# Supplementary Information for "A Multitask Network Robustness Analysis System Based on the Graph Isomorphism Network Model"

Chengpei Wu, Yang Lou, Junli Li, Lin Wang, Shengli Xie and Guanrong Chen

#### I. ABBREVIATIONS

## Abbreviations of the Compared Algorithms:

GIN-MAS: Graph isomorphism network-based multitask analysis system

LFR [1]: Learning feature representation

RP [2]: Robustness predictor SPP [3]: Spatial pyramid pooling GCN [4]: Graph convolutional network

MLP: multi-layer perceptron KNN [5]: *k*-Nearest neighbours

LR [6]: Linear regression DT [7]: Decision tree RF [8]: Random forest

## Abbreviations of the Attack Strategies:

TAR: Maximum degree-based node-removal attacks

RND: Random node-removal attacks

#### II. TABLES: COMPARISON ON THE NINE SYNTHETIC NETWORKS

Tables S1–S9 show prediction errors obtained by GIN-MAS, LFR, RP, SPP, GCN, MLP, KNN, LR, DT, and RF, on nine synthetic networks. An integer in parentheses indicates the rank of the method for robustness measure; an asterisk '\*' denotes that the corresponding method is statistically inferior to GIN-MAS, while an approximation '≈' indicates no statistical difference between the corresponding method and GIN-MAS, according to the Kruskal-Wallis H-test.

Table S1: For BA (Barabási-Albert) networks.

В	A (*:69; ≈:3)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.012	0.039	0.070	0.043	0.130	0.043	0.034	0.091	0.033	0.029
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(5,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.015	0.020	0.046	0.027	0.071	0.037	0.036	0.044	0.038	0.031
Undirected		KND	(1)	(2,*)	(9,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(4,*)
Chancetea		TAR	0.024	0.047	0.075	0.049	0.190	0.064	0.051	0.221	0.043	0.041
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(4,*)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.016	0.025	0.119	0.026	0.213	0.020	0.020	0.024	0.022	0.018
		KND	(1)	(7,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(2,≈)
		TAR	0.014	0.025	0.091	0.043	0.111	0.102	0.125	0.128	0.209	0.192
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.015	0.025	0.077	0.030	0.091	0.056	0.055	0.043	0.086	0.075
Directed		KND	(1)	(2,*)	(8,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)
Directed		TAR	0.024	0.059	0.065	0.046	0.246	0.136	0.139	0.193	0.267	0.261
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(2,*)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.017	0.020	0.120	0.034	0.223	0.021	0.018	0.041	0.023	0.018
		KND	(1)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(3,≈)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(2,≈)

Corresponding author: Yang Lou (felix.lou@ieee.org)

Table S2: For EH (extreme homogeneous) networks.

EH (	(*:59; ≈:10; +:3)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.009	0.011	0.054	0.045	0.073	0.090	0.104	0.161	0.140	0.140
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.008	0.009	0.020	0.017	0.030	0.022	0.029	0.041	0.031	0.030
Undirected		KND	(1)	(2,≈)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)
Ondirected		TAR	0.016	0.106	0.138	0.057	0.271	0.123	0.136	0.196	0.169	0.177
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(3,*)	(6,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.016	0.023	0.121	0.019	0.227	0.024	0.033	0.033	0.037	0.034
		KND	(1)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)
		TAR	0.010	0.010	0.118	0.073	0.052	0.026	0.009	0.131	0.013	0.010
	Controllability	IAK	(4)	(2,≈)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(1,+)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(3,≈)
	Robustness	RND	0.009	0.010	0.050	0.028	0.034	0.017	0.009	0.084	0.012	0.009
Directed		KND	(3)	(4,≈)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(2,≈)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(1,≈)
Directed		TAR	0.013	0.111	0.118	0.058	0.270	0.048	0.010	0.170	0.015	0.012
	Connectivity	IAK	(3)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(1,+)	(9,*)	(4,≈)	(2,+)
	Robustness	RND	0.015	0.016	0.126	0.023	0.231	0.022	0.015	0.034	0.020	0.015
		IND	(3)	(4,≈)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(2,≈)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(1,≈)

Table S3: For ER (Erdös-Rényi) networks.

ER	(*:65; ≈:6; +:1)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.009	0.020	0.060	0.047	0.062	0.019	0.026	0.045	0.041	0.023
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(10,*)	(2,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.010	0.011	0.022	0.013	0.040	0.011	0.012	0.021	0.019	0.013
Undirected		KND	(1)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(2,≈)	(4,≈)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)
Ondirected		TAR	0.021	0.087	0.130	0.083	0.226	0.048	0.053	0.095	0.067	0.048
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(7,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(2,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.016	0.023	0.114	0.022	0.215	0.019	0.021	0.024	0.027	0.022
		KND	(1)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(4,*)
		TAR	0.014	0.018	0.074	0.052	0.060	0.036	0.041	0.034	0.057	0.050
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(9,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.013	0.019	0.048	0.029	0.051	0.016	0.020	0.035	0.026	0.022
Directed		KND	(1)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)
Directed		TAR	0.025	0.091	0.117	0.052	0.244	0.050	0.052	0.098	0.028	0.023
	Connectivity	IAK	(2)	(7,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(8,*)	(3,≈)	(1,+)
	Robustness	RND	0.017	0.018	0.118	0.020	0.223	0.021	0.019	0.040	0.022	0.017
		KND	(2)	(3,≈)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(4,≈)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(1,≈)

Table S4: For QS (q-snapback) networks.

