

(f) Hence

$$f(x) = c + \int_0^x \phi(t, f(t)) \, dt.$$

This f is a solution of the given problem.

26. Prove an analogous existence theorem for the initial-value problem

$$\mathbf{y}' = \Phi(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{c},$$

where now $\mathbf{c} \in R^k$, $\mathbf{y} \in R^k$, and Φ is a continuous bounded mapping of the part of R^{k+1} defined by $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $\mathbf{y} \in R^k$ into R^k . (Compare Exercise 28, Chap. 5.) *Hint:* Use the vector-valued version of Theorem 7.25.

8

SOME SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

POWER SERIES

In this section we shall derive some properties of functions which are represented by power series, i.e., functions of the form

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$$

or, more generally,

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (x - a)^n.$$

These are called *analytic functions*.

We shall restrict ourselves to real values of x . Instead of circles of convergence (see Theorem 3.39) we shall therefore encounter intervals of convergence.

If (1) converges for all x in $(-R, R)$, for some $R > 0$ (R may be $+\infty$), we say that f is expanded in a power series about the point $x = 0$. Similarly, if (2) converges for $|x - a| < R$, f is said to be expanded in a power series about the point $x = a$. As a matter of convenience, we shall often take $a = 0$ without any loss of generality.

8.1 Theorem *Suppose the series*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$$

converges for $|x| < R$, and define

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \quad (|x| < R).$$

Then (3) converges uniformly on $[-R + \varepsilon, R - \varepsilon]$, no matter which $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen. The function f is continuous and differentiable in $(-R, R)$, and

$$(5) \quad f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_n x^{n-1} \quad (|x| < R).$$

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For $|x| \leq R - \varepsilon$, we have

$$|c_n x^n| \leq |c_n (R - \varepsilon)^n|;$$

and since

$$\sum c_n (R - \varepsilon)^n$$

converges absolutely (every power series converges absolutely in the interior of its interval of convergence, by the root test), Theorem 7.10 shows the uniform convergence of (3) on $[-R + \varepsilon, R - \varepsilon]$.

Since $\sqrt[n]{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{n |c_n|} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|c_n|},$$

so that the series (4) and (5) have the same interval of convergence.

Since (5) is a power series, it converges uniformly in $[-R + \varepsilon, R - \varepsilon]$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and we can apply Theorem 7.17 (for series instead of sequences). It follows that (5) holds if $|x| \leq R - \varepsilon$.

But, given any x such that $|x| < R$, we can find an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|x| < R - \varepsilon$. This shows that (5) holds for $|x| < R$.

Continuity of f follows from the existence of f' (Theorem 5.2).

Corollary *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 8.1, f has derivatives of all orders in $(-R, R)$, which are given by*

$$(6) \quad f^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) c_n x^{n-k}.$$

In particular,

$$(7) \quad f^{(k)}(0) = k! c_k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

(Here $f^{(0)}$ means f , and $f^{(k)}$ is the k th derivative of f , for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

Proof Equation (6) follows if we apply Theorem 8.1 successively to f, f', f'', \dots . Putting $x = 0$ in (6), we obtain (7).

Formula (7) is very interesting. It shows, on the one hand, that the coefficients of the power series development of f are determined by the values of f and of its derivatives at a single point. On the other hand, if the coefficients are given, the values of the derivatives of f at the center of the interval of convergence can be read off immediately from the power series.

Note, however, that although a function f may have derivatives of all orders, the series $\sum c_n x^n$, where c_n is computed by (7), need not converge to $f(x)$ for any $x \neq 0$. In this case, f cannot be expanded in a power series about $x = 0$. For if we had $f(x) = \sum a_n x^n$, we should have

$$n!a_n = f^{(n)}(0);$$

hence $a_n = c_n$. An example of this situation is given in Exercise 1.

If the series (3) converges at an endpoint, say at $x = R$, then f is continuous not only in $(-R, R)$, but also at $x = R$. This follows from Abel's theorem (for simplicity of notation, we take $R = 1$):

8.2 Theorem Suppose $\sum c_n$ converges. Put

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \quad (-1 < x < 1).$$

Then

$$(8) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n.$$

Proof Let $s_n = c_0 + \dots + c_n$, $s_{-1} = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^m c_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^m (s_n - s_{n-1})x^n = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} s_n x^n + s_m x^m.$$

For $|x| < 1$, we let $m \rightarrow \infty$ and obtain

$$(9) \quad f(x) = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n.$$

Suppose $s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose N so that $n > N$ implies

$$|s - s_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then, since

$$(1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = 1 \quad (|x| < 1),$$

we obtain from (9)

$$|f(x) - s| = \left| (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (s_n - s)x^n \right| \leq (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^N |s_n - s| |x|^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \varepsilon$$

if $x > 1 - \delta$, for some suitably chosen $\delta > 0$. This implies (8).

