MATH 131AH: Homework #3

Professor James Ralston Assignment: 14-18 January 27, 2016

Eric Chuu UID: 604406828

Assume that d(x,y) is a distance function on some set X. Prove that $\widetilde{d}(x,y) = d(x,y)(d(x,y)+1)^{-1}$ is a distance function, too.

Solution

In order to show that $\widetilde{d}(x,y)$ is a distance function, we show that it satisfies the three properties of a distance function. Consider $x \neq y$. Then d(x,y) > 0, d(x,y) + 1 > 0, so $\widetilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{d(x,y)+1} > 0$. Let $\widetilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{d(x,y)+1} = 0$. Then $d(x,y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$. Conversely, suppose x = y. Then d(x,y) = 0, which implies that $\widetilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{d(x,y)+1} = 0$. Hence we've shown that $\widetilde{d}(x,y) \geq 0$; $\widetilde{d}(x,y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$, satisfying the first property of a metric. Since we know that d(x,y) is a metric, then d(x,y) = d(y,x), so

$$\widetilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{d(x,y)+1} = \frac{d(y,x)}{d(y,x)+1} = \widetilde{d}(y,x)$$

so $\widetilde{d}(x,y)$ satisfies the reflexive property of a metric as well. In order to prove the triangle inequality, we first consider

$$\begin{split} d(a,b) & \leq d(c,e) \\ d(a,b) + d(a,b) \cdot d(c,e) & \leq d(c,e) + d(a,b) \cdot d(c,e) \\ d(a,b)(1+d(c,e)) & \leq d(c,e)(1+d(a,b)) \\ \frac{d(a,b)}{1+d(a,b)} & \leq \frac{d(c,e)}{1+d(c,e)} \\ \widetilde{d}(a,b) & \leq \widetilde{d}(c,e) \end{split}$$

Now, we consider $d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$. From the above result, we can write

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{d}(x,z) &= \frac{d(x,z)}{1+d(x,z)} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x,y)+d(y,z)}{1+d(x,y)+d(y,z)} \\ &= \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)+d(y,z)} + \frac{d(y,z)}{1+d(x,y)+d(y,z)} \\ &\leq = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} + \frac{d(y,z)}{1+d(y,z)} \\ &= \widetilde{d}(x,y) + \widetilde{d}(y,z) \end{split}$$

Therefore, we've shown that $\widetilde{d}(x,z) \leq \widetilde{d}(x,y) + \widetilde{d}(y,z)$, thus satisfying the third property of the metrix, so $\widetilde{d}(x,y)$ is a metric.

Find sets of points in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 with the following properties.

(a) A set with nonempty interior and nonempty boundary, but empty interior.

(b) A set with nonempty boundary, nonempty exterior, but empty interior.

(c) Prove that there is no set in the plane with nonempty interior and nonempty exterior but empty boundary.

(a) Give an example of an infinite family of closed sets F_n such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is not closed.

Solution

Consider $F_n = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. F_n is closed for each n, but the infinite union of these closed sets is not closed, because 0 is a limit point of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, but $0 \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}$, so it does not contain all of its limit points.

(b) For any family of sets $\{S_n\}$ show that the closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ contains the union of the closures, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{S_n}$.

Solution

We define $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. To show containment, we let $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A}$, and we want to show that $x \in \overline{B}$ for all x. Since x is in the infinite union, $x \in \overline{A_i}$ for some i, where $\overline{A_i} = A_i \cup A_i'$, where A_i' denotes the set of limit points of A_i . We consider cases. Case 1: if $x \in A_i$, then $x \in B \subset \overline{B}$, so $x \in \overline{B}$. Case 2: If $x \in A_i'$, then x is a limit point of the set A_i , which means that for every open ball $B_r(x)$ of radius r > 0, we can find a $y \neq x$ such that $y \in A_i$. This implies that x is also a limit point of B, so $x \in B' \subset \overline{B}$. Thus, in both cases 1 and 2, we end up with $x \in \overline{B}$, so containment is shown.

(c) Explain why part (a) implies that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{S_n}$ may be strictly smaller than $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n}$.

Solution

Part (a) shows that the union of the closures may be strictly smaller than the closure of the union because we see that $0 \in \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n}$, where F_n is as defined above, but 0 is not in the individual closures, so $0 \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{F_n}$. Therefore, the containment may be strict.

Problem 4

Show that, if S is a nonempty set, then $|d(S,x)-d(S,y)| \leq d(x,y)$.

Solution

Let $x, y \in X$ and $z_0 \in S$. Then by the triangle inequality, we have

$$d(x, z_0) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z_0). \tag{1}$$

We can take the infimum over all $z \in S$ on the LHS to get

$$d(x,S) = \inf_{z \in S} \{d(x,z)\} \le d(x,z_0) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z_0).$$

We can then take the infimum over all of $z \in S$ on the RHS, we get

$$d(x,S) \le d(x,z_0) \le d(x,y) + \inf_{z \in S} \{d(y,z)\} = d(x,y) + d(y,S).$$

Therefore, we get $d(x,S) - d(y,S) \le d(x,y)$. To prove this is true when taking the absolute value, we show need to show that $d(y,S) - d(x,S) \le d(x,y)$. This is a consequence of applying the triangle inequality on y and S in inequality (1). Consider $d(y,z_0) \le d(y,x) + d(x,z_0)$. Then we take infimum over all of $z \in S$ on the LHS to get

$$d(y,S) = \inf_{z \in S} \{d(y,z)\} \le d(y,z_0) \le d(y,x) + d(x,z_0).$$

Taking the infimum over all $z \in S$ on the RHS we get

$$d(y, S) \le d(y, z_0) \le d(x, y) + \inf_{z \in S} \{d(x, z)\} = d(x, y) + d(x, S),$$

so $d(y,S) - d(x,S) \le d(x,y)$. We've shown that the both differences are less than or equal to d(x,y), so we conclude that $|d(x,S) - d(y,S)| \le d(x,y)$.

Show that, when K is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n and x is a point, there is at least one $y \in K$ such that d(x,y) = d(x,K).

Solution

Problem 6

Show that the conclusion of problem 19 is false if we only assume that K is closed.

Solution