

**EXAMPLE 22.** If  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional inner product space, then each ordered orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  determines an isomorphism of  $V$  onto  $F^n$  with the standard inner product. The isomorphism is simply

$$T(x_1\alpha_1 + \dots + x_n\alpha_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

There is the superficially different isomorphism which  $\mathcal{B}$  determines of  $V$  onto the space  $F^{n \times 1}$  with  $(X|Y) = Y^*X$  as inner product. The isomorphism is

$$\alpha \rightarrow [\alpha]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

i.e., the transformation sending  $\alpha$  into its coordinate matrix in the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . For any ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , this is a vector space isomorphism; however, it is an isomorphism of the two inner product spaces if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is orthonormal.

**EXAMPLE 23.** Here is a slightly less superficial isomorphism. Let  $W$  be the space of all  $3 \times 3$  matrices  $A$  over  $R$  which are skew-symmetric, i.e.,  $A^t = -A$ . We equip  $W$  with the inner product  $(A|B) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(AB^t)$ , the  $\frac{1}{2}$  being put in as a matter of convenience. Let  $V$  be the space  $R^3$  with the standard inner product. Let  $T$  be the linear transformation from  $V$  into  $W$  defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $T$  maps  $V$  onto  $W$ , and putting

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -y_3 & y_2 \\ y_3 & 0 & -y_1 \\ -y_2 & y_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(AB^t) &= x_3y_3 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_2y_2 + x_1y_1 \\ &= 2(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\alpha|\beta) = (T\alpha|T\beta)$  and  $T$  is a vector space isomorphism. Note that  $T$  carries the standard basis  $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$  onto the orthonormal basis consisting of the three matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**EXAMPLE 24.** It is not always particularly convenient to describe an isomorphism in terms of orthonormal bases. For example, suppose  $G = P^*P$  where  $P$  is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix with complex entries. Let  $V$  be the space of complex  $n \times 1$  matrices, with the inner product  $[X|Y] = Y^*GX$ .

Let  $W$  be the same vector space, with the standard inner product  $(X|Y) = Y^*X$ . We know that  $V$  and  $W$  are isomorphic inner product spaces. It would seem that the most convenient way to describe an isomorphism between  $V$  and  $W$  is the following: Let  $T$  be the linear transformation from  $V$  into  $W$  defined by  $T(X) = PX$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(TX|TY) &= (PX|PY) \\ &= (PY)^*(PX) \\ &= Y^*P^*PX \\ &= Y^*GX \\ &= [X|Y].\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T$  is an isomorphism.

**EXAMPLE 25.** Let  $V$  be the space of all continuous real-valued functions on the unit interval,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , with the inner product

$$[f|g] = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t)t^2 dt.$$

Let  $W$  be the same vector space with the inner product

$$(f|g) = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt.$$

Let  $T$  be the linear transformation from  $V$  into  $W$  given by

$$(Tf)(t) = tf(t).$$

Then  $(Tf|Tg) = [f|g]$ , and so  $T$  preserves inner products; however,  $T$  is not an isomorphism of  $V$  onto  $W$ , because the range of  $T$  is not all of  $W$ . Of course, this happens because the underlying vector space is not finite-dimensional.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be inner product spaces over the same field, and let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  into  $W$ . Then  $T$  preserves inner products if and only if  $\|T\alpha\| = \|\alpha\|$  for every  $\alpha$  in  $V$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T$  preserves inner products,  $T$  ‘preserves norms.’ Suppose  $\|T\alpha\| = \|\alpha\|$  for every  $\alpha$  in  $V$ . Then  $\|T\alpha\|^2 = \|\alpha\|^2$ . Now using the appropriate polarization identity, (8-3) or (8-4), and the fact that  $T$  is linear, one easily obtains  $(\alpha|\beta) = (T\alpha|T\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $V$ . ■

**Definition.** A **unitary operator** on an inner product space is an isomorphism of the space onto itself.

The product of two unitary operators is unitary. For, if  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are unitary, then  $U_2U_1$  is invertible and  $\|U_2U_1\alpha\| = \|U_1\alpha\| = \|\alpha\|$  for each  $\alpha$ . Also, the inverse of a unitary operator is unitary, since  $\|U\alpha\| = \|\alpha\|$  says  $\|U^{-1}\beta\| = \|\beta\|$ , where  $\beta = U\alpha$ . Since the identity operator is

clearly unitary, we see that the set of all unitary operators on an inner product space is a group, under the operation of composition.

