

CONCLUSION ON PESTICIDE PEER REVIEW

Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance lambda-cyhalothrin¹

European Food Safety Authority²

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

This scientific output, published on 11 March 2015, replaces the earlier version published on 13 May 2014³

ABSTRACT

The conclusions of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) following the peer review of the initial risk assessments carried out by the competent authority of the rapporteur Member State Sweden, for the pesticide active substance lambda-cyhalothrin are reported. The context of the peer review was that required by Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010 as amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 380/2013. The conclusions were reached on the basis of the evaluation of the representative uses of lambda-cyhalothrin as an insecticide on wheat, tomatoes, plums, potatoes and peaches. The reliable endpoints concluded as being appropriate for use in regulatory risk assessment, derived from the available studies and literature in the dossier peer reviewed, are presented. Missing information identified as being required by the regulatory framework is listed. A high risk to aquatic organisms has been identified.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2014

KEY WORDS

lambda-cyhalothrin, peer review, risk assessment, pesticide, insecticide

Suggested citation: EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2014. Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance lambda-cyhalothrin. EFSA Journal 2014;12(5):3677, 170 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3677

Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

¹ On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2013-00660, approved on 23 April 2014.

² Correspondence: pesticides.peerreview@efsa.europa.eu

³ Clarification is provided regarding the determination of potential endocrine disrupting properties in accordance with the interim provisions of Annex II, Point 3.6.5 of Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009. The original Conclusion is available on request, as is a version showing all the changes that were made.



SUMMARY

Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010, as amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 380/2013, (hereinafter referred to as 'the Regulation') lays down the procedure for the renewal of the approval of a second group of active substances in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC and establishes the list of those substances. Lambda-cyhalothrin is one of the active substances listed in the Regulation.

The RMS provided its initial evaluation of the dossier on lambda-cyhalothrin in the Renewal Assessment Report (RAR), which was received by the EFSA on 28 February 2013. The peer review was initiated on 15 March 2013 by dispatching the RAR for consultation of the Member States and the applicants Task Force Lambda and Syngenta Task Force.

Following consideration of the comments received on the RAR, it was concluded that additional information should be requested from the applicants and that EFSA should conduct an expert consultation in the areas of mammalian toxicology, environmental fate and behaviour and ecotoxicology, and EFSA should adopt a conclusion on whether lambda-cyhalothrin can be expected to meet the conditions provided for in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and the Council.

The conclusions laid down in this report were reached on the basis of the evaluation of the representative uses of lambda-cyhalothrin as an insecticide on wheat, tomato, plum, potato and peach, as proposed by the applicants. Full details of the representative uses can be found in Appendix A to this report.

Data gaps were identified in the section identity, physical and chemical properties and analytical methods.

In the mammalian toxicology section, data gaps were identified for toxicological information to assess the toxicity profile of the plant metabolites V (PBA) and XXIII (PBA(OH)) and to address the relevance of several impurities (that are not part of the isomers present in cyhalothrin) in the technical specification. The interim provisions of Annex II, Point 3.6.5 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 concerning human health for the consideration of endocrine disrupting properties are not met. However data gaps were identified to clarify whether the sperm effects reported in published literature in mice treated with low doses of lambda-cyhalothrin in a formulation have an impact on the outcome of the risk assessment, and to investigate further the endocrine activity of lambda-cyhalothrin (issue not finalised). The estimated worker and bystander exposures exceed the AOEL for some of the representative uses. In addition, the compliance of the batches used in the key toxicological studies to the proposed technical specification has not been fully demonstrated.

The consumer risk assessment could not be finalised as the proposed residue definition for risk assessment remains provisional for processed commodities and considering also the uncertainties related to the identified data gaps.

The data available on environmental fate and behaviour are sufficient to carry out the required environmental exposure assessments at EU level for the representative uses, with the notable exception that information is missing regarding the potential conversion/preferential degradation of isomers of lambda-cyhalothrin in the aquatic compartment. This leads to additional uncertainty in the available risk assessments than would be the case if lambda-cyhalothrin were not made up of isomers. A second notable exception is that satisfactory surface water exposure assessments to address the aquatic risk assessment for lambda-cyhalothrin are not available for the representative uses assessed on spring wheat, tomato and plum ('Karate 10CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'), and peach ('Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS'). Finally, a data gap was identified for satisfactory information to address the levels of metabolites formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin when applied at doses



below the water solubility in the aquatic environment, therefore the complete route of degradation of lambda-cyhalothrin in the aquatic compartment could not be finalised.

In the section on ecotoxicology a critical area of concern was indicated as a high risk to aquatic organisms was identified for all representative uses. A low risk to birds was concluded, however, a high risk to mammals was identified for all the representative uses with the exception of the use on tomatoes in glasshouses. The assessment of the potential for biomagnification in aquatic and terrestrial food chains could not be finalised with the available information. A high risk to honey bees was identified for the representative uses on peach and plum orchards whereas a low risk was indicated for all other uses. A high risk to non-target arthropods was concluded for all representative uses with the exception of the use on tomatoes in glasshouses. A low risk was concluded for earthworms, soil macro- and microorganisms, non-target terrestrial plants and sewage treatment organisms.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Summary	2
Table of contents	4
Background	5
The active substance and the formulated product	7
Conclusions of the evaluation	7
1. Identity, physical/chemical/technical properties and methods of analysis	7
2. Mammalian toxicity	8
3. Residues	10
4. Environmental fate and behaviour	13
5. Ecotoxicology	15
6. Overview of the risk assessment of compounds listed in residue definitions triggering assess	sment
of effects data for the environmental compartments	19
6.1. Soil	19
6.2. Ground water	20
6.3. Surface water and sediment	21
6.4. Air	21
7. List of studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed	22
8. Particular conditions proposed to be taken into account to manage the risk(s) identified	24
9. Concerns	25
9.1. Issues that could not be finalised	25
9.2. Critical areas of concern	25
9.3. Overview of the concerns identified for each representative use considered	
References	
Appendices	31
Abbreviations	167



BACKGROUND

Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010⁴ (hereinafter referred to as 'the Regulation'), as amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 380/2013⁵ lays down the detailed rules for the procedure of the renewal of the approval of a second group of active substances. This regulates for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) the procedure for organising the consultation of Member States and the applicants for comments on the initial evaluation in the Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) provided by the rapporteur Member State (RMS), and the organisation of an expert consultation, where appropriate.

In accordance with Article 16 of the Regulation, if mandated, EFSA is required to adopt a conclusion on whether the active substance is expected to meet the conditions provided for in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009⁶ of the European Parliament and the Council within 6 months from the receipt of the mandate, subject to an extension of up to 9 months where additional information is required to be submitted by the applicants in accordance with Article 16(3).

In accordance with Article 4 of the Regulation Sweden (hereinafter referred to as the 'RMS') received an application from the applicants Task Force Lambda and Syngenta Task Force for the renewal of approval of the active substance lambda-cyhalothrin. Complying with Article 11 of the Regulation, the RMS checked the completeness of the dossier and informed the applicants, the Commission and EFSA about the admissibility.

The RMS provided its initial evaluation of the dossier on lambda-cyhalothrin in the RAR (Sweden, 2013), which was received by the EFSA on 28 February 2013. The peer review was initiated on 15 March 2013 by dispatching the RAR to Member States and the applicants Task Force Lambda and Syngenta Task Force for consultation and comments. In addition, the EFSA conducted a public consultation on the RAR. The comments received were collated by the EFSA and forwarded to the RMS for compilation and evaluation in the format of a Reporting Table. The applicants were invited to respond to the comments in column 3 of the Reporting Table. The comments and the applicants' response were evaluated by the RMS in column 3.

The need for expert consultation and the necessity for additional information to be submitted by the applicants in accordance with Article 16(3) of the Regulation were considered in a telephone conference between the EFSA, the RMS, and the European Commission on 5 July 2013. On the basis of the comments received, the applicants' response to the comments and the RMS's evaluation thereof it was concluded that additional information should be requested from the applicants and that the EFSA should organise an expert consultation in the areas of mammalian toxicology, environmental fate and behaviour and ecotoxicology. According to Article 16(2) of the Regulation the European Commission decided to consult the EFSA. The mandate was received on 12 July 2013.

The outcome of the telephone conference, together with EFSA's further consideration of the comments is reflected in the conclusions set out in column 4 of the Reporting Table. All points that were identified as unresolved at the end of the comment evaluation phase and which required further consideration, including those issues to be considered in an expert consultation, and the additional information to be submitted by the applicants, were compiled by the EFSA in the format of an Evaluation Table.

_

⁴ Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010 of 7 December 2010 laying down the procedure for the renewal of the inclusion of a second group of active substances in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC and establishing the list of those substances. OJ L 322,8.12.2011, p. 10-19.

⁵ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 380/2013 of 25 April 2013 amending Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010 as regards the submission of the supplementary complete dossier to the Authority, the other Member States and the Commission. OJ L 116, 26.4.2013, p.4.

⁶ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of 21 October 2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC. OJ No L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1-50.



The conclusions arising from the consideration by the EFSA, and as appropriate by the RMS, of the points identified in the Evaluation Table, together with the outcome of the expert consultation where this took place, were reported in the final column of the Evaluation Table.

A final consultation on the conclusions arising from the peer review of the risk assessment took place with Member States via a written procedure in March – April 2014.

This conclusion report summarises the outcome of the peer review of the risk assessment on the active substance and the representative formulation evaluated on the basis of the representative uses as an insecticide on wheat, tomato, plum, potatoes and peach, as proposed by the applicants. A list of the relevant end points for the active substance as well as the formulation is provided in Appendix A. In addition, a key supporting document to this conclusion is the Peer Review Report, which is a compilation of the documentation developed to evaluate and address all issues raised in the peer review, from the initial commenting phase to the conclusion. The Peer Review Report (EFSA, 2014) comprises the following documents, in which all views expressed during the course of the peer review, including minority views, can be found:

- the comments received on the RAR,
- the Reporting Table (8 July 2013),
- the Evaluation Table (22 April 2014),
- the reports of the scientific consultation with Member State experts (where relevant),
- the comments received on the assessment of the additional information (where relevant),
- the comments received on the draft EFSA conclusion.

Given the importance of the RAR including its final addendum (compiled version of February 2014 containing the clean revisions of the RAR and the individually submitted addenda (Sweden, 2014)) and the Peer Review Report, both documents are considered respectively as background documents A and B to this conclusion.

It is recommended that this conclusion report and its background documents would not be accepted to support any registration outside the EU for which the applicant has not demonstrated to have regulatory access to the information on which this conclusion report is based.



THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AND THE FORMULATED PRODUCT

Lambda-cyhalothrin is the ISO common name for the reaction product comprising equal quantities of (R)- α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1S,3S)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (S)- α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1R,3R)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate or of

(R)- α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1S)-cis-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (S)- α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1R)-cis-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate (IUPAC).

The representative formulated products for the evaluation were 'Karate 10CS (A12690B)', a capsule suspension (CS) containing 100 g/L lambda-cyhalothrin (9.43 % w/w) and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG (CA2352)', an emulsifiable granule (EG) containing 50 g/kg lambda-cyhalothrin for the Syngenta Task Force (STF), while 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS (HAG 400 01 I)', a capsule suspension (CS) containing 100 g/L lambda-cyhalothrin (9.87 % w/w) and 'Lambda 50 EC (LC50-2)', an emulsifiable concentrate (EC) containing 50 g/L lambda-cyhalothrin for the Task Force Lambda (TFL).

The representative uses evaluated comprise applications by foliar spraying to control a range of insects on wheat, potato, plum, peach and outdoor and indoor applications on tomato. Full details of the GAPs can be found in the list of end points in Appendix A.

CONCLUSIONS OF THE EVALUATION

1. Identity, physical/chemical/technical properties and methods of analysis

The following guidance documents were followed in the production of this conclusion: SANCO/3030/99 rev.4 (European Commission, 2000), SANCO/825/00 rev. 8.1 (European Commission, 2010) and SANCO/10597/2003 –rev. 10.1 (European Commission, 2012).

It should be noted that the isomers forming lambda-cyhalothrin are two of the four isomers forming the substance with ISO common name cyhalothrin. One of the isomers forming lambda-cyhalothrin is forming the substance with ISO common name gamma-cyhalothrin (the chemical names and structures are given in Appendix B).

The minimum purity of lambda-cyhalothrin technical material is 900 g/kg, which meets the requirements of the FAO specification 463/TC (January 2013) of minimum 810 g/kg.

It should be mentioned that the minimum purity for the first inclusion was 810 g/kg, which is equal to what is given in the available FAO specification for lambda-cyhalothrin, however the specification for the first approval, containing significantly higher levels of impurities, was not covered by the profile of the toxicological batches used at that time (see section 2)

The proposed revised reference specification is based on batch data from industrial scale production from Syngenta Limited sources.

All other sources relevant for the renewal of lambda-cyhalothrin have been assessed for equivalence against the new reference specification and have in principle been deemed as equivalent. A formal data gap for procedural reasons was identified for a revised specification for the minimum active ingredient content according to the proposal in the RAR for Syngenta. Data gaps were identified for revised specification removing the non-relevant impurities below 1 g/kg for Nufarm and for SAPEC AGRO S.A.

The assessment of the data package revealed no issues that need to be included as critical areas of concern with respect to the identity, physical, chemical and technical properties of lambda-cyhalothrin or the representative formulations. Data gaps were however identified for a shelf-life study of



'Lambda 50 EC' formulation, surface tension of the neat formulation and data on emulsifiability for 'Lambda 50 EC', and data on suspensibility and pourability for 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS'. The main data regarding the identity of lambda-cyhalothrin and its physical and chemical properties are given in Appendix A.

