Written Questions

```
a) 2^{100} , 2^{2013} , 4\log(n) , \log(n^{10}) , 70n , n\log(n) , 2n\log(n^2) ,
n^{1.03} , 5n^6 , 8n^6+5n^2 , 2n^{6.5} , 2.2^n , 2.5^n
     b) nloq(n) is the big-theta of 2nloq(n^2)
______
    O() = C + C + Cn*(C + Cn*(C) + C) + C
2.
         > removing constant terms
     O() = n*(n)
         > simplifying the expression
     Big-O = O(n^2)
     -
     \Omega() = C + C + C*(C + C*(C) + C) + C
         > simplifying the expression
     Big-Omega = \Omega(1)
3.
        i) f(n) is in \theta(q(n))
         ii) f(n) is in O(g(n))
          iii) f(n) is in O(g(n))
         iv) f(n) is in O(q(n))
         V)
             f(n) is in O(g(n))
         vi) f(n) is in \Omega(q(n))
         vii) f(n) is in \Omega(g(n))
         viii) f(n) is in O(g(n))
          ix) f(n) is in O(g(n))
         x) f(n) is in \Omega(q(n))
         xi) f(n) is in \Omega(q(n))
         i) time quadruples when n doubles, which hints
    b)
          towards a Big-O that is O(n^2)
          ii) the formula executionTime^(1/n) gives a value
          very close to 1 for all n that are shown,
              I therefore assume that the Big-O is O(C^n) where
     C is an undefined constant.
Programming Questions
My output/times :
1
     algone : 1 0ms
     algtwo : 1 0ms
     algthree: 1 0ms
10
```

```
algone : 55 0ms
     algtwo : 55 0ms
     algthree: 55 0ms
100
     algone : 5050 0ms
     algtwo : 5050 0ms
     algthree: 5050 0ms
1000
     algone : 500500 0ms
     algtwo : 500500 4ms
     algthree: 500500 0ms
10000
     algone : 50005000 1ms
     algtwo : 50005000 130ms
     algthree : 50005000 0ms
100000
     algone : 5000050000 0ms
     algtwo : 5000050000 11432ms
     algthree : 5000050000 0ms
1000000
     algone : 500000500000 0ms
     algtwo : 500000500000 1273096ms
     algthree : 500000500000 0ms
Other computer :
1
     algone : 1 0ms
     algtwo : 1 0ms
     algthree : 1 0ms
10
     algone : 55 0ms
     algtwo : 55 0ms
     algthree: 55 0ms
100
     algone : 5050 0ms
     algtwo : 5050 0ms
     algthree : 5050 0ms
1000
     algone : 500500 0ms
     algtwo : 500500 4ms
     algthree: 500500 0ms
10000
     algone : 50005000 1ms
     algtwo : 50005000 22ms
     algthree : 50005000 0ms
100000
     algone : 5000050000 1ms
     algtwo : 5000050000 2153ms
```

algthree : 5000050000 0ms

```
1000000
```

algone : 500000500000 3ms

algtwo : 500000500000 213577ms

algthree : 500000500000 0ms

Order of magnitude :

```
algone : O() = C + n*C = O(n)
```

algtwo : $O() = C + n*(n*C) = O(n^2)$

algthree : O() = C = O(1)

Comment on findings :

Although the numbers are much bigger on my computer, there is a clear and very large increase in execution time when using algorithm 2, and a smaller one on algorithm 1.

Observations :

The execution time generally increased at a pace similar to the jumps in number of multiplications, but since the execution time is so small there were a lot of very large jumps (in micro seconds) in execution time.

Recurrence equations :

```
M(n) = M(n-3) + 3
```

M(3) = 0

M(2) = 0

M(1) = 0

M(0) = 0

Asymptotic characterization :

```
M(n) = M(n-3) + 3
```

M(n-3) = M(n-6)+3+3

M(n-6) = M(n-9) + 3 + 3 + 3

> M(k) = 3*k/3

Big-O = O(n)

Tail recursion :

No, the method Multiply(A,n) is not tail recursive since the return value is then multiplied by a number before being returned again.

Here is an example of the same method in tail-recursive form :

```
public static double multiply(int[] A, int n, int result) {
   if (n < 4) {
      return 0;
   } else {
      return multiply(A, n-3, A[n-1]*result);
   {
}</pre>
```