

# Introductory Econometrics

## Lecture 15: Large sample results: Consistency

Instructor: Ma, Jun

Renmin University of China

November 8, 2021

# Why we need the large sample theory

- ▶ We have shown that the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  has some desirable properties:
  - ▶  $\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased if the errors are strongly exogenous:  $E[U|X] = 0$ .
  - ▶ If in addition the errors are homoskedastic then  $\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}) = s^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$  is an unbiased estimator of the conditional variance of the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}$ .
  - ▶ If in addition the errors are normally distributed (given  $X$ ) then  $T = (\hat{\beta} - \beta) / \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta})}$  has a  $t$  distribution which can be used for hypotheses testing.

- ▶ If the errors are only weakly exogenous:

$$E [X_i U_i] = 0,$$

the OLS estimator is in general biased.

- ▶ If the errors are heteroskedastic:

$$E [U_i^2 | X_i] = h (X_i) ,$$

the "usual" variance formula is invalid; we also do not have an unbiased estimator for the variance in this case.

- ▶ If the errors are not normally distributed conditional on  $X$  then  $T$ - and  $F$ -statistics do not have  $t$  and  $F$  distributions under the null hypothesis.
- ▶ The asymptotic or large sample theory allows us to derive approximate properties and distributions of estimators and test statistics by assuming that the sample size  $n$  is very large.

# Convergence in probability and LLN

- ▶ Let  $\theta_n$  be a sequence of random variables indexed by the sample size  $n$ . We say that  $\theta_n$  converges in probability if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [|\theta_n - \theta| \geq \varepsilon] = 0 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

- ▶ We denote this as  $\theta_n \rightarrow_p \theta$  or  $\text{plim } \theta_n = \theta$ .
- ▶ An example of convergence in probability is a Law of Large Numbers (LLN):

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample such that  $E[X_i] = \mu$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and define  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

Then, under certain conditions,

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \mu.$$

# LLN

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample of independent identically distributed (iid) random variables. Let  $E[X_i] = \mu$ . If  $\text{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2 < \infty$  then

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \mu.$$

- ▶ In fact when the data are iid, the LLN holds if

$$E[|X_i|] < \infty,$$

but we prove the result under a stronger assumption that  $\text{Var}[X_i] < \infty$ .

# Markov's inequality

- ▶ Markov's inequality. Let  $W$  be a random variable. For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$\Pr [|W| \geq \varepsilon] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} [|W|^r]}{\varepsilon^r}.$$

- ▶ With  $r = 2$ , we have Chebyshev's inequality. Suppose that  $\mathbb{E} [X] = \mu$ . Take  $W = X - \mu$  and apply Markov's inequality with  $r = 2$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [|X - \mu| \geq \varepsilon] &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} [(X - \mu)^2]}{\varepsilon^2} \\ &= \frac{\text{Var} [X]}{\varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Probability of observing an outlier (a large deviation of  $X$  from its mean  $\mu$ ) can be bounded by the variance.

# Proof of the LLN

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and apply Markov's inequality with  $r = 2$  :

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr \left[ \left| \bar{X}_n - \mu \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] &= \Pr \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \\&= \Pr \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \right)^2 \right]}{\varepsilon^2} \\&= \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [(X_i - \mu)^2] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E} [(X_i - \mu) (X_j - \mu)] \right) \\&= \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} [X_i] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} \text{Cov} [X_i, X_j] \right) \\&= \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.\end{aligned}$$

# Averaging and variance reduction

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample and suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_i] &= \mu \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \text{Var}[X_i] &= \sigma^2 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \text{Cov}[X_i, X_j] &= 0 \text{ for all } j \neq i. \end{aligned}$$

- Consider the mean of the average:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu = \frac{1}{n} n\mu = \mu. \end{aligned}$$



- Consider the variance of the average:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var} [\bar{X}_n] &= \text{Var} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right] \\&= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right] \\&= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} [X_i] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n \text{Cov} [X_i, X_j] \right) \\&= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n 0 \right) \\&= \frac{1}{n^2} n \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

- The variance of the average approaches zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if the observations are uncorrelated.

# Convergence in probability: properties

- ▶ Slutsky's Lemma. Suppose that  $\theta_n \rightarrow_p \theta$ , and let  $g$  be a function continuous at  $\theta$ . Then,

$$g(\theta_n) \rightarrow_p g(\theta).$$

- ▶ If  $\theta_n \rightarrow_p \theta$ , then  $\theta_n^2 \rightarrow_p \theta^2$ .
  - ▶ If  $\theta_n \rightarrow_p \theta$  and  $\theta \neq 0$ , then  $1/\theta_n \rightarrow_p 1/\theta$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $\theta_n \rightarrow_p \theta$  and  $\lambda_n \rightarrow_p \lambda$ . Then,
  - ▶  $\theta_n + \lambda_n \rightarrow_p \theta + \lambda$ .
  - ▶  $\theta_n \lambda_n \rightarrow_p \theta \lambda$ .
  - ▶  $\theta_n / \lambda_n \rightarrow_p \theta / \lambda$  provided that  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

# Consistency

- ▶ Let  $\hat{\beta}_n$  be an estimator of  $\beta$  based on a sample of size  $n$ .
- ▶ We say that  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\beta$  if as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\hat{\beta}_n \rightarrow_p \beta.$$

- ▶ Consistency means that the probability of the event that the distance between  $\hat{\beta}_n$  and  $\beta$  exceeds  $\varepsilon > 0$  can be made arbitrary small by increasing the sample size.

