

(60)

9/25/19

Physics 880S: Learning from Data: Lecture 10

Notebooks for today:

- visualization of CLT.ipynb
- parameter estimation - fitting straight line II.ipynb
- MCMC - diagnostics.ipynb

- Follow up to Assignment 2 proof of $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{N-D}}{N!} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi D}} e^{-(N-D)^2/2D}$
 $D \approx 1/2$ is kept but $S/2$ dropped. Why? $N \approx D(1 \pm \delta)$
 Ans: $N-D \sim \sqrt{D}$ standard deviation
 $\Rightarrow D \sim \sqrt{D} \gg 1$.

- Start with visualization of CLT.ipynb notebook,

Follow-up to where we left off on Friday. Quick recap:

- looking at parameter estimation fitting straight line II.ipynb
- extracting posterior for Hubble constant from data for velocities of galaxies vs. distance.
- don't care about intercept \Rightarrow nuisance parameter (marginalize) therefore
- comparison to maximum likelihood estimate
 \Rightarrow in this case not too different unless the best intercept is used, leading to a too-small estimate,

- Using emcee to do the sampling

\Rightarrow (56) and marginalization with MCMC means just neglecting columns for marginalized parameters.

another argument \Rightarrow

$$\frac{\int g(\theta_0, \theta_1) \Pi(\theta_0, \theta_1) d\theta_0 d\theta_1}{\int \Pi(\theta_0, \theta_1) d\theta_0 d\theta_1} = \sum_{i=1}^N g(\theta_0^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)})$$

but suppose g doesn't depend on θ_0 ? Then the sum doesn't care about the θ_0 column in $\theta^{(i)}$! It just uses the θ_1 column.

- Continue with Error propagation in that notebook.

• See how including the full posterior lead to larger (more realistic) uncertainty.

(61)

9/25/19

PDF Manipulations I: Combining random variables

- Suppose we have two random variables, X and Y , drawn from two different distributions.
- For concreteness, we'll take the distributions to be Gaussian (normal) with different means and widths, and independent of each other.

$$\Rightarrow X | \mu_x, \sigma_x \sim N(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2) \Leftrightarrow p(x | \mu_x, \sigma_x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2}}$$

$$Y | \mu_y, \sigma_y \sim N(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2) \Leftrightarrow p(y | \mu_y, \sigma_y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_y} e^{-\frac{(y-\mu_y)^2}{2\sigma_y^2}}$$

- What is the distribution of the sum of X and Y ?
- From past experience, we might expect that "errors add in quadrature", but how does that arise in detail?
- This is a special case of uncertainty propagation: How do errors combine?

- First phrase this in terms of a statement about posteriors:
Goal: given $Z = X + Y$, how is Z distributed, i.e. what is $p(z | I)$?
Plan: follow the same steps as with the CLT proof.

Drop I here

$$\textcircled{1} \quad p(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy \overset{\text{joint probability}}{p(z, x, y)} \quad \text{marginalization}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy p(z | x, y) p(x, y) \quad \text{product rule}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy p(z | x, y) p(x) p(y) \quad \text{independence (what if not the case?)}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad p(z | x, y) = \delta(z - x - y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dw e^{-i w (z - x - y)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dw e^{-i w z} e^{i w x} e^{i w y}$$

Now we can substitute $\textcircled{4}$ into $\textcircled{3}$ and the integrals factorize.

Note: still general for independent pdfs for X, Y (haven't used Gaussian).

9/25/19

(19)

$$(5) \Rightarrow p(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{2\pi} e^{-iwx} \right) e^{iwx} p(x) e^{iwy} p(y)$$

need to verify that we can change integration orders

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{2\pi} e^{-iwx} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{iwx} p(x) \right] \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{iwy} p(y) \right]$$

Fourier Transforms (FTs) of pdfs

Finally we get specific

$$(6) \text{ FT of a Gaussian: } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{iwx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2}} = e^{i\mu_x w} e^{-\frac{\sigma_x^2 w^2}{2}}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{iwy} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_y} e^{-\frac{(y-\mu_y)^2}{2\sigma_y^2}} = e^{i\mu_y w} e^{-\frac{\sigma_y^2 w^2}{2}}$$

Here we note $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iaw} e^{-bw^2} dw = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} e^{-a^2/4b}$

$$(7) \Rightarrow p(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{2\pi} e^{-iw(z-\mu_x+\mu_y)} e^{-\frac{w^2}{2}(\sigma_x^2+\sigma_y^2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\sigma_x^2+\sigma_y^2}} e^{-\frac{(z-(\mu_x+\mu_y))^2}{2(\sigma_x^2+\sigma_y^2)}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_x^2+\sigma_y^2}} e^{-\frac{(z-(\mu_x+\mu_y))^2}{2(\sigma_x^2+\sigma_y^2)}}$$

