

# ल l and ल lr in Sanskrit Lexicography

Felix Rau

University of Cologne – Lazarus Project

## ल l and ल lr in Sanskrit Lexicography Lazarus Project (Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon) Project Documentation 1

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Lazarus Project (Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon) University of Cologne http://www.cceh.uni-koeln.de/lazarus http://www.sanskrit-lexicon.uni-koeln.de/

## 1 ल l and ल lr

This report documents the graphematic representation of the vocalic L (Devanagari: ल्/ ISO 15919: l) and the combination of consonantal L with vocalic R (Devanagari: ल्/ ISO 15919: lr) in Sanskrit lexicography.

Vocalic L is represented by a simple vowel letter  $\overline{\alpha}$  in Devanagari, while the combination of consonantal L with vocalic R is represented by the akṣara  $\overline{q}$ . Although these two are clearly distinct entities, they were conflated in the original digitisation of the Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon (CSL). However, a closer look shows that problems of inconsistent treatment of  $\overline{\alpha}$   $\mathbb{I}$  and  $\overline{q}$   $\mathbb{I}$   $\mathbb{I}$  have a long and distinguished tradition in the writing systems used of modern Sanskrit lexicography. The relevant writing systems in this context are Devanagari and Latin script. Of the various Latin-based transliteration systems, four are considered in this report: The ISO standard ISO 15919:2001, the transliteration used in Monier-Williams (1872, 1899), the Sanskrit Library Phonetic Basic encoding scheme (SLP1), and the popular Harvard-Kyoto transliteration system.

## 1.1 The vowel ল ়

No Sanskrit word begins with any of these vowels;  $r\bar{l}$  [ $\bar{l}$   $\bar{r}$ ] appears only in the Gen[itive] plur[al] of nouns terminating in  $r\bar{l}$  [ $\bar{l}$   $\bar{r}$ ], in the the acc[usative] plur[al] of fem[inine] nouns of relationship, and in the nom[inative] and acc[usative] plur[al] of neuter nouns in  $r\bar{l}$ . As to the vowel  $r\bar{l}$  [ $\bar{l}$   $\bar{l}$ ] it occurs only in some forms of the root klrip [ $\bar{l}$   $\bar{l}$ 

As Monier-Williams (1899) states, vocalic L रू । is rare in Sanskrit words and and nearly exclusively occurs in forms of the root कूप् klp 'to be well ordered'. Other occurrences are doubtful or artificial. The only possible occurrence is in the root कूष् klb 'accomplishment' which is suspiciously close to कूप् klp. Several dictionaries list the proper name खतक रिक्का शिक्षा अप्रकार अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार अप्रकार अप्रकार शिक्षा अप्रकार शिक्षा

#### 1.2 The akşara लू lr

The akṣara  $\overline{q}$   $l_r$  – the combination of the consonant  $\overline{q}$  l with the vowel ऋ r — is even less common. The only well-attested entries that contain  $\overline{q}$   $l_r$  are not for lexemes in the strict

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.sanskrit-lexicon.uni-koeln.de/

sense, but for technical terms derived from inflectional affixes. Monier-Williams (1872) gives the following definitions:

লুস্থ lrin 'a technical term or symbol for the terminations of the Conditional or for that Mood itself.'

जृद् lrt 'a technical term or symbol for the terminations of the Second Future or for that Tense itself.'

The various dictionaries differ slightly in their treatment of these technical terms from Pāṇini. The dictionnaire sanscrit-français (Stchoupak et al., 1932) has both लृङ् lr̥n and लृट् lr̥t in romanisation and Apte's Sanskrit English Dictionary (Apte, 1890) lists लृङ् lr̥n and लृट् lr̥t in Devanagari. Cappeler (1887, 1891) and Macdonnell (1893) only have an entry for लृट lr̥t. In contrast to Monier-Williams (1872), Monier-Williams (1899) has an entry for लृट् lr̥t, but instead of लृङ् lr̥n, the Monier-Williams (1899) edition gives लृ lr̥ 'N[ame]. of the terminations of the Conditional Mood or N[ame] of that Mood itself' as an entry, although he lists लृङ् lr̥n and लृट् lr̥t – not लृ lr̥ – under the entry ल la.

