# LECTURES ON HYPERREALS BOOK BY ROBERT GOLDBLATT

.

## Contents

1	What are Hypereals?	3
2	Large sets	5
3	Ultrapower Construction of the hyperreals	7
4	The Transfer Principle	11

What are Hypereals?

### Large sets

**Exercise 2.1.** If  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq I$ , there is an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  on I with  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\mathcal{H} = \{B \in \mathscr{P}(I) : A \subseteq B\}$ . Since A is non empty, it follows that  $\mathcal{H}$  has fip. Clearly,  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ , and so  $A \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{H}}$ . Therefore, by zorn lemma, we know that there exists ultrafilter,  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $A \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

**Exercise 2.2.** There exists a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  containing the set of even numbers, and another containing the set of odd numbers.

Proof. Define  $I = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x = 2n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since I is infinite, we know that  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{co}}$  is proper and thus, have fip. Applying the zorn's lemma, we see that there exists ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\text{co}}$ . However, for any  $i \in I$ , we have  $I - \{i\} \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{co}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  but, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is ultrafilter,  $\{i\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . However, clearly  $\{i\} \in \mathcal{F}^i$ . Therefore,  $F \neq F^i$  for any  $i \in I$ . Likewise we could argue about set of odd numbers.

Exercise 2.3. An ultrafilter on finite set must be principal.

*Proof.* Let the set be  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be ultrafilter on it. Since  $\{a_1\} \cup \{a_2\} \cup \dots \cup \{a_n\} = A \in \mathcal{F}$ , it must be the case that  $a_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for some i such that  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is proper, for any  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $B \cap \{a_i\} \neq \emptyset$ , but that means  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{a_i}$ .

Exercise 2.4. For  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(I)$ .

- (i) Show that  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{H}}$  is a filter that includes  $\mathcal{H}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{H}}$ .
- (ii) Show that  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{H}}$  is included in any other filter that includes  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Proof.

- (i) By the definition.
- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter such that  $H \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{H}}$ , then  $B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \cdots \cap B_n \subseteq A$  for some  $B_1, \cdots, B_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . Clearly,  $B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_n \in F$  and so is  $A \in F$ .

**Exercise 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a proper filter on I.

- (I) Show that  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A^c\}$  has fip iff  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$ .
- (II) Use (I) to deduce that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter iff it is maximal proper filter on I.

Proof

(I) First we prove forward implication. Notice that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then since  $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$ , it follows  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A^c\}$  does not have fip.

We prove the converse implication. Notice that since F is a proper filter, ie it does not contain  $\emptyset$ , the only way for  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A^c\}$  to not have fip would be  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(II) We prove the foward implication. Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter. Applying Zorn's lemma on proper filter  $\mathcal{F}$ , we see that there exists a maximal proper filter, K, such that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq K$ . If  $K \neq F$ , it would mean there is some  $A \in K$  such that  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$  but then that would mean  $A^C \in \mathcal{F}$ , and so  $A^c \in K$  which contradicts the

fact that K is proper since it fails to satisfy fip. Therefore, we must have F = K.

We prove the converse implication. Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is maximal proper filter. For the sake of contradiction assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is not an ultra filter i.e. there exists some  $A \in \mathscr{P}(I)$  such that both  $A, A^c \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly, either  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$  has fip or  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A^c\}$  does. Wlog assume  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$  has fip. Applying Zorn's lemma on  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$ , we see that there is maximal proper filter K such that  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\} \subseteq K$ . However this means that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not maximal proper filter since  $F \subsetneq \mathcal{F} \cup \{A\} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$ .

## Ultrapower Construction of the hyperreals

**Exercise 3.1.** (section 3.3, I).  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\langle a_n \rangle, \langle b_n \rangle, \langle c_n \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . First we prove that reflexivity. Clearly,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = a_n\} = \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{F}^{co} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $\langle a_n \rangle \equiv \langle a_n \rangle$ .

Symmetricity is trivial since  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = b_n\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : b_n = a_n\}$ , if one of them is in F then so is the other.

Finally, we prove transitivity. Suppose  $\langle a_n \rangle \equiv \langle b_n \rangle$  and  $\langle b_n \rangle \equiv \langle c_n \rangle$  i.e.  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = b_n\}, \{n \in \mathbb{N} : b_n = c_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = b_n = c_n\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = b_n\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : b_n = c_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $\langle a_n \rangle \equiv \langle c_n \rangle$ .

