

Case B: $a = 0, 2a + b \neq 0$ so that $b \neq 0$

Then the word becomes

$$0, \quad b, \quad 2b + c, \quad -b + 2c + d, \quad b - c + 2d + e, \quad -b + c - d + 2e + 1, \\ -b + c + d - e + 2, \quad -c + d + e - 1, \quad -d + e + 1, \quad -e + 1, \quad -1$$

Case B(i): $2b + c = 0$ so that $c = -2b$

Then the word is

$$0, \quad b, \quad 0, \quad d, \quad 3b + 2d + e, \quad 3b - d + 2e + 1, \quad 3b + d - e + 2, \\ 2b + d + e - 1, \quad -d + e + 1, \quad -e + 1, \quad -1$$

If $d = 0$, the word is

$$0, \quad b, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 3b + e, \quad 3b + 2e + 1, \quad 3b - e + 2, \quad 2b + e - 1, \\ e + 1, \quad -e + 1, \quad -1$$

If $3b + e = 0$ so that $e = 2b$, the word becomes

$$0, \quad b, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 2b + 1, \quad b + 2, \quad 4b - 1, \quad 2b + 1, \quad -2b + 1, \quad -1$$

which is of weight at least 5.

A similar argument also shows that the word is of weight at least 5 in the cases:

- (a) $d \neq 0, 3b + 2d + e = 0$ and
- (b) $d \neq 0, 3b + 2d + e \neq 0$ but $3b - d + 2e + 1 = 0$.

Case B(ii): $2b + c \neq 0$ but $-b + 2c + d = 0$

Then $b = 2c + d$. The word then is

$$0, \quad b, \quad 2b + c, \quad 0, \quad c + 3d + e, \quad -c - 2d + 2e + 1, \quad -3c - e + 2, \\ -c + d + e - 1, \quad -d + e + 1, \quad -e + 1, \quad -1$$

Here b , $2b + c$ and -1 are three non-zero entries and by considering the cases

- (a) $c + 3d + e = 0$
- (b) $c + 3d + e \neq 0$ but $-c - 2d + 2e + 1 = 0$,

we can prove that there are at least two non-zero entries among the rest of the entries.

Case C: $a \neq 0, 2a + b = 0$

Then the word is

$$a, \quad 0, \quad c, \quad 3a + 2c + d, \quad -a - c + 2d + e, \quad a + c - d + 2e + 1, \\ 2a + c + d - e + 2, \quad -c + d + e - 1, \quad -d + e + 1, \quad -e + 1, \quad -1$$

Again considering the subcases

- (i) $c = 0$
- (ii) $c \neq 0$ but $3a + 2c + d = 0$ and
- (iii) $c \neq 0, 3a + 2c + d \neq 0$ but $-a - c + 2d + e = 0$

we can prove that the word is of weight at least 5.

In the remaining two cases:

Case D: $a \neq 0, 2c + b \neq 0$ but $-a + 2b + c = 0$

Case E: $a \neq 0, 2a + b \neq 0, -a + 2b + c \neq 0$ but $a - b + 2c + d = 0$

also we can prove similarly that there is no word of weight 4 in this code.

Hence the minimum distance of the code is 5.

Exercise 8.1

1. Prove that 2 is a quadratic residue modulo a prime p iff $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$.
2. Determine all primes p for which 5 is a quadratic residue mod p .
3. Let p be a prime congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{8}$. Then there exists a primitive p th root α of unity in some extension field of \mathbb{B} such that $E_q(\alpha) = 1$, where

$$E_q(x) = \sum_{r \in Q} x^r$$

4. Prove Theorems 8.10, 8.12 and 8.14.
5. Determine, if possible, weight distributions of some of the codes constructed.

9

Maximum distance separable codes

9.1 NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR MDS CODES

In this chapter, we study an interesting class of linear codes – interesting because these codes have the maximum possible error detection/correction possibility. Another point of interest is a question of existence of such codes which translates into a question purely on vector spaces.

We have seen earlier that if \mathcal{C} is a linear $[n, k, d]$ code over a field F , then $d \leq n - k + 1$.

Definition 9.1

A linear $[n, k, d]$ code over F with $d = n - k + 1$ is called a **maximum distance separable (MDS) code**.

In this chapter, unless explicitly stated to the contrary, we do not insist that the first k columns of a generator matrix of a linear $[n, k, d]$ form the identity matrix or that the last $n - k$ columns of a parity check matrix form the identity matrix.

We begin our study with the following simple observation.

Proposition 9.1

Let \mathcal{C} be a linear $[n, k, d]$ code over a field F of q elements, q a prime power with a parity check matrix \mathbf{H} . Then \mathcal{C} has a code word of weight l iff l columns of \mathbf{H} are linearly dependent.

