

Finally, by the multiplicativity of  $\varphi$ , it is clear that  $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\alpha}$  (simply raise both sides of  $a^{\varphi(p^\alpha)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\alpha}$  to the appropriate power). Since this is true for each  $p^\alpha \mid m$ , and since the different prime powers have no common factors with one another, it follows by Property 5 of congruences that  $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

**Corollary.** *If  $\text{g.c.d.}(a, m) = 1$  and if  $n'$  is the least nonnegative residue of  $n$  modulo  $\varphi(m)$ , then  $a^n \equiv a^{n'} \pmod{m}$ .*

This corollary is proved in the same way as the corollary of Proposition I.3.2.

**Remark.** As the proof of Proposition I.3.5 makes clear, there's a smaller power of  $a$  which is guaranteed to give  $1 \pmod{m}$ : the least common multiple of the powers that give  $1 \pmod{p^\alpha}$  for each  $p^\alpha \mid m$ . For example,  $a^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{105}$  for  $a$  prime to 105, because 12 is a multiple of  $3 - 1$ ,  $5 - 1$  and  $7 - 1$ . Note that  $\varphi(105) = 48$ . Here is another example:

**Example 3.** Compute  $2^{1000000} \pmod{77}$ .

**Solution.** Because 30 is the least common multiple of  $\varphi(7) = 6$  and  $\varphi(11) = 10$ , by the above remark we have  $2^{30} \equiv 1 \pmod{77}$ . Since  $1000000 = 30 \cdot 33333 + 10$ , it follows that  $2^{1000000} \equiv 2^{10} \equiv 23 \pmod{77}$ . A second method of solution would be first to compute  $2^{1000000} \pmod{7}$  (since  $1000000 = 6 \cdot 166666 + 4$ , this is  $2^4 \equiv 2$ ) and also  $2^{1000000} \pmod{11}$  (since  $1000000$  is divisible by  $11 - 1$ , this is 1), and then use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to find an  $x$  between 0 and 76 which is  $\equiv 2 \pmod{7}$  and  $\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ .

**Modular exponentiation by the repeated squaring method.** A basic computation one often encounters in modular arithmetic is finding  $b^n \pmod{m}$  (i.e., finding the least nonnegative residue) when both  $m$  and  $n$  are very large. There is a clever way of doing this that is much quicker than repeated multiplication of  $b$  by itself. In what follows we shall assume that  $b < m$ , and that whenever we perform a multiplication we then immediately reduce  $\pmod{m}$  (i.e., replace the product by its least nonnegative residue). In that way we never encounter any integers greater than  $m^2$ . We now describe the algorithm.

Use  $a$  to denote the partial product. When we're done, we'll have  $a$  equal to the least nonnegative residue of  $b^n \pmod{m}$ . We start out with  $a = 1$ . Let  $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}$  denote the binary digits of  $n$ , i.e.,  $n = n_0 + 2n_1 + 4n_2 + \dots + 2^{k-1}n_{k-1}$ . Each  $n_j$  is 0 or 1. If  $n_0 = 1$ , change  $a$  to  $b$  (otherwise keep  $a = 1$ ). Then square  $b$ , and set  $b_1 = b^2 \pmod{m}$  (i.e.,  $b_1$  is the least nonnegative residue of  $b^2 \pmod{m}$ ). If  $n_1 = 1$ , multiply  $a$  by  $b_1$  (and reduce  $\pmod{m}$ ); otherwise keep  $a$  unchanged. Next square  $b_1$ , and set  $b_2 = b_1^2 \pmod{m}$ . If  $n_2 = 1$ , multiply  $a$  by  $b_2$ ; otherwise keep  $a$  unchanged. Continue in this way. You see that in the  $j$ -th step you have computed  $b_j \equiv b^{2^j} \pmod{m}$ . If  $n_j = 1$ , i.e., if  $2^j$  occurs in the binary expansion of  $n$ , then you include  $b_j$  in the product for  $a$  (if  $2^j$  is absent from  $n$ , then you do not). It is easy to see that after the  $(k - 1)$ -st step you'll have the desired  $a \equiv b^n \pmod{m}$ .