

In this case we simply say  $\beta$  is the equivalence between the two extensions. As noted in Section 10.5, equivalence of extensions is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. We also observe that

*equivalent extensions define the same  $G$ -module structure on  $A$ .*

To see this assume (29) is an equivalence, let  $g$  be any element of  $G$  and let  $e_g$  be any element of  $E_1$  mapping onto  $g$  by  $\pi_1$ . The action of  $g$  on  $A$  given by conjugation in  $E_1$  maps each  $a$  to  $\iota_1^{-1}(e_g \iota_1(a) e_g^{-1})$ . Let  $e'_g = \beta(e_g)$ . Since the diagram commutes,  $\pi_2(e'_g) = g$ , so the action of  $g$  on  $A$  in the second extension is given by conjugation by  $e'_g$ . This conjugation maps  $a$  to  $\iota_2^{-1}(e'_g \iota_2(a) e'^{-1}_g)$ . Since  $\iota_1, \iota_2$  and  $\beta$  are injective, the two actions of  $g$  on  $a$  are equal if and only if they result in the same image in  $E_2$ , i.e.,  $\beta \circ \iota_1(\iota_1^{-1}(e_g \iota_1(a) e_g^{-1})) = e'_g \iota_2(a) e'^{-1}_g$ . This equality is now immediate from the definition of  $e'_g$  and the commutativity of the diagram.

We next see how an extension as in (28) defines a 2-cocycle in  $Z^2(G, A)$ . For simplicity we identify  $A$  as a subgroup of  $E$  via  $\iota$  and we identify  $G$  as  $E/A$  via  $\pi$ .

**Definition.** A map  $\mu : G \rightarrow E$  with  $\pi \circ \mu(g) = g$  and  $\mu(1) = 0$ , i.e., so that for each  $g \in G$ ,  $\mu(g)$  is a representative of the coset  $Ag$  of  $E$  and the identity of  $E$  (which is the zero of  $A$ ) represents the identity coset, is called a *normalized section* of  $\pi$ .

Fix a section  $\mu$  of  $\pi$  in (28). Each element of  $E$  may be written uniquely in the form  $a\mu(g)$ , where  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$ . For  $g, h \in G$  the product  $\mu(g)\mu(h)$  in  $E$  lies in the coset  $Agh$ , so there is a unique element  $f(g, h)$  in  $A$  such that

$$\mu(g)\mu(h) = f(g, h)\mu(gh) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G. \quad (17.30)$$

If in addition  $\mu$  is normalized at the identity we also have

$$f(g, 1) = 0 = f(1, g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G. \quad (17.31)$$

**Definition.** The function  $f$  defined by equation (30) is called the *factor set* for the extension  $E$  associated to the section  $\mu$ . If  $f$  also satisfies (31) then  $f$  is called a *normalized factor set*.

We shall see in the examples following that it is possible for different sections  $\mu$  to give the same factor set  $f$ .

We now verify that the factor set  $f$  is in fact a 2-cocycle. First note that the group operation in  $E$  may be written

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1\mu(g))(a_2\mu(h)) &= (a_1 + \mu(g)a_2\mu(g)^{-1})\mu(g)\mu(h) \\ &= (a_1 + g \cdot a_2)\mu(g)\mu(h) \\ &= (a_1 + g \cdot a_2 + f(g, h))\mu(gh) \end{aligned} \quad (17.32)$$

where  $g \cdot a_2$  denotes the  $G$ -module action of  $g$  on  $a_2$  given by conjugation in  $E$ . Now use (32) and the associative law in  $E$  to compute the product  $\mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(k)$  in two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu(g)\mu(h))\mu(k) &= (f(g, h) + f(gh, k))\mu(ghk) \\ \mu(g)(\mu(h)\mu(k)) &= (gf(h, k) + f(g, hk))\mu(ghk). \end{aligned} \quad (17.33)$$

It follows that the factors in  $A$  of the two right hand sides in (33) are equal for every  $g, h, k \in G$ , and this is precisely the 2-cocycle condition (26) for  $f$ . This shows that the factor set associated to the extension  $E$  and any choice of section  $\mu$  is an element in  $Z^2(G, A)$ .

