5. 'The Aindrabarhaspatyan oblation he should offer (nir-vapet), who, as a sovereign, shouldn't exactly succeed in his attacks.' This is a typical Brāhmaņa passage. invents a legend showing the efficacy of some ritual observance in former times, to prove the usefulness of repeating the same rites in analogous circumstances. - Peculiar interest attaches to this occurrence of the Vstigh - see Schroeder's ed. of MS., Introduction, p. XIV; also ZDMG. xxxiii.194f, where the substance of the passage is given.

6. U.f. odanám apacat. - úñcistam, see this: final t = d before c becomes \tilde{n} in MS. -āçnāt, √2aç.

6-7. U.f. tám vāi indram antár evá · · sántam · · ápa_āumbhat (vubh; augment, 585): 'Indra, being (yet) an embryo, within (her), she bound with an iron bond.' ápa_ubdhas, 160.

8. ayājayat, see Vyaj, caus.

9-10. tásya = índrasya. - vyápadyata = of course, ví-apadyata: cf. Whitney, 84a. - U.f. abhi-pary-å_avartata, 1080, 1083.

10. U.f. yás · ·, tám eténa yājayet · ·, 'One should teach him to sacrifice with this Aindrābārhaspatyan (oblation), who etc.'

11. esás, same as yó and tám, line 10.

12-13. U.f. nir-upyáte, impers. 'it is offered: combination, 9211N.; form, vap, 769; accent!, 596. kriyate, V1 kr 12, 'it is sacrificed.' - 'Offering is made to B., sacrifice is made to I.: (then) on all sides (the god) releases him' (enam). 'Him,' i.e. the sin-bound king of lines 10-11, who is also the subject of abhi-pary-á-vartate.

SELECTION LXVI. TS. ii.6.6. Legend of Agni the oblation-bearer, and of the fish. -This is the Brāhmana form of the myth which is the subject of RV. x.51, and is adverted to in x.52 = selection lvi. To their version of x.51, GKR. add on p. 106 a translation of the selection before us (lxvi.). It is also rendered by Muir, v.203, and by Eggeling, SBE. xii.452. Ludwig, v.504-5, gives other Brāhmana forms of this myth: cf. esp. CB. $i.2.3^{1} = SBE. xii.47$. For Epic forms of the same, see Ad. Holtzmann, Agni nach den of the (sc. ghee) (when) taken (into the

Vorstellungen des MBh., p. 11, and esp. MBh. iii.222.7 = 14214f.

14-15. tráyas, 482c. - \2mi+pra, 770a. 15-16. 'Agni feared, (thinking,) "In this way, surely, he (syás) will get into trouble, i.e. if things keep on in this way, I shall get into trouble."' He speaks of himself here (as also at x.51.6a) in the 3d person (syás). Note the common root of a artim and a arisyati.

16. nílāyata: in strictness, to be divided thus, níl-āyata, 'he went out, took himself off, hid.' This is for nír a-ayata, an imperf. mid. of vi (after the model of a-jayata from vji) with the prefix nis or nir; for according to Pāṇini (viii.2.19), the r of a preposition with forms of the verb-stem aya is regularly changed to 1 - cf. 1087c.

In the Hindu mind, these forms of the verb-stem nil-aya were evidently confused with those of ni-laya, which yield a like mg - see BR. under vli+ni. On this account, doubtless, the pada-pātha, which usually gives the division of compound verbs, refrains here. The confusion is further attested by the analogous passage of the CB., which has, in the Mādhyamdina text (i.2.31), ni-lilye, and in the Kāņva text, ni-layām cakre — see SBE. xii.p.xlvi. The proper form from vli in the passage before us would of course be ny-àlayata.

16-17. U.f. pra_éşam (see \2is+pra2 and ref.) āicchan (11 is, 585). In the metaphor, Agni is implicitly likened to a hunted beast.

17-18. tám acapat etc., 'Him (the fish) he (Agni) cursed (as follows): "dhiya ... pra ávocas."' See dhi 1. - vadhvāsus (form! 924) and ghnanti (637) have as subject 'people.' - The loose use of sás, tám, etc., is one of the chief stylistic faults of the Brāhmaņas.

19. ány-avindan: V2vid; subject, 'the gods.' -See √vṛt+upa_ā.

Notes to Page 94.

1-2. U.f. grhitásya (sc. ghrtásya) á-hutasya (see vhu) · · skándāt (736), tád me · · asat. - bhrátrnām: the TS. reg. has short r in the gen. pl. of these words. - 'He said: "Let me make a condition (V2vr): Just what