QS	(*:63; ≈:8; +:1)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAD	0.009	0.017	0.028	0.021	0.056	0.019	0.021	0.044	0.040	0.019
	Controllability	TAR	(1)	(2,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(4,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.009	0.010	0.020	0.012	0.036	0.011	0.010	0.028	0.013	0.010
Undirected		KND	(1)	(4,≈)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(3,≈)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(2,≈)
Chancetea		TAR	0.017	0.088	0.102	0.030	0.233	0.033	0.023	0.085	0.035	0.024
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(4,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(2,≈)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(3,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.016	0.023	0.117	0.020	0.219	0.019	0.020	0.022	0.027	0.020
		KND	(1)	(7,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(6,*)	(8,*)	(4,*)
		TAR	0.013	0.016	0.055	0.029	0.080	0.052	0.058	0.051	0.076	0.071
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(6,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.015	0.017	0.055	0.019	0.076	0.067	0.066	0.054	0.075	0.072
Directed		KND	(1)	(2,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)
Directed		TAR	0.023	0.109	0.100	0.035	0.283	0.054	0.062	0.088	0.053	0.054
	Connectivity	1711	(1)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.021	0.021	0.122	0.021	0.250	0.023	0.020	0.040	0.030	0.023
		ICID	(2)	(4,≈)	(9,*)	(3,≈)	(10,*)	(5,≈)	(1,+)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(6,≈)

Table S5: For RH (random hexagon) networks.

R	H (*:69; ≈:3)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.008	0.019	0.034	0.027	0.062	0.050	0.050	0.101	0.056	0.054
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.010	0.012	0.022	0.014	0.041	0.016	0.016	0.058	0.018	0.016
Undirected		KND	(1)	(2,*)	(8,*)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(4,*)
Ondirected		TAR	0.021	0.080	0.089	0.045	0.220	0.074	0.051	0.128	0.056	0.055
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.015	0.023	0.115	0.024	0.211	0.023	0.021	0.025	0.027	0.021
		KND	(1)	(5,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(2,*)
		TAR	0.012	0.014	0.059	0.033	0.085	0.102	0.100	0.115	0.109	0.113
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.012	0.013	0.045	0.018	0.049	0.022	0.025	0.030	0.034	0.030
Directed		KND	(1)	(2,≈)	(9,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)
Directed		TAR	0.025	0.084	0.085	0.046	0.280	0.122	0.089	0.135	0.091	0.092
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.018	0.017	0.118	0.020	0.221	0.023	0.022	0.033	0.029	0.025
		IND	(2)	(1,≈)	(9,*)	(3,≈)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)

Table S6: For RT (random triangle) networks.

	RT (*:72)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.010	0.026	0.045	0.029	0.080	0.067	0.053	0.061	0.051	0.048
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.011	0.014	0.025	0.016	0.043	0.021	0.021	0.023	0.023	0.020
Undirected		KND	(1)	(2,*)	(9,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(4,*)
Chancetea		TAR	0.023	0.065	0.088	0.049	0.182	0.143	0.117	0.157	0.118	0.104
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.018	0.029	0.116	0.024	0.213	0.029	0.027	0.026	0.032	0.027
		KND	(1)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)	(8,*)	(4,*)
		TAR	0.011	0.022	0.079	0.039	0.064	0.112	0.116	0.086	0.141	0.133
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.014	0.020	0.050	0.020	0.052	0.027	0.025	0.033	0.045	0.036
Directed		KND	(1)	(3,*)	(9,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)
Directed		TAR	0.027	0.072	0.079	0.048	0.214	0.169	0.164	0.146	0.183	0.179
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.020	0.023	0.121	0.024	0.222	0.026	0.027	0.041	0.034	0.028
		IND	(1)	(2,*)	(9,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)

Table S7: For SF (generic scale-free) networks.