As an application, let us prove Theorem 3.51, which asserts: *If Σa_n , Σb_n , Σc_n , converge to A , B , C , and if $c_n = a_0 b_n + \cdots + a_n b_0$, then $C = AB$.* We let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, \quad g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n, \quad h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n,$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. For $x < 1$, these series converge absolutely and hence may be multiplied according to Definition 3.48; when the multiplication is carried out, we see that

$$(10) \quad f(x) \cdot g(x) = h(x) \quad (0 \leq x < 1).$$

By Theorem 8.2,

$$(11) \quad f(x) \rightarrow A, \quad g(x) \rightarrow B, \quad h(x) \rightarrow C$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$. Equations (10) and (11) imply $AB = C$.

We now require a theorem concerning an inversion in the order of summation. (See Exercises 2 and 3.)

8.3 Theorem *Given a double sequence $\{a_{ij}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, suppose that*

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| = b_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and Σb_i converges. Then

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}.$$

Proof We could establish (13) by a direct procedure similar to (although more involved than) the one used in Theorem 3.55. However, the following method seems more interesting.

Let E be a countable set, consisting of the points x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots , and suppose $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define

$$(14) \quad f_i(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$(15) \quad f_i(x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \quad (i, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$(16) \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x) \quad (x \in E).$$

Now, (14) and (15), together with (12), show that each f_i is continuous at x_0 . Since $|f_i(x)| \leq b_i$ for $x \in E$, (16) converges uniformly, so that g is continuous at x_0 (Theorem 7.11). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x_0) = g(x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

8.4 Theorem Suppose

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n,$$

the series converging in $|x| < R$. If $-R < a < R$, then f can be expanded in a power series about the point $x = a$ which converges in $|x - a| < R - |a|$, and

$$(17) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!} (x - a)^n \quad (|x - a| < R - |a|).$$

This is an extension of Theorem 5.15 and is also known as *Taylor's theorem*.

Proof We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n [(x - a) + a]^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} a^{n-m} (x - a)^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} c_n a^{n-m} \right] (x - a)^m. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired expansion about the point $x = a$. To prove its validity, we have to justify the change which was made in the order of summation. Theorem 8.3 shows that this is permissible if

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \left| c_n \binom{n}{m} a^{n-m} (x-a)^m \right|$$

converges. But (18) is the same as

$$(19) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n| \cdot (|x-a| + |a|)^n,$$

and (19) converges if $|x-a| + |a| < R$.

Finally, the form of the coefficients in (17) follows from (7).

It should be noted that (17) may actually converge in a larger interval than the one given by $|x-a| < R - |a|$.

If two power series converge to the same function in $(-R, R)$, (7) shows that the two series must be identical, i.e., they must have the same coefficients. It is interesting that the same conclusion can be deduced from much weaker hypotheses:

8.5 Theorem Suppose the series $\sum a_n x^n$ and $\sum b_n x^n$ converge in the segment $S = (-R, R)$. Let E be the set of all $x \in S$ at which

$$(20) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n.$$

If E has a limit point in S , then $a_n = b_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence (20) holds for all $x \in S$.

Proof Put $c_n = a_n - b_n$ and

$$(21) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \quad (x \in S).$$

Then $f(x) = 0$ on E .

Let A be the set of all limit points of E in S , and let B consist of all other points of S . It is clear from the definition of "limit point" that B is open. Suppose we can prove that A is open. Then A and B are disjoint open sets. Hence they are separated (Definition 2.45). Since $S = A \cup B$, and S is connected, one of A and B must be empty. By hypothesis, A is not empty. Hence B is empty, and $A = S$. Since f is continuous in S , $A \subset E$. Thus $E = S$, and (7) shows that $c_n = 0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which is the desired conclusion.

Thus we have to prove that A is open. If $x_0 \in A$, Theorem 8.4 shows that

$$(22) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n(x - x_0)^n \quad (|x - x_0| < R - |x_0|).$$

We claim that $d_n = 0$ for all n . Otherwise, let k be the smallest non-negative integer such that $d_k \neq 0$. Then

$$(23) \quad f(x) = (x - x_0)^k g(x) \quad (|x - x_0| < R - |x_0|),$$

where

$$(24) \quad g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_{k+m}(x - x_0)^m.$$

Since g is continuous at x_0 and

$$g(x_0) = d_k \neq 0,$$

there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $g(x) \neq 0$ if $|x - x_0| < \delta$. It follows from (23) that $f(x) \neq 0$ if $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$. But this contradicts the fact that x_0 is a limit point of E .

