

Step 1. Vivales, who knows n , but not p and q , chooses an integer x at random. He computes the least nonnegative residue of x^4 modulo n , and sends this number — which we denote y — to Pícara.

Step 2. When Pícara receives y , she computes a square root modulo n (which is easy, since she knows the factorization of n ; see Exercise 5 above). Of the four possible square roots, she chooses the unique one which is a quadratic residue modulo both p and q . This must be the least positive residue of x^2 modulo n . She sends this integer to Vivales.

Step 3. Vivales checks that the number he received from Pícara is in fact the residue of x^2 modulo n . He is then convinced that she can take square roots modulo n , something that would have been impossible if she didn't know the factors of n .

9. Find the drawback of the following procedure for a zero-knowledge proof of factorization. Suppose that n is the product of two primes p and q . Suppose that a “trusted Center” supplies an unending sequence of random squares modulo n , as in the text: y_1, y_2, \dots . For each of the successive y_i , Pícara finds one of its square roots x_i , and sends it to Vivales, who verifies that $x_i^2 \equiv y \pmod{n}$.

References for §IV.5

1. M. Bellare and S. Micali, “Non-interactive oblivious transfer and applications,” *Advances in Cryptology – Crypto ’89*, Springer-Verlag, 547–557.
2. M. Ben-Or, O. Goldreich, S. Goldwasser, J. Håstad, J. Kilian, S. Micali, and P. Rogaway, “Everything provable is provable in zero-knowledge,” *Advances in Cryptology – Crypto ’88*, Springer-Verlag, 1990, 37–56.
3. M. Blum, P. Feldman, and S. Micali, “Non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs and their applications,” *Proc. 20th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing* (1988).
4. D. Chaum, J.-H. Evertse, J. van de Graaf, and R. Peralta, “Demonstrating possession of a discrete logarithm without revealing it,” *Advances in Cryptology – Crypto ’86*, Springer-Verlag, 1987, 200–212.
5. M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, W. H. Freeman, 1979.
6. S. Goldwasser, S. Micali, and C. Rackoff, “The knowledge complexity of interactive proof systems,” *SIAM J. Computing* 18 (1989), 186–208.
7. J. Kilian, “Founding cryptography on oblivious transfer,” *Proc. 20th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing* (1988), 20–31.
8. M. Rabin, “How to exchange secrets by oblivious transfer,” *Technical Report TR-81*, Aiken Computation Laboratory, Harvard University, 1981.
9. A. Shamir, “The search for provably secure identification schemes,” *Proc. Intern. Cong. Math.* (1986), 1488–1495.