If  $V$  is a finite-dimensional inner product space and  $U$  is a linear operator on  $V$ , Theorem 10 tells us that  $U$  is unitary if and only if  $(U\alpha|U\beta) = (\alpha|\beta)$  for each  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $V$ ; or, if and only if for some (every) orthonormal basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  it is true that  $\{U\alpha_1, \dots, U\alpha_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $U$  be a linear operator on an inner product space  $V$ . Then  $U$  is unitary if and only if the adjoint  $U^*$  of  $U$  exists and  $UU^* = U^*U = I$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $U$  is unitary. Then  $U$  is invertible and

$$(U\alpha|\beta) = (U\alpha|UU^{-1}\beta) = (\alpha|U^{-1}\beta)$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta$ . Hence  $U^{-1}$  is the adjoint of  $U$ .

Conversely, suppose  $U^*$  exists and  $UU^* = U^*U = I$ . Then  $U$  is invertible, with  $U^{-1} = U^*$ . So, we need only show that  $U$  preserves inner products. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(U\alpha|U\beta) &= (\alpha|U^*U\beta) \\ &= (\alpha|I\beta) \\ &= (\alpha|\beta)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta$ . ■

**EXAMPLE 26.** Consider  $C^{n \times 1}$  with the inner product  $(X|Y) = Y^*X$ . Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $C$ , and let  $U$  be the linear operator defined by  $U(X) = AX$ . Then

$$(UX|UY) = (AX|AY) = Y^*A^*AX$$

for all  $X, Y$ . Hence,  $U$  is unitary if and only if  $A^*A = I$ .

**Definition.** A complex  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is called **unitary**, if  $A^*A = I$ .

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space and let  $U$  be a linear operator on  $V$ . Then  $U$  is unitary if and only if the matrix of  $U$  in some (or every) ordered orthonormal basis is a unitary matrix.*

*Proof.* At this point, this is not much of a theorem, and we state it largely for emphasis. If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is an ordered orthonormal basis for  $V$  and  $A$  is the matrix of  $U$  relative to  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $A^*A = I$  if and only if  $U^*U = I$ . The result now follows from Theorem 12. ■

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The statement that  $A$  is unitary simply means

$$(A^*A)_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$$

or

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \overline{A_{rj}} A_{rk} = \delta_{jk}.$$

In other words, it means that the columns of  $A$  form an orthonormal set of column matrices, with respect to the standard inner product  $(X|Y) = Y^*X$ . Since  $A^*A = I$  if and only if  $AA^* = I$ , we see that  $A$  is unitary exactly when the rows of  $A$  comprise an orthonormal set of  $n$ -tuples in  $C_n$  (with the standard inner product). So, using standard inner products,  $A$  is unitary if and only if the rows and columns of  $A$  are orthonormal sets. One sees here an example of the power of the theorem which states that a one-sided inverse for a matrix is a two-sided inverse. Applying this theorem as we did above, say to real matrices, we have the following: Suppose we have a square array of real numbers such that the sum of the squares of the entries in each row is 1 and distinct rows are orthogonal. Then the sum of the squares of the entries in each column is 1 and distinct columns are orthogonal. Write down the proof of this for a  $3 \times 3$  array, without using any knowledge of matrices, and you should be reasonably impressed.

**Definition.** A real or complex  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is said to be **orthogonal**, if  $A^*A = I$ .

A real orthogonal matrix is unitary; and, a unitary matrix is orthogonal if and only if each of its entries is real.

**EXAMPLE 27.** We give some examples of unitary and orthogonal matrices.

(a) A  $1 \times 1$  matrix  $[c]$  is orthogonal if and only if  $c = \pm 1$ , and unitary if and only if  $\bar{c}c = 1$ . The latter condition means (of course) that  $|c| = 1$ , or  $c = e^{i\theta}$ , where  $\theta$  is real.

(b) Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $A$  is orthogonal if and only if

$$A^* = A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of any orthogonal matrix is easily seen to be  $\pm 1$ . Thus  $A$  is orthogonal if and only if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ . The two cases are distinguished by the value of  $\det A$ .