We next see how the factor set  $f$  depends on the choice of section  $\mu$ . Suppose  $\mu'$  is another section for the same extension  $E$  in (28), and let  $f'$  be its associated factor set. Then for all  $g \in G$  both  $\mu(g)$  and  $\mu'(g)$  lie in the same coset  $Ag$ , so there is a function  $f_1 : G \rightarrow A$  such that  $\mu'(g) = f_1(g)\mu(g)$  for all  $g$ . Then

$$\mu'(g)\mu'(h) = f'(g, h)\mu'(gh) = (f'(g, h) + f_1(gh))\mu(gh).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu'(g)\mu'(h) &= (f_1(g)\mu(g))(f_1(h)\mu(h)) = (f_1(g) + g \cdot f_1(h))(\mu(g)\mu(h)) \\ &= (f_1(g) + g \cdot f_1(h) + f(g, h))\mu(gh).\end{aligned}$$

Equating the factors in  $A$  in these two expressions for  $\mu'(g)\mu'(h)$  shows that

$$f'(g, h) = f(g, h) + (gf_1(h) - f_1(gh) + f_1(g)) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G,$$

in other words  $f$  and  $f'$  differ by the 2-coboundary of  $f_1$  as in (27).

We have shown that the factor sets associated to the extension  $E$  corresponding to different choices of sections give 2-cocycles in  $Z^2(G, A)$  that differ by a coboundary in  $B^2(G, A)$ . Hence associated to the extension  $E$  is a well defined cohomology class in  $H^2(G, A)$  determined by the factor set in (30) for any choice of section  $\mu$ .

If the extension  $E$  of  $G$  by  $A$  is a *split* extension (which is to say that  $E = A \rtimes G$  is the semidirect product of  $G$  by  $A$  with the given conjugation action of  $G$  on  $A$ ), then there is a section  $\mu$  of  $G$  that is a *homomorphism* from  $G$  to  $E$ . In this case the factor set  $f$  in (30) is identically 0:  $f(g, h) = 0$  for all  $g, h \in G$ . Hence the cohomology class in  $H^2(G, A)$  defined by a split extension is the trivial class.

Suppose now that  $\beta$  is an equivalence between the extension in (28) and an extension  $E'$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & E' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & G \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

If  $\mu$  is a section of  $\pi$ , then  $\mu' = \beta \circ \mu$  is a section of  $\pi'$ , so what we have just proved can be used to determine the cohomology class in  $H^2(G, A)$  corresponding to  $E'$ . Applying the homomorphism  $\beta$  to equation (30) gives

$$\beta(\mu(g))\beta(\mu(h)) = \beta(f(g, h))\beta(\mu(gh)) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G.$$

Since  $\beta$  restricts to the identity map on  $A$ , this is

$$\mu'(g)\mu'(h) = f(g, h)\mu'(gh) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G,$$

which shows that the factor set for  $E'$  associated to  $\mu'$  is the same as the factor set for  $E$  associated to  $\mu$ . This proves that equivalent extensions define the same cohomology class in  $H^2(G, A)$ .

We next show how this procedure may be reversed: Given a class in  $H^2(G, A)$  we construct an extension  $E_f$  whose corresponding factor set is in the given class in  $H^2(G, A)$ . The process generalizes the semidirect product construction of Section 5.5 (which is the special case when  $f$  is the zero cocycle representing the trivial class).

Note first that any 2-cocycle arising from the factor set of an extension as above where the section  $\mu$  is normalized satisfies the condition in (31).

**Definition.** A 2-cocycle  $f$  such that  $f(g, 1) = 0 = f(1, g)$  for all  $g \in G$  is called a *normalized 2-cocycle*.

The construction of  $E_f$  is a little simpler when  $f$  is a normalized cocycle and for simplicity we indicate the construction in this case (the minor modifications necessary when  $f$  is not normalized are indicated in Exercise 4).

We first see that any 2-cocycle  $f$  lies in the same cohomology class as a normalized 2-cocycle. Let  $d_1 f_1$  be the 2-coboundary of the constant function  $f_1$  on  $G$  whose value is  $f(1, 1)$ . Then  $f(1, 1) = d_1 f_1(1, 1)$ , and one easily checks from the 2-cocycle condition that  $f - d_1 f_1$  is normalized.

We may therefore assume that our cohomology class in  $H^2(G, A)$  is represented by the normalized 2-cocycle  $f$ . Let  $E_f$  be the set  $A \times G$ , and define a binary operation on  $E_f$  by

$$(a_1, g)(a_2, h) = (a_1 + g \cdot a_2 + f(g, h), gh) \quad (17.34)$$

where, as usual,  $g \cdot a_2$  denotes the module action of  $G$  on  $A$ . It is straightforward to check that the group axioms hold: Since  $f$  is normalized, the identity element is  $(0, 1)$  and inverses are given by

$$(a, g)^{-1} = (-g^{-1} \cdot a - f(g^{-1}, g), g^{-1}). \quad (17.35)$$

The cocycle condition implies the associative law by calculations similar to (32) and (33) earlier — the details are left as exercises.