**Exercise 3.2.** (section 3.3, II)  $\equiv$  is a congruence on the ring  $\langle \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \oplus, \odot \rangle$ , which means that if  $r \equiv r'$  and  $s \equiv s'$ , then  $r \oplus s = r' \oplus s'$  and  $r \odot s = r' \odot s'$ .

Proof. Suppose  $r \equiv r'$  and  $s \equiv s'$  i.e.  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = r'_n\}, \{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n = s'_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, since  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = r'_n, s_n = s'_n\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = r'_n\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n = s'_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = r'_n, s_n = s'_n\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n + s_n = r'_n + s'_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Similarly,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n s_n = r'_n s'_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $r \oplus s \equiv r' \oplus s'$  and  $r \odot s \equiv r' \odot s'$ .

**Exercise 3.3.** (section 3.3, III)  $\langle 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \cdots \rangle \not\equiv \langle 0, 0, 0, \cdots \rangle$ .

Proof. Let  $\langle r \rangle = \langle 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \cdots \rangle$ . For the sake of simplicity, define  $[[r \neq 0]] = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n \neq 0\}$ . Clearly,  $[[r \neq 0]] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $n \in [[r \neq 0]]$ . Thus,  $[[r \neq 0]] = \mathbb{N}$ . So,  $[[r \neq 0]] \in \mathcal{F}^{co} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  but then it would mean  $[[r = 0]] = [[r \neq 0]]^c \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $\langle r_n \rangle \not\equiv \langle 0 \rangle$ .

**Exercise 3.4.** (section 3.5, I)  $[[r = s]] \cap [[s = t]] \subseteq [[r = t]]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in [[r=s]] \cap [[s=t]]$ . Then it follows that  $r_n = s_n$  and  $s_n = t_n$ , and so  $r_n = t_n$ . Therefore,  $n \in [[s=t]]$ .

Exercise 3.5. (Section 3.5, II)  $[[r=r']] \cap [[s=s']] \subseteq [[r \oplus s=r' \oplus s']] \cap [[r \oplus s=r' \oplus s']]$ 

Proof. Let  $n \in [[r = r']] \cap [[s = s']]$ . Thus,  $r_n = r'_n$  and  $s_n = s'_n$ , and so  $r_n \oplus s_n = r'_n \oplus s'_n$  and  $r_n \odot s_n = r'_n \odot s'_n$ . Therefore,  $n \in [[r \oplus s = r' \oplus s']] \cap [[r \oplus s = r' \oplus s']]$ .

**Exercise 3.6.** (Section 3.5, III)  $[[r = r']] \cap [[s = s']] \cap [[r < s]] \subseteq [[r' < s']].$ 

*Proof.* Let  $n \in [[r = r']] \cap [[s = s']] \cap [[r < s]]$ . Thus,  $r_n = r'_n, s_n = s'_n, r_n < s_n$  but then that means  $r'_n < s'_n$ , and so  $n \in [[r' < s']]$ .

**Exercise 3.7.** (Section 3.5, IV) If  $r \equiv r'$  and  $s \equiv s'$ , then  $[[r < s]] \in \mathcal{F}$  iff  $[[r' < s']] \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Proof. Suppose  $r \equiv r'$  and  $s \equiv s'$ , that is to say,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = r'_n\}, \{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n = s'_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . First assume  $[[r < s]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = r'_n\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n = s'_n\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n < s_n\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r' < s'\} \in mcF$ . Next assume  $[[r' < s^p]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . It follows  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = r'_n\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n = s'_n\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r'_n < s'_n\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r < s\} \in \mathcal{F}$ 

**Exercise 3.8.** (Section 3.8, I) Use only general properties of ordered fields to deduce from the fact that  $[\epsilon]$  is a positive infinitismal, the conclusion that  $[\epsilon]^{-1}$  is greater than every real number.

*Proof.* Notice that for any  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we have  ${}^*r = [\langle r, r, \cdots, \rangle] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\epsilon < {}^*r$ , by fields properties, we have  $0 < {}^*r^{-1} < \epsilon^{-1}$ . Since r is arbitrary positive real number, setting  $r = r^{-1}$ , we see that  ${}^*r < \epsilon^{-1}$  for any  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Exercise 3.9.** (Section 3.10, I) If A is finite, show that A = A, and hence A has non nonstandard members.

