NOTES ON CRYPTARITHM SOLVER AND PERMUTATIONS

ERIC MARTIN

1. Heap's algorithm

Let a nonzero natural number n be given. Heap's algorithm generates all permutations of a set S with $n+1$ elements, in such a way that any permutation, the first one excepted, is obtained from the previous one by exchanging two of S's elements. Without loss of generality, take for S the set $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$. The recursive version of Heap's algorithm can be illustrated as follows.



So the algorithm generates all permutations of the form $L \star n$, then all permutations of the form $L \star m_0$, then all permutations of the form $L \star m_1$, ..., and eventually all permutations of the form $L \star m_{n-1}$. The scheme is correct if $\{m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}\} = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$: at every stage, the algorithm has to select a new number from the first n ones (and exchange it with the current $(n+1)$st number). Heap's algorithm uses the following strategy:

- In case n is odd, select the first number, then the second number, then the third number...
- In case n is even, always select the first number.

The following diagram illustrates with $n = 3$.



Note that starting with $(0,1,2,3)$, Heap's algorithm produces $(1,2,3,0)$ as last permutation. We will see that starting with $(0,1,2,3,4,5)$, it would produce $(3,4,1,2,5,0)$ as last permutation; starting with $(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7)$, it would produce $(5,6,1,2,3,4,7,0)$ as last permutation. More generally, starting with $(0,1,2,\ldots,2n+1)$, Heap's algorithm will produce as last permutation $(2n-1,2n,1,2,\ldots,2n-2,2n+1,0)$.

Note that starting with $(0,1,2)$, Heap's algorithm produces $(2,1,0)$ as last permutation. We will see that starting with $(0,1,2,3,4)$, it would produce $(4,1,2,3,0)$ as last permutation; starting with $(0,1,2,3,4,5,6)$, it would produce $(6,1,2,3,4,5,0)$ as last permutation. More generally, starting with $(0,1,2,\ldots,2n)$, Heap's algorithm will produce as last permutation $(2n,1,2,\ldots,2n-1,0)$.

The previous formulas can be used to generalise Heap's algorithm and generate all sequences of k numbers chosen from $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$: when the last number has been selected, and the penultimate number has been selected, ..., and the

Date: Session 1, 2018.

$(n-k+1)$st number has been selected, it suffices to stop the recursion and "simulate" all permutations of the remaining $n+1-k$ numbers by applying those formulas.

The following is a possible recursive implementation of Heap's algorithm.

```
def permute(L):
    yield from heap_permute(L, len(L))

def heap_permute(L, length):
    if length <= 1:
        yield L
    else:
        length -= 1
        for i in range(length):
            yield from heap_permute(L, length)
            if length % 2:
                L[i], L[length] = L[length], L[i]
            else:
                L[0], L[length] = L[length], L[0]
        yield from heap_permute(L, length)
```

The following is a possible iterative implementation of Heap's algorithm.

```
def permute(L):
    yield L
    stack = [(0, i) for i in range(len(L) - 1, 0, -1)]
    while stack:
        low, high = stack.pop()
        if high % 2:
            L[low], L[high] = L[high], L[low]
        else:
            L[0], L[high] = L[high], L[0]
        yield L
        if low + 1 != high:
            stack.append((low + 1, high))
        for i in range(high - 1, 0, -1):
            stack.append((0, i))
```



2. Proof of correctness

We prove that Heap's algorithm is correct and that moreover, the following holds for all $n \geq 1$:

(1) starting with $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n)$, all permutations of $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n)$ are generated, ending in

$$(2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 0)$$

(2) starting with $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1)$, all permutations of $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1)$ are generated, ending in

$$(2n-1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 2n+1, 0)$$

Proof is by induction. The base case $n = 1$ is straightforward, so let $n \geq 1$ be given, and assume that (1) holds. We show that (2) holds too.