SF (*	·:46; ≈:11; +:15)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.041	0.105	0.209	0.039	0.311	0.019	0.018	0.037	0.022	0.018
	Controllability	IAK	(7)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(6,+)	(10,*)	(3,+)	(2,+)	(5,+)	(4,+)	(1,+)
	Robustness	RND	0.020	0.050	0.123	0.025	0.247	0.020	0.022	0.041	0.026	0.021
Undirected		KND	(2)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(1,≈)	(4,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(3,≈)
Chancetea		TAR	0.038	0.022	0.053	0.035	0.059	0.029	0.012	0.074	0.017	0.013
	Connectivity	IAK	(7)	(4,+)	(8,*)	(6,+)	(9,*)	(5,+)	(1,+)	(10,*)	(3,+)	(2,+)
	Robustness	RND	0.025	0.043	0.119	0.035	0.261	0.031	0.031	0.029	0.038	0.031
		KND	(1)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(2,≈)	(7,*)	(5,*)
		TAR	0.010	0.045	0.161	0.021	0.278	0.015	0.011	0.051	0.016	0.011
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(7,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(3,≈)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(2,≈)
	Robustness	RND	0.014	0.044	0.130	0.019	0.173	0.014	0.014	0.043	0.019	0.013
Directed		KND	(2)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(4,≈)	(3,≈)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(1,≈)
Directed		TAR	0.024	0.032	0.044	0.043	0.142	0.030	0.014	0.111	0.019	0.014
	Connectivity	IAK	(4)	(6,≈)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(2,+)	(9,*)	(3,+)	(1,+)
	Robustness	RND	0.029	0.046	0.141	0.054	0.270	0.031	0.028	0.038	0.035	0.028
		ICIND	(3)	(7,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(2,≈)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(1,≈)

Table S8: For SW-NW (Newman–Watts small-world) networks.

SW-	-NW (*:68; ≈:4)		GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.008	0.011	0.018	0.020	0.047	0.051	0.050	0.058	0.049	0.047
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.008	0.009	0.017	0.011	0.028	0.012	0.010	0.043	0.012	0.009
Undirected		KND	(1)	(2,*)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(9,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(3,*)
Chanectea		TAR	0.016	0.089	0.089	0.026	0.232	0.041	0.033	0.105	0.034	0.030
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.016	0.022	0.124	0.021	0.221	0.018	0.018	0.027	0.022	0.018
		KND	(1)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(2,≈)
		TAR	0.011	0.016	0.047	0.022	0.036	0.034	0.042	0.037	0.024	0.016
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(4,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(2,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.009	0.015	0.033	0.015	0.037	0.016	0.017	0.024	0.014	0.010
Directed		KND	(1)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(3,*)	(2,≈)
Directed		TAR	0.019	0.098	0.087	0.029	0.248	0.035	0.034	0.080	0.019	0.021
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(4,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(2,*)	(3,≈)
	Robustness	RND	0.016	0.019	0.125	0.022	0.230	0.023	0.019	0.042	0.022	0.017
		KND	(1)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(3,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(2,≈)

Table S9: For SW-WS (Watts-Strogatz small-world) networks.

SW-W	S (*:67; $\approx$ :3; +:2	.)	GIN-MAS	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		TAR	0.008	0.013	0.029	0.022	0.047	0.032	0.024	0.038	0.039	0.027
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(6,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(7,*)	(4,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.008	0.009	0.016	0.011	0.031	0.012	0.010	0.030	0.014	0.009
Undirected		KND	(1)	(3,*)	(8,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(2,≈)
Ondirected		TAR	0.016	0.091	0.092	0.025	0.236	0.032	0.025	0.085	0.032	0.027
	Connectivity	IAK	(1)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(3,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(2,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.015	0.023	0.122	0.021	0.222	0.017	0.018	0.027	0.024	0.017
		KND	(1)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(3,*)
		TAR	0.012	0.016	0.039	0.027	0.037	0.021	0.029	0.029	0.025	0.023
	Controllability	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(9,*)	(3,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)
	Robustness	RND	0.010	0.012	0.033	0.017	0.040	0.015	0.015	0.029	0.016	0.012
Directed		KND	(1)	(2,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(8,*)	(6,*)	(3,*)
Directed		TAR	0.020	0.097	0.088	0.028	0.245	0.034	0.032	0.071	0.019	0.017
	Connectivity	IAK	(3)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(4,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(2,+)	(1,+)
	Robustness	RND	0.017	0.019	0.123	0.021	0.230	0.022	0.019	0.043	0.023	0.017
		KAD	(1)	(4,*)	(9,*)	(5,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(3,≈)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(2,≈)

Table S10: Overall prediction errors of GIN (*single task*), LFR, RP, SPP, GCN, MLP, KNN, LR, DT, and RF for measuring the 9 synthetic networks. Part (I): the training and test instances are taken from the same distributed data; Part (II): the training and test instances are taken from different distributed data. The value following '±' represents the standard deviation; an integer in parentheses indicates the rank of the method under a specific robustness metric; an asterisk '\*' denotes that the corresponding method is statistically inferior to GIN, using the Kruskal-Wallis H-test.

