique group isomorphisms

$$(M \oplus M') \otimes_R N \cong (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N)$$

$$M \otimes_R (N \oplus N') \cong (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M \otimes_R N')$$

such that $(m, m') \otimes n \mapsto (m \otimes n, m' \otimes n)$ and $m \otimes (n, n') \mapsto (m \otimes n, m \otimes n')$ respectively. If M, M' are also (S, R) -bimodules, then these are isomorphisms of left S -modules. In particular, if R is commutative, these are isomorphisms of R -modules.

Proof: The map $(M \oplus M') \times N \rightarrow (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N)$ defined by $((m, m'), n) \mapsto (m \otimes n, m' \otimes n)$ is well defined since m and m' in $M \oplus M'$ are uniquely defined in the direct sum. The map is clearly R -balanced, so induces a homomorphism f from $(M \oplus M') \otimes N$ to $(M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N)$ with

$$f((m, m') \otimes n) = (m \otimes n, m' \otimes n).$$

In the other direction, the R -balanced maps $M \times N \rightarrow (M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$ and $M' \times N \rightarrow (M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$ given by $(m, n) \mapsto (m, 0) \otimes n$ and $(m', n) \mapsto (0, m') \otimes n$, respectively, define homomorphisms from $M \otimes_R N$ and $M' \otimes_R N$ to $(M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$. These in turn give a homomorphism g from the direct sum $(M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N)$ to $(M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$ with

$$g((m \otimes n_1, m' \otimes n_2)) = (m, 0) \otimes n_1 + (0, m') \otimes n_2.$$

An easy check shows that f and g are inverse homomorphisms and are S -module isomorphisms when M and M' are (S, R) -bimodules. This completes the proof.

The previous theorem clearly extends by induction to any finite direct sum of R -modules. The corresponding result is also true for arbitrary direct sums. For example

$$M \otimes (\oplus_{i \in I} N_i) \cong \oplus_{i \in I} (M \otimes N_i),$$

where I is any index set (cf. the exercises). This result is referred to by saying that *tensor products commute with direct sums*.

Corollary 18. (Extension of Scalars for Free Modules) The module obtained from the free R -module $N \cong R^n$ by extension of scalars from R to S is the free S -module S^n , i.e.,

$$S \otimes_R R^n \cong S^n$$

as left S -modules.

Proof: This follows immediately from Theorem 17 and the isomorphism $S \otimes_R R \cong S$ proved in Example 7 previously.

Corollary 19. Let R be a commutative ring and let $M \cong R^s$ and $N \cong R^t$ be free R -modules with bases m_1, \dots, m_s and n_1, \dots, n_t , respectively. Then $M \otimes_R N$ is a free R -module of rank st , with basis $m_i \otimes n_j$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$, i.e.,

$$R^s \otimes_R R^t \cong R^{st}.$$

Remark: More generally, the tensor product of two free modules of arbitrary rank over a commutative ring is free (cf. the exercises).

Proof: This follows easily from Theorem 17 and the first example following Corollary 9.

Proposition 20. Suppose R is a commutative ring and M, N are left R -modules, considered with the standard R -module structures. Then there is a unique R -module isomorphism

$$M \otimes_R N \cong N \otimes_R M$$

mapping $m \otimes n$ to $n \otimes m$.

Proof: The map $M \times N \rightarrow N \otimes M$ defined by $(m, n) \mapsto n \otimes m$ is R -balanced. Hence it induces a unique homomorphism f from $M \otimes N$ to $N \otimes M$ with $f(m \otimes n) = n \otimes m$. Similarly, we have a unique homomorphism g from $N \otimes M$ to $M \otimes N$ with $g(n \otimes m) = m \otimes n$ giving the inverse of f , and both maps are easily seen to be R -module isomorphisms.

Remark: When $M = N$ it is not in general true that $a \otimes b = b \otimes a$ for $a, b \in M$. We shall study “symmetric tensors” in Section 11.6.

We end this section by showing that the tensor product of R -algebras is again an R -algebra.

Proposition 21. Let R be a commutative ring and let A and B be R -algebras. Then the multiplication $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$ is well defined and makes $A \otimes_R B$ into an R -algebra.

