

**Proof**

Since all the characteristic roots of  $T$  are equal to 1, there exists a basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  with respect to which  $T$  is represented by its Jordan normal form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is a square matrix of order  $n$ . then we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} T(e_1) = e_1 \\ T(e_i) = e_{i-1} + e_i \end{array} \right\} \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq n$$

Let  $W$  be a  $k$ -dimensional  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  and let  $T_1$  be the endomorphism of  $W$  induced by  $T$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of  $T_1$  divides the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  so that all the characteristic roots of  $T_1$  are also equal to 1. Then there exists a basis  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$  of  $W$  such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} T_1(w_1) = w_1 \\ T_1(w_i) = w_{i-1} + w_i \end{array} \right\} \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq k$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let

$$w_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} e_j$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 = T_1(w_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{1j} T(e_j) \\ &= \alpha_{11} e_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \alpha_{1j} (e_{j-1} + e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_{1j} + \alpha_{1j+1}) e_j + \alpha_{1n} e_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\alpha_{1j} = \alpha_{1j} + \alpha_{1j+1} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1$$

which show that

$$\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{13} = \cdots = \alpha_{1n} = 0$$

and

$$w_1 = \alpha_{11}e_1$$

We claim that

$$w_i = \alpha_{11}(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_i) \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \quad (10.1)$$

Suppose that we have proved the relation up to  $i < k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_i + w_{i+1} &= T(w_{i+1}) \\ &= \alpha_{i+1,1}e_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \alpha_{i+1,j}(e_{j-1} + e_j) \end{aligned}$$

which on comparison of coefficients of  $e_j$  gives

$$\alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{i+1,j} = \alpha_{i+1,j} + \alpha_{i+1,j+1} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1$$

or

$$\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{i+1,j+1} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \quad (10.2)$$

The relations (10.1) for  $i$  and (10.2) together show that

$$\alpha_{i+1,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j > i+1$$

and

$$\alpha_{i+1,j} = \alpha_{11} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq i+1$$

This proves that

$$w_{i+1} = \alpha_{11}(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_{i+1})$$

Thus (10.1) holds  $\forall i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$  (otherwise  $T$  is singular),  $W$  is spanned by

$$e_1, e_1 + e_2, \dots, e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_k$$

which is the same as the space spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_k$ .

### Theorem 10.6

Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a binary self dual code of length  $n = 2^a b$ ,  $a \geq 1$ ,  $b \geq 1$  and  $b$  odd, that is fixed (setwise) by a permutation group  $G$  satisfying the conditions

- (a)  $G$  is transitive on the  $n$  coordinate positions;
- (b)  $G$  has a Sylow 2-subgroup which is cyclic of order  $2^a$ .

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains code words of weight congruent to 2 modulo 4.

**Proof**

Let  $P$  be the cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  with generator  $\pi$ . Since  $O(P) = 2^a$ ,  $O(G) = 2^a e$ , where  $e$  is odd and divisible by  $b$ . Then  $G$  contains a normal subgroup  $H$  with  $G/H \cong P$ ,  $O(H) = e$  (Proposition 10.5).

Let

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid uh = u \forall h \in H\}$$

Since  $O(H)$  is odd and  $n$  is even,  $H$  is not transitive (by Lemma 10.1). Then it follows (from Theorem 10.2) that  $G$  is imprimitive with the orbits of  $H$  forming a complete block system of  $G$ . All the blocks of  $G$  have the same length,  $l$  (say), and suppose that there are  $m$  blocks. Then

$$lm = n = 2^a b$$

Each block being an orbit of  $H$ ,  $H$  is transitive on each block and, therefore,  $l \mid O(H)$  (Proposition 10.3(i)). Then  $l$  is odd. Therefore  $2^a \mid m$ . From the definition of complete block system, it follows that  $\pi$  is transitive on the blocks so that  $m \leq 2^a$ . Thus  $m = 2^a$  and the orbits of  $H$  consist of  $2^a$  blocks of length  $b$  each.

