

Review Guide 3: Database Theory (Selected Soln)

1. Given: $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ refer to distinct sets of attributes in R . For each of the following inference rules, show it is either sound through derivation using only **Armstrong's Axioms**, or unsound by providing a counterexample.

(a) $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\alpha \rightarrow \beta \text{ Given} & (1) \\
 &\alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \cup \gamma \text{ Aug (1) with } \gamma & (2) \\
 &\beta \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \text{ Trivial} & (3) \\
 &\alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \text{ Transitivity} & (4) \\
 &\therefore \alpha \rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \quad \square & (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \beta \subseteq \alpha$

Consider a relation $Pres(ssn, name, leaning)$ and that $FD(Pres) = \{name, party \rightarrow isCorrupt\}$. You can observe that $FD(Pres)$ holds on the relational instance below. However, $isCorrupt \not\subseteq \{name, party\}$.

<i>name</i>	<i>party</i>	<i>isCorrupt</i>
<i>Johnson</i>	<i>R</i>	<i>y</i>
<i>Johnson</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>n</i>
<i>Cleveland</i>	<i>R</i>	<i>n</i>
<i>Lincoln</i>	<i>R</i>	<i>n</i>

(c) $\alpha \rightarrow \beta, \beta \rightarrow \gamma \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \alpha \cup \delta \rightarrow \gamma \cup \delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\alpha \rightarrow \beta \text{ Given} & (1) \\
 &\beta \rightarrow \gamma \text{ Given} & (2) \\
 &\alpha \rightarrow \gamma \text{ Transitivity of (1) and (2).} & (3) \\
 &\alpha \cup \delta \rightarrow \gamma \cup \delta \text{ Augmentation of } \gamma \text{ on (3)} & (4) \\
 &\therefore \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \beta \rightarrow \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \cup \delta \rightarrow \gamma \cup \delta \quad \square & (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(d) \text{ ** } \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \beta \cup \gamma \rightarrow \delta \xRightarrow{?} \alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \cup \delta$$

$$\alpha \rightarrow \beta \text{ Given} \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \cup \gamma \text{ Aug (1) with } \gamma \quad (2)$$

$$\beta \cup \gamma \rightarrow \delta \text{ Given} \quad (3)$$

$$\beta \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \text{ Trivial} \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \delta \text{ Transitivity between (2) and (3)} \quad (5)$$

$$\alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \text{ Transitivity between (2) and (4)} \quad (6)$$

$$(\alpha \cup \gamma) \cup (\alpha \cup \gamma) \rightarrow \delta \cup \alpha \cup \gamma \text{ Aug (5) with } \alpha \cup \gamma \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \alpha \cup \gamma \cup \delta \text{ Definition of } \cup \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha \cup \gamma \cup \delta \rightarrow \beta \cup \delta \text{ Aug (6) with } \delta \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \cup \delta \text{ Transitivity between (8) and (9)} \quad (10)$$

$$\therefore \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \beta \cup \gamma \rightarrow \delta \implies \alpha \cup \gamma \rightarrow \beta \cup \delta \quad \square \quad (11)$$

2. ** Consider the relation $U(W, X, Y, Z)$ with a set of functional dependencies

$$FD(U) = \{ \\ XZ \rightarrow YZ, \\ Y \rightarrow Z \}$$

(a) List all of U 's superkeys with respect to $FD(U)$.

Sol: You could run the attribute closure algorithm for the power set of the set of attributes. By themselves, $\{X, Y\}$ and $\{X, Z\}$ can determine everything except W . Because no FDs can determine W , it must be included as a key attribute to trivially determine itself. Therefore, it follows that $\{X, W, Y\}$ and $\{X, W, Z\}$ are candidate keys, and $\{X, W, Y, Z\}$ is a super key.

(b) Is U in BCNF with respect to $FD(U)$? If so, show that every functional dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is either trivial or that α is a superkey in U . Otherwise, decompose U into a set of BCNF relations with respect to $FD(U)$. Show your work.

Sol: I started by splitting on $XZ \rightarrow YZ$ to obtain $U_1(X, Y, Z)$ and $U_2(X, Z, W)$. U_2 's candidate key is $\{X, W\}$, and it is in BCNF because the only FD preserved is $XZ \rightarrow Z$, which is trivial. Different story for U_1 . The candidate key is $\{X, Z\}$ and $\{X, Y\}$ and both of the original FDs are preserved. $Y \rightarrow Z$ violates BCNF for U_1 , so we split it into $U_{1a}(Y, Z)$ and $U_{1b}(Y, X)$. They are both in BCNF. The final set of normalized relations are as follows: $U_{1a}(Y, Z)$, $U_{1b}(Y, X)$, and $U_2(X, Z, W)$.

(c) Find $FD_c(U)$, the canonical cover of $FD(U)$.

Sol:

$$FD_c(U) = \{ \\ XZ \rightarrow Y, \\ Y \rightarrow Z \}$$

(d) List all of U 's superkeys with respect to $FD_c(U)$.

Sol: The set of keys do not change from earlier since $FD(U)^+ = FD_c(U)^+$.

- (e) Is U in BCNF with respect to $FD_c(U)$? If so, show that every functional dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is either trivial or that α is a superkey in U . Otherwise, decompose U into a set of BCNF relations with respect to $FD_c(U)$. Show your work.

Sol: $U_1(Y, Z)$ where Y is key, $U_2(Y, X)$ where YX is key, and $U_3(X, Z, W)$ where XYW is key.