

is a dependence relation on the columns of  $A$  with, say,  $\beta_i \neq 0$ . By Cramer's Rule,  $\beta_i \det A = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain and  $\beta_i \neq 0$ ,  $\det A = 0$ .

Conversely, assume the columns of  $A$  are independent. Consider the integral domain  $R$  as embedded in its quotient field  $F$  so that  $M_{n \times n}(R)$  may be considered as a subring of  $M_{n \times n}(F)$  (and note that the determinant function on the subring is the restriction of the determinant function from  $M_{n \times n}(F)$ ). The columns of  $A$  in this way become elements of  $F^n$ . Any nonzero  $F$ -linear combination of the columns of  $A$  which is zero in  $F^n$  gives, by multiplying the coefficients by a common denominator, a nonzero  $R$ -linear dependence relation. The columns of  $A$  must therefore be independent vectors in  $F^n$ . Since  $A$  has  $n$  columns, these form a basis of  $F^n$ . Thus there are elements  $\beta_{ij}$  of  $F$  such that for each  $i$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  basis vector  $e_i$  in  $F^n$  may be expressed as

$$e_i = \beta_{1i}A_1 + \beta_{2i}A_2 + \cdots + \beta_{ni}A_n.$$

The  $n \times n$  identity matrix is the one whose columns are  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ . By Proposition 23 (with  $\varphi = \det$ ), the determinant of the identity matrix is some  $F$ -multiple of  $\det A$ . Since the determinant of the identity matrix is 1,  $\det A$  cannot be zero. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 28.** For matrices  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ ,  $\det AB = (\det A)(\det B)$ .

*Proof:* Let  $B = (\beta_{ij})$  and let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be the columns of  $A$ . Then  $C = AB$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $j^{\text{th}}$  column is  $C_j = \beta_{1j}A_1 + \beta_{2j}A_2 + \cdots + \beta_{nj}A_n$ . By Proposition 23 applied to the multilinear function  $\det$  we obtain

$$\det C = \det(C_1, \dots, C_n) = \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \beta_{\sigma(1)1} \beta_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots \beta_{\sigma(n)n} \right] \det(A_1, \dots, A_n).$$

The sum inside the brackets is the formula for  $\det B$ , hence  $\det C = (\det B)(\det A)$ , as required ( $R$  is commutative).

**Definition.** Let  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. For each  $i, j$ , let  $A_{ij}$  be the  $n-1 \times n-1$  matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting its  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column (an  $n-1 \times n-1$  minor of  $A$ ). Then  $(-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij})$  is called the  $ij$  cofactor of  $A$ .

**Theorem 29. (The Cofactor Expansion Formula along the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row)** If  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then for each fixed  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  the determinant of  $A$  can be computed from the formula

$$\det A = (-1)^{i+1} \alpha_{i1} \det A_{i1} + (-1)^{i+2} \alpha_{i2} \det A_{i2} + \cdots + (-1)^{i+n} \alpha_{in} \det A_{in}.$$

*Proof:* For each  $A$  let  $D(A)$  be the element of  $R$  obtained from the cofactor expansion formula described above. We prove that  $D$  satisfies the axioms of a determinant function, hence is the determinant function. Proceed by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ ,  $D((\alpha)) = \alpha$ , for all  $1 \times 1$  matrices  $(\alpha)$  and the result holds. Assume therefore that  $n \geq 2$ . To show that  $D$  is an alternating multilinear function of the columns, fix an index  $k$  and consider the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column as varying and all other columns as fixed. If  $j \neq k$ ,

$\alpha_{ij}$  does not depend on  $k$  and  $D(A_{ij})$  is linear in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column by induction. Also, as the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column varies linearly so does  $\alpha_{ik}$ , whereas  $D(A_{ik})$  remains unchanged (the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column has been deleted from  $A_{ik}$ ). Thus each term in the formula for  $D$  varies linearly in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column. This proves  $D$  is multilinear in the columns.

To prove  $D$  is alternating assume columns  $k$  and  $k+1$  of  $A$  are equal. If  $j \neq k$  or  $k+1$ , the two equal columns of  $A$  become two equal columns in the matrix  $A_{ij}$ . By induction  $D(A_{ij}) = 0$ . The formula for  $D$  therefore has at most two nonzero terms: when  $j = k$  and when  $j = k+1$ . The minor matrices  $A_{ik}$  and  $A_{ik+1}$  are identical and  $\alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{ik+1}$ . Then the two remaining terms in the expansion for  $D$ ,  $(-1)^{i+k}\alpha_{ik}D(A_{ik})$  and  $(-1)^{i+k+1}\alpha_{ik+1}D(A_{ik+1})$  are equal and appear with opposite signs, hence they cancel. Thus  $D(A) = 0$  if  $A$  has two adjacent columns which are equal, i.e.,  $D$  is alternating.