# Consistency of OLS

- ▶ Suppose that:
  1. The data  $\{(Y_i, X_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  are iid.
  2.  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + U_i$ , where  $E[U_i] = 0$ .
  3.  $E[X_i U_i] = 0$ .
  4.  $0 < \text{Var}[X_i] < \infty$ .
- ▶ Let  $\hat{\beta}_{0,n}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_{1,n}$  be the OLS estimators of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  respectively based on a sample of size  $n$ . Under Assumptions 1-4,

$$\hat{\beta}_{0,n} \rightarrow_p \beta_0,$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{1,n} \rightarrow_p \beta_1.$$

- ▶ The key identifying assumption is Assumption 3:  
 $\text{Cov}[X_i, U_i] = 0$ .

# Proof of consistency

- Write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{1,n} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2} = \beta_1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) U_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2} \\ &= \beta_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) U_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

- We will show that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) U_i &\rightarrow_p 0, \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 &\rightarrow_p \text{Var}[X_i],\end{aligned}$$

- Since  $\text{Var}(X_i) \neq 0$ ,

$$\hat{\beta}_{1,n} = \beta_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) U_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2} \rightarrow_p \beta_1 + \frac{0}{\text{Var}[X_i]} = \beta_1.$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) U_i \rightarrow_p 0$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) U_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i U_i - \bar{X}_n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \right).$$

By the LLN,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i U_i \rightarrow_p \mathbb{E}[X_i U_i] = 0,$$

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \mathbb{E}[X_i],$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \rightarrow_p \mathbb{E}[U_i] = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) U_i &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i U_i - \bar{X}_n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \right) \rightarrow_p 0 - \mathbb{E}[X_i] \cdot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \rightarrow_p \text{Var} [X_i]$$

► First,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - 2\bar{X}_n X_i + \bar{X}_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2\bar{X}_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \bar{X}_n^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2\bar{X}_n \bar{X}_n + \bar{X}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

- By the LLN,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \rightarrow_p \text{E} [X_i^2]$  and  $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \text{E} [X_i]$ .
- By Slutsky's Lemma,  $\bar{X}_n^2 \rightarrow_p (\text{E} [X_i])^2$ .
- Thus,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}_n^2 \rightarrow_p \text{E} [X_i^2] - (\text{E} [X_i])^2 = \text{Var} [X_i].$$

# Multiple regression

- Under similar conditions to 1-4, one can establish consistency of OLS for the multiple linear regression model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{k,i} + U_i,$$

where  $E[U_i] = 0$ .

- The key assumption is that the errors and regressors are uncorrelated:

$$E[X_{1,i}U_i] = \dots = E[X_{k,i}U_i] = 0.$$



# Omitted variables and the inconsistency of OLS

- Suppose that the true model has two regressors:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_2 X_{2,i} + U_i,$$
$$E[X_{1,i} U_i] = E[X_{2,i} U_i] = 0.$$

- Suppose that the econometrician includes only  $X_1$  in the regression when estimating  $\beta_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta}_{1,n} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_2 X_{2,i} + U_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} \\ &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) X_{2,i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) U_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{1,n} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) X_{2,i}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) U_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2}.$$

► As before,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) U_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} &= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i} U_i - \bar{X}_{1,n} \bar{U}_n}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i}^2 - \bar{X}_{1,n}^2} \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{0}{\mathbb{E} [X_{1,i}^2] - (\mathbb{E} [X_{1,i}])^2} \\ &= \frac{0}{\text{Var} [X_{1,i}]} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{1,n} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) X_{2,i}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) U_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2}.$$

► However,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) X_{2,i}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} &= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i} X_{2,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n} \bar{X}_{2,n}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i}^2 - \bar{X}_{1,n}^2} \\ &\rightarrow_p \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_{1,i} X_{2,i}] - (\mathbb{E}[X_{1,i}]) (\mathbb{E}[X_{2,i}])}{\mathbb{E}[X_{1,i}^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X_{1,i}])^2} \\ &= \frac{\text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}]}{\text{Var}[X_{1,i}]} . \end{aligned}$$

► We have,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta}_{1,n} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) X_{2,i}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n}) U_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i} - \bar{X}_{1,n})^2} \\ &\rightarrow_p \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}]}{\text{Var}[X_{1,i}]} + \frac{0}{\text{Var}[X_{1,i}]} \\ &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}]}{\text{Var}[X_{1,i}]}.\end{aligned}$$

► Thus,  $\tilde{\beta}_{1,n}$  is inconsistent unless:

1.  $\beta_2 = 0$  (the model is correctly specified).
2.  $\text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}] = 0$  (the omitted variable is uncorrelated with the included regressor).

- In this example, the model contains two regressors:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_2 X_{2,i} + U_i,$$
$$E[X_{1,i}U_i] = E[X_{2,i}U_i] = 0.$$

- However, since  $X_2$  is not controlled for, it goes into the error term:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + V_i, \text{ where}$$
$$V_i = \beta_2 X_{2,i} + U_i.$$

For consistency of  $\tilde{\beta}_{1,n}$  we need  $\text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, V_i]$  to be equal to zero, however,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, V_i] &= \text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, \beta_2 X_{2,i} + U_i] \\ &= \text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, \beta_2 X_{2,i}] + \text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, U_i] \\ &= \beta_2 \text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}] + 0 \\ &\neq 0, \text{ unless } \beta_2 = 0 \text{ or } \text{Cov}[X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}] = 0.\end{aligned}$$