Adequate analytical methods using GC-FID or HPLC-UV are available for the determination of lambda-cyhalothrin in the technical materials and in the representative formulations as well as for the determination of the respective impurities in the technical materials. It should be noted that CIPAC methods for lambda-cyhalothrin are also available (463/EC/M/, 463/WP/M/, 463/CS/M/).

The proposed residue definition for monitoring in food of plant and animal origin is lambda-cyhalothrin. Lambda-cyhalothrin can be monitored in food and feed of plant origin by the QuEChERS multi-residue method (GC-MS) with a LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in each commodity group, and also with the multi-residue method using LC-MS/MS with a LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in wheat grain, apple, avocado and lemon.

The multi-residue method DFG S19 (GC-MS) is appropriate for monitoring lambda-cyhalothrin in food and feed of animal origin with a LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in muscle, liver, kidney, fat, milk and eggs. A multi-residue method using LC-MS/MS also exists for monitoring lambda-cyhalothrin in cow milk, eggs, meat and liver with a LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in each matrix. It should be noted however that a data gap was identified for additional validation data concerning the analysis of fat (relevant for TFL).

Monitoring of lambda-cyhalothrin in soil (as sum of cyhalothrin isomers) is possible by the multi-residue method using GC-MS with a LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg, or by the multi-residue method using LC-MS/MS with a LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg.

Lambda-cyhalothrin (as sum of cyhalothrin isomers) in ground water and surface water can be monitored by the multi-residue method (GC-MS) with a LOQ of $0.002~\mu g/L$ or by the multi-residue method using LC-MS/MS with a LOQ of $0.1~\mu g/L$, however a data gap was identified to validate the methods for surface water to the appropriate LOQ.

Residues of lambda-cyhalothrin in the air can be monitored by GC-MS with a LOO of 0.075 µg/m³.

Lambda-cyhalothrin residues in body fluids and tissues can be monitored with the multi-residue method DFG S19 (GC-MS) with a LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg (swine blood, bovine meat, liver, kidney and fat) and also with LC-MS/MS with a LOQ of 0.05 mg/L in blood serum and urine.

2. Mammalian toxicity

The following guidance documents were followed in the production of this conclusion: SANCO/221/2000 rev. 10 - final (European Commission, 2003), SANCO/10597/2003 – rev. 10.1 (European Commission, 2012) and SANCO/222/2000 rev. 7 (European Commission, 2004).

Lambda-cyhalothrin was discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 108 in November 2013.

The compliance of the batches used in the key toxicological studies to the proposed technical specification has not been fully demonstrated, as it appears that some impurities have not been tested or have not been tested at an appropriate level. The relevance of several impurities (that are not part of the isomers present in cyhalothrin) has not been addressed and a data gap has been identified. It is noted that the original specification for the first approval was not covered by the profile of the toxicological batches at that time.

Lambda-cyhalothrin consists of two out of the four isomers of cyhalothrin and the dossier included studies performed with lambda-cyhalothrin and cyhalothrin. Bridging from cyhalothrin to lambda-cyhalothrin is accepted as both substances exhibited similar toxicological effects, however, as lambda-



cyhalothrin appears to be more toxic, it was assumed that all toxicity expressed as cyhalothrin would derive from the lambda-cyhalothrin isomers.

Toxicokinetics and metabolism of lambda-cyhalothrin and cyhalothrin did not present significant differences. Cyhalothrins (cyhalothrin and lambda-cyhalothrin) are rapidly but incompletely absorbed after oral administration; variable results were obtained pending on the study conditions (radiolabel, vehicle and dose used), therefore oral absorption was considered to be approximately 25 % in rat based on urine excretion, and 50 % in dogs when comparing plasma kinetics upon oral and intravenous administrations. Cyhalothrins are rapidly and extensively distributed, metabolised and excreted, although some accumulation was observed in fat.

Lambda-cyhalothrin is acutely toxic after ingestion, highly toxic after inhalation and it is of moderate toxicity upon contact with the skin, showing an overall higher acute toxicity than cyhalothrin. In an acute oral toxicity study in mice, the substance is even highly toxic after ingestion. No skin irritation and slight eye irritation were observed but the substance was regarded as a skin sensitiser based on studies performed with cyhalothrin and gamma-cyhalothrin (which consists of one isomer of lambda-cyhalothrin) as no conclusion could be reached on the basis of the submitted skin sensitisation studies performed with lambda-cyhalothrin.

Clinical signs of neurotoxicity characteristics of poisoning by type II pyrethroids (such as salivation, incoordination, postural abnormalities, hyperexcitability, tremors) are the critical findings observed upon short-term administration of lambda-cyhalothrin. Dogs appear to be more sensitive to cyhalothrin administration and the relevant short-term NOAEL of 0.5 mg/kg bw per day was observed in the 1-year dog study conducted with lambda-cyhalothrin. Long-term studies were solely conducted on cyhalothrin and presented similar NOAELs of 1.7 and 1.8 mg/kg bw per day in rats and mice, respectively. No genotoxic or carcinogenic potential was observed, and cyhalothrins did not cause reproductive or developmental effects in rats or rabbits. However, as sperm effects are reported in the published literature in mice treated with low doses of lambda-cyhalothrin (tested in a formulation), a data gap was identified to clarify whether this potential concern may affect the outcome of the risk assessment. The lowest relevant NOAEL was seen in the multigeneration study in rats showing an offspring NOAEL of 0.5 mg cyhalothrin/kg bw per day, based on decrease in body weight gain. Increased breathing rate was the critical effect observed in an acute neurotoxicity study, for which the NOAEL was 2.5 mg/kg bw; brain morphological changes were the critical effects observed in a developmental neurotoxicity study for which the NOAEL was 4.9 mg/kg bw per day.

Lambda-cyhalothrin is not classified or proposed to be classified as carcinogenic category 2 or toxic for reproduction category 2, in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, and therefore the conditions of the interim provisions of Annex II, Point 3.6.5 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 concerning human health for the consideration of endocrine disrupting properties are not met. With regard to the assessment for a potential endocrine mode of action, some in vitro studies from the open literature describe interactions of lambda-cyhalothrin with receptors of the endocrine and immune systems. Considering the sperm effects in mice (see above) and the brain morphological changes in the developmental neurotoxicity study, the available data are not sufficient to clarify the potential endocrine activity. In particular, some of the validated tests indicated in the OECD Conceptual Framework (OECD, 2012a), and analysed in the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the hazard assessment of endocrine disruptors (EFSA, 2013) are not available. Level 2 tests include the oestrogen receptor (ER) and the androgen receptor (AR) binding assays, the ER transactivation assay (OECD, 2012b), the steroidogenesis assay (OECD, 2011) and the aromatase assay. Level 2 also includes a test for ER agonists and antagonists (OECD, 2012c) and assays for thyroid hormone-mediated modalities (although not yet validated). Furthermore, the level 3 in vivo screening assays include two validated tests, one sensitive to oestrogen agonists/antagonists (uterotrophic assay in rodents, OECD, 2007) and one sensitive to androgen agonists/antagonists (Hershberger assay in rodents, OECD, 2009). Therefore a data gap has been identified for the level 2 and/or 3 OECD tests. The potential for endocrine disrupting effects could not be finalised as an endocrine-mediated mode of action could not be ruled



out regarding the brain morphological changes observed in the developmental neurotoxicity study (and possible sperm effects, which have to be clarified in the first place) (issue not finalised).

Toxicological studies were provided on some metabolites of lambda-cyhalothrin (metabolite Ia, II, III, V (PBA), VI and XIII). Regarding metabolites V (PBA) and XXIII (PBA(OH)), a data gap was identified for toxicological information allowing to assess the human exposure to these compounds (see also section 3). With regard to the metabolite R157836 (enantiomeric pair *cis* A), it was concluded that it is not of higher toxicity than the parent and the reference values of the parent, lambda-cyhalothrin are applicable. As concerns the metabolites IV and gamma-lactone, no toxicological data have been provided. It is noted that the toxicological properties of the metabolites Ia, IV and gamma-lactone may need to be further addressed pending on the outcome of further data requested in section 3.

The acceptable daily intake (**ADI**) of lambda-cyhalothrin is 0.0025 mg/kg bw per day, based on the NOAEL of 0.5 mg cyhalothrin/kg bw per day from the multigeneration study in rat, applying an uncertainty factor (UF) of 200, i.e. a standard UF of 100 and an additional factor of two to convert from cyhalothrin to lambda-cyhalothrin. The acceptable operator exposure level (**AOEL**) is 0.00063 mg/kg bw per day, based on the same NOAEL of 0.5 mg cyhalothrin/kg bw per day, 200 UF applied, and correcting for the limited oral absorption by 25 %. The acute reference dose (**ARfD**) is 0.005 mg/kg bw, based on the NOAEL of 0.5 mg lambda-cyhalothrin/kg bw per day from the 1-year study in dogs, applying the standard UF of 100.

With regard to the dermal absorption, the default value of 100 % was considered too conservative by the experts and it was agreed to use a higher default value of 25 % of the applied dose for the concentrated and diluted formulations.

For the **operators**, personal protective equipment (PPE) has to be worn during mixing and loading (gloves, and also broad brimmed headwear for the hand-held application in orchards), and during application (gloves, hood and visor/broad brimmed headwear, coverall and sturdy footwear) to ensure that the exposure does not exceed the AOEL for all proposed scenarios.

The estimated exposure of protected **workers** harvesting tomatoes or orchard fruits, as well as the exposure of unprotected workers performing crop inspections of potatoes treated with 20 g lambda-cyhalothrin/ha exceeds the AOEL. The exposure of unprotected workers performing crop inspections in cereals and potatoes treated with 7.5 g lambda-cyhalothrin/ha is estimated to be below the AOEL.

Bystander exposure was estimated not to exceed the AOEL for applications in cereals, potatoes and tomatoes outdoor, while for indoor treated tomatoes bystander exposure is not considered relevant. Bystander exposure to orchard treatments was estimated not to exceed the AOEL only under certain conditions, i.e. if bystanders remain at a minimum distance of 10 m from the spray application of maximum 10 g/ha, or if treatments are made on late fruit crops with 22.5 g/ha and bystanders remain at a minimum distance of 10 m from the spray application. Bystanders standing at 3 m or 10 m from the orchard spraying (25 g lambda-cyhalothrin/ha or early fruit crop treatments of 22.5 g/ha) are exposed to levels of lambda-cyhalothrin exceeding the AOEL. The exposure estimates for residents did not exceed the AOEL for any of the representative uses, considering that residents remain at a minimum distance of 10 m from the spray application (estimates to take into account lower distance are not available).

3. Residues

The assessment in the residue section below is based on the guidance documents listed in the document 1607/VI/97 rev.2 (European Commission, 1999), and the JMPR recommendations on livestock burden calculations stated in the 2004 and 2007 JMPR reports (JMPR, 2004 and 2007).

The metabolism of lambda-cyhalothrin in primary crops was investigated in fruits (tomato), cereals (wheat) and in pulses/oilseeds (soya bean and cotton leaves). Metabolism studies conducted with the



racemate cyhalothrin were also submitted in fruits (apple) and leafy crops (cabbage). Lambda-cyhalothrin was radiolabelled either in the cyclopropyl ring, phenoxyphenyl ring or benzyl ring. Cyhalothrin was radiolabelled in the cyclopropyl ring only. Based on the metabolism data for lambda-cyhalothrin and cyhalothrin, the bridging between these data is considered as acceptable since the metabolic pathway was demonstrated to be similar, with the parent compound being the predominant compound of the total residues in all the crops under investigation (37 - 95 % TRR). Besides, the metabolite **Ia** resulting from the cleavage of the parent compound and containing the cyclopropyl moiety was identified as a significant metabolite in soya bean and cotton leaves only (17 - 25 % TRR). Although no metabolites' identification was attempted in the pulses/oilseeds seeds, EFSA is of the opinion that the metabolism of lambda-cyhalothrin was sufficiently and confidently investigated in fruit crops, leafy crops and cereals.

From residue trials conducted on wheat, tomatoes and plums, a slight isomeric conversion from lambda-cyhalothrin (enantiomer pair *cis* B) to the enantiomeric pair *cis* A (metabolite R157836) was observed (<10 % TRR). Nevertheless, the impact of the change in the ratio of the isomers on the toxicological burden the consumer is exposed to is of low concern, since it is assumed that the metabolite R157836 is not of higher toxicity than lambda-cyhalothrin. Chiral analysis of the enantiomers of lambda-cyhalothrin were also conducted on kale, lettuce and apple residue samples showing that the initial 1:1 enantiomeric ratio was maintained in each crop at harvest, indicating no preferential degradation/conversion between the 2 enantiomers of lambda-cyhalothrin. Significant conversion of lambda-cyhalothrin versus the other cyhalothrin isomers was also observed, but in cotton leaves only.

Confined rotational crop studies were conducted with cyclopropyl- and phenoxyphenyl-labelled lambda-cyhalothrin in wheat, lettuce and carrots after a bare soil treatment at a dose rate of 0.47 kg a.s./ha (9 N rate). The total radioactive residues were significantly higher in rotational crops conducted with the cyclopropyl labelling, indicating a preferential uptake of metabolites containing the cyclopropyl moiety, thereof metabolite Ia being the major compound of the total residues in carrot root (52 % TRR), lettuce (61 % TRR) and wheat straw (34 % TRR). The parent compound was either not detected or present at a negligible proportion (<1 % TRR) in wheat straw only. No metabolites' identification was conducted in wheat grain. Rotational crop field trials were conducted on radish/turnip, lettuce/spinach, barley/wheat, alfalfa and mustard leaves following harvest of a treated primary crop (cotton) at a total dose rate of 0.5 kg a.s./ha (1.2 N rate considering the PEC soil for lambda-cyhalothrin) and resulted in residues of lambda-cyhalothrin and metabolite Ia below the LOQ in the edible parts at 30 and 60 day plant-back intervals.

The residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment is proposed as lambda-cyhalothrin and can be extended to all categories of crops. A complete residue data set was submitted for plums, tomatoes and spring/winter wheat (STF GAP) to derive MRLs on these crops. A data gap was identified for 3 a complete residue data set on peach (Southern Europe GAP) and for sufficient GAP-compliant residue trials on potatoes covering respectively Northern and Southern Europe to demonstrate the expected no-residue situation. Furthermore, since the STF and TFL GAPs on wheat are different, a complete residue data set covering respectively the Northern and Southern TFL GAPs is also required. It is highlighted that the acceptability of some of the residue trials on plums is pending on the submission of the validation data for the analytical method (RAM 81). Furthermore, reliable storage stability studies on lambda-cyhalothrin should be submitted in order to demonstrate that degradation of residues during the storage of samples is not expected. The acceptability of the residue data sets for all the crops will need to be reconsidered accordingly.

Lambda-cyhalothrin remained stable under hydrolytic conditions representative of pasteurisation and baking, brewing and boiling (82 - 91 % TRR), whilst a significant degradation occurred at sterilisation by hydrolytic cleavage of the parent molecule into metabolites **Ia** (cyclopropyl label specific) (59 % TRR), **IV** (phenyl label specific) (63 % TRR) and **gamma-lactone** (15 % TRR). Since there is insufficient information available on the toxicological properties of these metabolites (see section 2), their possible inclusion in the residue definition for risk assessment for processed commodities has to



be considered. Meanwhile, acceptable processing studies on tomatoes demonstrated that residues of compounds **Ia**, **IV** and **gamma-lactone** in sterilised canned tomatoes were below the LOQ (<0.01 mg/kg), whilst no processing residue trials addressing the magnitude of these metabolites in fruit (plum, peach) processed products that may undergo heating by processing were available. A data gap was identified to provide such processing trials. If it turns out that these metabolites are quantified in the processed fruit commodities, the toxicological properties of these metabolites may need to be addressed and the residue definition for risk assessment in processed commodities revisited appropriately.

The livestock dietary intake of lambda-cyhalothrin exceeded the trigger value (0.1 mg/kg DM) for ruminants only, with wheat straw being the major contributor. This calculation should be reconsidered based on the additional residue trials requested on potatoes and wheat. Metabolism studies on ruminants and poultry were submitted. At the calculated dietary burden, no significant residues (>0.01 mg/kg) were expected in any matrix, except in fat (0.012 ppm). Lambda-cyhalothrin was the predominant compound in all tissues, except in liver and kidney, where the metabolites resulting from the cleavage of the active substance containing either the cyclopropyl moiety (metabolites **Ia and XI**) or the phenoxybenzyl moiety (metabolites **V** (**PBA**), **XXIII** (**PBA(OH)**) and **XIII**) were recovered predominantly. A change in the ratio of enantiomers within the *cis B* pair of diastereoisomers (lambda-cyhalothrin) was observed in milk, muscle and fat. This preferential isomeric conversion is assumed to have a negligible impact on the overall consumer risk assessment in view of the low contribution of the animal matrices to the dietary burden.

Livestock feeding studies were submitted in cows and also in poultry, although not triggered. At the calculated dietary burden, the magnitude of the residues of lambda-cyhalothrin and its metabolites Ia, XI, V (PBA) and XXIII (PBA(OH)) (free and conjugated) was below the LOQ of the method (0.01 mg/kg) in all matrices, except in fat where residues of lambda-cyhalothrin were quantified. Although the magnitude of metabolite XIII was not determined in the feeding study, based on the metabolism study and considering the representative uses, it is assumed that this metabolite will not be detected at a quantifiable level in kidney (>LOQ). Nevertheless, EFSA highlights that considering the European authorised uses with feed items, an increase of the dietary burden is expected, and in that specific case the magnitude of the compounds Ia, XI, V (PBA), XIII and XXIII (PBA(OH)) should be reconsidered and their toxicological properties may need to be addressed. Since the submitted residue storage stability data did not cover the storage period of the residue samples from the ruminant feeding study regarding lambda-cyhalothrin and metabolite XI, a data gap was identified to provide new storage stability data for these compounds in all matrices.

The residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment in livestock matrices is set as lambda-cyhalothrin.

For the compounds **V** (**PBA**) and **XXIII** (**PBA**(**OH**)), which are common metabolites to many of the pyrethroids in general, the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting on mammalian toxicology concluded that the toxicological properties were not sufficiently addressed. It is noted that compound **V** (**PBA**) is subject to ongoing European and worldwide research activities concerning its occurrence in humans and potential health effects. Pending on the toxicological profile of the aforementioned metabolites, it needs to be considered if a similar risk assessment approach as for the triazole derivative metabolites (TDMs) will have to be chosen in future.

The consumer risk assessment was performed with revision 2 of the EFSA Pesticides Residues Intake Model (PRIMo). No chronic or acute intake concerns were identified; TMDI: 10.8 % of ADI (WHO cluster diet B) and IESTI: 46.5 % of ARfD for tomatoes, BE child. The consumer risk assessment presented hereabove has to be regarded as provisional since the proposed residue definition for risk assessment remains provisional for processed commodities and considering the uncertainties due to the identified data gaps.



4. Environmental fate and behaviour

Lambda-cyhalothrin was discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Teleconference 97 (TC 97) in November 2013.

Cyhalothrin is manufactured as enantiomer pairs *cis* A and *cis* B. Lambda-cyhalothrin is the optimised product containing largely pair *cis* B, which in turn is a racemic mixture of two enantiomers: 1R,*cis*,Z-S'and 1S,*cis*,Z-R'. For the evaluation of the environmental fate and behaviour for the potential renewal of the approval of lambda-cyhalothrin in the framework of Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010, it was only relied on data on lambda-cyhalothrin.

The regulatory dossier provides information on the behaviour of each individual lambda-cyhalothrin enantiomer in the soil compartment. Separation and quantification of the diastereoisomers (by TLC and normal phase HPLC) and the enantiomers (by chiral phase HPLC) was performed at two sampling points in some of the soils investigated to address the soil metabolism of lambda-cyhalothrin. The results give a clear indication for a conversion of the B- to the A-diastereoisomer in neutral and alkaline soils, but no conclusion can be drawn about a change of the diasteroisomer ratio over time. The enantiomer ratio of the racemate lambda-cyhalothrin was observed to shift during degradation in soil under aerobic and anaerobic conditions towards a lower fraction of the 1R,cisZ-S' enantiomer (also known as gamma-cyhalothrin), forming part of the cis B diastereoisomer pair. The shift was attributed to preferential degradation of the 1R,cisZ-S' enantiomer in soil, which is the most toxic enantiomer of lambda-cyhalothrin. Therefore, the peer review concluded that the available soil exposure assessment is conservative, even if the data do not allow a quantification of the impact of the selective degradation of the enantiomers. However, it is not known if any of the enantiomers and/or diastereoisomers of lambda-cyhalothrin were degraded more quickly than the other in the aquatic compartment, of if any conversion of the enantiomers occurred in the natural surface waters. Consequently, a data gap was identified for this issue. Metabolites Ia and XV also have chiral centres, but the chromatography utilised in the pertinent studies in the environmental fate section did not resolve the isomers. References made to these metabolites in sections 4, 6 and Appendix A therefore relate to the sum of the isomers that may constitute the metabolite of unknown enantiomer / diastereoisomer ratio. It is considered, however, that the margin of safety in the available risk assessments for these metabolites is large enough so that the uncertainty on the relative toxicity and contributions to the total residue levels of the isomers does not change the final conclusion on the risk assessments.

In soil laboratory incubations under aerobic conditions in the dark, lambda-cyhalothrin exhibited moderate to high persistence, forming the major (> 10 % applied radioactivity (AR)) metabolite Ia (max. 22.9 % AR) and metabolite XV (max. 12.1 % AR). Both metabolites exhibited low to moderate persistence in soil. Metabolite V (PBA), which exhibited moderate to medium persistence, was present at levels that trigger a groundwater exposure assessment. Mineralisation of the cyclopropyl and phenoxy ring ¹⁴C radiolabels to carbon dioxide accounted for 12 - 46 % AR after 120 days. The formation of unextractable residues (not extracted by acetonitrile and acetonitrile:water) for these radiolabels accounted for 12 - 44 % AR after 120 days. In anaerobic soil incubations lambdacyhalothrin exhibited medium to high persistence. Metabolite V (PBA) was identified in these anaerobic incubations at a maximum level of 31.4 % AR. As anaerobic conditions in soil can not be completely excluded for the representative uses on winter cereals in Northern Europe, metabolite V (PBA) was further considered in the environmental exposure assessment under these specific conditions. A laboratory soil photolysis study was considered to indicate that photodegradation at the soil surface does not represent a significant process contributing to transformation. Lambdacyhalothrin and metabolite XV can be considered immobile in soil. Metabolite Ia exhibited very high to high soil mobility, with stronger adsorption under acidic conditions. Metabolite V (PBA) was estimated to exhibit medium mobility in soil. Dissipation rates of lambda-cyhalothrin were determined in field studies submitted for the first approval of lambda-cyhalothrin. Results were

⁷ Estimated by the quantitative structure activity relationship (QSAR) calculation software EPI Suite v. 4.10 and EPI Web v.4.0.



available from four German soils and six US soils. The results from the US studies were considered by the peer review as indicative only since no pedological and climatic comparison to European conditions was made.

Phototransformation of lambda-cyhalothrin was investigated under irradiation in a pH 5 buffer solution (direct photolysis) and in natural water (indirect photolysis). Compound V (PBA) was identified as a major metabolite, reaching 10.4 % AR within 2 days and a maximum of 28.5 % AR after 15 days. In laboratory incubations in dark aerobic natural sediment water systems, dissipation of lambda-cyhalothrin from the water phase primarily through partitioning to sediment was relatively rapid. Maximum amounts of lambda-cyhalothrin in sediment reached 70.2 % AR at day 1. The major metabolite formed in the water/sediment systems was metabolite Ia (maximum formation 29.4 % AR in water, 10.6 % AR in sediment at 30 days). Metabolite XV was found at max. 10.5 % AR in the whole system (max. 9.6 % AR in the sediment at day 14). The route of degradation was determined with ¹⁴C-cyclopropyl label at two different test concentrations (3 and 30 μg/L), while ¹⁴C-phenoxy label was only used at test concentration 30 µg/L, greatly exceeding the water solubility of lambdacvhalothrin of 5 µg/L. Following the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Teleconference 97, a data gap was identified for the applicant to address the level of metabolites formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin, when applied at doses below the water solubility in the aquatic environment. The fraction of unextractable residues increased slowly over the duration of the study in the organic rich system (Old Basing, sandy loam, 7.5 % o.c. (organic carbon) in sediment), whereas in the sand system (Virginia Water, 0.5 % o.c. sediment) the unextractable residues peaked after 30 days and then declined. By the study end, 15 - 31 % and 42 - 48 % AR had been mineralized in the Old Basing and the Virginia Water water/sediment systems, respectively. The analytical method employed did not allow investigation of possible isomerisation. Nor was the ratio of enantiomers investigated. Therefore, a data gap was identified to address this issue.

After the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' TC 97 revised surface water and sediment exposure assessments (Predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) calculations) were submitted by the RMS for lambda-cyhalothrin and metabolites Ia, V (PBA) and XV, using the FOCUS (FOCUS, 2001) step 1 and step 2 approach. FOCUS step 3 calculations were also available for metabolite XV. Recalculations at steps 3 and 48 to reflect the final agreed endpoints are not available for some of the representative uses applied for, such as peaches, spring wheat (for products 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' only), the high dose in tomato (1-2 x 25 g a.s./ha) or the high dose in plums (25 g a.s./ha). The step 4 calculations valid for the representative uses on winter wheat, spring wheat (products 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC' only), seed potatoes and potatoes appropriately followed the FOCUS (FOCUS, 2007) guidance, with 95 % drift reducing nozzles, and combined no-spray buffer zones with vegetative buffer strips of up to 20 m (reducing solute flux and volume in run-off by 90 % and these values for erosion and sediment mass by 90 %) being implemented for the run-off scenarios. The SWAN tool (version 1.1.4) was appropriately used to implement these mitigation measures in the simulations. For the indoor treatment of tomatoes (2 x 25 g/ha, 12 day interval, 25 % interception), the PEC calculations were appropriately carried out by the RMS using the FOCUS (2001) step 1 and step 2 approach (version 2.1) of the steps 1-2 in FOCUS calculator), which was then modified by post processing of the spray drift input results (option no runoff or drainage was selected) to obtain a 0.1 % emission of lambda-cyhalothrin from greenhouses being re-deposited on adjacent surface water bodies. This approach has been accepted by Member State experts as an assumption that can be used in EU level surface water exposure assessments for greenhouse uses and is referred to in FOCUS (2008) guidance as being appropriate, except when applications are made with ultra low volume application techniques, when 0.2 % emission is prescribed.