Proof. Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Consider some  $[r] \in {}^*A$ . If we could prove that  $r \equiv t$  for some constant sequence  $t \in A$ , we would be done. So we set that as our goal. Notice that since  $[r] \in {}^*A$ , we have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n \in A\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = a_1 \lor r_n = a_2 \lor \cdots r_n = a_n\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = a_1\} \cup \cdots \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = a_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . But since  $\mathcal{F}$  is ultrafilter, only one of such set is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = a_k\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for some k such that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Hence,  $r \equiv a_k$ .

Exercise 3.10. (Section 3.10, II) Prove:

- (a)  $A \subseteq B$  iff  $A \subseteq B$ .
- (b) A = B iff \*A = \*B.

Proof.

(a) First we prove the forward implication. Suppose  $A \subseteq B$ . Consider  $[r] \in {}^*A$ . Therefore, we have  $[[r \in A]] \in \mathcal{F}$ , but since  $[[r \in A]] \subseteq [[r \in B]]$ , we have  $[[r \in B]]$  and so  $[r] \in {}^*B$ .

We prove the converse implication. Suppose  ${}^*A \subseteq {}^*B$ . Consider some  $r \in A$ . Then we have  $[\mathbf{r}] \in {}^*A$ , and so  $[\mathbf{r}] \in {}^*B$ . However, since  $[\mathbf{r}] \in B$ , we have  $[[r \in B]] \in \mathcal{F}$  which means  $r \in B$ .

(b) Trivially follows from (a).

Exercise 3.11. (Section 3.10, III)

Prove:

- $(a) * (A \cup B) = *A \cup *B,$
- $(b) *(A \cap B) = *A \cap *B,$
- (c) \*(A B) = \*A \*B,
- $(d) *\emptyset = \emptyset.$

Proof.

(a) First we prove the foward implication. Since  $A \subset A \cup B$ , applying (2), we have  $^*A \subseteq ^*(A \cup B)$ . Similarly, we have  $^*B \subseteq ^*(A \cup B)$ , and so  $^*A \cup ^*B \subseteq ^*(A \cup B)$ .

We prove the converse implication. Let  $[r] \in {}^*A \cup {}^*B$ . Then we have following cases;

**case-1.**  $[r] \in {}^*A$ . Thus,  $[[r \in A]] \in mcF$ , and since  $[[r \in A]] \subseteq [[r \in A \cup B]]$ , we have  $[[r \in A \cup B]] \in \mathcal{F}$  but that means  $[r] \in {}^*A \cup {}^*B$ .

case-2.  $[r] \in {}^*B$ . The proof is analogous to case-1.

(b) First we prove the forward implication. Since  $A \cap B \subseteq A$ , applying (2), we have  $*(A \cap B) \subseteq *A$ . Similarly we have  $*(A \cap B) \subseteq *B$ , and so  $*(A \cap B) \subseteq *A \cap *B$ .

We prove the converse implication. Consider some  $[r] \in {}^*A \cap {}^*B$ . It means that  $[r] \in {}^*A$  and  $[r] \in {}^*B$ , thus  $[[r \in A]], [[r \in B]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $[[r \in A \cap B]] = [[r \in A]] \cap [[r \in B]] \in \mathcal{F}$ , and so  $[r] \in {}^*(A \cap B)$ .

(c) First we prove the foward implication. Let  $[r] \in {}^*(A \setminus B)$ . Then we have  $[[r \in A]] \cap [[r \notin B]] = [[r \in A \setminus B]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $[[r \in A]], [[r \notin B]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence,  $[r] \in {}^*A$  and  $[r] \notin {}^*B$ , and so  $[r] \in {}^*A \setminus {}^*B$ . We prove the converse implication. Let  $[r] \in {}^*A \setminus {}^*B$  i.e.  $[r] \in {}^*A$  and  $[r] \notin {}^*B$ . Then we have  $[[r \in A]] \in \mathcal{F}$ 

and  $[[r \notin B]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $[[r \in A \setminus B]] = [[r \in A]] \cap [[r \notin B]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $r \in {}^*(A \setminus B)$ .

(d) Clearly,  ${}^*\emptyset = \{[r] \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : [[r \in \emptyset]] \in \mathcal{F}\} = \emptyset.$ 

**Exercise 3.12.** (Section 3.10, IV) Is it true that  $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ?

*Proof.* No. Define  $A_n = \{n\}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that since  $A_n$  is finite, using (1), we have  $*A_n = A_n = \{n\}$ . Since  $[[\omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n]] = \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\omega \in *(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$ . However,  $[[\omega \in A_n]] = \{n\} \notin \mathcal{F}$ , thus  $\omega \notin *A_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This mean  $\omega \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} *A_n$ .