(c) The well-known relations between the trigonometric functions show that the matrix

$$A_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

is orthogonal. If  $\theta$  is a real number, then  $A_\theta$  is the matrix in the standard ordered basis for  $R^2$  of the linear operator  $U_\theta$ , rotation through the angle  $\theta$ . The statement that  $A_\theta$  is a real orthogonal matrix (hence unitary) simply means that  $U_\theta$  is a unitary operator, i.e., preserves dot products.

(d) Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $A$  is unitary if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of a unitary matrix has absolute value 1, and is thus a complex number of the form  $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta$  real. Thus  $A$  is unitary if and only if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -e^{i\theta}\bar{b} & e^{i\theta}\bar{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\theta$  is a real number, and  $a, b$  are complex numbers such that  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ .

As noted earlier, the unitary operators on an inner product space form a group. From this and Theorem 13 it follows that the set  $U(n)$  of all  $n \times n$  unitary matrices is also a group. Thus the inverse of a unitary matrix and the product of two unitary matrices are again unitary. Of course this is easy to see directly. An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with complex entries is unitary if and only if  $A^{-1} = A^*$ . Thus, if  $A$  is unitary, we have  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A = (A^*)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^*$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  unitary matrices, then  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1} = B^*A^* = (AB)^*$ .

The Gram-Schmidt process in  $C^n$  has an interesting corollary for matrices that involves the group  $U(n)$ .

**Theorem 14.** *For every invertible complex  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$  there exists a unique lower-triangular matrix  $M$  with positive entries on the main diagonal such that  $MB$  is unitary.*

*Proof.* The rows  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  of  $B$  form a basis for  $C^n$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the vectors obtained from  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  by the Gram-Schmidt process. Then, for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis for the subspace spanned by  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ , and

$$\alpha_k = \beta_k - \sum_{j < k} \frac{(\beta_k | \alpha_j)}{\|\alpha_j\|^2} \alpha_j.$$

Hence, for each  $k$  there exist unique scalars  $C_{kj}$  such that

$$\alpha_k = \beta_k - \sum_{j < k} C_{kj} \beta_j.$$

Let  $U$  be the unitary matrix with rows

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{\|\alpha_1\|}, \dots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\|\alpha_n\|}$$

and  $M$  the matrix defined by

$$M_{kj} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\|\alpha_k\|} \cdot C_{kj}, & \text{if } j < k \\ \frac{1}{\|\alpha_k\|}, & \text{if } j = k \\ 0, & \text{if } j > k. \end{cases}$$

Then  $M$  is lower-triangular, in the sense that its entries above the main diagonal are 0. The entries  $M_{kk}$  of  $M$  on the main diagonal are all  $> 0$ , and

$$\frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|} = \sum_{j=1}^n M_{kj} \beta_j, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Now these equations simply say that

$$U = MB.$$

To prove the uniqueness of  $M$ , let  $T^+(n)$  denote the set of all complex  $n \times n$  lower-triangular matrices with positive entries on the main diagonal. Suppose  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are elements of  $T^+(n)$  such that  $M_i B$  is in  $U(n)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then because  $U(n)$  is a group

$$(M_1 B)(M_2 B)^{-1} = M_1 M_2^{-1}$$

lies in  $U(n)$ . On the other hand, although it is not entirely obvious,  $T^+(n)$  is also a group under matrix multiplication. One way to see this is to consider the geometric properties of the linear transformations

$$X \rightarrow MX, \quad (M \text{ in } T^+(n))$$

on the space of column matrices. Thus  $M_2^{-1}$ ,  $M_1 M_2^{-1}$ , and  $(M_1 M_2^{-1})^{-1}$  are all in  $T^+(n)$ . But, since  $M_1 M_2^{-1}$  is in  $U(n)$ ,  $(M_1 M_2^{-1})^{-1} = (M_1 M_2^{-1})^*$ . The transpose or conjugate transpose of any lower-triangular matrix is an upper-triangular matrix. Therefore,  $M_1 M_2^{-1}$  is simultaneously upper- and lower-triangular, i.e., diagonal. A diagonal matrix is unitary if and only if each of its entries on the main diagonal has absolute value 1; if the diagonal entries are all positive, they must equal 1. Hence  $M_1 M_2^{-1} = I$  and  $M_1 = M_2$ . ■