The necessary groundwater exposure assessments for lambda-cyhalothrin and metabolites Ia, V (PBA) and XV were carried out using FOCUS (FOCUS, 2000) scenarios and the models PELMO 4.4.3, PELMO 5.5.3, PEARL 4.4.4 and MACRO 4.4.2. The potential for groundwater exposure from the

⁸ Simulations correctly utilised the agreed Q10 of 2.58 (following EFSA, 2007) and Walker equation coefficient of 0.7.



representative uses by lambda-cyhalothrin and these three metabolites above the parametric drinking water limit of 0.1 $\mu g/L$ was concluded to be low in geoclimatic situations that are represented by all 9 FOCUS groundwater scenarios. The presented groundwater exposure assessment for metabolites Ia and XV did not consider the potential conversion/preferential degradation of isomers of these metabolites in the environmental compartments. However, based on the available PECgw results (\leq 0.003 $\mu g/L$ for metabolite V (PBA) and 0.071 $\mu g/L$ for metabolite Ia), the degradation kinetics in soil and the fact that a worst case K_{Foc} value was used in the simulations for metabolite Ia, it is unlikely that this deficiency would have an impact on the final assessment of these metabolites.

The PEC in soil, surface water, sediment and groundwater covering the representative uses assessed can be found in Appendix A of this conclusion.

5. Ecotoxicology

The risk assessment was based on the following documents: European Commission (2002a and 2002b), SETAC (2001), and EFSA (2009). Some aspects of the assessment were discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 107 (November 2013).

The compliance of the batches used in the ecotoxicological studies to the proposed technical specification has not been fully demonstrated.

On the basis of the available data and first-tier risk assessments a low acute and long-term risk to **birds** from dietary exposure was concluded for all representative uses.

A low acute risk to **wild mammals** from dietary routes of exposure was also concluded for the representative uses on wheat and potatoes using first-tier risk assessment assumptions, while a high acute risk was indicated for the representative uses in field tomatoes, plum orchards and peach orchards. A refined assessment was available which was sufficient to demonstrate a low acute risk in peach and plum orchards. No suitable refinements were available for the representative use in field tomatoes and therefore a high risk was concluded and a data gap was identified.

The first-tier long-term risk assessment, from dietary exposure, indicated a high risk to wild mammals for all representative field uses. Refined risk assessments were available only for small herbivorous mammals, but they were not sufficient to demonstrate a low risk (e.g. for the representative uses in plum and peach orchards) or the argumentation was not considered to be robust (e.g. to demonstrate that field voles (*Microtus agrestis*) will not utilise tomato and potato fields across the EU). No refined risk assessments were provided to address the risk to large herbivorous, small insectivorous and small omnivorous mammals. Furthermore, no refined risk assessment was available to address the long-term risk to mammals for the use on wheat. Overall, a high long-term risk to wild mammals was concluded for the representative field uses. A data gap was therefore identified for further information to address the long-term risk to mammals, from dietary routes of exposure, for all representative field uses.

A low acute and long-term risk to birds and mammals from dietary routes of exposure was concluded for the representative uses in glasshouses. A low risk to birds and mammals from the consumption of contaminated water was concluded for all representative uses. A low long-term risk to earthwormeating birds was indicated for all representative field uses. However, a high long-term risk to earthworm-eating mammals was indicated, therefore a data gap was concluded for further information to address the long-term risk to earthworm-eating mammals for all representative field uses. A low long-term risk to fish-eating birds and mammals was concluded for all representative uses with the exception of the use on peach and plum orchards (Southern Europe), where no risk assessment was available. A data gap was therefore concluded for a risk assessment to address the long-term risk to fish-eating birds and fish-eating mammals for the representative uses on peach and plum orchards in Southern Europe.

An assessment of the potential for biomagnification in terrestrial vertebrates was available. However, the depuration values used in the available modelling were not considered appropriate and



consequently the assessment for the potential for biomagnification remains open. No assessment was available to address the potential for biomagnification in aquatic food chains. A data gap is identified for further information to address the potential for biomagnification in terrestrial and aquatic food chains.

During the peer review it was highlighted that an avian short-term dietary study with the mallard duck was available in some Member States but was not included in the applicants' dossier. Although a short-term dietary study is not used for risk assessments performed in accordance with the EFSA guidance document (EFSA, 2009), the experts at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 107 (November 2013) considered that this study should be made available given that the dietary LC_{50} value was less than the value for the bobwhite quail which was included in the dossier. Therefore, a formal data gap was identified for the short-term avian dietary study with the mallard duck (Roberts et al, 1985).

The available **aquatic toxicity data** and risk assessments were discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts Meeting 107. The experts agreed that, on the basis of the available data for multiple species, a refined acute toxicity endpoint for fish could be used for risk assessment in accordance with the recommendations of the EFSA (2006). Further data were also available which allowed for a refined Regulatory Acceptable Concentration (RAC) value to be derived, which was used in the (acute and chronic) risk assessment for aquatic invertebrates. The RAC value was based on a mesocosm study performed with a capsule suspension formulation (CS), in addition to a number of studies from the literature. The experts at the meeting considered that CS formulations may potentially lead to a reduction in exposure to the active substance, as the active substance may be degraded prior to the breakdown of the capsule. Consequently, the experts at the meeting agreed that the refined RAC value was only appropriate for the representative CS formulations. Furthermore, due to the exposure profile within the mesocosm study, the experts agreed that the RAC value only covers scenarios where exposure is from spray-drift only.

A low risk to **algae** was indicated for all representative uses with the exception of the uses on peach and plum orchards in Southern Europe, where a high risk was indicated using the available FOCUS step 2 PEC values.

For the representative uses in glasshouse tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe), a high acute and chronic risk to fish and aquatic invertebrates was concluded. A low risk to algae and sediment dwelling organisms was indicated with the available assessment.

For the representative uses on peaches and plums (Southern Europe), a high risk to fish (acute and chronic), aquatic invertebrates (acute and chronic) and sediment-dwelling organisms was indicated using FOCUS step 2 PEC values and the tier-1 toxicity data. No further refinements were available for the representative uses on peaches and plums (Southern Europe).

For the representative uses on spring and winter wheat (Northern and Southern Europe), field tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe), plums (Northern Europe, late applications), seed potatoes and potatoes, a high risk to fish (acute and chronic), aquatic invertebrates (acute and chronic) and sediment-dwelling organisms was indicated in all relevant FOCUS step 3 scenarios.

Further refinements were performed using FOCUS step 4 PEC values (assuming 95 % drift reduction and 90 % run-off reduction), and using the refined effect assessments described above. These refinements were only available for the representative uses on winter and spring wheat (Northern and Southern Europe, for the representative uses covered by 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'), potatoes (Northern and Southern Europe) and seed potatoes. No further refinements were performed for the representative uses on plums (Northern Europe, late applications), field tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe) and winter and spring wheat (Northern and Southern Europe, for the representative uses covered by 'Kaiso Sorbie 5% EG' and 'Karatae 10 CS'). Regarding the acute risk assessment for **fish**, a high risk was indicated, using the available FOCUS step 4 exposure estimates,



in 5/9 FOCUS scenarios for the use on winter wheat (Northern and Southern Europe), in 2/5 FOCUS scenarios for the use on spring wheat (Northern and Southern Europe), in 6/6 scenarios for seed potatoes in Southern Europe, in 6/6 scenarios for potatoes in Southern Europe and in 2/6 scenarios for seed potatoes (Northern Europe, 4 applications). The acute risk to fish was assessed as low for all relevant FOCUS scenarios, at FOCUS step 4, for the use on potatoes in Northern Europe. Regarding the chronic risk assessment for fish, a low risk was indicated for all relevant FOCUS scenarios at FOCUS step 4 for the uses on winter and spring wheat (Northern and Southern Europe), seed potatoes and potatoes in Northern Europe, however, a high chronic risk to fish was indicated for 6/6 FOCUS scenarios for the representative uses on seed potatoes and potatoes in Southern Europe.

Where appropriate (i.e. for the CS formulations and where the exposure was demonstrated to be from spray-drift only), the refined RAC value for **aquatic invertebrates** (acute and chronic) were used together with the available FOCUS step 4 PEC values. On the basis of this assessment, a high risk was indicated for all relevant FOCUS scenarios for the uses on spring and winter wheat (Northern and Southern Europe), seed potatoes and potatoes (Northern and Southern Europe). A low risk to **sediment-dwelling organisms** was indicated for the uses on winter and spring wheat (Northern and Southern Europe) and potatoes (Northern and Southern Europe).

On the basis of the available data and risk assessments a low risk to aquatic organisms from the pertinent metabolites Ia, XV and V was concluded for all representative uses. A data gap was concluded for identification of potential degradation products formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin when applied at doses below the water solubility in the aquatic environment (see section 4). Therefore, should any additional pertinent metabolites be identified then further risk assessments may be required.

Overall, a high risk was identified for **aquatic organisms** from exposure to lambda-cyhalothrin for all the representative uses. It should be further noted that a data gap was identified for information about conversion/preferential degradation of isomers of lambda-cyhalothrin in the aquatic compartment (see section 4). Consequently, there is additional uncertainty as to whether the exposure and risk assessment is worst case. Several data gaps were identified for further risk assessments (see section 7).

For **honey bees**, oral and contact hazard quotients (HQ_{oral} and $HQ_{contact}$) were calculated using the available toxicity data with the active substance and three of the four representative formulations ('Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS', 'Karate 10CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'). The calculated $HQ_{contact}$ values indicated a high risk from the active substance for all representative field uses, whilst the HQ_{oral} values for the active substance were all less than the trigger value indicating a low risk. The available toxicity data indicated that the active substance, when formulated in two of the representative formulations ('Karate 10CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'), was orally more toxic than the technical. The HQ_{oral} values based on toxicity data for 'Karate 10CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC' indicated a high risk. The $HQ_{contact}$ values based on toxicity data for 'Karate 10CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC' indicated a high risk for all representative field uses, whereas the $HQ_{contact}$ values for 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' indicated a low contact risk for all representative field uses with the exception of the use on peaches.

Honey bee semi-field (tunnel study) and field studies were available with two of the four representative formulations ('Karate 10CS' (or similar formulation) and 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS') on flowering *Phacelia tanacetifolia* or oilseed rape. Adult honey bee mortality was observed in the tunnel study performed with 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' but the magnitude and the duration of this effect was considered not relevant. The study included detailed bee brood assessments and no clear adverse effect was observed. Some effects on mortality were also observed in the field studies performed with the representative formulation 'Karate 10CS' (and similar formulation). On the basis of these studies, overall, a low risk to honey bees was concluded for the representative uses in spring and winter cereals (Northern and Southern Europe), potatoes and seed potatoes (Northern and Southern Europe) and field tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe).



It should be noted that, due to the variation in toxicity observed in the available acute studies, the experts at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 107 did not consider appropriate to read-across the available higher tier data between the different formulations. Therefore, whist a low risk to bees was concluded for the representative field uses of lambda-cyhalothrin, further consideration of the risk posed by the plant protection products is required. In addition, it was not considered appropriate to extrapolate the studies performed on flowering *Phacelia tanacetifolia* and oilseed rape to crops other than field crops. Consequently, the available studies were not considered suitable to address the risk to honey bees for the representative use on peach and plum orchards and a data gap was identified to further address the risk.

A low risk to honey bees was concluded for the representative uses in glasshouse tomatoes. No assessment of the risk to pollinators which may be used in glasshouses was available.

The first-tier risk assessment for **non-target arthropods** indicated a high risk both in-field and off-field for all representative field uses. Numerous non-target arthropod field studies were made available, however only three studies were considered to be valid and relevant in relation to the representative uses of lambda-cyhalothrin. These studies, performed with 'Karate 10CS' in cereal fields in Denmark, Germany and Italy, were discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 107. For the studies performed in Denmark and Germany the experts agreed that recovery of in-field populations of non-target arthropods had not been demonstrated within 1 year after application. As a result, a high risk to non-target arthropods was concluded for the representative use on spring and winter sown cereals in Northern Europe and a data gap was identified for further refinements.

The experts considered that the available field study performed in Italy did indicate the potential for recovery of in-field populations of non-target arthropods within 1 year. The experts highlighted some uncertainty with this conclusion given the variability in abundance within the controls for a number of species. However, overall, it was agreed that a low risk to in-field populations of non-target arthropods could be concluded for the representative uses on spring sown cereals in Southern Europe.

No further risk assessment was available to address the risk to in-field populations of non-target arthropods for the representative uses on field tomatoes, plums, peaches and potatoes in Northern and Southern Europe, therefore a data gap was identified. The risk to off-field non-target arthropods was discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 107. The experts raised a concern regarding the proposed risk mitigation measures, which were considered to be unrealistic (e.g. in-field no-spray buffer zones of 50 m). Consequently, the experts considered that further information should be required to demonstrate a low risk to off-field populations of non-target arthropods. Therefore, a data gap was identified for all representative field uses. A low risk to non-target arthropods was concluded for the representative use on tomatoes in glasshouses.

A low risk to **earthworms, soil macroorganisms** and **soil microorganisms** from lambda-cyhalothrin and the pertinent soil metabolites Ia, V and XV was concluded for all representative uses. A low risk to **non-target terrestrial plants** and **sewage treatment organisms** was also concluded.