- Starting from $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n) \star 2n+1$, Heap's algorithm generates all permutations of the form $L \star 2n+1$, ending with $(2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 2n+1$.
- Permuting first and last elements, $(2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 2n+1$ is changed to $(2n+1, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 2n$. Starting from $(2n+1, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 2n$, the algorithm then generates all permutations of the form $L \star 2n$, ending with $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n+1) \star 2n$.
- Permuting second and last elements, $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n+1) \star 2n$ is changed to $(0, 2n, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n+1) \star 1$. Starting from $(0, 2n, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n+1) \star 1$, the algorithm then generates all permutations of the form $L \star 1$, ending with $(2n+1, 2n, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 1$.
- Permuting third and last elements, $(2n+1, 2n, 2, \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 1$ is changed to $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 3 \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 2$. Starting from $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 3 \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 2$, the algorithm then generates all permutations of the form $L \star 2$, ending with $(0, 2n, 1, 3 \ldots, 2n-1, 2n+1) \star 2$.
- Permuting fourth and last elements, $(0, 2n, 1, 3 \ldots, 2n-1, 2n+1) \star 2$ is changed to $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 2 \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 3$. Starting from $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 2 \ldots, 2n-1, 0) \star 3$, the algorithm then generates all permutations of the form $L \star 3 \ldots$ till all permutations of the form $L \star 2n-1$, ending in $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 0) \star 2n-1$.
- Permuting last two elements, $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 0) \star 2n-1$ is changed to $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 2n-1) \star 0$. Starting from $(2n+1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 2n-1) \star 0$, the algorithm then generates all permutations of the form $L \star 0$, ending with $(2n-1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 2n+1) \star 0$.

So we have established that (2) holds.

Now assume that (2) holds. We show that (1) with n replaced by $n+1$ holds too. The inner circle of the following diagram shows how elements move from one position to another one after all permutations of a list consisting of the $2n+2$ numbers $0, \ldots, 2n+1$ have been performed by Heap's algorithm. For instance, the first element ends up as the last element, moving from position (index) 0 and eventually ending up at position (index) $2n+1$. After all permutations of $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n, 2n+1)$ have been generated, ending in $(2n-1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 2n+1, 0)$, so after all permutations of $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n, 2n+1) \star 2n+2$ have been generated, ending in $(2n-1, 2n, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2, 2n+1, 0) \star 2n+2$, Heap's algorithm replaces the element now at position 0, that is, $2n-1$ (originally at position $2n-1$), with $2n+2$. This is depicted in the following diagram with $2n+2$ on the outer circle facing $2n-1$ on the inner circle. At the end of each of the following stages, the algorithm permutes the element currently at position 0 with the element currently at position $2n+2$, that is, the element at position 0 at the end of previous stage. Hence as illustrated in the diagram, move to position $2n+2$: first $2n-1$ replaced by $2n+2$, then $2n-2$ replaced by $2n-1, \ldots$, then 2 replaced by 3, then 1 replaced by 2, then $2n$ replaced by 1, then $2n+1$ replaced by $2n$, and eventually 0 replaced by $2n+1$. Finally, all permutations of the numbers then at position $0, \ldots, 2n+1$ (those numbers being $1, 2, \ldots, 2n+2$) are generated, corresponding to a last, $(2n+2)$nd rotation in the following diagram, hence a rotation following a "full circle". This means that:

- ends up at position 0 the element which at the beginning of this last round of permutations, is a position $2n-1$, that is, $2n+2$,
- ends up at position 1 the element which at the beginning of this last round of permutations, is a position $2n$, that is, 1,
- ...

resulting in the final list $(2n+2, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n, 2n+1) \star 0$. So we have established that (1) with n replaced by $n+1$ holds.



3. Notes on the implementation of the cryptarithm solver

The first version is a minor adaptation of code written by Raymond Hettinger as part of ActiveState Code Recipes. It uses the `permutations()` function, imported from `itertools` (and also the `findall()` function, imported from `re`). The second version does not import anything.

The first version filters out the permutations that assign 0 to one of the letters that start a word; the second version does not produce those permutations. The first version creates a string where the letters starting a word come first, followed by the letters not starting a word; it is the other way around for the second version. For instance, with the cryptarithm `SEND + MORE == MONEY`, the first version creates a string which could be `SMENDORY`, whereas the second version creates a string which could be `ENDORYSM`. Let us still use that example to explain how we proceed in the second version.

- Starting with the list $L = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9)$, we use the generalisation of Heap's algorithm to generate lists of the form L_1L_2, one for each possible list L_2 of two nonzero digits (so we ignore 0, as if L started with the element of index 1). This determines a possible assignment to `SM`.
- For each list of the form L_1L_2 generated as described, we make a copy of L_1L_2 and we use again the generalisation of Heap's algorithm to generate from the copy lists of the form $L_{11}L_{12}L_2$, one for each possible list L_{12} of six digits, amongst those in L_1 (so 0 is now allowed but we ignore L_2, as if we were working with a list that ended at index 7). We return the last 8 digits of $L_{11}L_{12}L_2$, that is, $L_{12}L_2$, allocating the digits in L_{12} to `ENDORY` and the digits in L_2 to `SM`.