

On the other hand, consider the tensor product $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which is generated as an abelian group by the elements $0 \otimes 0 = 1 \otimes 0 = 0 \otimes 1 = 0$ and $1 \otimes 1$. In this case $1 \otimes 1 \neq 0$ since, for example, the map $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ is clearly nonzero and linear in both a and b . Since $2(1 \otimes 1) = 2 \otimes 1 = 0 \otimes 1 = 0$, the element $1 \otimes 1$ is of order 2. Hence $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

- (3) In general,

$$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z},$$

where d is the g.c.d. of the integers m and n . To see this, observe first that

$$a \otimes b = a \otimes (b \cdot 1) = (ab) \otimes 1 = ab(1 \otimes 1),$$

from which it follows that $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a cyclic group with $1 \otimes 1$ as generator. Since $m(1 \otimes 1) = m \otimes 1 = 0 \otimes 1 = 0$ and similarly $n(1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes n = 0$, we have $d(1 \otimes 1) = 0$, so the cyclic group has order dividing d . The map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\varphi(a \text{ mod } m, b \text{ mod } n) = ab \text{ mod } d$ is well defined since d divides both m and n . It is clearly \mathbb{Z} -bilinear. The induced map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ from Corollary 12 maps $1 \otimes 1$ to the element $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, which is an element of order d . In particular $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has order at least d . Hence $1 \otimes 1$ is an element of order d and Φ gives an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

- (4) In $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ a simple tensor has the form $(a/b \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}) \otimes (c/d \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z})$ for some rational numbers a/b and c/d . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a}{b} \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes \left(\frac{c}{d} \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\right) &= d\left(\frac{a}{bd} \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes \left(\frac{c}{d} \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{bd} \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes d\left(\frac{c}{d} \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{bd} \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0.$$

In a similar way, $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B = 0$ for any *divisible* abelian group A and *torsion* abelian group B (an abelian group in which every element has finite order). For example

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0.$$

- (5) The structure of a tensor product can vary considerably depending on the ring over which the tensors are taken. For example $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ are isomorphic as left \mathbb{Q} -modules (both are one dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{Q}) — cf. the exercises. On the other hand we shall see at the end of this section that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ are not isomorphic \mathbb{C} -modules (the former is a 1-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} and the latter is 2-dimensional over \mathbb{C}).
- (6) *General extension of scalars or change of base:* Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism with $f(1_R) = 1_S$. Then $s \cdot r = sf(r)$ gives S the structure of a right R -module with respect to which S is an (S, R) -bimodule. Then for any left R -module N , the resulting tensor product $S \otimes_R N$ is a left S -module obtained by *changing the base* from R to S . This gives a slight generalization of the notion of extension of scalars (where R was a subring of S).
- (7) Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism as in the preceding example. Then we have $S \otimes_R R \cong S$ as left S -modules, as follows. The map $\varphi : S \times R \rightarrow S$ defined by $(s, r) \mapsto sr$ (where $sr = sf(r)$ by definition of the right R -action on S), is an R -balanced map, as is easily checked. For example,

$$\varphi(s_1 + s_2, r) = (s_1 + s_2)r = s_1r + s_2r = \varphi(s_1, r) + \varphi(s_2, r)$$

and

$$\varphi(sr, r') = (sr)r' = s(rr') = \varphi(s, rr').$$

By Theorem 10 we have an associated group homomorphism $\Phi : S \otimes_R R \rightarrow S$ with $\Phi(s \otimes r) = sr$. Since $\Phi(s'(s \otimes r)) = \Phi(s's \otimes r) = s'sr = s'\Phi(s \otimes r)$, it follows that Φ is also an S -module homomorphism. The map $\Phi' : S \rightarrow S \otimes_R R$ with $s \mapsto s \otimes 1$ is an S -module homomorphism that is inverse to Φ because $\Phi \circ \Phi'(s) = \Phi(s \otimes 1) = s$ gives $\Phi\Phi' = 1$, and

$$\Phi' \circ \Phi(s \otimes r) = \Phi'(sr) = sr \otimes 1 = s \otimes r$$

shows that $\Phi'\Phi$ is the identity on simple tensors, hence $\Phi'\Phi = 1$.

- (8) Let R be a ring (not necessarily commutative), let I be a two sided ideal in R , and let N be a left R -module. Then as previously mentioned, R/I is an $(R/I, R)$ -bimodule, so the tensor product $R/I \otimes_R N$ is a left R/I -module. This is an example of “extension of scalars” with respect to the natural projection homomorphism $R \rightarrow R/I$.