Tested Data		Robustness Measure		GIN	LFR	RP	SPP	GCN	MLP	KNN	LR	DT	RF
		Controllability	TAR	0.008 ±0.004	0.021 ±0.018	$0.072 \pm 0.033$	0.024 ±0.017	0.171 ±0.075	0.038 ±0.024	0.034 ±0.032	0.061 ±0.037	$0.041 \\ \pm 0.040$	0.036 ±0.034
		Robustness		(1) 0.018	(2,*) 0.022	(9,*) 0.046	(3,*)	(10,*)	(6,*)	(4,*) 0.025	(8,*)	(7,*) 0.030	(5,*)
	ected	$(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{T}})$	RND	$\pm 0.007$ (1)	±0.012 (2,*)	±0.031 (9,*)	±0.010 (3,*)	±0.066 (10,*)	±0.012 (4,*)	±0.014 (6,*)	±0.020 (8,*)	±0.016 (7,*)	±0.013 (5,*)
	Undirected	Connectivity	TAR	0.015 ±0.003	$0.052 \\ \pm 0.025$	0.064 ±0.029	0.031 ±0.019	0.138 ±0.056	0.044 ±0.031	0.036 ±0.039	0.083 ±0.037	0.041 ±0.046	0.038 ±0.040
Part (I) Within Range (WR)		Robustness $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}})$	RND	(1) 0.024 ±0.015	(7,*) 0.032 ±0.019	(8,*) 0.113 ±0.017	(2,*) 0.030 ±0.017	(10,*) 0.202 ±0.017	(6,*) 0.028 ±0.016	(3,*) 0.030 ±0.017	(9,*) 0.032 ±0.016	(5,*) 0.036 ±0.021	(4,*) 0.030 ±0.017
Part (I) n Range			TAR	(1) 0.009 ±0.005	(6,*) 0.015 ±0.008	(9,*) 0.083 ±0.032	(3,*) 0.029 ±0.021	(10,*) 0.082 ±0.039	(2,*) 0.046 ±0.035	(5,*) 0.047 ±0.045	(7,*) 0.060 ±0.041	(8,*) 0.058 ±0.059	(4,*) 0.054 ±0.055
Withi		Controllability Robustness	IAK	(1)	(2,*)	(10,*) 0.065	(3,*)	(9,*) 0.089	(4,*) 0.029	(5,*)	(8,*) 0.041	(7,*) 0.039	(6,*)
	Undirected	$(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{T}})$	RND	±0.006	$\pm 0.012$ $(2,*)$	±0.029 (9,*)	±0.011 (3,*)	±0.037 (10,*)	±0.019 (4,*)	±0.022 (5,*)	±0.024 (8,*)	±0.030 (7,*)	±0.026 (6,*)
	Undir	Connectivity	TAR	$0.018$ $\pm 0.005$ (1)	0.065 ±0.028 (7,*)	0.068 ±0.029 (8,*)	$0.033$ $\pm 0.017$ $(2,*)$	0.181 ±0.055 (10,*)	0.056 ±0.040 (6,*)	0.048 ±0.047 (3,*)	0.090 ±0.038 (9,*)	0.054 ±0.063 (5,*)	0.053 ±0.061 (4,*)
		Robustness $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}})$	RND	$0.025$ $\pm 0.016$ (1)	0.029 ±0.019 (4,*)	0.116 ±0.015 (9,*)	0.033 ±0.020 (6,*)	0.211 ±0.018 (10,*)	0.029 ±0.014 (5,*)	$0.027$ $\pm 0.017$ $(3,*)$	$0.043$ $\pm 0.017$ $(8,*)$	0.035 $\pm 0.022$ (7,*)	$0.027$ $\pm 0.017$ $(2,*)$
		Controllability	TAR	0.010 ±0.015 (1)	$0.064$ $\pm 0.073$ $(7,*)$	$0.128$ $\pm 0.071$ $(8,*)$	$0.037$ $\pm 0.028$ $(2,*)$	0.172 ±0.076 (9,*)	0.056 ±0.037 (6,*)	0.040 ±0.040 (3,*)	0.222 ±0.184 (10,*)	$0.050$ $\pm 0.050$ $(5,*)$	$0.043$ $\pm 0.043$ $(4,*)$
	Undirected	Robustness $(Q_T)$	RND	$0.021$ $\pm 0.015$ (1)	$0.043$ $\pm 0.043$ $(7,*)$	0.085 ±0.054 (8,*)	$0.032$ $\pm 0.020$ $(5,*)$	0.087 ±0.063 (9,*)	0.029 ±0.019 (4,*)	0.029 ±0.021 (3,*)	0.186 ±0.144 (10,*)	$0.035$ $\pm 0.022$ $(6,*)$	$0.027$ $\pm 0.020$ $(2,*)$
	Undir	Connectivity	TAR	0.020 ±0.017 (1)	0.093 ±0.051 (7,*)	$0.162$ $\pm 0.118$ $(9,*)$	$0.047$ $\pm 0.029$ $(3,*)$	0.142 ±0.056 (8,*)	0.065 ±0.045 (6,*)	0.045 ±0.046 (2,*)	0.251 ±0.192 (10,*)	$0.054$ $\pm 0.056$ $(5,*)$	0.050 ±0.049 (4,*)
Part (II) Out of Range (OR)		Robustness $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}})$	RND	0.029 ±0.031 (1)	$0.054$ $\pm 0.044$ $(7,*)$	$0.161$ $\pm 0.057$ $(9,*)$	0.045 ±0.025 (5,*)	0.206 ±0.023 (10.*)	0.049 ±0.032 (6,*)	$0.035$ $\pm 0.032$ $(3,*)$	0.143 ±0.109 (8,*)	0.044 ±0.036 (4,*)	$0.033$ $\pm 0.032$ $(2,*)$
Part (II) it of Range		Controllability	TAR	$0.011$ $\pm 0.012$ (1)	0.055 ±0.065 (6,*)	$0.164$ $\pm 0.088$ $(10,*)$	0.046 ±0.034 (5,*)	0.084 ±0.041 (8,*)	0.056 ±0.036 (7,*)	0.040 ±0.036 (2,*)	$0.154$ $\pm 0.112$ $(9,*)$	0.046 ±0.049 (4,*)	0.041 ±0.043 (3,*)
Õ	Directed	Robustness $(Q_T)$	RND	$0.019$ $\pm 0.012$ $(1)$	$0.048$ $\pm 0.041$ $(7,*)$	0.120 ±0.063 (10,*)	0.038 ±0.023 (5,*)	0.092 ±0.038 (8,*)	$0.034$ $\pm 0.021$ $(2,*)$	$0.034$ $\pm 0.024$ $(3,*)$	$0.117$ $\pm 0.077$ $(9,*)$	0.041 ±0.030 (6,*)	$0.035$ $\pm 0.027$ $(4,*)$
	Dire	Connectivity Robustness	TAR	0.023 ±0.020 (1)	0.104 ±0.061 (7,*)	0.148 ±0.104 (8,*)	0.056 ±0.037 (5,*)	0.193 ±0.059 (9,*)	0.071 ±0.037 (6,*)	$0.047$ $\pm 0.041$ $(2,*)$	0.320 ±0.243 (10,*)	0.052 $\pm 0.057$ (4,*)	0.048 ±0.050 (3,*)
		( $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}$ )	RND	$0.030 \pm 0.028$ (1)	0.049 ±0.041 (7,*)	0.155 ±0.046 (9,*)	0.049 ±0.031 (6,*)	$0.215$ $\pm 0.022$ $(10,*)$	0.048 ±0.030 (5,*)	0.033 ±0.029 (3,*)	0.142 ±0.099 (8,*)	0.041 ±0.033 (4,*)	0.032 ±0.029 (2,*)