As discussed in section 2 some indications of interactions of lambda-cyhalothrin with receptors of the endocrine and immune systems were observed in *in vitro* studies in mammals from the open literature (see section 2). However, the available information was considered insufficient to clarify the potential endocrine activity in mammals. As a consequence, a data gap was identified for the level 2 and/or 3 OECD tests (see section 2). The outcome of these studies should be considered in relation to the ecotoxicological assessment. A number of non-target organism studies, which are included in level 4 and 5 of the OECD Conceptual Framework (OECD, 2012a) (e.g. fish full-life-cycle study), were available. However, these studies alone are not sufficient to investigate all the relevant mechanisms and they may not be sufficient to detect all adverse effects which could be caused by an endocrine mechanism. Overall, insufficient information was available to perform an assessment of whether lambda-cyhalothrin has **endocrine disrupting properties** that may cause adverse effects on non-target organisms (issue not finalised).



6. Overview of the risk assessment of compounds listed in residue definitions triggering assessment of effects data for the environmental compartments

6.1. Soil

Compound (name and/or code)	Persistence	Ecotoxicology
lambda-cyhalothrin	moderate to high persistence laboratory single first order or biphasic soil DT_{50} 19.7-163 days (DT_{90} 82-2330 days, 20°C and pF2)	Low risk to soil-dwelling organisms.
metabolite Ia	low to moderate persistence laboratory single first order or biphasic soil DT ₅₀ 2.4-19.1 days (DT ₉₀ 10.2-63.9 days, 20°C and pF2)	Low risk to soil-dwelling organisms.
metabolite XV	low to moderate persistence laboratory single first order or biphasic soil DT ₅₀ 2.9-24.2 days (DT ₉₀ 25.2-80.3 days, 20°C and pF2)	Low risk to soil-dwelling organisms.
metabolite V (PBA) (anaerobic conditions)	moderate to medium persistence laboratory SFO soil DT_{50} 13.9-61.9 days (20°C and pF2)	Low risk to soil-dwelling organisms.



6.2. Ground water

Compound (name and/or code)	Mobility in soil	>0.1 µg/L 1m depth for the representative uses (at least one FOCUS scenario or relevant lysimeter)	Pesticidal activity	Toxicological relevance	Ecotoxicological activity
lambda-cyhalothrin	$\label{eq:KFoc} Immobile$ $K_{Foc} \geq 38000 \ mL/g$	No	Yes	Yes	A high risk to aquatic organisms was indicated in the surface water risk assessment.
metabolite Ia	Very high to high mobility K_{Foc} 13-93 mL/g pH dependent (lower mobility as pH decreases).	No	No data	Rat oral $LD_{50} > 4990$ mg/kg bw Rat dermal $LD_{50} > 2000$ mg/kg bw Unlikely to be genotoxic	A low risk to aquatic organisms was indicated in the surface water risk assessment.
metabolite XV	$\label{eq:KFoc} Immobile$ $K_{Foc} \geq 60000 \ mL/g$	No	No data	No data, data not required	A low risk to aquatic organisms was indicated in the surface water risk assessment.
metabolite V (PBA) (anaerobic conditions)	$\begin{aligned} &\text{Medium mobility} \\ &K_{doc} = 217.8 \text{ mL/g} \\ &\text{(estimated with EPI Suite} \\ &v. 4.10 \text{ and EPI Web} \\ &v.4.0) \end{aligned}$	No	No data	Rat oral $LD_{50} = 3000$ mg/kg bw No further data necessary as groundwater metabolite	A low risk to aquatic organisms was indicated in the surface water risk assessment.



6.3. Surface water and sediment^(a)

Compound (name and/or code)	Ecotoxicology
lambda-cyhalothrin	A high risk to aquatic organisms was indicated for all representative uses.
metabolite Ia	A low risk to aquatic organisms was indicated.
metabolite XV	A low risk to aquatic organisms was indicated.
metabolite V (PBA) (aqueous photolysis)	A low risk to aquatic organisms was indicated.

⁽a): Provisional residue definition as a data gap has been identified for a complete route of degradation of lambda-cyhalothrin in the aquatic compartment.

6.4. Air

Compound (name and/or code)	Toxicology
lambda-cyhalothrin	Rat LC ₅₀ inhalation 0.066 mg/L air/4 h (nose-only): very toxic by inhalation



7. List of studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed

This is a list of data gaps identified during the peer review process, including those areas where a study may have been made available during the peer review process but not considered for procedural reasons (without prejudice to the provisions of Article 56 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning information on potentially harmful effects).

- Revised specification for the minimum active ingredient content according to the proposal in the RAR (relevant for Syngenta; submission date proposed by the applicant: already submitted to the RMS, see section 1).
- Revised specification removing the non-relevant impurities below 1 g/kg (relevant for Nufarm; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 1).
- Revised specification removing the non-relevant impurities below 1 g/kg (relevant for SAPEC AGRO S.A.; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 1).
- Shelf-life study of 'Lambda 50 EC' formulation (relevant for the uses of 'Lambda 50 EC' in the representative GAP; submission date proposed by the applicant: 2014, see section 1 and Evaluation Table data requirement 1.3).
- Surface tension of the neat formulation and data on emulsifiability for 'Lambda 50 EC' (relevant for the uses of 'Lambda 50 EC' in the representative GAP; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown, see section 1).
- Data on suspensibility and pourability for 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' (relevant for the uses of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' in the representative GAP; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown, see section 1).
- Additional validation data of the LC-MS/MS method concerning the analysis of fat (relevant for TFL; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown, see section 1).
- Enforcement residue method for surface water capable of determining the residues according to the residue definition in the environmental matrices (relevant for STF/TFL; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown, see section 1).
- Toxicological information allowing to assess the relevance of several impurities (that are not isomers of cyhalothrin) (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 2).
- Clarification whether the sperm effects that were reported in published literature in mice treated with low doses of lambda-cyhalothrin tested in a formulation have an impact on the outcome of the risk assessment (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 2).
- Considering the sperm effects in mice (see previous data gap) and the brain morphological changes in the developmental neurotoxicity study, further tests according to the OECD Conceptual Framework (level 2 and/or 3) are needed to screen the potential endocrine activity of lambda-cyhalothrin (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 2 and 5).
- Toxicological information on metabolites V (PBA) and XXIII (PBA(OH)) as these are common metabolites of pyrethroid active substances to which human exposure has been demonstrated (submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 2 and 3).



- A complete residue data set on peach (Southern Europe) (relevant for TFL; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- Sufficient GAP-compliant residue trials on potatoes covering respectively Northern and Southern Europe (relevant for TFL; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- A complete residue dataset on spring/winter wheat covering respectively Northern and Southern Europe (relevant for TFL; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- Validation data package of the analytical method (RAM 81) used to determine the residues of lambda-cyhalothrin in plums (relevant for STF; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- Storage stability data on lambda-cyhalothrin residues in plants (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- Processing residue trials addressing the magnitude of compounds Ia, IV and gamma-lactone in fruit (plum, peach) processed products that may undergo heating by processing (relevant for TFL/STF; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- Residue storage stability data on lambda-cyhalothrin and compound XI in ruminants matrices (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- Information about conversion/preferential degradation of isomers of lambda-cyhalothrin in the aquatic compartment was not available (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 4 and 5).
- Satisfactory information to address the levels of metabolites formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin when applied at doses below the water solubility in the aquatic environment. Should any additional pertinent metabolites be identified then the risk to aquatic organisms should be addressed (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 4 and 5).
- Further information is required to address the acute risk to mammals from dietary routes of exposure (relevant for the representative use uses on field tomatoes; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 5).
- Further information is required to address the long-term risk to mammals from dietary routes of exposure and from secondary poisoning to earthworm-eating mammals (relevant for all representative field uses; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 5).
- A risk assessment to address the long-term risk to fish-eating birds and mammals (relevant for the representative use on peach and plum orchards in Southern Europe; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 5).
- Further information is required to address the potential for biomagnification in terrestrial and aquatic food chains (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 5).
- The short-term avian dietary study with the mallard duck (Roberts et al, 1985) should be made available (formal data gap arisen at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Meeting 107 (November 2013); submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 5).



- Further information is required to address the acute risk to fish in situations represented by: D1, D2, D3, D5 and D6 (5/9) FOCUS scenarios for the use on winter wheat (Northern and Southern Europe); D1 and D3 (2/5) FOCUS scenarios for the use on spring wheat (Northern and Southern Europe); R1 and R3 (2/6) FOCUS scenarios for the use on seed potatoes in Northern Europe; all relevant FOCUS scenarios for the uses on potatoes (Southern Europe), seed potatoes (Southern Europe), field tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe, plums (Northern and Southern Europe), peaches and glasshouse tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe) (submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 4 and 5).
- Further information is required to address the chronic risk to fish in all relevant FOCUS scenarios (relevant for the representative uses on seed potatoes and potatoes in Southern Europe; field tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe); plums (Northern and Southern Europe); peaches, glasshouse tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe); submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 4 and 5).
- Further information is required to address the risk to aquatic invertebrates (acute and chronic) (relevant for all representative uses; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 4 and 5).
- Further information is required to address the risk to sediment-dwelling organisms (relevant for representative uses on plums (Northern and Southern Europe), field tomatoes (Northern and Southern Europe) and peaches; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 4 and 5).
- Further information is required to address the risk to algae (relevant for the representative use on peach and plum orchards in Southern Europe; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 4 and 5).
- Further information is required to address the risk to honey bees (relevant for the representative uses on peach and plum orchards; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 5).
- Further information is required to address the risk to in-field populations of non-target arthropods (relevant for all representative field uses with the exception of spring sown wheat in Southern Europe; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 5).
- Further information is required to address the risk to off-field populations of non-target arthropods (relevant for all representative field uses; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see sections 5).

8. Particular conditions proposed to be taken into account to manage the risk(s) identified

- Personal protective equipment (PPE) during mixing and loading (gloves, as well as broad brimmed headwear for hand-held application in orchards), and during application (gloves, hood and visor/broad brimmed headwear, coverall and sturdy footwear) have to be considered to ensure that operator exposure does not exceed the AOEL for all proposed scenarios (see section 2).
- Bystander exposure to orchard treatments was estimated not to exceed the AOEL only if certain
 parameters are considered, i.e., bystanders remaining at least at 10 m distance from a maximum
 spray application of 10 g/ha, or if treatments are made on late fruit crops treated with 22.5 g/ha
 with bystanders remaining at least at 10 m distance from a maximum spray application (see
 section 2).



9. Concerns

9.1. Issues that could not be finalised

An issue is listed as an issue that could not be finalised where there is not enough information available to perform an assessment, even at the lowest tier level, for the representative uses in line with the Uniform Principles in accordance with Article 29(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council and as set out in Commission Regulation (EU) No 546/2011, and where the issue is of such importance that it could, when finalised, become a concern (which would also be listed as a critical area of concern if it is of relevance to all representative uses).

An issue is also listed as an issue that could not be finalised where the available information is considered insufficient to conclude on whether the active substance can be expected to meet the approval criteria provided for in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

- 1. Lambda-cyhalothrin is not classified or proposed to be classified as carcinogenic category 2 or toxic for reproduction category 2, in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, and therefore the conditions of the interim provisions of Annex II, Point 3.6.5 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 concerning human health for the consideration of endocrine disrupting properties are not met. However, an endocrine-mediated mode of action could not be ruled out regarding the brain morphological changes observed in the developmental neurotoxicity study (and possible sperm effects, which have to be clarified in the first place) and the potential for endocrine disrupting effects could not be finalised (see Sections 2 and 5).
- 2. The consumer risk assessment could not be finalised as the proposed residue definition for risk assessment remains provisional for processed commodities and considering the uncertainties due to the identified data gaps.
- 3. A complete route of degradation of lambda-cyhalothrin in the aquatic compartment could not be finalised as satisfactory information to address the levels of metabolites formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin when applied at doses below the water solubility is not available. Consequently, the risk to aquatic organisms from any additional pertinent metabolites could not be finalised.
- 4. The assessment of the potential for biomagnification in aquatic and terrestrial food chains could not be finalised with the available information.

9.2. Critical areas of concern

An issue is listed as a critical area of concern where there is enough information available to perform an assessment for the representative uses in line with the Uniform Principles in accordance with Article 29(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council and as set out in Commission Regulation (EU) No 546/2011, and where this assessment does not permit to conclude that for at least one of the representative uses it may be expected that a plant protection product containing the active substance will not have any harmful effect on human or animal health or on groundwater or any unacceptable influence on the environment.

An issue is also listed as a critical area of concern where the assessment at a higher tier level could not be finalised due to a lack of information, and where the assessment performed at the lower tier level does not permit to conclude that for at least one of the representative uses it may be expected that a plant protection product containing the active substance will not have any harmful effect on human or animal health or on groundwater or any unacceptable influence on the environment.

_

⁹ Commission Regulation (EU) No 546/2011 of 10 June 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards uniform principles for evaluation and authorisation of plant protection products. OJ L 155, 11.6.2011, p. 127-175.



An issue is also listed as a critical area of concern where the active substance is not expected to meet the approval criteria provided for in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

- 5. It could not be fully demonstrated that the batches used in the toxicological and ecotoxicological studies are compliant to the proposed technical specification, as it appears that some impurities have not been tested (or not at an appropriate level) in the toxicological studies.
- 6. A high acute and chronic risk to aquatic organisms was indicated for all representative uses, even when, where available, the risk assessment was performed using exposure estimates which assumed the maximum permissible risk mitigation according to the FOCUS Landscape and Mitigation Guidance Document (FOCUS, 2007).



9.3. Overview of the concerns identified for each representative use considered

(If a particular condition proposed to be taken into account to manage an identified risk, as listed in section 8, has been evaluated as being effective, then 'risk identified' is not indicated in this table.)

