Forkan 02EV 161044036

1-> A, A3 -> A2 2-) Ay -> AS Az Ay -> As Ay -> Az 3-> AZAS -> A4 4-> A3A2 -> A2A4 A3 A2 -) A2 As Az -DAY 5-) ' ALASAU -> AZ ASAS - AIAZ A3A5-3+ Az As A Az Check 1 : AiAs -> Az

> As without Az {A; 3t = 9A; 3 we cont get Az Az without A; {Az }t = {Az} we cont get Az So these attributes ovent redundant

Check 2! An -> As Az

An -> As Az

An -> As Az ore neccessory because

Ehere is only one affibite right and left side.

Check 3: AzAz >A4

Az without A3! Az = Az \$\frac{1}{2} Ay 50 Az is necessary

Az without Az! Az = Az \$\frac{1}{2} Ay 50 Az is necessary

50 these attributes oren't redundant

Check 41 ASA2> AZA4

As without $AB : A2^{\dagger} = A2 \neq A2$ 3 we contaget A2As without $A3 : A3^{\dagger} = A3 \neq A2$ 3 so these attributes another redundant

So, ABAZ -> Au like ABAZ -> Az!

Ast = As \$\rm\$ Au So we cont get Ay

Ast = Ar \$\rm\$ Ast these afterbutes arent redundant

Check S! AABAA JA2

A, A3[†] -> A, A3 (A, A3[†] -> A) A, A3 A2 (A3 A2 -> A1) A, A2A2 A2[†] -> A2 So Ay is extroreous

Check 6! AS AS -> AIA2

AZAS DA, and AZAS MAG

As without As! {As? = {As} and As= As \$A,

As without As! {As? = {As} and As= As \$A,

As without As! {As? = {As} and As= As \$A,

As without As!

We con't get Ag and Az, AzandAs are necessary.
These attributes are not redundant

Lets find redundant functional dependency and remove them.

FDS! A, As DA2 (removed)
Au DAS
Au DAS
Av DAU
ArA3 DAU
Ara DA2 (removed)
Ara DA4
Ara DA2
Ara DA4
Ara DA2
Ara DA3
Ara DA2
Ara DA3
Ara DA3
Ara DA4
Ara DA3
Ara DA4
Ara DA4
Ara DA5
Ara (removed)

PAI, A3 ? = {A1, A3, A2, A4, A5, A7? we have A7 50 its removed. A,A3A2 (A,A3>A2) AIA3A2Au(A2A3-)Au)

So all cover A7, is redundant

2) Augan and Augas are necessary

> Aut = AuAx AuAx ZAS Aut = AuAs AuAs 2A3

3) A2, A3 -> A4 {A2, A3 } = {A2A3} we don't get A4.

A2A3 = A2AS PAU This recessory

4) A3A3-) A2 {A3,A2} = {A3,A2,A5,A5,A5,A2} A3A2T = A3A3

ArATAN (A3 A2 - 34) A 3A A A 4 A 5 (A 4 D As)

> S. all cover Az(A,Az) so its redundant so sids removed

S) AZAZ DAU

{A3 A3} += {A3 A3} we remard (M3A3-3A2)

so we didn't take Az . So this is not redundant

A3Ax = A3Ax ZAu

6) AIA3 -> A2

A1 M3 = A1 A3 ZA2 {A1 M3} = {A1 A3} we don't get AL

7-) A3 A5 ->A1

A3A5T = A3 A5

A3A5A2 (A3A5)A2)

A3A5A2A4 \$\neq A1(A3A2)A4)

\{A1A3\}^{\dagger} = \{A1A3\} \we don 1+ qet A1

8-) A3A5-)A7

{A3,A5} = {A5, A5, A, A4, Au, A2} we get A2

A3A5T = A3 A5 A3A5A, (A3A5-)A) A3A5A, (A3A5-)A2)

> A3A5A11 A2A4A7 2 A7 (A4)A7) SO A3A5 DA7 TS ledundon

remained functional defendency, apply union

Aundry
Au

Ay M 2 FD, Lets unfon then-

50, Au >As A?

A1 A3 >Au

Cononreal Cover

M3 A5 >Au

A3 A7 >Au

2-) R(A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, Ab, A7, A8) F= \{ A1 A2 \rightarrow A3, M1, A4 \rightarrow A5, A2 A) A4, A1 A6 \rightarrow A2 \{

· Testing for superley;

Determine which is superley, check abnitains all attributes of R.

We compute at and check at contains if d is superley.

Testing functional defendency:

If a functional dependency d-> B holds, then we have to

Just find dt and check if B is in dt or not.

d) B holds, check if peat Compute at by using closure, then ende if condains

· Computing closure of F.

For each YSR, we find the closure yt and for each SGYt we output a functional dependency Y-DS.

3-) R(A,A2,A3,Au) F(A, >Az) Az) AcontAzarsuper Eess

The relation is BCNF for every functional defendancy
like X->y (x; supuley) (y; aftribute set)

So AI and AZ are sattisfying these condition

Hence Ris in BCNF.

b) R(AL, AZ, AZ, Au)

R, (A, A2) R2 (A, A3) R3 (A, Au) A, ->AZ A, + EA, AZAS? MOFD AL-JAS

Check relations are in BCNF

For RI, we confidell attributes from AI. Because A, is supertey. Firs in BONE

for kz, we confide attributes from A, because A, is suferley, and A, >Az, Az-SA3 SO RZISIN BENA

for 131 we con't find all attributes from Apor Au. functional dependency not enough But IF A is suferly in relation R, then definitely FO A, -) Ay smalles, hence on BCME So kg is in BCNF

C)
R1 (A1,A2) A = { A, A2 } =) A, -> A2 | A, t = {A, A2,A3} = > A, -> A3 | A2 = {A, A2,A3} | A3 =

22 (A1 A3) An is not in Rz Since Az-JA3 also.
Possible

Ry (AL AU) No FD

Now for = { A1 >A2, A2 > A3} F= f A, ->Az, AzzAz}

> But f & fiufa FIUFZ doesn't contains Az > A3 So Jecomposition dependency is not preserving