Apte in his Sanskrit English Dictionary (1890) is particularly inconsistent in his treatment of ক l and লৃ lr. Under the entry ক l, he states that "No Sanskrit word begins with l or l, except some of the technical names of Pâṇini for tenses and moods; e. g. ln [ln] and lt [lt]." However, লৃङ্ lrn and লৃट্ lrt are clearly instances of ল্ lr with a consonantal onset and Apte (1890) himself lists them correctly under the consonant ল l in the same dictionary.

The only proper lexeme reported to contain the combination ल् lr is absent from all major lexicographic works such as the Große Petersburger Wörterbuch (Böhtlingk and Roth, 1855-1875) and Monier-Williams (1872, 1899). However, Wilson (1832), Yates (1846), and the Vidyāsāgara (1900) contain an entry for a root लृञ्च lrnc with the meaning 'to put away'. All three dictionaries give the additional form लृञ्चित lrncati. Neither of these dictionaries give references to occurrences and it is unclear where and in which particular form or forms this lexeme is attested. In particular, whether the lexeme is attested in its base form लृञ्च lrnc or a guṇa form (presumably लञ्चे larnc) would be relevant. The three entries read as follows:

```
लृञ्च r. 1st cl. (लृञ्चित ) To put away. (Wilson, 1832, p. 722)
लृञ्च लृञ्चित 1. a. To put away. (Yates, 1846, p. 646)
लृञ्च r. 1st cl. (लृञ्चित ) To put away. (Vidyāsāgara, 1900, p. 619)
```

Vidyāsāgara (1900) is based on Wilson (1832). So the fact that it occurs in both works with an identical entry is no surprise. Wether Yates has also taken this lemma from Wilson or whether he did verify it independently is unknown. The lack of entries for this lexeme in later works and especially in Böhtlingk and Roth (1855-1875) and Monier-Williams (1899) is suspicious and suggests that it only occurs in late varieties of Sanskrit, if it is genuine at all.

# 2 Conflating ल l and ल lr

## 2.1 Conflating ल l and ल lr in Devanagari

The confusion or conflation of  $\overline{e}$  l and  $\overline{e}$  l occurs throughout Sanskrit lexicography and probably beyond that throughout the whole philological tradition. The two glyphs  $\overline{e}$  and

ल are very similar in shape in Devanagari script, with  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  looking very much like a frozen combination of  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  looking very much like a frozen combination of  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  land  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  looking very much like a frozen combination of  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  land  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  looking very much like a frozen combination of  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  land  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}} \ | \$  land  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  land  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}} \ | \$  land  ${\ensuremath{\overline{e}}} \ | \$  land  ${$ 

The similarity is shape between  $\overline{e}$  l and  $\overline{e}$  l is particularly striking in Devanagari. Other Brahmi-derived scripts display far less or virtually no likeness between the two graphemes as the Table 1 shows.