Finally, it follows easily from the formula and induction that  $D(I) = 1$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix. This completes the induction.

**Theorem 30. (Cofactor Formula for the Inverse of a Matrix)** Let  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $B$  be the transpose of its matrix of cofactors, i.e.,  $B = (\beta_{ij})$ , where  $\beta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ji}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Then  $AB = BA = (\det A)I$ . Moreover,  $\det A$  is a unit in  $R$  if and only if  $A$  is a unit in  $M_{n \times n}(R)$ ; in this case the matrix  $\frac{1}{\det A}B$  is the inverse of  $A$ .

*Proof:* The  $i, j$  entry of  $AB$  is  $\alpha_{i1}\beta_{1j} + \alpha_{i2}\beta_{2j} + \cdots + \alpha_{in}\beta_{nj}$ . By definition of the entries of  $B$  this equals

$$\alpha_{i1}(-1)^{j+1}D(A_{j1}) + \alpha_{i2}(-1)^{j+2}D(A_{j2}) + \cdots + \alpha_{in}(-1)^{j+n}D(A_{jn}). \quad (11.7)$$

If  $i = j$ , this is the cofactor expansion for  $\det A$  along the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row. The diagonal entries of  $AB$  are thus all equal to  $\det A$ . If  $i \neq j$ , let  $\bar{A}$  be the matrix  $A$  with the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row replaced by the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row, so  $\det \bar{A} = 0$ . By inspection  $\bar{A}_{jk} = A_{jk}$  and  $\alpha_{ik} = \bar{\alpha}_{jk}$  for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . By making these substitutions in equation (7) for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  one sees that the  $i, j$  entry in  $AB$  equals  $\bar{\alpha}_{j1}(-1)^{1+j}D(\bar{A}_{j1}) + \cdots + \bar{\alpha}_{jn}(-1)^{n+j}D(\bar{A}_{jn})$ . This expression is the cofactor expansion for  $\det \bar{A}$  along the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row. Since, as noted above,  $\det \bar{A} = 0$ , this proves that all off diagonal terms of  $AB$  are zero, which proves that  $AB = (\det A)I$ .

It follows directly from the definition of  $B$  that the pair  $(A^t, B^t)$  satisfies the same hypotheses as the pair  $(A, B)$ . By what has already been shown it follows that  $(BA)^t = A^t B^t = (\det A^t)I$ . Since  $\det A^t = \det A$  and the transpose of a diagonal matrix is itself, we obtain  $BA = (\det A)I$  as well.

If  $d = \det A$  is a unit in  $R$ , then  $d^{-1}B$  is a matrix with entries in  $R$  whose product with  $A$  (on either side) is the identity, i.e.,  $A$  is a unit in  $M_{n \times n}(R)$ . Conversely, assume that  $A$  is a unit in  $R$  with (2-sided) inverse matrix  $C$ . Since  $\det C \in R$  and

$$1 = \det I = \det AC = (\det A)(\det C) = (\det C)(\det A),$$

it follows that  $\det A$  has a 2-sided inverse in  $R$ , as needed. This completes all parts of the proof.

## EXERCISES

1. Formulate and prove the cofactor expansion formula along the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of a square matrix  $A$ .
2. Let  $F$  be a field and let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be (column) vectors in  $F^n$ . Form the matrix  $A$  whose  $i^{\text{th}}$  column is  $A_i$ . Prove that these vectors form a basis of  $F^n$  if and only if  $\det A \neq 0$ .
3. Let  $R$  be any commutative ring with 1, let  $V$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ . Assume that for some  $A \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ ,

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Prove that  $(\det A)x_i = 0$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