Proof

Let $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$ be a code word in \mathcal{C} with $\text{wt}(b) = l$. Let b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_l} be the non-zero entries of b . Then

$$\mathbf{H}b^t = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow b_{i_1}\mathbf{H}_{i_1} + \dots + b_{i_l}\mathbf{H}_{i_l} = \mathbf{0}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_1, \mathbf{H}_2, \dots, \mathbf{H}_n$ denote the columns of \mathbf{H} . Thus, l columns

$$\mathbf{H}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{i_l}$$

of \mathbf{H} are linearly dependent.

Conversely, suppose that l columns of \mathbf{H} , say

$$\mathbf{H}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{i_l}$$

are linearly dependent. Then there exist scalars

$$b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_l}$$

not all zero such that

$$b_{i_1}\mathbf{H}_{i_1} + \dots + b_{i_l}\mathbf{H}_{i_l} = 0$$

Take $c = c_1 \cdots c_n$ with

$$c_{i_j} = b_{i_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq l \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = 0 \quad \text{for every other } i$$

Then c is a word of weight at most l and $\mathbf{H}c^t = 0$. Thus c is a code word of weight at most l .

Theorem 9.1

Let \mathcal{C} be a linear $[n, k, d]$ code over F with a parity check matrix \mathbf{H} . Then \mathcal{C} is an MDS code iff every $n - k$ columns of \mathbf{H} are linearly independent.

Proof

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is an MDS code. Then $d = n - k + 1$ and so there is no non-zero code word of weight at most $n - k$. It follows from Proposition 9.1 that every $n - k$ columns of \mathbf{H} are linearly independent.

Conversely, suppose that every $n - k$ columns of \mathbf{H} are linearly independent. Then there is no non-zero code word of weight at most $n - k$. Therefore

$$d \geq n - k + 1$$

But

$$d \leq n - k + 1$$

always and, so

$$d = n - k + 1$$

Hence \mathcal{C} is an MDS code.

Theorem 9.2

If a linear $[n, k, d]$ code \mathcal{C} is MDS, then so is its dual \mathcal{C}^\perp .

Proof

As already seen \mathcal{C}^\perp is a linear $[n, n - k, -]$ code. Let d_1 be the minimum distance of \mathcal{C}^\perp . Then

$$d_1 \leq n - (n - k) + 1 = k + 1$$

Let \mathbf{H} be a parity check matrix of \mathcal{C} . The code \mathcal{C} being MDS, every $n - k$ columns of \mathbf{H} are linearly independent. Therefore, if any k columns of \mathbf{H} are omitted, the remaining columns in that order (being linearly independent) form a square submatrix of \mathbf{H} of rank $n - k$. Let \mathbf{a} be a word of length $n - k$ and suppose that the code word $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{H}$ of \mathcal{C}^\perp has at least $n - k$ zeros. Let $\bar{\mathbf{H}}$ be the submatrix of \mathbf{H} obtained by omitting k columns including those which correspond to the possible k non-zero entries of $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{H}$. Then $\mathbf{a}\bar{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{0}$. As $\bar{\mathbf{H}}$ is a square matrix of order $n - k$ with rank $n - k$, $\bar{\mathbf{H}}$ is non-singular. It then follows from $\mathbf{a}\bar{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{0}$ that $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ and, hence, $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0}$. This proves that

$$d_1 \geq k + 1$$

and, so

$$d_1 = k + 1$$

Hence \mathcal{C}^\perp is an MDS code.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]$ linear code over $F = \text{GF}(q)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{C} is MDS;
- (ii) Every k columns of a generator matrix \mathbf{G} of \mathcal{C} are linearly independent.
- (iii) Every $n - k$ columns of a parity check matrix \mathbf{H} of \mathcal{C} are linearly independent.

Proof

Equivalence of (i) and (iii) has been proved in Theorem 9.1.

Let \mathbf{G} be a generator matrix of \mathcal{C} . By Theorem 5.2 \mathbf{G} is a parity check matrix of \mathcal{C}^\perp which is an $[n, n - k, -]$ linear code. Therefore, by Theorem 9.1, \mathcal{C}^\perp is an MDS code iff every k columns of \mathbf{G} are linearly independent. As

$$(\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{C}$$

it follows from the above theorem that \mathcal{C} is MDS iff \mathcal{C}^\perp is MDS. Hence \mathcal{C} is MDS iff every k columns of \mathbf{G} are linearly independent.

Examples 9.1

Case (i)

Let F be a field of q elements, q a prime power and e be the word with every entry equal to 1. Let \mathcal{C} be the linear space over F generated by e . Then every non-zero element of \mathcal{C} has weight n . Thus \mathcal{C} is a linear code of dimension 1 and minimum distance $n = n - 1 + 1$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is an $[n, 1, n]$ MDS code.