Therefore, the fixed subspace  $(\mathbb{B}^n)_0$  has dimension  $2^a$  with one generator for each block. Relabel the  $n$  coordinates in such a way that the elements in every orbit of  $H$  are consecutively numbered. Then the generator matrix of  $(\mathbb{B}^n)_0$  becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{111} & 000 & \cdots & 000 \\ 000 & 111 & \cdots & 000 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 000 & 000 & \cdots & 111 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows from Theorem 10.5 that  $\dim \mathcal{C}_0$  is  $2^{a-1}$ . Also the action of the generator  $\pi$  of the cyclic group  $P$  on the blocks is represented by the square matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of order  $2^a$ . Since the determinant of a matrix remains unchanged except for a possible change of sign when some rows are interchanged, the characteristic roots of  $\mathbf{A}$  are the same as the characteristic roots of the identity matrix  $\mathbf{I}$  of order  $n$ . Hence the characteristic roots of  $\mathbf{A}$  are all 1. Therefore, there is a basis

$$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2^a}$$

for  $\mathbb{B}^{2^a}$  w.r.t. which  $\pi$  is represented by its Jordan normal form (Theorem 10.4), which is the square matrix

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \cdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of order  $2^a$ . Then,  $\forall k, 1 \leq k \leq 2^a$ ,  $\mathbb{B}^n$  has exactly one subspace of dimension  $k$ . The rows of  $2^{a-1} \times n$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{111}^b & 000 & \cdots & 000 & \overbrace{111}^b & 000 & \cdots & 000 \\ 000 & 111 & \cdots & 000 & 000 & 111 & \cdots & 000 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 000 & 000 & \cdots & 111 & 000 & 000 & \cdots & 111 \end{pmatrix}$$

in which each row has two blocks of  $b$  ones as shown are linearly independent. Therefore, the rows of this matrix generate a subspace of dimension  $2^{a-1}$  which must be the unique subcode  $\mathcal{C}_0$ . Since  $b$  is odd, weight of each row of  $\mathbf{A}$  is equivalent to  $2 \pmod{4}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{C}_0$  contains words of weight equivalent to  $2 \pmod{4}$ .

### Corollary

No binary cyclic self dual code has all its weights divisible by 4.

### Proof

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a binary cyclic self dual code of length  $n = 2^a b$ , where  $b$  is odd. Since the length of a self dual code is even,  $a \geq 1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a cyclic permutation fixing  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$  be the cyclic group generated by  $\sigma$ . Then  $P = \langle \sigma^b \rangle$  is a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  with order  $2^a$ . The result then follows from the above theorem.

# Hadamard matrices and Hadamard codes

---

## 11.1 HADAMARD MATRICES

### Definition 11.1

A **Hadamard matrix**  $\mathbf{M}$  of order  $n$  is a square matrix of order  $n$  with every entry equal to 1 or  $-1$  such that  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t = n\mathbf{I}$ . (Here  $\mathbf{M}^t$  denotes the transpose of the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ .)

### Remarks 11.1

#### Note (i)

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ . Then

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t = n\mathbf{I} \Rightarrow (\det \mathbf{M})^2 = n^n$$

so that  $\det \mathbf{M} \neq 0$  and hence  $\mathbf{M}$  is non-singular.

Also

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t = n\mathbf{I} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t \right) = \mathbf{M}^{-1}$$

i.e.

$$\mathbf{M}^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{M}^t$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{M}^t\mathbf{M} = n\mathbf{I}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{M}^t$  is also a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ .

#### Note (ii)

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ . Let  $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \dots, \mathbf{R}_n$  denote the rows of  $\mathbf{M}$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}_1$  be the matrix obtained from  $\mathbf{M}$  by multiplying every entry of the  $i$ th

row of  $\mathbf{M}$  by  $-1$ . Since  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t = n\mathbf{I}$

$$\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{R}_k^t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq k, 1 \leq j, k \leq n \\ n & \text{if } j = k, 1 \leq j \leq n \end{cases} \quad (11.1)$$