4. (*Computing Determinants of Matrices*) This exercise outlines the use of Gauss–Jordan elimination (cf. the exercises in Section 2) to compute determinants. This is the most efficient general procedure for computing large determinants. Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.
  - (a) Prove that the elementary row operations have the following effect on determinants:
    - (i) interchanging two rows changes the sign of the determinant
    - (ii) adding a multiple of one row to another does not alter the determinant
    - (iii) multiplying any row by a nonzero element  $u$  from  $F$  multiplies the determinant by  $u$ .
  - (b) Prove that  $\det A$  is nonzero if and only if  $A$  is row equivalent to the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Suppose  $A$  can be row reduced to the identity matrix using a total of  $s$  row interchanges as in (i) and by multiplying rows by the nonzero elements  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t$  as in (iii). Prove that  $\det A = (-1)^s(u_1u_2 \dots u_t)^{-1}$ .
5. Compute the determinants of the following matrices using row reduction:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 & -6 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -4 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & -8 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6. (*Minkowski's Criterion*) Suppose  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix with real entries such that the diagonal elements are all positive, the off-diagonal elements are all negative and the row sums are all positive. Prove that  $\det A \neq 0$ . [Consider the corresponding system of equations  $AX = 0$  and suppose there is a nontrivial solution  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . If  $x_i$  has the largest absolute value show that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  equation leads to a contradiction.]

### 11.5 TENSOR ALGEBRAS, SYMMETRIC AND EXTERIOR ALGEBRAS

In this section  $R$  is any commutative ring with 1, and we assume the left and right actions of  $R$  on each  $R$ -module are the same. We shall primarily be interested in the special case when  $R = F$  is a field, but the basic constructions hold in general.

Suppose  $M$  is an  $R$ -module. When tensor products were first introduced in Section 10.4 we spoke heuristically of forming “products”  $m_1m_2$  of elements of  $M$ , and we constructed a new module  $M \otimes M$  generated by such “products”  $m_1 \otimes m_2$ . The “value” of this product is not in  $M$ , so this does not give a ring structure on  $M$  itself. If, however,

we iterate this by taking the “products”  $m_1 m_2 m_3$  and  $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4$ , and all finite sums of such products, we can construct a ring containing  $M$  that is “universal” with respect to rings containing  $M$  (and, more generally, with respect to homomorphic images of  $M$ ), as we now show.

For each integer  $k \geq 1$ , define

$$\mathcal{T}^k(M) = M \otimes_R M \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R M \quad (k \text{ factors}),$$

and set  $\mathcal{T}^0(M) = R$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{T}^k(M)$  are called  $k$ -tensors. Define

$$\mathcal{T}(M) = R \oplus \mathcal{T}^1(M) \oplus \mathcal{T}^2(M) \oplus \mathcal{T}^3(M) \cdots = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}^k(M).$$

Every element of  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  is a finite linear combination of  $k$ -tensors for various  $k \geq 0$ . We identify  $M$  with  $\mathcal{T}^1(M)$ , so that  $M$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{T}(M)$ .

**Theorem 31.** If  $M$  is any  $R$ -module over the commutative ring  $R$  then

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  is an  $R$ -algebra containing  $M$  with multiplication defined by mapping

$$(m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_i)(m'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m'_j) = m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_i \otimes m'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m'_j$$

and extended to sums via the distributive laws. With respect to this multiplication  $\mathcal{T}^i(M)\mathcal{T}^j(M) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{i+j}(M)$ .

- (2) (*Universal Property*) If  $A$  is any  $R$ -algebra and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow A$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism, then there is a unique  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow A$  such that  $\Phi|_M = \varphi$ .

*Proof:* The map

$$\underbrace{M \times M \times \cdots \times M}_{i \text{ factors}} \times \underbrace{M \times M \times \cdots \times M}_{j \text{ factors}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{i+j}(M)$$

defined by

$$(m_1, \dots, m_i, m'_1, \dots, m'_j) \mapsto m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_i \otimes m'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m'_j$$

is  $R$ -multilinear, so induces a bilinear map  $\mathcal{T}^i(M) \times \mathcal{T}^j(M)$  to  $\mathcal{T}^{i+j}(M)$  which is easily checked to give a well defined multiplication satisfying (1) (cf. the proof of Proposition 21 in Section 10.4). To prove (2), assume that  $\varphi : M \rightarrow A$  is an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism. Then

$$(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k) \mapsto \varphi(m_1)\varphi(m_2)\dots\varphi(m_k)$$

defines an  $R$ -multilinear map from  $M \times \cdots \times M$  ( $k$  times) to  $A$ . This in turn induces a unique  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $\mathcal{T}^k(M)$  to  $A$  (Corollary 16 of Section 10.4) mapping  $m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_k$  to the element on the right hand side above. It is easy to check from the definition of the multiplication in (1) that the resulting uniquely defined map  $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow A$  is an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism.