COMP6771 Week 5

Smart Pointers

Object lifetimes

To create safe object lifetimes in C++, we always attach the lifetime of one object to that of something else

- Named objects:
 - A <u>variable</u> in a <u>function</u> is tied to its scope
 - A <u>data member</u> is tied to the lifetime of the <u>class instance</u>
 - An <u>element in a std::vector</u> is tied to the lifetime of the vector
- Unnamed objects:
 - A <u>heap object</u> should be tied to the lifetime of whatever object created it
 - Examples of bad programming practice
 - An owning raw pointer is tied to nothing
 - A C-style array is tied to nothing
- **Strongly recommend** watching the first 44 minutes of Herb Sutter's cppcon talk "Leak freedom in C++... By Default"

Creating a safe* pointer type

Don't use the new / delete keyword in your own code

We are showing for demonstration purposes

```
1 // myintpointer.h
2
3 class MyIntPointer {
4  public:
5    // This is the constructor
6    MyIntPionter(int* value);
7
8    // This is the destructor
9    ~MyIntPointer() noexcept;
10
11  int* GetValue();
12
13  private:
14  int* value_;
15 };
```

```
1 // myintpointer.cpp
2 #include "myintpointer.h"
3
4 MyIntPointer::MyIntPointer(int* value): value_{value} {}
5
6 int* MyIntPointer::GetValue() {
7    return value_
8  }
9
10 MyIntPointer::~MyIntPointer() noexcept {
11    // Similar to C's free function.
12    delete value_;
13 }
```

```
void fn() {
// Similar to C's malloc
MyIntPointer p{new int{5}};
// Copy the pointer;
MyIntPointer q{p.GetValue()};
// p and q are both now destructed.
// What happens?
}
```

Smart Pointers

- Ways of wrapping unnamed (i.e. raw pointer) heap objects in named stack objects so that object lifetimes can be managed much easier
- Introduced in C++11
- Usually two ways of approaching problems:
 - unique_ptr + raw pointers ("observers")
 - shared_ptr + weak_ptr/observer_ptr

Туре	Shared ownership	Take ownership
std::unique_ptr <t></t>	No	Yes
raw pointers	No	No
std::shared_ptr <t></t>	Yes	Yes
std::weak_ptr <t></t>	No	No

Unique pointer

- std::unique_pointer<T>
 - The unique pointer owns the object
 - When the unique pointer is destructed, the underlying object is too
- std::experimental::observer_ptr<T>
 - Unique Ptr may have many observers
 - This is an appropriate use of raw pointers (or references) in C++
 - Once the original pointer is destructed, you must ensure you don't access the raw pointers (no checks exist)
 - These observers do not have ownership of the pointer

Unique pointer: Usage

```
1 #include <memory>
 2 #include <iostream>
   int main() {
    std::unique ptr<int> up1{new int};
     std::unique ptr<int> up2 = up1; // no copy constructor
     std::unique ptr<int> up3;
     up3 = up2; // no copy assignment
 9
     up3.reset(up1.release()); // OK
10
     std::unique ptr<int> up4 = std::move(up3); // OK
11
     std::cout << up4.get() << "\n";
12
     std::cout << *up4 << "\n";
13
    std::cout << *up1 << "\n";
14
```

Can we remove "new" completely?

Observer Ptr: Usage

```
1 #include <memory>
 2 #include <experimental/memory>
 3 #include <iostream>
5 int main() {
     int *i = new int;
     std::unique ptr<int> up1{i};
    *up1 = 5;
8
     std::cout << *up1 << "\n";
     std::experimental::observer ptr<int> op1{i};
10
11
    *op1 = 6;
12
     std::cout << *op1 << "\n";
13
    up1.reset();
     std::cout << *op1 << "\n";
14
15 }
```

Unique Ptr Operators

```
1 #include <memory>
 2 #include <experimental/memory>
 3 #include <iostream>
 5 int main() {
   // 1 - Worst
    int *i = new int;
     std::unique ptr<std::string> up1{i};
 9
     // 2 - Not good
10
     std::unique ptr<std::string> up2{new std::string{"Hello"}};
12
13
     // 3 - Good
     std::unique ptr<std::string> up3 = make unique<std::string>("Hello");
14
15
     std::cout << *up3 << "\n";
     std::cout << *(up3.get()) << "\n";
     std::cout << up3->size();
18
19 }
```