In addition to the issues indicated, all columns are grey as it could not be fully demonstrated that the technical material specification proposed was comparable to the material used in the (eco)toxicological testing and the testing that was used to derive the toxicological reference values.

Representative use		Winter wheat 7.5 g a.s./ha N/SEU	Spring wheat 7.5 g a.s./ha N/SEU	Potato 7.5 g a.s./ha NEU	Seed Potato 7.5 g a.s./ha NEU	Seed Potato 20 g a.s./ha	Potato 20 g a.s./ha SEU	Tomato outdoor 12.5 g a.s./ha NEU	Tomato outdoor 25 g a.s./ha SEU	Tomato indoor 25 g a.s./ha N/SEU	Plum orchard 10 g a.s./ha NEU	Plum orchard 25 g a.s./ha SEU	Peach orchard 22.5 g a.s./ha
	Risk identified												
Operator risk	Assessment not finalised												
	Risk identified					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Worker risk	Assessment not finalised												
Bystander	Risk identified											X	X^*
risk	Assessment not finalised												
Consumer	Risk identified												
risk	Assessment not finalised	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2	X^2
Risk to wild	Risk identified	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
non target terrestrial vertebrates	Assessment not finalised	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4	X^4

^{*} Only for early application



Representative	use	Winter wheat 7.5 g a.s./ha N/SEU	Spring wheat 7.5 g a.s./ha N/SEU	Potato 7.5 g a.s./ha NEU	Seed Potato 7.5 g a.s./ha NEU	Seed Potato 20 g a.s./ha	Potato 20 g a.s./ha SEU	Tomato outdoor 12.5 g a.s./ha NEU	Tomato outdoor 25 g a.s./ha SEU	Tomato indoor 25 g a.s./ha N/SEU	Plum orchard 10 g a.s./ha NEU	Plum orchard 25 g a.s./ha SEU	Peach orchard 22.5 g a.s./ha
Risk to wild	Risk identified	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
non target terrestrial organisms other than vertebrates	Assessment not finalised												
Risk to	Risk identified	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6	X^6
aquatic organisms	Assessment not finalised	$X^{3,4}$	$X^{3,4}$	$X^{3,4}$	$X^{3,4}$	X ^{3,4}	X ^{3,4}	X ^{3,4}	X ^{3,4}	$X^{3,4}$	$X^{3,4}$	$X^{3,4}$	$X^{3,4}$
Groundwater exposure	Legal parametric value breached												
active substance	Assessment not finalised												
Cucumdurator	Legal parametric value breached ^(a)												
Groundwater exposure metabolites	Parametric value of 10µg/L ^(b) breached												
	Assessment not finalised												
Comments/Ren	narks												

The superscript numbers in this table relate to the numbered points indicated in sections 9.1 and 9.2. Where there is no superscript number see sections 2 to 6 for further information.

⁽a):When the consideration for classification made in the context of this evaluation under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 is confirmed under Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December.

⁽b):Value for non relevant metabolites prescribed in SANCO/221/2000-rev 10-final, European Commission, 2003



REFERENCES

- ACD/ChemSketch, Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc., ACD/Labs Release: 12.00 Product version: 12.00 (Build 29305, 25 Nov 2008).
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2007. Opinion on a request from EFSA related to the default Q_{10} value used to describe the temperature effect on transformation rates of pesticides in soil. The EFSA Journal 2007, 622, 1-32. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2008.622
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009. Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals on request from EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009;7(12):1438, 358 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1438
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2013. EFSA Scientific Committee; Scientific Opinion on the hazard assessment of endocrine disruptors: scientific criteria for identification of endocrine disruptors and appropriateness of existing test methods for assessing effects mediated by these substances on human health and the environment. EFSA Journal 2013;11(3):3132, 1-84. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3132
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2014. Peer Review Report to the conclusion regarding the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance lambda-cyhalothrin. Available at www.efsa.europa.eu
- European Commission, 1999. Guidelines for the generation of data concerning residues as provided in Annex II part A, section 6 and Annex III, part A, section 8 of Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, 1607/VI/97 rev.2, 10 June 1999.
- European Commission, 2000. Technical Material and Preparations: Guidance for generating and reporting methods of analysis in support of pre- and post-registration data requirements for Annex II (part A, Section 4) and Annex III (part A, Section 5) of Directive 91/414. SANCO/3030/99 rev.4, 11 July 2000.
- European Commission, 2002a. Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/10329/2002 rev.2 final, 17 October 2002.
- European Commission, 2002b. Guidance Document on Aquatic Ecotoxicology Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/3268/2001 rev 4 (final), 17 October 2002.
- European Commission, 2003. Guidance Document on Assessment of the Relevance of Metabolites in Groundwater of Substances Regulated under Council Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/221/2000-rev. 10 final, 25 February 2003.
- European Commission, 2004. Guidance Document on Dermal Absorption. SANCO/222/2000 rev. 7, 19 March 2004.
- European Commission, 2010. Guidance document on residue analytical methods. SANCO/825/00 rev. 8.1. 16 November 2010.
- European Commission, 2012. Guidance Document on the Assessment of the Equivalence of Technical Materials of Substances Regulated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. SANCO/10597/2003 rev. 10.1, 13 July 2012.
- FOCUS (Forum for the co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their use), 2000. FOCUS Groundwater Scenarios in the EU review of active substances. Report of the FOCUS Groundwater Scenarios Workgroup, EC Document Reference SANCO/321/2000-rev.2. 202 pp, as updated by the Generic Guidance for FOCUS groundwater scenarios, version 1.1 dated April 2002.
- FOCUS (Forum for the co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their use), 2001. FOCUS Surface Water Scenarios in the EU Evaluation Process under 91/414/EEC. Report of the FOCUS Working Group on Surface Water Scenarios, EC Document Reference SANCO/4802/2001-rev.2. 245 pp., as updated by the Generic Guidance for FOCUS surface water scenarios, version 1.1 dated March 2012.



- FOCUS (Forum for the co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their use), 2007. Landscape And Mitigation Factors In Aquatic Risk Assessment. Volume 1. Extended Summary and Recommendations. Report of the FOCUS Working Group on Landscape and Mitigation Factors in Ecological Risk Assessment, EC Document Reference SANCO/10422/2005 v2.0. 169 pp.
- FOCUS (Forum for the co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their use), 2008. Pesticides in Air: Considerations for Exposure Assessment. Report of the FOCUS Working Group on Pesticides in Air, EC Document Reference SANCO/10553/2006 Rev 2 June 2008.
- JMPR (Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues), 2004. Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues Rome, Italy, 20–29 September 2004, Report 2004, 383 pp.
- JMPR (Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues), 2007. Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues Geneva, Switzerland, 18–27 September 2007, Report 2007, 164 pp.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2007. *Test No. 440: Uterotrophic Bioassay in Rodents: A short-term screening test for oestrogenic properties*, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4. doi: 10.1787/9789264067417-en
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2009. , Test No. 441: Hershberger Bioassay in Rats: A Short-term Screening Assay for (Anti)Androgenic Properties, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4. doi: 10.1787/9789264076334-en
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2011. *Test No. 456: H295R Steroidogenesis Assay*, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4. doi: 10.1787/9789264122642-en
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2012a. Series on Testing and Assessment: No 150: Guidance document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption. ENV/JM/MONO(2012)22, 524 pp.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2012b. Test No. 455: Performance-Based Test Guideline for Stably Transfected Transactivation In Vitro Assays to Detect Estrogen Receptor Agonists, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4. doi: 10.1787/9789264185388-en
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2012c. Test No. 457: BG1Luc Estrogen Receptor Transactivation Test Method for Identifying Estrogen Receptor Agonists and Antagonists, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4. doi: 10.1787/9789264185395-en
- Roberts NL, Fairley C, Dawe IS (1985). The subacute dietary toxicity of PP321 to the mallard duck, report number: CTL/C/1357.
- SETAC (Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry), 2001. Guidance Document on Regulatory Testing and Risk Assessment procedures for Plant Protection Products with Non-Target Arthropods. ESCORT 2.
- Sweden, 2013. Renewal Assessment Report (RAR) on the active substance lambda-cyhalothrin prepared by the rapporteur Member State Sweden in the framework of Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010. February 2013. Available at www.efsa.europa.eu
- Sweden, 2014. Final Addendum to Renewal Assessment Report on lambda-cyhalothrin, compiled by EFSA, February 2014. Available at www.efsa.europa.eu



APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - LIST OF END POINTS FOR THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AND THE REPRESENTATIVE FORMULATION

Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information

Active substance (ISO Common Name) ‡	lambda-cyhalothrin
Function (e.g. fungicide)	insecticide
Rapporteur Member State	Sweden
Co-Rapporteur Member Sate	Spain
Identity	
Chemical name (IUPAC) ‡	A 1:1 mixture of: (R) - α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl $(1S,3S)$ -3- $[(Z)$ -2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (S) - α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl $(1R,3R)$ -3- $[(Z)$ -2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate or of (R) - α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl $(1S)$ - cis -3- $[(Z)$ -2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (S) - α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl $(1R)$ - cis -3- $[(Z)$ -2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (S) - α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl $(1R)$ - cis -3- $[(Z)$ -2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl] $(1R)$ - (Z) - $($
	trifluoropropenyl]-2,2- dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate
Chemical name (CA) ‡	(<i>R</i>)-cyano(3-phenoxyphenyl)methyl (1 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>S</i>)- <i>rel</i> -3-[(1 <i>Z</i>)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propen-1-yl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate
CIPAC No ‡	463
CAS No ‡	91465-08-6
EC No (EINECS or ELINCS) ‡	415-130-7
FAO Specification (including year of publication) ‡	FAO/WHO Specification 463/TC (2013):
	Min. purity: 810 g/kg
	The maximum acidity shall be 0.5 g/kg, calculated as H ₂ SO ₄
Minimum purity of the active substance as manufactured ‡	900 g/kg
Identity of relevant impurities (of toxicological, ecotoxicological and/or environmental concern) in the active substance as manufactured	Open
Molecular formula ‡	$C_{23}H_{19}CIF_3NO_3$

449.9 g/mol

Molecular mass ‡



Structural formula ‡



Physical and chemical properties

Melting point (state purity) ‡ 49.2°C (99.0 % w/w) No boiling point before decomposition (99.0 % w/w) Boiling point (state purity) ‡ Approximately 275°C at atmospheric pressure (99.0 % Temperature of decomposition Purified grade: white solid with no characteristic Appearance (state purity) ‡ odour (99.0 % w/w) Technical grade: light beige solidified melt (94.0 % w/w); white solid (98.8 % w/w); no characteristic odour (96.5 % w/w) 2 x 10⁻⁷ Pa at 20°C (extrapolated from data generated Vapour pressure (state temperature, state purity) ‡ in the temperature range 60-80°C; 99.0 % w/w purity) Henry's law constant ‡ 0.02 Pa·m³/mol at 20°C (calculated using the vapour pressure and water solubility at pH 6.5) Solubility in water (state temperature, state purity and Parent At 20°C (99.0% w/w) pH) ‡ 4 ug/Lat pH 5.0 (buffered water) 5 μg/L at pH 6.5 (purified water) 4 μg/L at pH 9.2 (buffered water) **Metabolites** IA (99.7 % w/w): 56.0 mg/L at 20°C in non-buffered purified water (pH 4.5-4.6) V (PBA) (100 % w/w):): 26.0 mg/L at 20°C in nonbuffered purified water (pH 4.2-4.3) XV (95.2 % w/w): 0.15 mg/L at 20°C non-buffered purified water (pH 5.0) >250 g/L in methanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, 1.2-Solubility in organic solvents ‡ dichloroethane and p-xylene at 25°C, 67-80 g/L in n-(state temperature, state purity) heptane at 20°C (97.2% w/w) >500g/L in acetone, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, hexane, methanol and toluene at 25°C, 210 g/L in noctanol at 25°C (94.0 % w/w) Surface tension ‡ Not applicable as the solubility in water is < 1 mg/L (state concentration and temperature, state purity) Partition co-efficient ‡ 5.5 at 20°C (neutral pH; 97.2 % w/w); no pH effect (state temperature, pH and purity) anticipated Dissociation constant (state purity) ‡ No dissociation within environmentally relevant pH At neutral pH (methanol; 99.0 % w/w): UV/VIS absorption (max.) incl. ε‡ (state purity, pH) 254 nm (min.), ε 1090 M⁻¹·cm⁻¹, 277 nm (max): ε 2070 M-1-cm-1 Flammability ‡ (state purity) Not highly flammable (94.0 % w/w) Auto-ignition temperature: $390^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ (94.0 % w/w), 380°C (97.4 % w/w) Flash-point: $225 \pm 8^{\circ}$ C (94.0 % w/w), 230° C (97.4 % w/w) Not explosive (94.0 % w/w) Explosive properties ‡ (state purity) Not oxidizing in molten form at 90°C (94.0 % w/w) Oxidising properties ‡ (state purity)



Summary of representative uses evaluated (lambda-cyhalothrin)

Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Form	ulation	Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (day)	Remarks:			
								Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max			
Spring Wheat	EU-N	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	Cereal aphids (Sitobio, Rhopalosiphon padi, Metapolophium etc) Aphids as virus vectors Psammotettix	CS EG	100 g/L 50 g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10-85	2	18	1.25- 3,75	200-600	7.5		The last application			
Winter Wheat	EU-N	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	alienus (Wheat dwarf virus vector), Zabrus, Oulemma, Delia sp., Gall midges (Sitodiplosis and Contarina sp.) and thrips.	CS EG	100 g/L 50 g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10-85	2	18	1.25- 3,75	200-600	7.5		should be made no later than at growth stage BBCH 83-85			