Define

$$IN = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i n_i \mid a_i \in I, n_i \in N \right\},$$

which is easily seen to be a left R -submodule of N (cf. Exercise 5, Section 1). Then

$$(R/I) \otimes_R N \cong N/IN,$$

as left R -modules, as follows. The tensor product is generated as an abelian group by the simple tensors $(r \bmod I) \otimes n = r(1 \otimes n)$ for $r \in R$ and $n \in N$ (viewing the R/I -module tensor product as an R -module on which I acts trivially). Hence the elements $1 \otimes n$ generate $(R/I) \otimes_R N$ as an R/I -module. The map $N \rightarrow (R/I) \otimes_R N$ defined by $n \mapsto 1 \otimes n$ is a left R -module homomorphism and, by the previous observation, is surjective. Under this map $a_i n_i$ with $a_i \in I$ and $n_i \in N$ maps to $1 \otimes a_i n_i = a_i \otimes n_i = 0$, and so IN is contained in the kernel. This induces a surjective R -module homomorphism $f : N/IN \rightarrow (R/I) \otimes_R N$ with $f(n \bmod I) = 1 \otimes n$. We show f is an isomorphism by exhibiting its inverse. The map $(R/I) \times N \rightarrow N/IN$ defined by mapping $(r \bmod I, n)$ to $(rn \bmod IN)$ is well defined and easily checked to be R -balanced. It follows by Theorem 10 that there is an associated group homomorphism $g : (R/I) \otimes N \rightarrow N/IN$ with $g((r \bmod I) \otimes n) = rn \bmod IN$. As usual, $fg = 1$ and $gf = 1$, so f is a bijection and $(R/I) \otimes_R N \cong N/IN$, as claimed.

As an example, let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ with ideal $I = m\mathbb{Z}$ and let N be the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then $IN = m(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = (m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z})/n\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ where d is the g.c.d. of m and n . Then $N/IN \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ and we recover the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ of Example 3 above.

We now establish some of the basic properties of tensor products. Note the frequent application of Theorem 10 to establish the existence of homomorphisms.

Theorem 13. (The “Tensor Product” of Two Homomorphisms) Let M, M' be right R -modules, let N, N' be left R -modules, and suppose $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ and $\psi : N \rightarrow N'$ are R -module homomorphisms.

- (1) There is a unique group homomorphism, denoted by $\varphi \otimes \psi$, mapping $M \otimes_R N$ into $M' \otimes_R N'$ such that $(\varphi \otimes \psi)(m \otimes n) = \varphi(m) \otimes \psi(n)$ for all $m \in M$ and $n \in N$.

- (2) If M, M' are also (S, R) -bimodules for some ring S and φ is also an S -module homomorphism, then $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is a homomorphism of left S -modules. In particular, if R is commutative then $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is always an R -module homomorphism for the standard R -module structures.
- (3) If $\lambda : M' \rightarrow M''$ and $\mu : N' \rightarrow N''$ are R -module homomorphisms then $(\lambda \otimes \mu) \circ (\varphi \otimes \psi) = (\lambda \circ \varphi) \otimes (\mu \circ \psi)$.

Proof: The map $(m, n) \mapsto \varphi(m) \otimes \psi(n)$ from $M \times N$ to $M' \otimes_R N'$ is clearly R -balanced, so (1) follows immediately from Theorem 10.

In (2) the definition of the (left) action of S on M together with the assumption that φ is an S -module homomorphism imply that on simple tensors

$$(\varphi \otimes \psi)(s(m \otimes n)) = (\varphi \otimes \psi)(sm \otimes n) = \varphi(sm) \otimes \psi(n) = s\varphi(m) \otimes \psi(n).$$

Since $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is additive, this extends to sums of simple tensors to show that $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is an S -module homomorphism. This gives (2).

The uniqueness condition in Theorem 10 implies (3), which completes the proof.

The next result shows that we may write $M \otimes N \otimes L$, or more generally, an n -fold tensor product $M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n$, unambiguously whenever it is defined.

Theorem 14. (Associativity of the Tensor Product) Suppose M is a right R -module, N is an (R, T) -bimodule, and L is a left T -module. Then there is a unique isomorphism

$$(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_T L \cong M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$$

of abelian groups such that $(m \otimes n) \otimes l \mapsto m \otimes (n \otimes l)$. If M is an (S, R) -bimodule, then this is an isomorphism of S -modules.

Proof: Note first that the (R, T) -bimodule structure on N makes $M \otimes_R N$ into a right T -module and $N \otimes_T L$ into a left R -module, so both sides of the isomorphism are well defined. For each fixed $l \in L$, the mapping $(m, n) \mapsto m \otimes (n \otimes l)$ is R -balanced, so by Theorem 10 there is a homomorphism $M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$ with $m \otimes n \mapsto m \otimes (n \otimes l)$. This shows that the map from $(M \otimes_R N) \times L$ to $M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$ given by $(m \otimes n, l) \mapsto m \otimes (n \otimes l)$ is well defined. Since it is easily seen to be T -balanced, another application of Theorem 10 implies that it induces a homomorphism $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_T L \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$ such that $(m \otimes n) \otimes l \mapsto m \otimes (n \otimes l)$. In a similar way we can construct a homomorphism in the opposite direction that is inverse to this one. This proves the group isomorphism.

Assume in addition M is an (S, R) -bimodule. Then for $s \in S$ and $t \in T$ we have

$$s((m \otimes n)t) = s(m \otimes nt) = sm \otimes nt = (sm \otimes n)t = (s(m \otimes n))t$$

so that $M \otimes_R N$ is an (S, T) -bimodule. Hence $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_T L$ is a left S -module. Since $N \otimes_T L$ is a left R -module, also $M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$ is a left S -module. The group isomorphism just established is easily seen to be a homomorphism of left S -modules by the same arguments used in previous proofs: it is additive and is S -linear on simple tensors since $s((m \otimes n) \otimes l) = s(m \otimes n) \otimes l = (sm \otimes n) \otimes l$ maps to the element $sm \otimes (n \otimes l) = s(m \otimes (n \otimes l))$. The proof is complete.