	ļ	lŗ
Devanagari	ऌ	लृ
Bengali	৯	লৃ
Oriya	8	ଲ୍ଡ
Telugu	ಶ	లృ

Table 1: l and lr in different Indic scripts

## 2.2 Conflating ल l and ल lr in Latin script

The ISO standard 15919:2001 clearly distinguishes between the two and transliterates  $\overline{\alpha}$  as  $\frac{1}{8}$  and  $\overline{\alpha}$  as  $\frac{1}{8}$  (1 for  $\overline{\alpha}$  and  $\overline{\gamma}$  for  $\overline{\alpha}$ ). The romanisation used in Monier-Williams (1872, 1899) conflates the two. The combination  $\overline{\alpha}$   $\overline{\beta}$  is transliterated as  $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$  as a combination of 1 for  $\overline{\alpha}$   $\overline{\beta}$  and  $\overline{\beta}$  is represented by the complex sequence  $\overline{\beta}$  in unfortunately identical to the juxtaposition of 1 and  $\overline{\beta}$ . The Sanskrit Library Phonetic Basic encoding scheme (SLP1) as defined in Scharf and Hyman (2011) treats Sanskrit Sounds—and by that Devanagari characters—very consistently. The combination  $\overline{\alpha}$   $\overline{\beta}$  is encoded as  $\overline{\beta}$  as a combination of 1 for  $\overline{\alpha}$   $\overline{\beta}$  and  $\overline{\beta}$  for  $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$  —while the simple vowel  $\overline{\alpha}$   $\overline{\beta}$  is encoded by the simplex x. The very popular romanisation system Harvard-Kyoto conflates  $\overline{\alpha}$   $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$  and  $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$  is transliterated as  $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$  as a combination of 1 for  $\overline{\beta}$   $\overline{\beta}$  is represented by the combined 1R, unfortunately again identical to the juxtaposition of 1 and R. All four transliteration systems are given in Table 2.

	ल्ह	लृ
ISO	ļ	lŗ
MW	lŗi	lŗi
SLP1	X	lf
HK	lR	lR

Table 2: l and lr in different transliterations

## 3 Conclusion

The vocalic L  $\[ \] \]$  and akṣara or grapheme combination  $\[ \] \]$  are marginal to the Sanskrit language and entries containing the two graphemes are of questionable status. However, both  $\[ \] \]$  are part of Sanskrit or at least of traditional and modern analysis and representation of the Sanskrit language. Lexicographic works that aim at representing the complete lexical knowledge concerning the Sanskrit language have to distinguish the two consistently to be faithful to this tradition.

For Sanskrit lexicography this means that systems used to represent Sanskrit have to encode the difference between the  $\overline{\alpha}$  and  $\overline{q}$  lr unambiguously. While Indic writing systems such as Devanagari fulfill this requirement, some Latin-based systems fail in this regard. In particular the transliteration employed in the most widely used Sanskrit-English dictionary (Monier-Williams, 1899) conflates  $\overline{\alpha}$  and  $\overline{q}$  lr, as does the most popular Latin-based transliteration, Harvard-Kyoto. SLP 1 (Scharf and Hyman, 2011) and ISO 15919 accomplish an unequivocal transliteration of Sanskrit and are much better suited for lexicographical work.

#### References

Apte, Vaman Shivaram. 1890. The practical Sanskrit-English dictionary. Poona: Shiralkar.

Böhtlingk, Otto von, and Rudolf Roth. 1855-1875. *Sanskrit-Wörterbuch*. St. Petersburg: Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Cappeler, Carl. 1887. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch. Straßburg: Trübner.

Cappeler, Carl. 1891. Sanskrit-English dictionary. Straßburg: Trübner.

Macdonnell, Arthur Anthony. 1893. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. London: Longmans.

Monier-Williams, Monier. 1872. A Sanskrit-English dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon.

Monier-Williams, Monier. 1899. A Sanskrit-English dictionary, new edition.. Oxford: Clarendon.

Scharf, Peter M., and Malcolm D. Hyman. 2011. *Linguistic issues in encoding Sanskrit*. Providence: The Sanskrit Library. URL sanskrit1.ccv.brown.edu/tomcat/sl/-/pub/lies\_sl.pdf.

Stchoupak, Nadine, Luigia Nitti-Dolchi, and Louis Renou. 1932. *Dictionnaire sanskrit-français*. Publications de l'Institut de Civilisation Indienne. Paris: Maisonneuve.

Vidyāsāgara, Jīvānanda. 1900. Shabda-sagara, or a comprehensive Sanskrit-English lexicon: chiefly based on professor Horace Hayman Wilsons Sanskrit-English dictionary and compiled from various recent authorities for the use of schools and colleges. Calcutta: Calcutta Press.

Wilson, Horace H. 1832. *A dictionary in Sanscrit and English*. Calcutta: Education Press, 2. ed., greatly extended edition.

Yates, William. 1846. A dictionary in Sanscrit and English, designed for the use of private students and of indian colleges and schools. Calcutta: The Baptist Mission Press.