

sacrificial ladle, but) not (yet) poured into the fire (â-hutasya), may fall outside the enclosure, let that be the portion of my brothers.”

SELECTION LXVII. AB. iii.20. Legend of Indra and the Maruts, and Vṛtra. — Translated, Muir, v.93. In selection xlvii. = RV. viii.85.7f, the Maruts are praised because they stood by Indra when all the other gods forsook him. The passage before us is an expansion of that myth, a “reproduction plus ou moins amplifiée d’un cliché emprunté au livre des hymnes.”

From other passages, it would appear that the Maruts also were faithless, cf. Muir, v. 92 and 82<sup>n</sup>. Both views are involved in the explanation of the Mid-day Soma Feast, ÇB. iv.3.3<sup>f</sup>, where the Maruts first withdraw from Indra and afterwards help him (SBE. xxvi.334f).

4-5. haniṣyan, 948<sup>2</sup>. — U.f. “anu mā upa tiṣṭhadvam; upa mā hvayadvam” (vḥū). Note the free position of the prefixes (1081). — tathā iti, “Yes,” said they.

5-6. U.f. sas (Vṛtra) avet (see v1 vid3, and 620): “mām etc.; hanta! imān bhīṣayāi” (vḥī, caus., 1042f, 1043.2).

7. vḡvas+abhi-pṛa: imperf., 631. — adraṇ: simple root dru, without prefix; note that the prefix ā with vḡdru exactly reverses its meaning; so with vḡdā, hr, and muc.

8-9. U.f. m- ha enam na ajahus (v2hā, 661, 656): “prahara bhagavas! (454b) jahi! (637<sup>2</sup>) vīrayasva!” iti eva enam . . upa atīṣṭhanta. See vāc.

9-10. tad etad (see etad) = ‘this.’ — See vḡvac+abhy-anu. — ‘The Rishi, seeing this (occurrence), described (it) in the Vedic words, “At Vṛtra’s snorting, thee.”’ These words are a quotation of the beginning of RV. viii.85.7f, and illustrate the way in which the Vedic stanzas are cited in the secondary literature.

10-11. U.f. sas (Indra, this time) avet: “ime . . ; ime . . ; hanta! imān asmin ukthe ā bhajāi.” See vḡhaj+ā: the subjunctive has the force of a future.

SELECTION LXVIII. AB. iii.21. Legend of Indra and the god Ka or Who. — Compare selection lxii. and see Müller, ASL. 432f. The identification of Prajāpati with Ka is very common: see, e.g. ÇB. i.1.1<sup>13</sup>, vii.4.1<sup>19</sup>, xi.5.4<sup>1</sup>.

13-14. U.f. . . vi-jitya, abravīt prajāpatim: “aham etad asāni (636), yad tvam (sc. asi); aham mahān asāni.”

15. U.f. “yad eva etad avocas.” The etad, q.v., goes appositively with yad, marking the thing designated by yad as something preceding, and so may be rendered by ‘just’ or ‘a moment ago.’ P. asks, “Who am I, then?” “Exactly what thou just saidst,” replied Indra.

15-16. ‘Then P. became Ka by name = got the name of Ka. (For) P. is Ka by name = has the name Ka.’ Note that the predicate comes first.

16-17. See yad2. ‘As for the fact that Indra became great, therein (lies) Great-Indra’s Great-Indra-ness’ (cf. ÇB. ii.5.4<sup>9</sup>). This is a specimen of the verbal and etymological explanations of the Brāhmaṇas: cf. 64<sup>n</sup>.

SELECTION LXIX. ÇB. ii.2.2<sup>6</sup>. The two kinds of deities, the gods and the Brahmins. — A little oratio pro domo of an oft-recurring kind (see ISt. x.35). Translated by Muir, i<sup>2</sup>.262 (he quotes TS. i.7.3<sup>1</sup> by way of illustration), and Eggeling, SBE. xii.309.

18. U.f. devās (predicate) aha eva devās (subject): ‘The gods of course are gods.’ So mārtyā ha vā āgre devā āsuḥ, ‘In the beginning, the gods were mortals’ (not ‘The mortals were gods’). — Delbrück, *Altindische Wortfolge*, p. 26.

18f. ‘Then (they) who are the Brahmins, the learned (vḡru 1), the scholars (see vḡvac+anu, and 807), — they are the human gods.’

#### NOTES TO PAGE 95.

2-3. ‘For (*lit.* of) the gods, (the sacrifice is) just the oblations; for the human-gods, the B., the learned, the scholars, (it is) the dakṣiṇā.’ — prīṇāti, subject indefinite.

4. U.f. brāhmaṇān ḡgruvuṣas, 203. — Note the fond repetition. — enam, same as subject of prīṇāti.