Table S11: Detailed runtime comparison for GIN-MAS, LFR, RP, SPP, LFR, MLP, KNN, LR, DT, and RF against network size changes (Unit: Second).

Netv	work Size	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000	6000	7000	8000	9000	10000
GNN	GIN-MAS	0.0028	0.0026	0.0028	0.0030	0.0032	0.0035	0.0035	0.0038	0.0041	0.0043
GININ	GCN	0.0612	0.1226	0.1772	0.2662	0.2832	0.3499	0.3786	0.4876	0.5263	0.6838
	LFR	2.7729	8.1911	18.5060	36.4061	57.5839	85.0764	116.3463	163.0242	205.2720	271.3228
CNN	RP	0.0255	0.0297	0.0286	0.0290	0.0301	0.0288	0.0300	0.0298	0.0291	0.0305
	SPP	0.0235	0.0277	0.0305	0.0261	0.0271	0.0288	0.0296	0.0305	0.0311	0.0355
	MLP	0.0223	0.0567	0.1078	0.1679	0.2325	0.3172	0.4120	0.5531	0.6624	0.8264
	KNN	0.0215	0.0564	0.1097	0.1677	0.2339	0.3221	0.4131	0.5537	0.6627	0.8345
ML	LR	0.0212	0.0562	0.1077	0.1666	0.2319	0.3183	0.4140	0.5535	0.6651	0.8314
	DT	0.0216	0.0564	0.1083	0.1679	0.2341	0.3216	0.4093	0.5366	0.6467	0.8080
	RF	0.0219	0.0587	0.1095	0.1574	0.2321	0.3160	0.4099	0.5489	0.6529	0.8189

Table S12: [Undirected Networks + Controllability Robustness] Prediction errors obtained by GIN-MAS, LFR, RP, SPP, GCN, MLP, KNN, LR, DT, and RF, on the 'Outof-Range' (OR) networks. The training data are drawn from the synthetic networks of sizes  $N \in [700, 1300]$ , while the test data are from that of sizes  $N \in [200, 700)$  and  $N \in (700, 1800]$ . An integer in parentheses indicates the rank of the method for robustness measure; an asterisk '\*' denotes that the corresponding method is statistically inferior to GIN-MAS, using the Kruskal-Wallis H-test.

