## CMPT331 - Theory of Programming Languages ${\it Lambda~Calculus}$



## 1. Beta-reduce the following expressions to their normal form:

- (a)  $(\lambda a \lambda y.ya)(zz)$  $(\lambda y.ya)[(zz)/a]$  $\lambda y.yzz$
- (b)  $(\lambda x \lambda y.(xy))(\lambda z.y)$   $(\lambda x \lambda a.(xa))(\lambda z.y)$   $(\lambda a.(xa))[(\lambda z.y)/x]$   $(\lambda a.(\lambda z.y)a)$   $(\lambda a.(y))[a/z]$  $\lambda a.y$

Renaming bound variable y to a

There is no z to substitute here

(c)  $(\lambda x.(xx))(\lambda y.(yy))$   $(xx)[(\lambda y.(yy))/x]$   $(\lambda y.(yy))(\lambda y.(yy))$   $(yy)[(\lambda y.(yy))/y]$   $(\lambda y.(yy))(\lambda y.(yy))$   $(yy)[(\lambda y.(yy))/y]$ ...

self-application - infinite recursion

(d) K x y  $(\lambda ab.a)xy$   $(\lambda b.a)[x/a]$   $(\lambda bx)y$  (x)[y/b]x

 $K \equiv \lambda a b. a$ 

 $S \equiv \lambda xyz.xz(yz)$ 

There is no b to substitute here

(e) S K  $(\lambda xyz.xz(yz))K$   $(\lambda yz.xz(yz))[K/x]$   $\lambda yz.Kz(yz)$   $\lambda yz.z \equiv K'$ K'

K beta-reduces to only the first expression, z

(f)  $(S\ K)\ y\ y\ z$  (K')yyz As found in part  $e,\ SK \equiv K'$   $(\lambda xy.y)yyz$   $K' \equiv \lambda xy.y$   $(\lambda y.y)[y/x]$  There is no x to substitute, K' beta-reduces to only second expression, y  $(\lambda y.y)yz$ 

No more functions to evaluate

(g) K' y y z yz

As shown in part f, K' beta-reduces to only the second expression, y

No more functions to evaluate

2. What is the normal form of (K S)(K I)?

```
Evaluating (KS):
(\lambda xy.x)S
(\lambda y.x)[S/x]
\lambda y.S
S
K beta-reduces to only the first expression, S
Given any input, this expression outputs S
\lambda y.S
S
K beta-reduces to only the first expression outputs S
Given any input, this expression outputs S
(S)[(KI)/y]
Given any input, this expression outputs S
There is no y to substitute here
```

3. Prove the following equivalencies by reducing each side to its normal form.

(a) 
$$I = S K K$$
  
 $I = (\lambda epz.ez(pz))KK$   
 $I = (\lambda pz.ez(pz))[K/e]$   
 $I = (\lambda pz.Kz(pz))K$   
 $I = (\lambda z.Kz(pz))[K/p]$   
 $I = (\lambda z.Kz(Kz))$   
 $I = \lambda z.K(z)(Kz)$   
 $I = \lambda z.z \equiv I$   
 $I = I$ 

K beta-reduces to only the first expression, z

(b) S K K = K I I I = KII  $I = (\lambda xy.x)II$   $I = (\lambda y.x)[I/x]$   $I = (\lambda y.I)I$  I = (I)[I/y]I = I

 $As \ shown \ in \ part \ a, \ SKK = I$   $K \ beta-reduces \ to \ only \ the \ first \ expression, \ I$ 

There is no y to substitute here

4. Given the definition of Church numerals below, what does (m n) do when m and n are Church numerals? For example  $(\bar{2}\ \bar{3})$ . It may be easier to work out as  $\lambda m \lambda n.(mn)$ . Show your work (or at least an example).

## **Church Numerals**

Let 
$$\overline{0} = \lambda f \times . \times$$
  
Let  $\overline{1} = \lambda f \times . (f \times x)$   
Let  $\overline{2} = \lambda f \times . (f (f \times x))$   
Let  $\overline{3} = \lambda f \times . (f (f (f \times x)))$   
...

YF) = (F (YF))

YF) = (F (YF))

YF) = (F (YF))

Let  $\overline{n} = \lambda f \times . (f^{n} \cdot x)$ 

$$m = 2, n = 3$$

Evaluating 
$$(\bar{2} \ \bar{3})$$
:  $m \equiv \lambda f x. f^m(x)$ 

$$(\bar{m} \ \bar{n}) = (\lambda f x. f^m(x)) n$$

$$(\bar{2} \ \bar{3}) = (\lambda f x. f^2(x)) 3$$

$$= (\lambda f x. f(f(x))) 3$$

$$= (\lambda x. f(f(x))) [3/f]$$

$$= (\lambda x. 3(3(x)))$$

$$= 3 \text{ applied twice to } x$$

$$= 3^2$$

Evaluating 
$$(\bar{3} \ \bar{2})$$
:  $n \equiv \lambda f x. f^n(x)$ 

$$(\bar{n} \ \bar{m}) = (\lambda f x. f^n(x)) m$$

$$(\bar{3} \ \bar{2}) = (\lambda f x. f^3(x)) 2$$

$$= (\lambda f x. f(f(f(x)))) 2$$

$$= (\lambda x. f(f(f(x)))) [2/f]$$

$$= (\lambda x. 2(2(2(x))))$$

$$= 2 \text{ applied three times to } x$$

$$= 2^3$$

Applying Church numerals to each other results in exponentiation.

Let successor = 
$$\overline{succ}$$
 =  $\lambda n f x \cdot n f (f x)$   
( $\overline{succ} \ \overline{0}$ )  $\equiv (\lambda n f x \cdot n f (f x)) (\overline{0})$   
[ $\overline{0}/n$ ] in  $\lambda f x \cdot n f (f x)$   
 $\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f x \cdot \overline{0} f (f x)$   
The result is going to be  $\lambda f x \cdot something$ .  
something is  $\overline{0} f (f x)$   
 $\equiv (\lambda f x \cdot x) f (f x)$   
[ $f/f \text{ in } \lambda x \cdot x$ ]  
 $\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x \cdot x (f x)$   
[ $(f x) / x \text{ in } x$ ]  
 $\rightarrow_{\beta} (f x)$   
plugging something back into the above...  
 $\lambda f x \cdot (f x)$   
 $\equiv \overline{1}$