**Exercise 3.13.** (Section 3.10, V) Show that if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then  $A \cap \mathbb{R} = A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $[r] \in {}^*A \cap \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\langle r \rangle$  is a constant sequence and  $[r] \in {}^*A$ , it follows  $[r] = \langle r \rangle \in A$ . Thus,  ${}^*A \cap \mathbb{R} \subseteq A$ . This means that  ${}^*A \cap \mathbb{R}$  contains only the constant sequences. Let  $\langle r \rangle \in A$ . Then clearly  $[r] \in {}^*A \cap \mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 3.14.** For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , let [a, b] be the closed interval  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le n\}$ . Prove that  $*[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le b\}$ .

Proof. We first prove the forward inclusion. Let  $[r] \in {}^*[a,b]$ . Then we have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a \leq r_n \leq b\} = [[r \in [a,b]]] \in \mathcal{F}$  but that means  $[r] \in \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : a \leq x \leq b\}$ . We prove the converse inclusion. Let  $[r] \in \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : a \leq x \leq b\}$ . It follows  $[[r \in [a,b]]] = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a \leq r_n \leq b\} \in mcF$ . Therefore,  $[r] \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq x \leq n\}$ .

**Exercise 3.15.** (Section 3.10, VII)  $*\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of  $*\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $*\mathbb{Z} \neq \emptyset$ . Consider some  $[r], [s] \in *\mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have  $[[r \in \mathbb{Z}]], [[s \in \mathbb{Z}]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore, since  $[[r \in \mathbb{Z}]] \cap [[s \in \mathbb{Z}]] \subseteq [[r+s \in \mathbb{Z}]], [[rs \in \mathbb{Z}]], [[rs \in \mathbb{Z}]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence,  $[r+s], [rs] \in *\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$ 

Exercise 3.16. (Section 3.10, VIII) If  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$ , show that  $^*(\mathbb{R}^+) = \{x \in ^*\mathbb{R}^+ : x > 0\}$ , i.e.,  $^*(R^+) = (^*\mathbb{R})^+$ .

Proof. First we prove the forward inclusion. Let  $[r] \in {}^*(R^+)$ . It means that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n > 0\} = [[r \in R^+]] \in \mathcal{F}$  which implies  $[r] \in ({}^*\mathbb{R})^+$ . Next we prove the converse inclusion. Let  $[r] \in ({}^*\mathbb{R})^+$ . Then we have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n > 0\} = [[r > 0]] = [[r \in \mathbb{R}^+]] \in \mathcal{F}$  from which it follows  $[r] \in {}^*(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

**Exercise 3.17.** (Section 3.12, I) Show that \*f agrees with f on  $\mathbb{R}$ : if  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , then \*f(r) = f(r).

*Proof.* Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Notice that r can be indetified with the constant sequence  $\mathbf{r} \in {}^*R$ . Then we have  ${}^*f([\mathbf{r}]) = [f(\mathbf{r})]$  which is isomorphic to f(r).

Exercise 3.18. (Section 3.12, II) If f is injective, so is \*f. What about surjectivity?

*Proof.* Suppose f is injective. Consider some  $[r], [s] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$  such that  ${}^*f([r]) = {}^*f([s])$ , that is to say  $[f \circ r] = [f \circ s]$ . Since f is injective, we have  $[[f \circ r = f \circ s]] \subseteq [[r = s]]$  but then, since  $[[f \circ r = f \circ s]] \in \mathcal{F}$ , it follows  $[[r = s]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore, [r] = [s].

Yes, surjectivity is preserved. Suppose f is surjective. Consider some  $[s] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ . Our goal is to prove that there is some  $[r] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$  such that  ${}^*f([r]) = [s]$ . Define sequence  $(r_n)$  such that, for each  $s_n$ ,  $f(r_n) = s_n$ . We know such a  $r_n$  exists for each  $s_n$  since f is surjective. We claim that  $[r] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$  the element we looking for. To prove this claim, we need to show  $[f \circ r] = f([r]) = [s]$ , so we set that as our goal. Clearly,  $[[f \circ r = s]] = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(r_n) = s_n\} = \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $[f \circ r] = f[r] = [s]$ .

**Exercise 3.19.** (Section 3.12, III) For  $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ , let

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

be the usal definition of absolute value function. Show that this extends the definition of |.| on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $|[r]| = [\langle |r_1|, |r_2|, \cdots \rangle] = [|r_n|]$ .