Range of Tested Network Size N		[300, 400]	[400, 500]	[500, 600]	[600, 700]	[1300, 1400]	[1400, 1500]	[1500, 1600]	[1600, 1700]	[1700, 1800]
GIN-MAS	S 0.020 (1)	0.017	0.016	0.014	0.014	0.012 (1)	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.014
LFR	0.133	0.143	0.115	0.095	0.061	0.034	0.043	0.052	0.059	0.066
00	0.317	0.253	0.193	0.131	0.080	0.068	0.078	0.089	0.102	0.112
	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)
SPP	0.079	0.072	0.052	0.044	0.038	0.033	0.035	0.038	0.043	0.047
	0 100	0.000	0.000	0.098	0.097	0.007	0.096	0.098	0.097	0.097
CCN	(8,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
МІР	0.097	0.079	0.076	0.061	0.052	0.057	0.059	0.055	0.059	0.058
7	(7,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)
KNN	0.091	0.072	0.070	0.049	0.042	0.035	0.036	0.047	0.037	0.041
_	(3,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)
<u>م</u>	0.093	0.106	0.151	0.177	0.190	0.436	0.453	0.465	0.485	0.495
4	(5,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)
F	0.095	0.085	0.082	0.067	0.061	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.055	0.057
_	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)
DE	0.092	0.077	0.073	0.058	0.050	0.044	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.047
Ĺ	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)
OIN MAG		0.020	0.017	0.014	0.013	600.0	0.009	0.009	600.0	0.009
VIV		(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
I FR	0.070	0.064	0.052	0.040	0.027	0.018	0.021	0.025	0.029	0.032
1	(9,*)	(9,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)
dа	0.178	0.129	980'0	0.061	0.044	0.042	0.047	0.052	0.057	090.0
_	(10,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
ddS	0.032	0.033	0.026	0.024	0.021	0.018	0.019	0.023	0.027	0.031
	(3,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)
עטט	0.058	0.059	0.061	0.061	0.061	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.065	0.065
Ξ,	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)
MI D	0.030	0.027	0.025	0.025	0.022	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.021
4	(2,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)
	0.034	0.027	0.024	0.021	0.021	0.016	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.019
	(5,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)
0.1	0.049	0.046	0.121	0.129	0.161	0.300	0.305	0.315	0.318	0.333
_	(7,*)	(7,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)
T	0.035	0.029	0.026	0.026	0.023	0.020	0.022	0.021	0.022	0.023
_	(6,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)
RF	0.033	0.026	0.023	0.022	0.020	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.017
,	(4,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)

Table S13: [Undirected Networks + Connectivity Robustness] Prediction errors obtained by GIN-MAS, LFR, RP, SPP, GCN, MLP, KNN, LR, DT, and RF, on the 'Out-of-Range' (OR) networks. The training data are drawn from the synthetic networks of sizes  $N \in [700, 1300]$ , while the test data are from that of sizes  $N \in [200, 700)$  and  $N \in (700, 1800]$ . An integer in parentheses indicates the rank of the method for robustness measure; an asterisk '\*' denotes that the corresponding method is statistically inferior to GIN-MAS, using the Kruskal-Wallis H-test.

[200, 300]		[300, 400]	[400, 500]	[500, 600]	[600, 700]	[1300, 1400]	[1400, 1500]	[1500, 1600]	[1600, 1700]	[1700, 1800]
0.044   0.032	0.032		0.028	0.025	0.023	0.021	0.022	0.022	0.023	0.024
	0.216		0.191	0.160	0.110	0.081	0.093	0.107	0.116	0.125
	(8,*)	- 1	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)
(10,*) $(10,*)$	(10,*)		(10,*)	(10,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
	0.099	1	0.079	0.059	0.051	0.046	0.052	0.057	0.064	0.071
	(5,*)		(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)
	0.217		0.212	0.211	0.208	0.203	0.204	0.204	0.203	0.204
	(6,*)	- 1	(9,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)
	0.097	_	0.094	0.079	0.073	0.092	0.092	0.091	960.0	960.0
	(4,*)		(5,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)
0.113 0.091	0.091		0.088	0.062	0.054	0.047	0.046	0.063	0.048	0.054
	0.157	_	0.205	0.227	0.230	0.503	0.542	0.540	0.577	0.587
	(7.*)		(8,*)	(9.*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10.*)	(10.*)	(10,*)	(10.*)
	0.100	-	0.097	0.080	0.069	0.065	0.064	0.070	090.0	0.069
	(6,*)		(6,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)
0.091			0.088	0.070	0.063	0.057	090.0	0.063	090.0	0.062
	(3,*)		(3,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)
0.024			0.023	0.021	0.020	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.014
	(1)		(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
	0.067		0.059	0.051	0.039	0.026	0.030	0.032	0.036	0.038
	(8,*)		(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)
	0.225		0.192	0.160	0.132	0.131	0.138	0.146	0.152	0.158
	(9,*)		(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
0.053 0.047	0.047		0.039	0.031	0.026	0.027	0.029	0.034	0.038	0.043
	(/,*)	$\rightarrow$	(6,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)
	0.225		0.224	0.224	0.223	0.221	0.221	0.222	0.221	0.221
	(10,°)		(10,")	(10,")	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,°)	(9,")	(9,*)	(9,°)
	0.032		0.037	0.037	0.038	0.048	0.048	0.049	0.049	1.0.0
	(4,*)	_	(5,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)
	0.030	_	0.028	0.025	0.026	0.021	0.022	0.021	0.022	0.021
	(3,*)		(3,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)
	0.036		0.089	0.098	0.107	0.216	0.220	0.224	0.227	0.240
	(6,*)		(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)
	0.034		0.033	0.033	0.031	0.028	0.030	0.029	0.028	0:030
(6,*) $(5,*)$	(5,*)		(4,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)
	0.029		0.027	0.026	0.025	0.020	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.020
	(2,*)		(2,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)

