Consuming React State so far

- State defined at some level
 - App-wide state at top-level
 - Scoped state in a component
- State passed as props to descendant components
- Setters passed as props
 - Passed directly
 - As dispatch()
 - In abstract action functions
 - o useState() setters or dispatch()

Prop Drilling

Passing props through multiple layers of components

- When those components don't use the props
 - Pass to some descendants so they have them

This prop drilling

- Undesireable
 - Couples components to state they don't use
 - Cognitive overhead

Context

React "Context"

- Allows access to a value
- Returned from a hook
 - Not passed as a prop

Used to avoid prop drilling

- Bad to overuse
 - Hides where value comes from
- Balance where to have complexity

Context Parts

- 3 Parts to using context
 - Creating the context object
 - Making a value on context available
 - To part of React Component tree
 - A component getting the available value

Creating Context is a little odd

- It is React
- It is not JSX
- It has property that IS used as a Component

We will still use MixedCase naming style

```
import React from 'react'; // We use "React" below
const MyContext = React.createContext(defaultValue);
```

- MyContext is a BAD variable name!
 - MixedCase naming but NOT a Component
- defaultValue is a "should not happen" case
 - Give it values highlighting an error

Providing Context

- Context holds a value
 - Makes available to other components
 - ...without passing as a prop

Make Context available with Provider Component

```
<MyContext.Provider value="someValueHere">
     <SomeComponent/>
     </MyContext.Provider>
```

Any Provider descendant has access to Context value

• Anything outside Provider does not

Consuming Context

The useContext() hook gets you the actual value

Descendants of a Context Provider

- Can get the value of the context
- Must have the Context itself

About Consuming Content

You:

- **Created** the context
- **Provided** the context to descendants
- **Consumed** the context
 - via useContext and context object
 - as a descendant of a provider
 - got the values
 - ...but no setters

What are the practical benefits?

- The "value" in the context can be anything
 - Including state, or setters, OR BOTH
 - Recall a "value" can be an object or array

The Context can provide access to

- Simple State (ex: a string)
- Complex State (ex: an object)
- State and Setter
- Useful functions built from state
- Wrapped Setter functions (such as onLogin)

If it could be passed as a prop

• can be in Context

Only use Context to avoid deep prop-drilling

- To keep layers from being coupled
- If they are coupled anyway, pass as props

Example of passing props

- Can pass state
- Can pass setter
- Can pass wrapper functions

Abstract setters in context

You can also pass callbacks with Context:

Reducers in Context

Reducers are good for:

- Complex state
- Manipulated from different components

Context is good for:

- Complex state
- Shared among many components

Context works well with Reducers

• share state and dispatch/actions

Avoiding Context

Context/useContext:

- Good to avoid coupling via prop-drilling
- Additional abstraction/complexity
- Hides dependencies
 - Props previously showed all dependencies
- All consumers rerender on context value change
 - New object, same content? Rerender!
 - New object, the parts you use unchanged? Rerender!

Rendering children

JSX element contents?

• Passed as special prop children

```
return (
    <SomeWrapper>
        Some Content
        <SomeThing value={catInfo}/>
        </SomeWrapper>
);
```

Alternatives to Context: Components as Children

• Create descendants directly

- <Content> isn't passed the stateToDrill prop
- <Content> gets and can render children prop
- The contents of children (TodoList) have the prop

Alternatives to Context: Redux

Common Question: useContext vs Redux?

- "It depends"
- Redux is better performance
 - Avoids unnecessary rerenders
- Redux is extra layer of abstraction/complexity
 - More complex than useContext
- What state to have in Redux?
 - Common answer is "all"
 - Not often the best answer

Thinking in State and Actions

useReducer and useContext

- Easier if you think in terms of **state** and **actions**
- State
 - UI state and App state
 - One or many variables
- Actions
 - Changes to state for a reason
- Data models are the way to think about code
- Good to refector code as you write!

Summary - State and Context

- Your state is the key to how your app works
 - It will track everything that can change
- App-wide state is share with many components
 - Prop-drilling complicates/couples components
- useContext shares state/actions w/o prop-drilling
- useContext hides dependencies
- useContext can cause unnecessary re-renders

it depends

Summary - Context syntax

- Create + export React.createContext()
 - Default value to notice lack of Provider
- Component imports and renders < YOURCONTEXT. Provider>
 - value prop is context value
 - Changes on render of Provider
 - Wraps descendants that access context
- Descendant imports context
 - uses useContext(YOURCONTEXT) to get value
- You can have many nested Providers

Summary - Avoiding Context

- Context isn't BAD
 - It just has costs
 - Use when benefit outweighs costs
- Alternative: pass descendant directly
- Alternative: Redux and other state mgmt libs

Summary - Thinking about State

- Initial State?
 - useState for all?
 - useReducer for some?
 - Switch to reducer once complexity happens?
- Passing Props
 - Assume Context?
 - Add once/if prop-drilling occurs?