Since  $f$  is a normalized 2-cocycle,  $A^* = \{(a, 1) \mid a \in A\}$  is a subgroup of  $E_f$ , and the map  $\iota^* : a \mapsto (a, 1)$  is an isomorphism from  $A$  to  $A^*$ . Moreover, from (34) and (35) it follows that

$$(0, g)(a, 1)(0, g)^{-1} = (g \cdot a, 1) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and all } a \in A. \quad (17.36)$$

Since  $E_f$  is generated by  $A^*$  together with the set of elements  $(0, g)$  for  $g \in G$ , (36) implies that  $A^*$  is a normal subgroup of  $E_f$ . Furthermore, it is immediate from (34) that the map  $\pi^* : (a, g) \mapsto g$  is a surjective homomorphism from  $E_f$  to  $G$  with kernel  $A^*$ , i.e.,  $E_f/A^* \cong G$ . Thus

$$1 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota^*} E_f \xrightarrow{\pi^*} G \longrightarrow 1 \quad (17.37)$$

is a specific extension of  $G$  by  $A$ , where (36) ensures also that the action of  $G$  on  $A$  by conjugation in this extension is the module action specified in determining the 2-cocycle  $f$  in  $H^2(G, A)$ . The extension sequence (37) shows that this extension has the normalized section  $\mu(g) = (0, g)$  whose corresponding normalized factor set is  $f$ . Note that this proves not only that every cohomology class in  $H^2(G, A)$  arises from

some extension  $E$ , but that every normalized 2-cocycle arises as the normalized factor set of some extension.

Finally, suppose  $f'$  is another normalized 2-cocycle in the same cohomology class in  $H^2(G, A)$  as  $f$  and let  $E_{f'}$  be the corresponding extension. If  $f$  and  $f'$  differ by the coboundary of  $f_1 : G \rightarrow A$  then  $f(g, h) - f'(g, h) = gf_1(h) - f_1(gh) + f_1(g)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ . Setting  $g = h = 1$  shows that  $f_1(1) = 0$ . Define

$$\beta : E_f \longrightarrow E_{f'} \quad \text{by} \quad \beta((a, g)) = (a + f_1(g), g).$$

It is immediate that  $\beta$  is a bijection, and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta((a_1, g)(a_2, h)) &= \beta((a_1 + g \cdot a_2 + f(g, h), gh)) \\ &= (a_1 + g \cdot a_2 + f(g, h) + f_1(gh), gh) \\ &= (a_1 + f_1(g) + g \cdot (a_2 + f_1(h)) + f'(g, h), gh) \\ &= (a_1 + f_1(g), g)(a_2 + f_1(h), h) = \beta((a_1, g))\beta((a_2, h)) \end{aligned}$$

shows that  $\beta$  is an isomorphism from  $E_f$  to  $E_{f'}$ .

The restriction of  $\beta$  to  $A$  is given by  $\beta((a, 1)) = (a + f_1(1), 1) = (a, 1)$ , so  $\beta$  is the identity map on  $A$ . Similarly  $\beta$  is the identity map on the second component of  $(a, g)$ , so  $\beta$  induces the identity map on the quotient  $G$ . It follows that  $\beta$  defines an equivalence between the extensions  $E_f$  and  $E_{f'}$ . This shows that the equivalence class of the extension  $E_f$  depends only on the cohomology class of  $f$  in  $H^2(G, A)$ .

We summarize this discussion in the following theorem.

**Theorem 36.** Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -module. Then

- (1) A function  $f : G \times G \rightarrow A$  is a normalized factor set of some extension  $E$  of  $G$  by  $A$  (with conjugation given by the  $G$ -module action on  $A$ ) if and only if  $f$  is a normalized 2-cocycle in  $Z^2(G, A)$ .
- (2) There is a bijection between the equivalence classes of extensions  $E$  as in (1) and the cohomology classes in  $H^2(G, A)$ . The bijection takes an extension  $E$  into the class of a normalized factor set  $f$  for  $E$  associated to any normalized section  $\mu$  of  $G$  into  $E$ , and takes a cohomology class  $c$  in  $H^2(G, A)$  to the extension  $E_f$  defined by the extension (37) for any normalized cocycle  $f$  in the class  $c$ .
- (3) Under the bijection in (2), split extensions correspond to the trivial cohomology class.

**Corollary 37.** Every extension of  $G$  by the abelian group  $A$  splits if and only if  $H^2(G, A) = 0$ .

**Corollary 38.** If  $A$  is a finite abelian group and  $(|A|, |G|) = 1$  then every extension of  $G$  by  $A$  splits.

*Proof:* This follows immediately from Corollary 29 in Section 2.

We can use Corollary 38 to prove the same result without the restriction that  $A$  be an abelian group.