Proof: Note first that the definition of an R -algebra shows that

$$r(a \otimes b) = ra \otimes b = ar \otimes b = a \otimes rb = a \otimes br = (a \otimes b)r$$

for every $r \in R, a \in A$ and $b \in B$. To show that $A \otimes B$ is an R -algebra the main task is, as usual, showing that the specified multiplication is well defined. One way to proceed is to use two applications of Corollary 16, as follows. The map $\varphi : A \times B \times A \times B \rightarrow A \otimes B$ defined by $\varphi(a, b, a', b') = aa' \otimes bb'$ is multilinear over R . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, r_1b_1 + r_2b_2, a', b') &= aa' \otimes (r_1b_1 + r_2b_2)b' \\ &= aa' \otimes r_1b_1b' + aa' \otimes r_2b_2b' \\ &= r_1f(a, b_1, a', b') + r_2f(a, b_2, a', b'). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 16, there is a corresponding R -module homomorphism Φ from $A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B$ to $A \otimes B$ with $\Phi(a \otimes b \otimes a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$. Viewing $A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B$ as $(A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B)$, we can apply Corollary 16 once more to obtain a well defined R -bilinear mapping φ' from $(A \otimes B) \times (A \otimes B)$ to $A \otimes B$ with $\varphi'(a \otimes b, a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$. This shows that the multiplication is indeed well defined (and also that it satisfies the distributive laws). It is now a simple matter (left to the exercises) to check that with this multiplication $A \otimes B$ is an R -algebra.

Example

The tensor product $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is free of rank 4 as a module over \mathbb{R} with basis given by $e_1 = 1 \otimes 1$, $e_2 = 1 \otimes i$, $e_3 = i \otimes 1$, and $e_4 = i \otimes i$ (by Corollary 19). By Proposition 21, this tensor product is also a (commutative) ring with $e_1 = 1$, and, for example,

$$e_4^2 = (i \otimes i)(i \otimes i) = i^2 \otimes i^2 = (-1) \otimes (-1) = (-1)(-1) \otimes 1 = 1.$$

Then $(e_4 - 1)(e_4 + 1) = 0$, so $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is not an integral domain.

The ring $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra and the left and right \mathbb{R} -actions are the same: $xr = rx$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. The ring $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ has a structure of a left \mathbb{C} -module because the first \mathbb{C} is a (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}) -bimodule. It also has a right \mathbb{C} -module structure because the second \mathbb{C} is an (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) -bimodule. For example,

$$i \cdot e_1 = i \cdot (1 \otimes 1) = (i \cdot 1) \otimes 1 = i \otimes 1 = e_3$$

and

$$e_1 \cdot i = (1 \otimes 1) \cdot i = 1 \otimes (1 \cdot i) = 1 \otimes i = e_2.$$

This example also shows that even when the rings involved are commutative there may be natural left and right module structures (over some ring) that are not the same.

EXERCISES

Let R be a ring with 1.

1. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism from the ring R to the ring S with $f(1_R) = 1_S$. Verify the details that $sr = sf(r)$ defines a right R -action on S under which S is an (S, R) -bimodule.
2. Show that the element " $2 \otimes 1$ " is 0 in $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ but is nonzero in $2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
3. Show that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ are both left \mathbb{R} -modules but are not isomorphic as \mathbb{R} -modules.
4. Show that $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ are isomorphic left \mathbb{Q} -modules. [Show they are both 1-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{Q} .]
5. Let A be a finite abelian group of order n and let p^k be the largest power of the prime p dividing n . Prove that $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ is isomorphic to the Sylow p -subgroup of A .
6. If R is any integral domain with quotient field Q , prove that $(Q/R) \otimes_R (Q/R) = 0$.
7. If R is any integral domain with quotient field Q and N is a left R -module, prove that every element of the tensor product $Q \otimes_R N$ can be written as a simple tensor of the form $(1/d) \otimes n$ for some nonzero $d \in R$ and some $n \in N$.
8. Suppose R is an integral domain with quotient field Q and let N be any R -module. Let $U = R^\times$ be the set of nonzero elements in R and define $U^{-1}N$ to be the set of equivalence classes of ordered pairs of elements (u, n) with $u \in U$ and $n \in N$ under the equivalence relation $(u, n) \sim (u', n')$ if and only if $u'n = un'$ in N .