Table S14: [Directed Networks + Controllability Robustness] Prediction errors obtained by GIN-MAS, LFR, RP, SPP, GCN, MLP, KNN, LR, DT, and RF, on the 'Out-of-Range' (OR) networks. The training data are drawn from the synthetic networks of sizes  $N \in [700, 1300]$ , while the test data are from that of sizes  $N \in [200, 700)$  and  $N \in (700, 1800]$ . An integer in parentheses indicates the rank of the method for robustness measure; an asterisk '\*' denotes that the corresponding method is statistically inferior to GIN-MAS, using the Kruskal-Wallis H-test.

[200	[200, 300]	[300, 400]	[400, 500]	[500, 600]	[600, 700]	[1300, 1400]	[1400, 1500]	[1500, 1600]	[1600, 1700]	[1700, 1800]
0.025		0.020	0.017	0.015	0.014	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
0.168	Т	0.150	0.111	0.084	0.047	0.022	0.026	0.031	0.035	0.039
(6,*)		(9,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)
0.383		0.323	0.256	0.191	0.123	0.099	0.111	0.124	0.133	0.144
(10,")	T	(10,*)	(10,")	(10,")	(9,*)	(9,°)	(9,°)	(9,")	(9,*)	(9,")
(8,*)		(6,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	0.040 (2,*)	(3,*)	0.0 <del>44</del> (3,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	0.030 (5,*)
0.098		0.094	0.091	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.089	0.091	0.091
(7,*)		(8,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
0.064		0.053	0.077	0.052	0.054	0.079	0.052	0.085	890.0	0.061
(2,*)		(2,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)
0.065		0.053	0.061	0.048	0.054	0.047	0.050	0.050	0.044	0.045
(3,*)		(3,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)
0.071		980'0	0.116	0.117	0.160	0.243	0.259	0.262	0.277	0.278
(5,*)		(7,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)
0.075		0.062	0.058	0.044	0.072	0.051	0.069	0.052	0.055	0.059
(6,*)		(5,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)
0.069		0.057	0.054	0.038	0.067	0.046	0.061	0.046	0.049	0.053
(4,*)		(4,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)
0.026		0.022	0.018	0.016	0.015	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010
(1)		(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
0.104		080'0	0.070	0.061	0.040	0.020	0.023	0.026	0.028	0.031
(6,*)		(9,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(2,*)	(5,*)
0.219		0.189	0.149	0.113	0.078	0.071	0.081	060.0	0.100	0.108
(10,*)		(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)
0.068		850.0	0.040	0.032	0.027	0.021	0.023	0.025	0.030	0.034
(7,*)		(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(4,*)	(6,*)
0.072		0.070	690.0	0.068	890.0	690.0	0.068	890.0	890.0	890.0
(8,*)		(8,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
0.043		0.037	0.036	0.029	0.033	0.027	0.026	0.026	0.029	0.029
(3,*)		(3,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)
0.044		0.037	0.036	0.029	0.033	0.029	0.028	0.025	0.036	0.024
(4,*)		(4,*)	(3,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(2,*)
0.055		0.064	680.0	0.083	0.128	0.173	0.172	0.181	0.208	0.164
(6,*)		(7,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)
0.046		0.041	0.039	0.031	0.033	0.039	0.037	0.036	0.045	0.035
(5,*)		(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)
0.042		0.037	0.034	0.025	0.029	0.037	0.034	0.032	0.041	0.029
(2,*)		(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(3,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)

Table S15: [Directed Networks + Connectivity Robustness] Prediction errors obtained by GIN-MAS, LFR, RP, SPP, GCN, MLP, KNN, LR, DT, and RF, on the 'Out-of-Range' (OR) networks. The training data are drawn from the synthetic networks of sizes  $N \in [700, 1300]$ , while the test data are from that of sizes  $N \in [200, 700)$  and  $N \in (700, 1800]$ . An integer in parentheses indicates the rank of the method for robustness measure; an asterisk '\*' denotes that the corresponding method is statistically inferior to GIN-MAS, using the Kruskal-Wallis H-test.

