



Security Assessment

www.crypteq.finance



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary

Overview

Project Summary

Audit Summary

Vulnerability Summary

Audit Scope

Findings

01: Centralization Risk in Function

02: CentralizationRisk in Contract 'CoinToken'

03: Contract Gains Non-withdrawable CORE via the 'swapandliquify'

04: Regaining Ownership After Renouncing the Contract Ownership

05: Initial Token Distribution

06: Lack of Return Value Handling

07: PotentialSandwich Attacks

08: Lack of Error Message

09: Redundant Code

10: Typos In The Contract

11: Function and Variable Naming Doesn't Match the Operating Environment

12: Potential ResourceExhaustion

13: Inconsistency BetweenComment and Code

Appendix

Disclaimer

About



<u>Summary</u>

This report has been prepared for CRYPTEQ to discover issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of the CRYPTEQ - Core DAO project as well as any contract dependencies that were not part of an officially recognized library. A comprehensive examination has been performed, utilizing Static Analysisand Manual Reviewtechniques. The auditingprocess pays specialattention to the following considerations:

Testing the smart contracts against both commonand uncommon attackvectors. Assessing the codebase to ensure compliancewith current best practices and industry standards. Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.

Cross referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industryleaders.

Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entirecodebase by industryexperts.

The security assessment resulted in findings that ranged from critical to informational. We recommended dressing these findings to ensure a high level of security standards and industry practices. We suggest recommendations that could betterserve the projectfrom the security perspective:

Enhance generalcoding practices for better structures of source codes; Add enough unit tests to cover the possible use cases;

Provide more comments per each function for readability, especiallycontracts that are verified in public;

Provide more transparency on privileged activities once the protocolis live.



Project Summary

Project Name	CRYPTEQ - (https://crypteq.finance)
Platform	CORE DAO
Language	Solidity
Codebase	https://scan.coredao.org/token/0x03faab80a25fe8cfef964273f9a80716e79c3a91
Commit	633197cbb6146108505780633675f29b1461086657806337ce164714610886

Audit Summary

Delivery Date	Feb, 26 2023
Audit Methodology	Static Analysis, Manual Review
Key Components	CoinToken

Vulnerability Summary

ID	Title	Category	Severity	Status
CKP-01	Centralization Related Risks	Centralization / Privilege	Major	 Acknowledged
HEC-01	Lack Of Storage Gap In Upgradeable Contract	Volatile Code	Minor	 Resolved
HRK-01	Missing Pool Authority Check In RFQt Cross Chain Trading	Logical Issue	Minor	 Resolved
HXU-01	Third Party Dependency	Volatile Code	Minor	 Acknowledged
CKP-02	Typos In Comments And Error Messages	Coding Style	Informational	 Resolved
HRK-02	Inconsistency Between Comment And Code	Inconsistency	Informational	 Resolved
HXU-02	Missing Nonce Check For [lzReceive()]	Volatile Code	Informational	Acknowledged



SWARM SOURCE

ID	File	SHA256 Checksum
СКР	contract.sol	4a310b76e5b119338e078bbc68d5f5819db9e5e7cd31685bca1c89084502f100



Overview

External Dependencies

Thecontract serves as the underlying entity to interactwith third-party protocols (token- wapping). The scopeof the audit treats third-party entities as blackboxes and assumestheir functional correctness. However, in the real world, third parties can be compromised and this may lead to lost or stolenassets.

Privileged Functions

The contract contains the followingprivileged functions that are restricted by role with the modifier. They are used to modify the contractconfigurations and addressattributes. We grouped these functions below.

- setAutoRebase()
- excludeFromFee() / includeInFee()
- setautoAddLiquidity
- setBlacklistAddress()
- setinsuranceFundFee()
- setinsuranceFundReceiver()
- setincreaseAllowance
- setrenounceOwnership()
- setsetAutoAddLiquidity(bool _flag()
- setBotBlacklist(()
- settransferOwnership(address newOwner)
- setMaxWalletAccount()
- setSwapAndLiquifyEnabled()
- setRouterAddress()
- setNumTokensSellToAddToLiquidity()

To improve the trustworthiness of the project, dynamic runtime updates in the project should be notified to the community. Any plan to invoke the aforementioned functions should be also considered to move to the execution queue of the Timelock contract.



01 | Centralization Risk in Function

Description

The addLiquidity()_hasLiqBeenAdded() function calls the coreDAOV2Router.addLiquiditycore function with the to() address specified as owner() for acquiring the generated LP tokens from the corresponding pool. As a result, over time the _owner address will accumulate a significant portion of LP tokens. If _owner the is an EOA (Externally Owned Account), mishandling of its private key can have devastating consequences to the projectas a whole.