*Proof.* We have the following cases;

**Case-1.** Suppose [r] > 0. Then  $[[r > 0]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $[[r > 0]] \subseteq [[[r] = [|r|]]] \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $|[r]| = [r] = [|r_n|]$ .

Case-2. Suppose [r] = 0. That is to say  $[[r = 0]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $[[r = 0]] \subseteq [[0 = |r|]] \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have |[r]| = 0 = [|r|].

Case-3. Suppose [r] < 0. Then |[r]| = -[r] = [-r] = [|r|].

**Exercise 3.20.** (Section 3.12, IV) Let  $\chi_A$  be the characteristic function of a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $*(\chi_A) = \chi_{*A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $[r] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the expression  ${}^*(\chi_A)[r]$ , we have the following cases;

**Case-1.** Suppose  $[r] \in {}^*A$ . Notice that  ${}^*(\chi_A)[r] = [\chi_A \circ r] = [\chi_A(r_n)]$  but then, since  $[[r \in A]] \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $[[r \in A]] \subseteq [[\chi_A \circ r = 1]]$ , we have  $[[\chi_A \circ r = 1]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $[\chi_A \circ r] = \chi_A[r] = 1$ .

Case-2. Suppose  $[r] \notin {}^*A$ . Then we have  $[[r \notin A]] = [[r \in A]]^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $[[r \notin A]] \subseteq [[\chi_A \circ r = 0]]$ . Thus,  $[[\chi_A \circ r = 0]] \in \mathcal{F}$  and so  $[\chi_A \circ r] = \chi_A[r] = 0$ .

Exercise 3.21. (Section 3.12, V) Show how to define \*f when f is a function of more than one argument.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} \;\; \text{Define}\; ^*f([r^1],\cdots,[r^n]) = [f(r^1_1,\cdots,r^n_1),f(r^1_2,\cdots,r^n_2),\cdots]. \;\; \text{We prove that it's well defined. Clearly,} \\ [[r^1=r^1']] \cap \cdots [[r^n=r^{n'}]] \subseteq [[f\circ (r^1,\cdots,r^n)=f\circ (r^{1'},\cdots,r^{n'})]]. \;\; \text{Therefore,} \;\; r^1 \equiv r^{1'},\cdots,r^n \equiv r^{n'} \\ \text{implies} \;\; f\circ (r^1,\cdots,r^n) \equiv f\circ (r^{1'},\cdots,r^{n'}. \end{array}$ 

**Exercise 3.22.** (Section 3.15, I) If  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , put  $P = A_1 \times \dots \times A_k$  and apply the definition of P to show that

$$^*(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k) = ^*A_1 \times \cdots \times ^*A_k.$$

Proof. For  $([r^1], [r^2], \dots, [r^k]) \in {}^*P$ , we must have  $[[(r^1, r^2, \dots, r^k) \in P]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $[[(r^1, r^2, \dots, r^k) \in P]] \subseteq [[r^n \in A_n]]$ , thus  $[[r^n \in A_n]]$  for any n such that  $1 \le n \le k$ . This means that  $[r^n] \in A^n$  for all such n. Hence,  $([r^1], \dots, [r^n]) \in {}^*A_1 \times \dots {}^*A_n$ . From this, it follows that  ${}^*(\mathbb{R}^k) = ({}^*\mathbb{R})^k$ .

**Exercise 3.23.** (Section 3.15, II) Let dom P denote the domain of a binary relation. If  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , show that  $*(dom\ P) = dom\ *P$ .

*Proof.* First we prove the forward inclusion. Let  $[r] \in {}^*(\text{dom } P)$ . Then we have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n \in \text{dom } P\} = [[r \in \text{dom } P]] \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Define sequence 
$$s_n = \begin{cases} s \text{ such that } (r_n, s) \in P, \text{ if } r_n \in \text{dom } P \\ 0, \text{ if } r_n \notin \text{dom } P \end{cases}$$

Then clearly,  $[[(r,s) \in P]] = [[r \in \text{dom } P]] \in \mathcal{F}$ , but that means  $([r],[s]) \in {}^*P$ , and so  $[r] \in \text{dom } {}^*P$ . Now we prove the converse inclusion. Let  $[r] \in \text{dom } {}^*P$ . It follows that there exists  $[s] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$  such that  $([r],[s]) \in {}^*P$ , but that means  $[[r \in \text{dom } P]] = [[(r,s) \in P]] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence,  $[r] \in {}^*(\text{dom } P)$ .

# The Transfer Principle

Exercise 4.1.