300] [300, 400] [400, 500] 0.036 0.031	[400, 500] [500, 600] [500, 600] [500, 600]	500] [500, 600] 0.028	[009	[600, 700] 0.026		[1300, 1400] 0.021	[1400, 1500] 0.020	[1500, 1600] 0.021	[1600, 1700] 0.020	[1700, 1800] 0.020
LFR	0.267	0.218	0.166	0.132	0.104	0.086	0.091	0.096	0.101	0.107
	8,*)	(8,*) 0.319	(7,*)	(7,*)	0.111	(6,*) 0.101	(6,*) 0.115	(6,*) 0.127	0.142	(7,*) 0.154
	(10,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
	0.148	0.122	0.083	0.064	0.054	0.043	0.044	0.048	0.055	0.061
+	0.282	0.266	0.262	0.255	0.250	0.243	0.24	0.247	0.253	0.252
	(9,*)	(9,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)
-	0.085	0.074	9800	0.077	980:0	860.0	0.097	0.102	0.100	0.100
_	(4,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)
	0.083	0.063 (3,*)	0.072 (4,*)	0.058	0.060 (3.*)	0.055	0.057 (3.*)	0.055 (3.*)	0.051	0.053
+-	0.120	0.167	0.249	0.244	0.338	0.557	0.580	0.602	0.631	0.632
	(6,*)	(7,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)	(10,*)
_	0.093	0.072	0.067	0.050	0.076	0.063	0.075	0.061	0.067	0.070
	(5,*)	(4,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)
	0.083	0.063	090.0	0.043	0.071	0.058	0.071	0.057	0.062	0.067
$\rightarrow$	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,=)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)
	0.036	0.028	0.026	0.023	0.022	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
	5800	0.072	0900	0000	0.035	(1)	0.000	7000	(1)	0.005
	(8,*)	(8,*)	(7,*)	0.0 <del>1</del> 8 (7,*)	(6,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)
	0.247	0.203	0.178	0.157	0.135	0.135	0.141	0.149	0.157	0.164
_	(10,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)
	0.059	0.058	0.045	0.037	0.032	0.030	0.031	980.0	0.042	0.047
	(7,*)	(7,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(5,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)	(6,*)
	0.242	0.238	0.236	0.234	0.235	0.233	0.233	0.234	0.234	0.233
_	0.040	0.033	0.033	0.032	0.036	0.049	0.047	0.052	0.052	0.048
	(4,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)	(7,*)
_	0.037	0.029	0.026	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.018
_	(2,=)	(2,=)	(2,=)	(3,=)	(3,=)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)	(2,*)
	0.042	0.056	0.084	0.103	0.133	0.203	0.204	0.230	0.227	0.218
	(6,*)	(6,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(8,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)	(9,*)
	0.042	0.035	0.031	0.028	0.029	0.029	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.027
$\rightarrow$	(5,*)	(5,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(4,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)	(5,*)
_	0.037	0.029	0.026	0.023	0.023	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.019
_	(3,=)	(3,=)	(1,=)	(1,+)	(2,=)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)	(3,*)

# III. FIGURES: COMPARISON ON THE TEN METHODS

Figures S1–S10 show the boxplot for the prediction errors obtained by GIN-MAS and the other nine methods. In the 'pure' mode (P), the training and test datasets are from either pure real-world networks (RWN) or synthetic networks (ER, QS, SF, or SW-NW). In the 'hybrid' mode (H), the training dataset consists of both real-world networks and synthetic networks.




Fig. S1: GIN-MAS.




Fig. S2: LFR.




Fig. S3: RP.




Fig. S4: SPP.




Fig. S5: GCN.




Fig. S6: MLP.




Fig. S7: KNN.




Fig. S8: LR.




Fig. S9: DT.




Fig. S10: RF.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Lou, R. Wu, J. Li, L. Wang, X. Li, and G. Chen, "A learning convolutional neural network approach for network robustness prediction," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 4531–4544, 2023.
- [2] Y. Lou, Y. He, L. Wang, and G. Chen, "Predicting network controllability robustness: A convolutional neural network approach," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 4052–4063, 2022.
- [3] C. Wu, Y. Lou, L. Wang, J. Li, X. Li, and G. Chen, "SPP-CNN: An efficient framework for network robustness prediction," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 2023, doi:10.1109/TCSI.2023.3296602 (online published).
- [4] T. N. Kipf and M. Welling, "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks," in *International Conference on Learning Representations* (ICLR), 2017.
- [5] A. Mucherino, P. J. Papajorgji, P. M. Pardalos, A. Mucherino, P. J. Papajorgji, and P. M. Pardalos, "K-nearest neighbor classification," *Data Mining in Agriculture*, pp. 83–106, 2009.
- [6] G. James, D. Witten, T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani et al., An introduction to statistical learning. Springer, 2013, vol. 112.
- [7] J. R. Quinlan, "Induction of decision trees," Machine Learning, vol. 1, pp. 81-106, 1986.
- [8] L. Breiman, "Random forests," Machine Learning, vol. 45, pp. 5-32, 2001.