Let  $\mathbf{S}_1, \dots, \mathbf{S}_n$  denote the rows of  $\mathbf{M}_1$  so that  $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{R}_j$  if  $j \neq i$  and  $\mathbf{S}_i = -\mathbf{R}_i$ . Then  $\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{M}_1^t = (\lambda_{jk})$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{jk} &= \mathbf{S}_j\mathbf{S}_k^t \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{R}_k^t & \text{if } j \neq i, k \neq i \\ -\mathbf{R}_i\mathbf{R}_k^t & \text{if } j = i, k \neq i \\ -\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{R}_i^t & \text{if } j \neq i, k = i \\ \mathbf{R}_i\mathbf{R}_i^t & \text{if } j = k = i \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \\ n & \text{if } j = k \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{M}_1^t = n\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_1$  is a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ . Thus if every entry of some row of  $\mathbf{M}$  is multiplied by  $-1$ , then the resulting matrix is a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ . Similarly, if every entry of a column of  $\mathbf{M}$  is multiplied by  $-1$ , the resulting matrix is again a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ .

**Note (iii)**

Given a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ , by a repeated application of Note (ii) above, we can obtain a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  in which every entry in the first row and in the first column is  $+1$ .

**Note (iv)**

If any two rows or any two columns are interchanged in a Hadamard matrix, then the resulting matrix is again Hadamard.

**Definition 11.2**

A Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  in which every entry in the first row and in the first column is  $+1$  is called a **normalized Hadamard matrix** of order  $n$ .

In view of Note (iii) above, observe that if a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  exists, then so does a normalized Hadamard matrix of  $n$ .

**Examples 11.1**

**Case (i)**

If

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix}^2 = 2\mathbf{I}$$

then  $1 + a = 0$  so that  $a = -1$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 2.

We observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are some of the other Hadamard matrices of order 2.

**Case (ii)**

Let

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & a & b \\ 1 & c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

be a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 3. Then  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t = 3\mathbf{I}$  and  $1 + a + b = 0$ .

But this relation is not possible with  $a = \pm 1$  and  $b = \pm 1$ . Thus, there is no normalized Hadamard matrix of order 3 and, hence, there does not exist any Hadamard matrix of order 3.

We next give a procedure for obtaining a Hadamard matrix of order  $2n$  from a given Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ .

**Proposition 11.1**

If  $\mathbf{M}$  is a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Hadamard matrix of order  $2n$ .

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix}^t &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}^t & \mathbf{M}^t \\ \mathbf{M}^t & -\mathbf{M}^t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t & \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t \\ \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t & \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2n\mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 2n\mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2n \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2n\mathbf{I}_{2n} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Hadamard matrix of order  $2n$ . Note:  $\mathbf{I}_k$  denotes the identity matrix of order  $k$ . ■

We can also similarly prove that if  $\mathbf{M}$  is a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \\ -\mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix}$$

are Hadamard matrices of order  $2n$ .

Using the above procedure, we find that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are Hadamard matrices of order 4.

### Exercise 11.1

1. Without using the procedure of Proposition 11.1 and the remark below it, obtain a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 4.
2. Obtain a Hadamard matrix of order 8.

### Theorem 11.1

If a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  exists, then  $n = 1, 2$  or a multiple of 4.

#### *Proof*

The matrix (1) is trivially a (normalized) Hadamard matrix of order 1 and we have already obtained Hadamard matrices of order 2. Also, we have proved that there does not exist a Hadamard matrix of order 3. So, we suppose that  $n \geq 4$  and that there exists a Hadamard matrix and, hence, a normalized Hadamard matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  of order  $n$ .

Since every row of  $\mathbf{M}$  from second row onward is orthogonal to the first, the number of  $+1$ s in any such row equals the number of  $-1$ s in it. This proves that  $n$  is even, say  $n = 2m$ . By permuting the columns of  $\mathbf{M}$ , if necessary, we can assume that the first  $m$  entries in the second row of  $\mathbf{M}$  are  $+1$ . Among the first  $m$  entries of the third row, suppose that  $j$  of these are  $+1$  and the remaining  $m - j$  are  $-1$ . Then among the last  $m$  entries of the third row  $m - j$  entries are  $+1$  and  $j$  of them are  $-1$ . By the orthogonality of the second and third rows,