Thus $d_n = 0$ for all n , so that $f(x) = 0$ for all x for which (22) holds, i.e., in a neighborhood of x_0 . This shows that A is open, and completes the proof.

THE EXPONENTIAL AND LOGARITHMIC FUNCTIONS

We define

$$(25) \quad E(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

The ratio test shows that this series converges for every complex z . Applying Theorem 3.50 on multiplication of absolutely convergent series, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(z)E(w) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^m}{m!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{z^k w^{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} z^k w^{n-k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z+w)^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the important addition formula

$$(26) \quad E(z+w) = E(z)E(w) \quad (z, w \text{ complex}).$$

One consequence is that

$$(27) \quad E(z)E(-z) = E(z-z) = E(0) = 1 \quad (z \text{ complex}).$$

This shows that $E(z) \neq 0$ for all z . By (25), $E(x) > 0$ if $x > 0$; hence (27) shows that $E(x) > 0$ for all real x . By (25), $E(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$; hence (27) shows that $E(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ along the real axis. By (25), $0 < x < y$ implies that $E(x) < E(y)$; by (27), it follows that $E(-y) < E(-x)$; hence E is strictly increasing on the whole real axis.

The addition formula also shows that

$$(28) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{E(z+h) - E(z)}{h} = E(z) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{E(h) - 1}{h} = E(z);$$

the last equality follows directly from (25).

Iteration of (26) gives

$$(29) \quad E(z_1 + \cdots + z_n) = E(z_1) \cdots E(z_n).$$

Let us take $z_1 = \cdots = z_n = 1$. Since $E(1) = e$, where e is the number defined in Definition 3.30, we obtain

$$(30) \quad E(n) = e^n \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

If $p = n/m$, where n, m are positive integers, then

$$(31) \quad [E(p)]^m = E(mp) = E(n) = e^n,$$

so that

$$(32) \quad E(p) = e^p \quad (p > 0, p \text{ rational}).$$

It follows from (27) that $E(-p) = e^{-p}$ if p is positive and rational. Thus (32) holds for all rational p .

In Exercise 6, Chap. 1, we suggested the definition

$$(33) \quad x^y = \sup x^p,$$

where the sup is taken over all rational p such that $p < y$, for any real y , and $x > 1$. If we thus define, for any real x ,

$$(34) \quad e^x = \sup e^p \quad (p < x, p \text{ rational}),$$

the continuity and monotonicity properties of E , together with (32), show that

$$(35) \quad E(x) = e^x$$

for all real x . Equation (35) explains why E is called the exponential function.

The notation $\exp(x)$ is often used in place of e^x , especially when x is a complicated expression.

Actually one may very well use (35) instead of (34) as the definition of e^x ; (35) is a much more convenient starting point for the investigation of the properties of e^x . We shall see presently that (33) may also be replaced by a more convenient definition [see (43)].

We now revert to the customary notation, e^x , in place of $E(x)$, and summarize what we have proved so far.

8.6 Theorem *Let e^x be defined on R^1 by (35) and (25). Then*

- (a) e^x is continuous and differentiable for all x ;
- (b) $(e^x)' = e^x$;
- (c) e^x is a strictly increasing function of x , and $e^x > 0$;
- (d) $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$;
- (e) $e^x \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$, $e^x \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$;
- (f) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^n e^{-x} = 0$, for every n .

Proof We have already proved (a) to (e); (25) shows that

$$e^x > \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

for $x > 0$, so that

$$x^n e^{-x} < \frac{(n+1)!}{x},$$

and (f) follows. Part (f) shows that e^x tends to $+\infty$ “faster” than any power of x , as $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since E is strictly increasing and differentiable on R^1 , it has an inverse function L which is also strictly increasing and differentiable and whose domain is $E(R^1)$, that is, the set of all positive numbers. L is defined by

$$(36) \quad E(L(y)) = y \quad (y > 0),$$

or, equivalently, by

$$(37) \quad L(E(x)) = x \quad (x \text{ real}).$$

Differentiating (37), we get (compare Theorem 5.5)

$$L'(E(x)) \cdot E'(x) = 1.$$

Writing $y = E(x)$, this gives us

$$(38) \quad L'(y) = \frac{1}{y} \quad (y > 0).$$

Taking $x = 0$ in (37), we see that $L(1) = 0$. Hence (38) implies

$$(39) \quad L(y) = \int_1^y \frac{dx}{x}.$$