SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Li Tan¹ Hong Zhou² Fei Ye^{3,*}

¹Adobe ²Peking University ³University of Electronic Science and Technology of China

Theorem 1. Let (\tilde{X}, \bar{X}, Y) be random variables and let g be a (possibly stochastic) encoder applied to both \tilde{X} and \bar{X} . Assume the encoder is sufficient [1], then:

$$I(g(\tilde{X});Y\mid g(\bar{X})) = I(\tilde{X};Y\mid \bar{X}). \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let (\tilde{X}, \bar{X}, Y) be random variables and let g be a (possibly stochastic) *shared* encoder applied argument-wise to \tilde{X} and \bar{X} . Assume *sufficiency*:

$$P(Y \mid \bar{X}) = P(Y \mid g(\bar{X})), \tag{S1}$$

$$P(Y \mid \tilde{X}, \bar{X}) = P(Y \mid g(\tilde{X}), g(\bar{X})). \tag{S2}$$

By the chain rule of conditional mutual information,

$$I(\tilde{X};Y\mid \bar{X}) = H(Y\mid \bar{X}) - H(Y\mid \tilde{X},\bar{X}). \tag{2}$$

By sufficiency (S1) and (S2),

$$H(Y \mid \bar{X}) = H(Y \mid g(\bar{X})), \tag{3}$$

$$H(Y \mid \tilde{X}, \bar{X}) = H(Y \mid g(\tilde{X}), g(\bar{X})). \tag{4}$$

Therefore,

$$I(\tilde{X};Y\mid \bar{X}) = H(Y\mid g(\bar{X})) - H(Y\mid g(\tilde{X}), g(\bar{X}))$$
(5)

$$= I(g(\tilde{X}); Y \mid g(\bar{X})), \tag{6}$$

which proves the claim.

1. REFERENCES

[1] Yonglong Tian, Chen Sun, Ben Poole, Dilip Krishnan, Cordelia Schmid, and Phillip Isola, "What makes for good views for contrastive learning?," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 6827–6839, 2020.

Corresponding author: feiye@uestc.edu.cn