# **COMP4901I - Opinion Mining Report**

## Cheng Chi Fung

cfchengac@connect.ust.hk

#### 1 Data

## 1.1 Data Cleaning

In this assignments, first, we turn all the strings into lower case and encode with ASCII. It is followed by expanding the contradiction and remove all the digits and special characters.

#### 1.2 Data Statistics

The following are the data statistics of the dataset given.

Table 1: Data Statistics

Statistics	-
Number of sentence	10000
Number of words	1195793
Number of vocabs	23602
Frequent words	the, i, to, a, and, it, is, of, not, for
Max sentence length	2186
Average sentence length	119.5793
Std sentence length	137.5261
Class distrubution	r

## 2 Implement ConvNet with PyTorch

## 2.1 Embedding Reuslts

The following are the embedding results.

Table 2: Accuracy of Embedding

Dataset	Accuracy
Development Set	0.63

## 2.2 Hyperparameters Tuning Results

The following are the hyperparameters tuning results.

Table 3: Hyperparameter tuning results

Pooling Types	Learning Rate	Kernel Size	Dropout rate	Embedding Dimension	Number of Filters	Best Accuracy
Max Pooling	0.01	(3,4,5)	0.3	100	100	0.576
Max Pooling	0.1	(3,4,5)	0.1	100	100	0.582
Max Pooling	0.01	(3,4,5)	0.3	100	100	0.6256
Max Pooling	0.1	(3,4,5)	0.3	100	100	0.5756
Max Pooling	0.1	(3,4,5)	0.5	100	150	0.5856
Max Pooling	0.1	(2,3,4)	0.3	100	100	0.6028

## 3 Results and Analysis

#### 3.1 Development Set

Table 4: Accuracy for final training

Dataset	Accuracy
Development Set	0.634

#### 3.2 Analysis

For ths size of filters, a larger size kernel can overlook at the features and could skip the essential details in the input whereas a smaller size kernel could provide more information leading to more confusion. From the results,

For the number of filter, the more the number of filter, the more of different convolution.

For the dropout, we found out that, the it requires more time to converge. The reason for that may be due to dropout forces a neural network to learn more robust features that are useful in conjunction with many different random subsets of the other neurons. And sometimes,

For the learning rate, we found out that the lower the value, the slower the convergence. On the other hand, the higher the learning rate, the faster the convergence. However, high learning rate also earlier cause early stop.

For comparison between max pooing and average pooling, we found out that average pooling perform better max pooling. Since the max pooling rejects a big chunk of data and retains the max. Average pooling on the other hand, do not reject all of it and retains more information. Because of that sometimes the variance in a max pooling is not significant.

#### 4 Bonus

#### 4.1 Dynamic Padding

For Dynamic Padding, I have defined my own **collate\_fn()** to process the batch by dynamicially padding the batch with maximum length of the embedding inside that batch. Instead of just maximum length of a batch, defining my own **collate\_fn()** can be more flexibly process the batch since I can also handle some other problems caused by the batch with different length.

Table 5: Accuracy of using dynamic padding

Dataset	Accuracy
Development Set	0.57

## 4.2 Pretrained Word Embedding

For Pretrained Word Embedding, we have tried to replace the original word embedding layer by the pretrained word2Vector with Google News corpus (3 billion running words) word vector model. (Google News Corpus: https://github.com/mmihaltz/word2vec-GoogleNews-vectors). And since the dimension of the embedding matrix is enormously big which cause some memory error during training, we have limited to only use ten thousands of vocabs. All above process can be easily done through by a python libarary named gensim. And the following are the results of using pretrained embedding.

Table 6: Accuracy of using Pretained Word Embedding

Dataset	Accuracy
Development Set	0.6011

#### 4.3 Other CNN Architectures

For other CNN archiectures, we have implemented character CNN by following the paper **Character-level Convolutional Networks for Text Classification**. (https://papers.nips.cc/paper/5782-character-level-convolutional-networks-for-text-classification.pdf)

Same as the paper, we have defined a list of characters which includes 26 English letters, 10 digits, 34 special characters and one blank characters. (**70 Characters in total**)

In the later part, we transfer those characters as 1-hot encoding and use it to create the sentence vectors for each sentences. For unknown characters, blank characters are used to replace it. The sentence vectors would then be inputed into the CNN with the following archiecture which is quite similiar to the paper.

Table 7: Char CNN Archiecture we used

Layer	Layer types	Kernel Size	Pooling Size / is Dropout	Number of Filters
1	Embedding	100	_	_
2	Conv2d	7	3	256
3	Conv1d	7	3	256
4	Conv1d	3	_	256
5	Conv1d	3	_	256
6	Conv1d	3	_	256
7	Conv1d	3	3	256
8	Linear	1024	Yes	_
9	Linear	1024	Yes	_
10	Linear	3	_	_

And the following are the results of using Char CNN.

Table 8: Accuracy of using char CNN as our model

Dataset	Accuracy
Development Set	0.6312