Recommendation

We advise to() the address of the coreDAOV2Router.addLiquiditycore() function call to be replaced by the contract() itself, i.e. address(this), and to restrict the management of the LP tokens within the scope of the contract's businesslogic. This will also protect LP tokens from being stolen if the _owner() account is compromised. In general, we strongly recommend centralized privileges or roles in the protocolto be improved via a decentralized mechanism or via smart-contract based accounts with enhanced securitypractices, f.e.Multisignature wallets().

Indicatively, here are some feasible solutionsthat would also mitigate the potential risk:

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, i.e. 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;
- Assignment of privileged roles to multi-signature wallets to preventsingle point of failure due to the private key;
- Introduction of a DAO / governance / voting moduleto increase transparency and user involvement



02 | Centralization Risk in Contract

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Centralization /	Major	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 603, 640, 644, 648, 652, 656, 66	Acknowledged
Privilege	• Major	0, 665, 906, 912, 612, 636	O Ackilowiedged

Description

In the contract CoinTokens(), the role _owner() has the authority over the following function:

- excludeFromReward() / includeInReward(); the owner of the contractcan
 exclude/include an account from/inrewards.
- excludeFromFee() / includeInFee(): the owner of the contractcan exclude/include an account from/in fee.
- setTaxFeePercent(): the owner of the contractcan set the percentage of the tax fee.
- setDevFeePercent(): the owner of the contract can set the percentageof the dev fee.
- setLiquidityFeePercent(): the owner of the contract can set the percentageof
 liquidity fee.
- setMaxTxPercent(): the owner of the contract can set the maximum transaction amount.
- setDevWalletAddress(): the owner of the contractcan update the arbitrary address.
- setRouterAddress(): the owner of the contractcan set any arbitrary addressas the router address.
- setNumTokensSellToAddToLiquidity(): the owner of the contract can set the thresholdto trigger liquidity-adding process.

Any compromise to the _owner() account may allow the hacker to take advantage of this and modify the significant state of the contract, thus introducing centralization risk.



03 | Contract Gains Non-withdrawable CORE via the owner Function

Description

The swapAndLiquify() function converts half of the contractTokenBalance() tokens to CORE. The other half of the tokens and partof the converted CORE are deposited into the corresponding pool on pancakeswap as liquidity. For every swap&liquify() function call, a small amount of CORE leftover in the contract. This is due to the price of drops after swapping the first half of tokens into COREs, and the other half of tokens require less than the converted CORE to be paired with it when adding liquidity. The contract doesn't appear to provide a way to withdraw those CORE, and they will be locked in the contract forever.

Recommendation

It'snot ideal that more and more COREare lockedinto the contract over time. The simplest solution is to add a withdraw() function in the contract to withdrawCORE. Other approaches that benefit the token holders add a can be:

- Distribute CORE to token holders proportional to the amount of token they hold.
- Use leftover CORE to buy back tokens from the market to increase the token price.



04 | Regaining Ownership After Renouncing the Contract Ownership

Description

Generally, renouncing the ownership should leave the contract without an owner, thereby removing any functionality that is only available owner to the owner. However, the owner of the cointoken is possible to gain ownership of the contract again even if the ownerhas called the function renounceOwnership() is possibleto gain to renounce() the ownership. This can be achieved by performing the following operations:

- Call lock() Call to lock the contract. The variable _previousownwer() to unlockthe contract.
- Call unlock() to unlock the contract
- would be set to the current owner.
- Call renounce() to renounce the contract ownership. to regain ownership.
- Call unlock() to gain the ownership

<u>Recommendation</u>

We advise the client to review the logic and ensure if it is the intended design. If timelock functionality should be introduced, we recommend using the implementation of Compound financeas reference.



05 | Initial Token Distribution

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	Minor	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 497	① Acknowledged

Description

All of the tokens are sent to the contract deployer when deploying the contract. This could be a centralization risk as the deployer can distribute those tokens withoutobtaining the consensus of the community.

Recommendation

We recommend the team to be transparent regarding the initialtoken distribution process.



06 | Lack of Return Value Handling

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Volatile Code	Minor	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 843	(i) Acknowledged

Description

The return values of function uniswapRouter() are properly handled.

```
interface IUniswapRouter{
       function factory() external pure returns (address);
       function WETH() external pure returns (address);
       function addLiquidity(
               address tokenA,
               address tokenB,
               uint amountADesired,
               uint amountBDesired,
               uint amountAMin,
               uint amountBMin,
               address to,
               uint deadline
        ) external returns (uint amountA, uint amountB, uint liquidity);
       function addLiquidityETH(
               address token,
               uint amountTokenDesired,
               uint amountTokenMin.
               uint amountETHMin,
               address to,
               uint deadline
```

Recommendation

We recommend using variables to receive the return value of the functions mentioned above and handle both success and failure cases if needed by the business logic.



