

A Machine Learning Minimal Residual Method for Solving Quantities of Interest of Parametric PDEs

Ignacio Brevis, Ignacio Muga, David Pardo, Oscar Rodríguez, and Kristoffer G. van der Zee



University of
Nottingham
UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA

IMA
INSTITUTO DE
MATEMÁTICAS



PONTIFICIA
UNIVERSIDAD
CATÓLICA DE
VALPARAÍSO



basque center for applied mathematics



Universidad
del País Vasco



Engineering and
Physical Sciences
Research Council

Problem definition

We want:

- Solve parametric families of PDEs
- High precision in terms of a Quantity of Interest (QoI)
- Employing coarse meshes in Finite Elements

We consider the abstract variational formulation:


Given $\lambda \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, find $q(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u \in \mathbb{U} \text{ solves:} \\ B_\lambda u = \ell_\lambda \in \mathbb{V}^*, \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u \in \mathbb{U} \text{ solves:} \\ b_\lambda(u, v) = \ell_\lambda(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{V}. \end{cases}$$

We will use:

- Minimal-residual (MinRes) finite elements
- Artificial neural networks

Training process



Introduction to the method (weighted-MinRes)

Given discrete conforming trial $\mathbb{U}_n := \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\} \subset \mathbb{U}$, and test $\mathbb{V}_m \subset \mathbb{V}$ spaces such that $\dim \mathbb{V}_m > \dim \mathbb{U}_n$, we want to find a discrete solution

$$u_n := \underset{w_n \in \mathbb{U}_n}{\text{argmin}} \|\ell_\lambda - B_\lambda w_n\|_{(\mathbb{V}_m)^*}, \quad (\text{MinRes method})$$

To solve the above problem is equivalent to solve the following saddle point problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } (r_m, u_n) \in \mathbb{V}_m \times \mathbb{U}_n \text{ such that:} \\ (r_m, v_m)_{\mathbb{V}} + b_\lambda(u_n, v_m) = \ell_\lambda(v_m), \quad \forall v_m \in \mathbb{V}_m, \\ b_\lambda(u_n, r_m) = 0, \quad \forall w_n \in \mathbb{U}_n. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

What we do:

We modify the MinRes method by introducing a **weighted inner product**

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{V}} \rightarrow (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{V}, \omega}$$

in the \mathbb{V} space [1]

Example: $H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$(r, v)_{\mathbb{V}, \omega} = \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k \underbrace{\int_{\Omega_k} \nabla r(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx}_{G_k}$$

We seek for the coefficients $\omega := (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$, such that solving the system ()

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_\omega & B_\lambda \\ B_\lambda^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_\lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with } G_\omega = \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k G_k.$$


delivers $u_n(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\omega) \varphi_i(x)$ as a good approximation for the QoI $q(u)$.

Obs: See [2] for an extension to Galerkin and least-squares formulations.

Artificial neural networks

We train a neural network nn_θ to learn the inner-product piecewise constant.

Delivers every inner-product coefficient



Training set

$$\{\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(N_s)}\}$$

Labels



$$\{q(u_{\lambda^{(1)}}), q(u_{\lambda^{(2)}}), \dots, q(u_{\lambda^{(N_s)}})\}$$

Loss function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) := \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{q(u_{\lambda^{(i)}}) - q(u_{n, \lambda^{(i)}}(\theta))}{q(u_{\lambda^{(i)}})} \right|^2$$



Ex: Diffusion with two parameters ($\dim \mathbb{U}_n = 4$)

$$\begin{cases} -(a(x)u')' = 1, \text{ in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{with } a(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1, & \text{if } x \leq 0.5, \\ \lambda_2, & \text{if } x > 0.5. \end{cases} \quad \text{and } q(u) = u(0.6)$$



Ex: Advection with parametric rhs ($\dim \mathbb{U}_n = 1$)

$$\begin{cases} u' = f_\lambda, \text{ in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{with } f_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq \lambda, \\ x - \lambda, & \text{if } x > \lambda. \end{cases} \quad \text{and } q(u) = u(0.9)$$



References

- [1] I. Brevis, I. Muga, and K. G. van der Zee, *A machine-learning minimal-residual (ML-MRes) framework for goal-oriented finite element discretizations*, Comput. Math. Appl., 95 (2021), pp. 186–199.
- [2] I. Brevis, I. Muga, and K. G. van der Zee, *Neural control of discrete weak formulations: Galerkin, least-squares and minimal-residual methods with quasi-optimal weights*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 402 (2022), p. 115716.