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	roup of pests controlled Application Application Application rate p				ion rate per	treatment	PHI (day)	Remarks:			
, , ,			. ,		Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max	g a.s./ha min max		
Spring Wheat	EU-S	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	Cereal aphids (Sitobio, Rhopalosiphon padi, Metapolophium etc) Aphids as virus vectors Psammotettix alienus (Wheat	CS EG	100 g/L 50 g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10-85	2	18	0.75- 1.07	700- 1000	7.5		The last application should be made
Winter Wheat	EU-S	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	dwarf virus vector), Zabrus, Oulemma, Delia sp., Gall midges (Sitodiplosis and Contarina sp.) and thrips.	CS EG	100 g/L 50 g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10-85	2	18	0.75- 1.07	700- 1000	7.5		no later than at growth stage BBCH 83-85 STF
Winter Wheat	EU-N	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids (Virus vectors)	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 10 to 29 (≈ Oct./EU- N)	1-2	14	1.875- 3.75	200-400	7.5		RMS comment: Note that winter wheat may be treated both in Oct and Jun. TFL



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)		ulation		Appli	••	ion rate per		PHI (day)	Remarks:		
					Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max	g a.s./ha min max		
Winter Wheat	EU-N	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 30 to 79 (≈ Jun./EU- N)	1-2	14	0.75- 1.07	200-400	7.5	30	RMS comment: Note that winter wheat may be treated both in Oct and Jun. TFL
Spring Wheat	EU-N	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 30 to 79 (≈ Jun./EU- N)	1-2	14	0.75- 1.07	200-400	7.5	30	TFL
Winter Wheat	EU-S	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids (Virus vectors)	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 10 to 29 (Nov./EU- S)	1-2	14	0.75- 1.07	200-400	7.5		RMS comment: Note that winter wheat may be treated both in Nov and May. TFL



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Formulation Application Application atte per treatment		PHI (day)	Remarks:							
					Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max	g a.s./ha min max		
Winter Wheat	EU-S	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 30 to 79 (May/EU- S)	1-2	14	0.75- 1.07	200-400	7.5	30	RMS comment: Note that winter wheat may be treated both in Nov and May. TFL
Spring Wheat	EU-S	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 30 to 79 (May/EU- S)	1-2	14	0.75- 1.07	200-400	7.5	30	TFL
Tomato	EU-N	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	Aphids for open field tomato use	CS EG	100 g/L 50 g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10- 89	2	12	1.25- 15.625	80-1000	12.5	3	STF
Tomato	EU-S	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	Whitefly (Trialeurodes and bemisia). Heliothis	CS EG	100	foliar spray	BBCH 10- 89	2	12	2.5- 31.25	80-1000	25	3	STF
Tomato	EU-N/S	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	G	armigera and virescens	CS EG	100 g/L 50 g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10- 89	2	12	1.25- 12.5	200- 2000	25	3	STF



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)				Application			Application rate per treatment			PHI (day)	Remarks:
					Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max	g a.s./ha min max		
Plum	EU-N	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	Cydia funebrana	CS EG	g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10-85 ⁽¹⁾	2	10-14	0.66- 1	1000- 1500	10	7	STF
Plum	EU-S	Karate 10CS Kaiso sorbie	F	Aphids	CS EG	100 g/L 50 g/kg	foliar spray	BBCH 10-85 ⁽¹⁾	2	10-14	1.66- 2.5	1000- 1500	25	7	STF
Seed Potato	EU-N	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids (Virus vectors)	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 15- 39 (≈ Apr.)	2	7	1.25- 1.875	400-600	7.5		RMS comment: Note that Seed Potato may be treated both in Apr and Jun- Sep. Refers to potatoes that are to be used as seed potatoes inthe next year. TFL



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Form	ulation		Appli	cation		Application rate per treatment		treatment	PHI (day)	Remarks:
					Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max	g a.s./ha min max		
Seed Potato	EU-N	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids, Colorado potato beetles	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 40 to 85 (Jun Sep.)	2	7	1.25- 1.875	400-600	7.5	3	RMS comment: Note that Seed Potato may be treated both in Apr and Jun- Sep. Refers to potatoes that are to be used as seed potatoes inthe next year. TFL
Potato	EU-N	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids, Colorado potato beetles	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 40- 85 (Jun Sep.)	2	7	1.25- 1.875	400-600	7.5	3	RMS comment: Refers to potatoesharvested forconsumption. TFL



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Form	ype Conc. method growth number interval g a.s./hL water L/ha of a.s. kind stage & min between		ion rate per t water L/ha		PHI (day)	Remarks:				
					(d-f)	(i)	(f-h)	stage & season (j)	max (k)	applications (min)	min max	min max	min max		
Seed Potato	EU-S	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids (virus vectors)	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 15-39 (Mar.)	1		2-5	400- 1000	20		RMS comment: Note that Seed Potato may be treated both in Mar and May- Sep (min. 8(-10) days interval between applications). Refers to potatoes that are to be used as seed potatoes inthe next year. TFL



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Form	ulation		Appli	cation		Application rate per treatment		PHI (day)	Remarks:	
					Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max	g a.s./ha min max		
Seed potato	EU-S	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids, Colorado potato beetles	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 40- 85 (May - Sep.)	1		2-5	400- 1000	20	3	RMS comment: Note that Seed Potato may be treated both in Mar and May- Sep. (min. 8(-10) days interval between applications). Refers to potatoes that are to be used as seed potatoes in the next year. TFL
Potato	EU-S	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS Lambda 50 EC	F	Aphids, Colorado potato beetles	CS EC	100 g/L 50 g/L	Tractor mounted sprayer, broadcast, ground directed spray	BBCH 40- 85 (May – Sep).	2	8	2-5	400- 1000	20	3	RMS comment: Refers to potatoes harvested for consumption. TFL



Crop and/ or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Form	Formulation Application			Application rate per treatment			PHI (day)	Remarks:		
					Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	g a.s./hL min max	water L/ha min max	g a.s./ha min max		
						T					T			1	
Peach	EU-S	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS	F	Thrips	CS	100 g/L	foliar application	BBCH 53- 69 (≈ Mar. – Apr.) BBCH 81- 87 (≈ June Oct.)	1-2	30	2.25- 3.75	600- 1000	22.5	7	TFL

Remarks:

- (a) For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be used; where relevant, the use situation should be described (*eg.* fumigation of a structure)
- (b) Outdoor or field use (F), greenhouse application (G) or indoor application (I)
- (c) eg. biting and suckling insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds
- (d) eg. wettable powder (WP), watersoluble granule (WG)
- (e) GCPF Codes GIFAP Technical Monograph No 2, 1989
- (f) All abbreviations used must be explained
- (g) Method, eg. high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench
- (h) Kind, eg. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plants type of equipment used must be indicated

- (i) g/kg or g/l
- (j) Growth stage at last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application
- (k) The minimum and maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use must be provided
- (l) PHI minimum pre-harvest interval
- (m) Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions

(1) During the written procedure the RMS indicated that the GAP for plums should be BBCH 10-79. EFSA proposes to leave unchanged the reported NEU and SEU GAPs on plums with regard to the BBCH GS (10-85). Indeed, at the proposed earlier BBCH 10-79, the PHI value of 7 days may become unappropriate.



Methods of Analysis

Analytical methods for the active substance

Technical as (analytical technique)

Impurities in technical as (analytical technique)

Plant protection product (analytical technique)

GC-FID or HPLC-UV

Information considered confidential and are thus presented in the Annex C for the respective participating companies

GC-FID or HPLC-UV

Analytical methods for residues

Residue definitions for monitoring purposes

Food of plant origin Food of animal origin

Soil

Water surface

Water drinking/ground

Air

Body fluids and tissues

lambda-cyhalothrin

lambda-cyhalothrin

lambda-cyhalothrin (as the sum of cyhalothrin isomers) lambda-cyhalothrin (as the sum of cyhalothrin isomers)

lambda-cyhalothrin (as the sum of cyhalothrin isomers)

lambda-cyhalothrin

lambda-cyhalothrin

Monitoring/Enforcement methods

Food/feed of plant origin (analytical technique and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes)

STF Multi-residue method QuEChERS

GC-MS (LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg)

Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent) in wheat grain, lettuce, oilseed rape and whole orange.

or

TFL Multi-residue method

LC-MS/MS (LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg)

Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent) in wheat grain, apple, avocado and lemon.

Food/feed of animal origin (analytical technique and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes)

STF Multi-residue method DFG-S19

GC-MS (LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg)

Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent) in hen eggs, whole milk, bovine meat, liver, kidney and fat

or

TFL Multi-residue method

LC-MS/MS (LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg)

Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent) in cow milk, hen eggs,

meat (beef) and pig liver.

Data gap for additional validation data concerning the analysis of fat.

STE Multi masi

STF Multi-residue method GC-MS (LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg) Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent).

or

TFL Multi-residue method LC-MS/MS (LOQ = 0.05 mg/kg) Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent).

Water (analytical technique and LOQ)

Soil (analytical technique and LOQ)

Drinking water:

STF Multi-residue method



GC-MS $LOQ = 0.002 \mu g/L$

Lambda-cyhalothrin + diastereomer A (cyhalothrin) in ground and drinking water.

or

TFL Multi-residue method LC-MS/MS $LOQ = 0.1 \mu g/L$

Lambda-cyhalothrin + diastereomer A (cyhalothrin) in tap and ground water.

Surface water:

Data gap (the above mentioned methods validated also for surface water do not comply with the required LOQ).

Air (analytical technique and LOQ)

Body fluids and tissues (analytical technique and LOQ)

STF Multi-residue method GC-MS (LOQ = $0.075~\mu g/m^{3)}$ Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent).

STF Multi-residue method DFG-S19

GC-MS (LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg)

Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent) in bovine meat, liver, kidney and fat and swine blood.

or

TFL Multi-residue method LC-MS/MS (LOQ = 0.05 mg/L)

Lambda-cyhalothrin (parent) in human urine and animal blood serum.

Classification and labelling with regard to physical and chemical data

Classification according to Council Directive	
67/548/EEC / Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008:	
RMS proposal:	

None		
None		



Impact on Human and Animal Health

Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism (toxicokinetics) (Annex IIA, point 5.1)

1 /	/\ /1 /
Rate and extent of oral absorption ‡	Relatively rapid absorption, variable results obtained pending on study conditions (radiolabel, dose, etc.):
	In man: 50-64 % (based on urine excretion of the metabolite (TFMCA (metabolite XI).
	In rat: 25 % (based on excretion in urine).
	<u>In dog:</u> 50 % (based on comparison of plasma kinetics between oral and intravenous route).
Distribution ‡	Rapidly and extensively distributed, with highest levels in fat.
Potential for accumulation ‡	Accumulation in fat: half-life: 23-30.5 days for the decline in adipose tissue.
Rate and extent of excretion ‡	Rapid excretion in urine and faeces; 90 % within 48 hours.
Metabolism in animals ‡	Extensively metabolised, mainly by cleavage of ester bond and further transformation to conjugated metabolites
Toxicologically relevant compounds ‡ (animals and plants)	Lambda-cyhalothrin
Toxicologically relevant compounds ‡ (environment)	Lambda-cyhalothrin

Acute toxicity (Annex IIA, point 5.2)

Rat LD₅₀ dermal ‡

Rat LC_{50} inhalation ‡

Skin irritation ‡

Eye irritation ‡

Skin sensitisation ‡

56 mg/kg bw (rat) 19.9 mg/kg bw (mouse)	T; R25 (rat) /T+; R28 (mouse) H300 (mouse)/H301 (rat)
632 mg/kg bw	Xn; R21 H311
0.066 mg/L air (4 hours exposure, nose-only)	T+; R26 H330
Non-irritant	
Slight irritant	
Skin sensitiser based on read across from gamma-cyhalothrin (present in lambda-cyhalothrin) and cyhalothrin (containing lambda-cyhalothrin) studies	Xi; R43 H317



Short-term toxicity (Annex IIA, point 5.3)

Target / critical effect ‡							
	Rat: CNS (clinical signs of neurotoxicity via dermal and inhalation), liver toxicity, reduced bw gain Dog: CNS (clinical signs of neurotoxicity)						
Relevant oral NOAEL ‡	1-year, dog: 0.5 mg/kg bw per day 90-day, rat: 2.6 mg/kg bw per day	R48/22 H373					
Relevant dermal NOAEL ‡	21-day, rat: 10 mg/kg bw per day	R48/21 H373					
Relevant inhalation NOAEL ‡	21-day, rat: 0.3 µg/L air (corresponding to an inhaled dose of 0.08 mg/kg bw per day)						

Genotoxicity ‡ (Annex IIA, point 5.4)

Unlikely to be genotoxic	

Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity (Annex IIA, point 5.5)

Long term toxicity and caremogenicity (rimex 1111,)	50Ht 2.2)	
Target/critical effect ‡	[Cyhalothrin]	
	Rat:	
	Reduced bw gain, increased liver weight and clinical chemistry changes;	
	Mouse: CNS (clinical signs of neurotoxicity), reduced bw gain	
Relevant NOAEL ‡	1.7 mg/kg bw per day (2-year, rat) [cyhalothrin] 1.8 mg/kg bw per day (2-year, mouse) [cyhalothrin]	
Carcinogenicity ‡	No carcinogenic potential [cyhalothrin]	

