

1 out of  $4^k$  chance of being composite. This is because, if  $n$  is composite, then at most  $1/4$  of the bases  $0 < b < n$  satisfy (3). Notice that this is somewhat better than for the Solovay–Strassen test, where the analogous estimate is a 1 out of  $2^k$  chance (because there exist composite  $n$  which are Euler pseudoprimes for half of all bases  $0 < b < n$ , as we shall see in the exercises).

We now proceed to the proofs of Propositions V.1.6 and V.1.7.

**Proof of Proposition V.1.6.** We have  $n$  and  $b$  satisfying (3). We must prove that they satisfy (2). Let  $n - 1 = 2^s t$  with  $t$  odd.

Case (i). First suppose that  $b^t \equiv 1 \pmod n$ . Then the left side of (2) is clearly 1. We must show that  $(\frac{b}{n}) = 1$ . But  $1 = (\frac{1}{n}) = (\frac{b^t}{n}) = (\frac{b}{n})^t$ . Since  $t$  is odd, this means that  $(\frac{b}{n}) = 1$ .

Case (ii). Next suppose that  $b^{(n-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod n$ . Then we must show that  $(\frac{b}{n}) = -1$ . Let  $p$  be any of the prime divisors of  $n$ . We write  $p - 1$  in the form  $p - 1 = 2^{s'} t'$  with  $t'$  odd, and we prove the following claim:

**Claim.** We have  $s' \geq s$ , and

$$\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s' = s; \\ 1, & \text{if } s' > s. \end{cases}$$

**Proof of the claim.** Because  $b^{(n-1)/2} = b^{2^{s-1}t} \equiv -1 \pmod n$ , raising both sides to the  $t'$  power gives  $(b^{2^{s-1}t'})^t \equiv -1 \pmod n$ . Since  $p|n$ , the same congruence holds modulo  $p$ . But if we had  $s' < s$ , this would mean that  $b^{2^{s'}t'}$  could not be  $\equiv 1 \pmod p$ , as it must be by Fermat's Little Theorem. Thus,  $s' \geq s$ . If  $s' = s$ , then the congruence  $(b^{2^{s-1}t'})^t \equiv -1 \pmod p$  implies that  $(\frac{b}{p}) \equiv b^{(p-1)/2} = b^{2^{s'-1}t'} \pmod p$  must be  $-1$  rather than 1. On the other hand, if  $s' > s$ , then the same congruence raised to the  $(2^{s'-s})$ -th power implies that  $(\frac{b}{p})$  must be 1 rather than  $-1$ . This proves the claim.

We now return to the proof of Proposition V.1.6 in Case (ii). We write  $n$  as a product of primes (not necessarily distinct):  $n = \prod p$ . Let  $k$  denote the number of primes  $p$  such that  $s' = s$  when one writes  $p - 1 = 2^{s'} t'$  with  $t'$  odd. ( $k$  counts such a prime  $p$  with its multiplicity, i.e.,  $\alpha$  times if  $p^\alpha || n$ .) According to the claim, we always have  $s' \geq s$ , and  $(\frac{b}{n}) = \prod (\frac{b}{p}) = (-1)^k$ . On the other hand, working modulo  $2^{s+1}$ , we see that  $p \equiv 1$  unless  $p$  is one of the  $k$  primes for which  $s' = s$ , in which case  $p \equiv 1 + 2^s$ . Since  $n = 1 + 2^s t \equiv 1 + 2^s \pmod{2^{s+1}}$ , we have  $1 + 2^s \equiv \prod p \equiv (1 + 2^s)^k \equiv 1 + k2^s \pmod{2^{s+1}}$  (where the last step follows by the binomial expansion). This means that  $k$  must be odd, and hence  $(\frac{b}{n}) = (-1)^k = -1$ , as was to be proved.

Case (iii). Finally, suppose that  $b^{2^{r-1}t} \equiv -1 \pmod n$  for some  $0 < r < s$ . (We are using  $r - 1$  in place of the  $r$  in (3).) Since then  $b^{(n-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod n$ , we must show that in Case (iii) we have  $(\frac{b}{n}) = 1$ . Again let  $p$  be any prime divisor of  $n$ , and write  $p - 1 = 2^{s'} t'$  with  $t'$  odd.

**Claim.** We have  $s' \geq r$ , and

$$\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s' = r; \\ 1, & \text{if } s' > r. \end{cases}$$