- https://stackoverflow.com/questions/37514509/advantages-of-using-stdmake-unique-over-new-operator
- https://stackoverflow.com/questions/20895648/difference-in-make-shared-and-normal-shared-ptr-in-c

Shared pointer

- std::shared_pointer<T>
- Several shared pointers share ownership of the object
 - A reference counted pointer
 - When a shared pointer is destructed, if it is the only shared pointer left pointing at the object, then the object is destroyed
 - May also have many observers
 - Just because the pointer has shared ownership doesn't mean the observers should get ownership too - don't mindlessly copy it
- std::weak_ptr<T>
 - Weak pointers are used with share pointers when:
 - You don't want to add to the reference count
 - You want to be able to check if the underlying data is still valid before using it.

Shared pointer: Usage

```
1 #include <memory>
 2 #include <iostream>
 3
 4 int main() {
     int* i = new int;
   *i = 5;
     std::shared ptr<int> x{i};
     std::shared ptr<int> y = x; // Both now own the memory
     std::cout << "use count: " << x.use count() << "\n";</pre>
     std::cout << "value: " << *x << "\n";
     x.reset(); // Memory still exists, due to y.
11
     std::cout << "use count: " << y.use count() << "\n";</pre>
     std::cout << "value: " << *y << "\n";
13
     y.reset(); // Deletes the memory, since
     // no one else owns the memory
     std::cout << "use count: " << x.use count() << "\n";</pre>
16
     std::cout << "value: " << *y << "\n";
17
18 }
```

Can we remove "new" completely?

Weak Pointer: Usage

```
1 #include <memory>
 2 #include <iostream>
 4 int main() {
     std::shared ptr<int> x = std::make shared<int>(1);
     std::weak ptr<int> wp = x; // x owns the memory
       std::shared ptr<int> y = wp.lock(); // x and y own the memory
       if (y) {
      // Do something with y
         std::cout << "Attempt 1: " << *y << '\n';
12
     } // y is destroyed. Memory is owned by x
     x.reset(); // Memory is deleted
14
15
     std::shared ptr<int> z = wp.lock(); // Memory gone; get null ptr
16
     if (z) {
     // will not execute this
       std::cout << "Attempt 2: " << *z << '\n';
18
19
20 }
```

When to use which type

- Unique pointer vs shared pointer
 - You almost always want a unique pointer over a shared pointer
 - Use a shared pointer if either:
 - You have several pointers, and you don't know which one will stay around the longest
 - You need temporary ownership (outside scope of this course)

When to use which type

- Let's look at an example:
 - //lectures/week5/reader.cpp

Shared or unique pointer?

- Computing examples
 - Linked list
 - Doubly linked list
 - Tree
 - DAG (mutable and non-mutable)
 - Graph (mutable and non-mutable)
 - Twitter feed with multiple sections (eg. my posts, popular posts)
- Real-world examples
 - The screen in this lecture theatre
 - The lights in this room
 - A hotel keycard
 - Lockers in a school

"Leak freedom in C++" poster

Strategy	Natural examples	Cost	Rough frequency
1. Prefer scoped lifetime by default (locals, members)	Local and member objects – directly owned	Zero: Tied directly to another lifetime	O(80%) of objects
2. Else prefer make_unique & unique_ptr or a container, if the object must have its own lifetime (i.e., heap) and ownership can be unique w/o owning cycles	Implementations of trees, lists	Same as new/delete & malloc/free Automates simple heap use in a library	O(20%)
3. Else prefer make_shared & shared_ptr, if the object must have its own lifetime (i.e., heap) and shared ownership w/o owning cycles	Node-based DAGs, incl. trees that share out references	Same as manual reference counting (RC) Automates shared object use in a library	of objects

Don't use owning raw *'s == don't use explicit delete

Don't create ownership cycles across modules by owning "upward" (violates layering)

Use weak_ptr to break cycles