07 | Potential Sandwich Attacks

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	Minor	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 832~838, 843~850	(i) Acknowledged

Description

A sandwich attack might happen when an attackerobserves a transaction swapping tokens or adding liquidity without setting restrictions on slippage or minimum output amount. The attacker can manipulate the exchange rate by frontrunning (beforcoree transaction being attacked) a transaction to purchase one of the assets and make profitsby backrunning (afterthe transaction beingattacked) a transaction to sell the asset.

The following functions are called withoutsetting restrictions on slippage or minimum outputamount, so transactions triggering these functions are vulnerable to sandwich attacks, especially when the input amount is large:

Recommendation

We recommend settingreasonable minimum output amounts, instead of 0, based on token prices when calling the aforementioned functions.



08 | Lack of Error Message

Description

The require statement can be used to check for conditions and throw an exception if the condition is not met.It is better to provide string messagecontaining details about the errorthat will be passed back to the caller.

Recommendation

We advise refactoring the linked codes as below:

```
__approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()]
[spender].add(addedValue), "increase allowance overflow");
```



09 | Redundant Code

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	Informational	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 862	(i) Acknowledged

Description

The condition! _isExcluded[sender] & !_isExcluded[recipient] can be included in else

Recommendation

The following code can be removed:

```
abstract contract ERC20Detailed is IERC20 {
   string private _name;
   string private _symbol;
   uint8 private _decimals;

constructor(
    string memory name_,
    string memory symbol_,
    uint8 decimals_
) {
    _name = name_;
    _symbol = symbol_;
    _decimals = decimals_;
}
```



10 | Typos In The Contract

Description

There are several typos in the code and comments.

Recommendation

We recommend correcting all typos in the contract.



11 | Function and VariableNaming Doesn't Match the OperatingEnvironment

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Coding Style	 Informational 	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 1	 Acknowledged

Description

There are multiplenaming issues inside the current contract, which can be misleading to use coreDAO() and core() instead of pancakeswap() and CORE() if the project landingon Core DAO.

For example, the cointoken() contract uses pancakeswap() for swapping and adding liquidity to the Pancakeswap pool but names it coreDAO()

Recommendation

Change "coreDAO" and "core" to "Pancakeswap" and "CORE" in the contract respectively to match the operating environment and avoid confusion.



12 | Potential Resource Exhaustion

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	 Informational 	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 614, 709	(i) Acknowledged

Description

The farloop() within functions includeInReward(address) and _getCurrentSupply() takes the variable _excluded.length(), as the maximal iterationtimes. If the size of the array is very large, it could exceed the gas limit to execute the functions. In this case, the contract might suffer from DoS (Denial of Service) situation.

Recommendation

We recommend the team review the design and ensure this would not cause loss to the project.



13 | Inconsistency Between Comment and Code

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Inconsistency	 Informational 	projects/contract.sol (98ba012): 230~236	(i) Acknowledged

Description

According to the commentin L238, the lock() function will lock the contract **for a given time period**. However, the code implementation will lock the contract **until the given timestamp**.

```
//Unlocks the contract for owner when _lockTime is exceeds

function unlock() public virtual {

require(_previousOwner == msg.sender, "You don't have permission to

unlock.");

require(block.timestamp > _lockTime , "Contract is locked.");

emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, _previousOwner);

_owner = _previousOwner;

}
```

Recommendation

We recommend the team reviewthe design and update either comments or code implementation to ensure consistent logic between code and comment.



Appendix

Finding Categories

Centralization / Privilege

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that act against the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles in combination with a mechanism relocate funds.

Logical Issue

Logical Issue findingsdetail a faultin the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on how block.timestamp works.

Volatile Code

Volatile Code findingsrefer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that may resultin a vulnerability.

Coding Style

Coding Style findingsusually do not affect the generated byte-code but rather commenton how to make the codebase more legible and, as a result, easilymaintainable.

<u>Inconsistency</u>

Inconsistency findings referto functions that should seemingly behave similarly yet contain different code, such as a constructor assignment imposing different require statements on the input variables than a setterfunction.

Checksum Calculation Mcoreod

The "Checksum" field in the "Audit Scope" section is calculated as the SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 2 with digest size of 256 bits) digest of the content of each file hosted in the listed source repository under the specifiedcommit.

The result is hexadecimal encoded and is the same as the output of the Linux "sha256sum" commandagainst the target file.



Disclaimer

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimerand limitation of liability) set forth in the ServicesAgreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Servicesset forth in the Agreementshall be used by the Company only to the extent permittedunder the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement.

This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copiesbe delivered to any other person other than the Company, without FusionTech prior written consentine ach instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts FusionTech to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, businessmodel or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor shouldbe leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. FusionTech's position is that each companyand individual are responsible for their own due diligenceand continuous security.