$\Rightarrow X+Y \sim N(\mu_x+\mu_y, \sigma_x^2+\sigma_y^2)$: means add, variances add

Generalizations:

(A) $X-Y \Rightarrow$ step (1) becomes $\delta(z-(x-y))$ and the minus sign carries through all the way to (6), where $e^{iwy} \rightarrow e^{-iwy}$ and the FT becomes $e^{-i\mu_y w} \Rightarrow N(\mu_x-\mu_y, \sigma_x^2+\sigma_y^2)$

(B) $aX+bY$ as any $a, b \Rightarrow$ (4) becomes $\delta(z-(ax+by)) \Rightarrow e^{-iwx} e^{iawx} e^{ibwy}$
 $\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{iawx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2}} = e^{i(a\mu_x)w} e^{-\frac{1}{2}a^2\sigma_x^2 w^2}$
 $\xrightarrow{\mu_x \rightarrow a\mu_x} \sigma_x^2 \rightarrow a^2\sigma_x^2} \Rightarrow aX+bY \sim N(a\mu_x+b\mu_y, a^2\sigma_x^2+b^2\sigma_y^2)$

(C) $X_1+X_2+\dots+X_n \Rightarrow$ all the same steps!
 $\Rightarrow \sim N(\mu_1+\mu_2+\dots+\mu_n, \sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2+\dots+\sigma_n^2)$

(63)

9/25/19

* Note that given the FTs of the pdfs being combined, we can generalize (6) and (7) from the case of normal distributions.

Now suppose that X and Y are jointly normally distributed random variables, but not independent. So $p(x, y) \neq p(x)p(y)$.

General form:

$$p(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_x)(y-\mu_y)\Sigma^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} x-\mu_x \\ y-\mu_y \end{pmatrix}}$$

(note increase)

← determinant of Σ

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x-\mu)}$$

$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$

$\vec{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_x \\ \mu_y \end{pmatrix}$

where we parametrize the symmetric, positive definite matrix (i.e., positive eigenvalues) by

"covariance matrix" $\Rightarrow \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y \\ \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y & \sigma_y^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$

If $\rho=0$, then we reduce to the uncorrelated case already considered.

Returning to the derivation, we redo it from $p(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy p(z|x, y) p(x, y)$

$\delta(z - (x+y))$

this is left as an exercise for the reader (or Mathematica!):

$$\Rightarrow aX + bY \sim N(a\mu_x + b\mu_y, a^2\sigma_x^2 + b^2\sigma_y^2 + 2ab\rho\sigma_x\sigma_y)$$

• So if $\rho \neq 1$, $\Rightarrow N(a\mu_x + b\mu_y, (a\sigma_x + b\sigma_y)^2)$

• Note that if $\rho < 0$ with $a, b > 0$ or $\rho > 0$ with $a, b < 0$, then the variance is reduced compared to adding in quadrature.

• Special case of equal variance for convenience: $X - Y \sim N(\mu_x - \mu_y, 2\sigma^2(1 - \rho))$

• If $\rho=0$, back to adding in quadrature

• But if $\rho \neq 1$, then error bar reduced by factor $\sqrt{1 - \rho}$!

(If $\sigma_x \gg \sigma_y$, still dominated by σ_x^2 and correlation doesn't affect.)

9/25/19

(64)

OK, now one further generalization: X and Y are themselves from (independent) multivariate normal distributions of dimension N while A, B are known $M \times N$ matrices. So

$$X \sim N(\vec{\mu}_x, \Sigma_x) \quad \text{and} \quad Y \sim N(\vec{\mu}_y, \Sigma_y)$$

$\xleftarrow{N \text{ vector}}$ $\xleftarrow{N \times N \text{ covariance matrix}}$

$$\text{Claim: } AX + BY \sim N(A\vec{\mu}_x + B\vec{\mu}_y, A\Sigma_x A^T + B\Sigma_y B^T)$$

\uparrow $(M \times N) \cdot (N \Rightarrow M)$ \uparrow $(M \times N) \cdot (N \times N) \cdot (N \times M) \Rightarrow M \times M$

Explicit notation: if $\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$

and so on for high order, then the normal distribution is

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^N |\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})}$$

• check that it works for $N=2$, $\rho=0$. ✓

If we apply this for $A \Rightarrow aI_N$, $B \Rightarrow bI_N$ (so $M=N$ here, with a, b scalars) $\xleftarrow{N \times N \text{ identity}}$
 then it is simpler:

$$aX + bY \sim N(a\vec{\mu}_x + b\vec{\mu}_y, a^2\Sigma_x + b^2\Sigma_y)$$

so the covariance matrices add in quadrature.