FusionTech's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies, and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

The assessment services provided by FusionTech is subject to dependencies and under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use, includingbut not limited to any services, reports,and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokensare emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon,multiple layers of third-parties.

ALL SERVICES, THE LABELS, THE ASSESSMENT REPORT, WORK PRODUCT, OR OTHER MATERIALS, OR ANY PRODUCTSOR RESULTS OF THE USE THEREOF ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND "AS



AVAILABLE" AND WITH ALL FAULTS AND DEFECTS WITHOUTWARRANTY OF ANY KIND. TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED UNDER APPLICABLE LAW, FusionTech HEREBY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, WHCOreER EXPRESS, IMPLIED, STATUTORY, OR OTHERWISE WITH RESPECT TO THE SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, OR OTHER MATERIALS. WITHOUT LIMITING THE FusionTech SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIEDWARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE AND NON-INFRINGEMENT, AND ALL WARRANTIES ARISING FROM COURSE OF DEALING, USAGE, OR TRADE PRACTICE. WITHOUT LIMITING THE FOREGOING, FusionTech MAKES NO WARRANTY OF ANY KIND THAT THE SERVICES, THE LABELS, THE ASSESSMENT REPORT, WORK PRODUCT, OR OTHER MATERIALS, OR ANY PRODUCTS OR RESULTS OF THE USE THEREOF, WILL MEET CUSTOMER'S OR ANY OTHER PERSON'S REQUIREMENTS, ACHIEVE ANY INTENDED RESULT, BE COMPATIBLE OR WORK WITH ANY SOFTWARE, SYSTEM, OR OTHER SERVICES, OR BE SECURE, ACCURATE, COMPLETE, FREE OF HARMFULCODE, OR ERROR-FREE. WITHOUT LIMITATION TO THE FOREGOING, FusionTech PROVIDES NO WARRANTY OR UNDERTAKING, AND MAKES NO REPRESENTATION OF ANY KIND THAT THE SERVICE WILL MEET CUSTOMER'S REQUIREMENTS, ACHIEVE ANY INTENDED RESULTS, BE COMPATIBLE OR WORK WITH ANY OTHER SOFTWARE, APPLICATIONS, SYSTEMS OR SERVICES, OPERATE WITHOUT INTERRUPTION, MEET ANY PERFORMANCE OR RELIABILITY STANDARDSOR BE ERROR FREE OR THAT ANY ERRORS OR DEFECTS CAN OR WILL BE CORRECTED.

WITHOUT LIMITING THE FOREGOING, NEITHERFusionTech NOR ANY OF FusionTech's AGENTS MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED AS TO THE ACCURACY, RELIABILITY, OR CURRENCY OF ANY INFORMATION OR CONTENT PROVIDED THROUGH THE SERVICE. FusionTech WILL ASSUME NO LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR (I) ANY ERRORS, MISTAKES, OR INACCURACIES OF CONTENT AND MATERIALS OR FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND INCURRED AS A RESULT OF THE USE OF ANY CONTENT, OR (II) ANY PERSONAL INJURY OR PROPERTY DAMAGE, OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER, RESULTINGFROM CUSTOMER'S ACCESS TO OR USE OF THE SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, OR OTHER MATERIALS.

ALL THIRD-PARTY MATERIALS ARE PROVIDED"AS IS" AND ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY OF OR CONCERNING ANY THIRD-PARTY MATERIALS IS STRICTLY BETWEEN CUSTOMER AND THEORERD-PARTY OWNER OR DISTRIBUTOR OF THE THIRD-PARTY MATERIALS.

THE SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, AND ANY OTHER MATERIALS HEREUNDER ARE SOLELY PROVIDED TO CUSTOMER AND MAY NOT BE RELIED ON BY ANY OTHER PERSON OR FOR ANY PURPOSENOT SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED IN THIS AGREEMENT, NOR MAY COPIESBE DELIVERED TO, ANY OTHER PERSON WITHOUT Fusion Tech's PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENTIN EACH INSTANCE.

NOTHIRD PARTY OR ANYONE ACTINGON BEHALF OF ANY THEREOF, SHALL BE A THIRD PARTYOR OTHER BENEFICIARY OF SUCH SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, AND ANY ACCOMPANYING

FORAVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE SERVICES, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATEDASSESSMENT REPORTS OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.



About

Founded in 2022 by leading academics in the field of Computer Science, FusionTech is going to be a leading blockchain security company that serves to verify the security and correctness of smart contracts KYC and blockchain-based protocols. Through the utilization of our world-class technical expertise, alongside our proprietary, innovative tech, we're able to support the success of ourclients with best-in-class security, all whilst realizing our overarching vision; provable trust for all throughout all facets of blockchain.