Reproduction target / critical effect ‡	Multigeneration rat [cyhalothrin]
	Parental toxicity: Reduced bw gain
	Offspring toxicity: Reduced survival after birth at parental toxic doses and reduced bw gain
	Reproductive toxicity: no adverse effect
	Data required on effects of lambda- cyhalothrin on sperm parameters in mice
Relevant parental NOAEL ‡	1.5 mg/kg bw per day [cyhalothrin]
Relevant reproductive NOAEL ‡	5.2 mg/kg bw per day [cyhalothrin]
elevant offspring NOAEL ‡	0.5 mg/kg bw per day [cyhalothrin]



Developmental toxicity	
Developmental target / critical effect ‡	[cyhalothrin] Rat and rabbit: Maternal toxicity: Clinical signs (neurotoxicity), reduced bw gain Developmental toxicity: No effects
Relevant maternal NOAEL ‡	Rat and rabbit: 10 mg/kg bw per day [cyhalothrin]
Relevant developmental NOAEL ‡	Rat: 15 mg/kg bw per day –the highest dose tested [cyhalothrin] Rabbit: 30 mg/kg bw per day – the highest dose tested [cyhalothrin]
Neurotoxicity (Annex IIA, point 5.7)	
Acute neurotoxicity ‡	rat: NOAEL: 2.5 mg/kg bw based on increased breathing rate noted at ≥10 mg/kg bw and clinical signs of neurotoxicity noted at 35 mg/kg bw
Repeated neurotoxicity ‡	Subchronic neurotoxicity: No study considered necessary NOAEL in the dog: 0.5 mg/kg bw per day based on neurotoxicity noted at 3.5 mg/kg bw per day (1-year, dog) Developmental neurotoxicity, rat [lambdacyhalothrin]: Maternal NOAEL: 4.9 mg/kg bw per day based on reduced bw gain noted at 11.4 mg/kg bw per day Developmental NOAEL: 4.9 mg/kg bw per day based on reduced pup survival and reduced bw Developmental neurotoxicity NOAEL: 4.9 mg/kg bw per day based on brain morphological findings at 11.4 mg/kg bw per day.
	1

No data – not required

Delayed neurotoxicity ‡



Other toxicological studies (Annex IIA, point 5.8)

Mechanism studies ±

Endocrinology:

There are some *in vitro* studies from the open literature describing interaction of lambda-cyhalothrin with receptors of the endocrine system:

- Lambda-cyhalothrin was reported to exhibit estrogen activity in the E-Screen assay utilizing a human breast carcinoma cell line (MCF-7).
- Lambda-cyhalothrin was reported to have a weak antiandrogen activity in the yeast (anti) androgen screen assay.
- Lambda-cyhalothrin was reported acting as a mild antagonist on the thyroid-beta receptor in a transactivation assay.

Data gap: further tests according to the OECD Conceptual Framework (level 2 and/or 3) are needed to screen the potential endocrine activity of lambdacyhalothrin.

Immunotoxicity:

There are some *in vitro* studies from the open literature describing that lambda-cyhalothrin may affect the immune system:

- In two *in vitro* tests from the open literature, cytotoxicity of lambda-cyhalothrin on the macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 was noted.

However, in the available standard toxicity studies on cyhalothrin and lambda-cyhalothrin, there was no indication of immunotoxicity.

Studies performed on metabolites or impurities ‡

Metabolite 1a:

Rat oral $LD_{50} > 4990 \text{ mg/kg bw}$

Rat dermal $LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$

Non irritant to eyes and skin, non sensitiser at 10% dose level

Negative in 2 Ames tests and in mammalian cell gene mutation assay *in vitro*

Clastogenic in vitro in human lymphocytes chromosome aberration test

Negative in vivo mouse micronucleus test

Metabolite II

Rat oral LD₅₀ (females) > 2000 mg/kg bw

Negative in Ames test, mammalian cell gene mutation and chromosome aberration assays *in vitro*

Metabolite III

Rat oral LD_{50} (females) 300-2000 mg/kg bw

Negative in Ames test, mammalian cell gene mutation and chromosome aberration assays *in vitro*

Metabolite V (PBA)

Rat oral $LD_{50} = 3000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$

Metabolite VI

Rat oral LD_{50} 300-2000 mg/kg bw

Negative in Ames test, mammalian cell gene mutation and chromosome aberration assays *in vitro*



Metabolite XIII

Rat oral LD_{50} (females) > 2000 mg/kg bw Negative in Ames test, mammalian cell gene mutation and chromosome aberration assays *in vitro*.

Metabolite V (PBA) and XXIII (PBA(OH)):

A data gap was identified for the assessment of toxicological information allowing to assess human exposure to these metabolites.

With regard to the metabolite **R157836** (enantiomeric pair A), the reference values of lambda-cyhalothrin are applicable.

Medical data ‡ (Annex IIA, point 5.9)

Cases of subjective facial sensation (also known as 'SFS' or paraesthesia) have occurred at all stages of lambda-cyhalothrin handling, from small-scale laboratory work to commercial synthesis and formulation operations. SFS is a collection of skin-associated symptoms, including itching, tingling, burning, cold or numbness due to skin contact with lambda-cyhalothrin. The face is most commonly affected. These symptoms can cause discomfort and may in some individuals last for up to 34 hours after exposure. Recovery is apparently complete and there is no evidence of lasting damage.

6 microgram a.s. applied to a cm² of facial skin can cause SFS. A query of the Syngenta internal database for lambda-cyhalothrin produced a total of 807 reports of adverse health effects since 1983. 160 cases are associated with the synthesis of the active ingredient and 647 the subsequent production of formulated products. 771 are recorded as SFS incidents, the remaining 36 as adverse reactions other than SFS (skin- and eye irritation, cough, runny nose, wheezy chest).

Cases of intoxications (mostly occupational) have been reported. These were associated with SFS, mild skin/eye irritation or nausea. Cases of suicide attempts were described as severe or greater. Ingestions of 10 ml (1 case), 100 ml (2 cases) and 1 litre (1 case) of a typical lambda-cyhalothrin formulation (5EC) all showed complete recovery whilst one case of an 82 yr lady who ingested 90 mg Karate[®] 5EC subsequently died.

There is no specific antidote for pyrethroids. Any treatment can only be symptomatic.



Summary (Annex IIA, point 5.10) Value Study Uncertainty factor $200^{(1)}$ 0.0025 mg/kg ADI ‡ multigeneration, bw per day rat [cyhalothrin] $200^{(1)} + 25\%^{(2)}$ AOEL ± 0.00063 mg/kg multigeneration, bw per day rat [cyhalothrin] ARfD ‡ 0.005 mg/kg bw 1-year, dog 100

Dermal absorption ‡ (Annex IIIA, point 7.3)

Formulations (Kaiso Sorbie, EG, 5%; Lambda 50 EC, 5%; Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS, 10%; Karate 10CS, 10%)

25% concentrate and dilution, default value

Exposure scenarios (Annex IIIA, point 7.2)

Operator

• Cereals, tractor application (application rate: 7.5 g a.i./ha)

German model % of AOEL

No PPE 347-378%

PPE (gloves during M/L, & (gloves), coverall and sturdy footwear during application) 15-47%

UK POEM model % of AOEL

No PPE 1698-4343%

PPE ((RPE), gloves during M/L & applic) 182-331%

• Tomato, outdoor, tractor application (application rate: 25 g a.s./ha):

German model % of AOEL

No PPE 1155-1260%

PPE (gloves during M/L, & gloves, coverall and sturdy footwear during application) 49-57%

UK POEM model % of AOEL

No PPE 13484-15251%

PPE ((RPE), gloves during M/L & applic)1436-1713%

• Tomato, outdoor, hand-held (application rate: 25 g a.s./ha):

German model % of AOEL
No PPE 888-3498%

PPE (gloves during M/L & gloves, hood and

visor/broad brimmed headwear & coverall and sturdy footwear during application) 72-74%

UK POEM model % of AOEL
No PPE 8996-22093%

PPE ((RPE), gloves during M/L & application,

coverall and sturdy footwear) 1412-1688%

⁽¹⁾ additional UF of 2 to convert from cyhalothrin to lambda-cyhalothrin

⁽²⁾ correction for low oral absorption (25 %).



Tomato, indoor application, hand	
<u>Dutch model</u>	% of AOEL
No PPE	2891%
PPE (gloves & coverall and RPE)	289%
IVA model (75% percentile)	% of AOEL
No PPE	5133%
PPE (protective clothing, gloves)	114-144%
IVA model (geometric mean)	% of AOEL
No PPE	1870%
PPE (protective clothing, gloves)	44%
• Orchards, tractor application (ap 25g a.s./ha):	plication rate:
German model	% of AOEL
No PPE	1542-1584%
PPE (gloves during M/L & gloves, hood a coverall and sturdy footwear during applications)	
<u>UK POEM model</u>	% of AOEL
No PPE	5331%
PPE ((RPE), gloves during M/L & application 1594%	ation) 1445-
 Orchards, hand-held (application a.s./ha): 	rate: 22.5 g
German model	% of AOEL
No PPE	3148%
PPE (broad brimmed headwear, gloves du gloves, coverall and sturdy footwear durin	•
• Potato, tractor application (20 g	a.s./ha):
German model	% of AOEL
No PPE	1008%
PPE (gloves during M/L & gloves, covera footwear during application)	all and sturdy 40%
UK POEM model	% of AOEL
No PPE	2705%
PPE (gloves during M/L & application)	354%
<u>Cereals</u> (crop inspection, 2 applications): No PPE	% of AOEL 36-90%
Tomato, harvesting (25 g a.s./ha, 2 applic	ations)
No PPE	1984%
PPE (gloves, long sleeved shirt, long trou	sers) 413%
Tomato, harvesting (12.5 g a.s./ha, 2 appl	ications)
No PPE	992%
PPE (gloves, long sleeved shirt, long trou	sers) 206%
Orchards (25 g a.s./ha, 2 applications)	
No PPE	3571%
PPE (gloves, long sleeved shirt, long trou	sers) 1786%
Orchards (10 g a.s./ha, 2 applications)	
No PPE	1429%

Workers



Bystanders and residents

PPE (gloves, long sleeved shirt, long trou	users) 714%
Potato (20 g a.s./ha, 2 applications)	,
No PPE	238%
Potato (7.5 g a.s./ha, 2 applications)	
No PPE	90%
Cereals, tractor application (
Bystander at 10m from spraying:	% of AOEL
Adults	1.5%
Children	1.3%
Residential exposure to drift deposits at spraying:	IOIII IIOIII
Adults	0.2%
Children	0.3%
Orchards (25 g a.s./ha)	0.570
Bystander at 3m from spraying:	% of AOEL
Adults	483%
Children	377%
	31170
Bystander at 10m from spraying: Adults	1060/
	196%
Children	153%
Residential exposure to drift deposits at a spraying:	10m from
Adults	20-23%
Children	38-43%
Orchards – early fruit crops	
Bystander at 3m from spraying	% of AOEL
Adults	435%
Children	340%
Bystander at 10m from spraying	34070
Adults	176%
Children	138%
Residential exposure to drift deposits at spraying:	iom irom
Adults	24%
Children	43%
Orchards – late fruit crops (2)	22.5 g a.s./ha)
Bystander at 10m from spraying	% of AOEL
Adults	54%
Children	42%
• Orchards (10 g a.s./ha)	
Bystander at 3m from spraying	% of AOEL
Adults	193%
Children	151%
Bystander at 10m from spraying	
Adults	78%
Children	61%



• Potato (20 g a.s./ha)

Bystander at 10m from spraying <u>% of AOEL</u>
Adults 4.1%

Children 3.6%

Residential exposure to drift deposits at 10m from

spraying:

Adults 0.5% Children 0.9%

• Tomato (outdoors) (25 g a.s./ha)

Bystander at 10m from spraying: % of AOEL
Adults 26%
Children 28%

Residential exposure to drift deposits at 10m from spraying (from high crops spaying as worst case):

Adults 20-23% Children 38-43%

• Tomato indoor application

Bystander exposure not relevant

Classification with regard to toxicological data (Annex IIA, point 10)

Substance

Harmonised classification

Lambda-cyhalothrin

Current classification according to Regulation (EC) No

<u>1272/2008 (CLP Regulation)</u>:

GHS06 DANGER

Acute Tox. 3, H301 ("Toxic if swallowed")

Acute Tox. 4, H312 ("Harmful in contact with skin")

Acute Tox. 2, H330 ("Fatal if inhaled")

<u>Current classification according to Council Directive</u> 67/548/EEC (DSD classification):

T+ VERY TOXIC

Xn; R21 "Harmful in contact with skin"

T; R25 "Toxic if swallowed"

T+; R26 "Very toxic by inhalation"

RMS/peer review proposal¹¹

Considering the criteria of Regulation (EC)

1272/2008¹² (as amended):

GHS06 DANGER

Acute Tox. 2, H300 "Fatal if swallowed"

Acute Tox. 3, H311 "Toxic in contact with skin"

Skin sens 1, H317 "May cause an allergic skin

reaction"

¹¹ It should be noted that proposals for classification made in the context of the evaluation procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 are not formal proposals. Classification is formally proposed and decided in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008.

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. OJ L 353, 31.12.2008, 1-1355.



Acute Tox. 2, H330 "Fatal if inhaled"

STOT RE. 2, H373 "May cause damage to organ through prolonged or repeated exposure"

<u>Considering the criteria of Directive 67/548/EEC¹³ (as amended):</u>

T+ VERY TOXIC

Xn; R21 "Harmful in contact with skin"T+; R26 "Very toxic by inhalation"T+; R28 "Very Toxic if swallowed"

Xi; R43 "May cause sensitisation by skin contact" Xn; R48/21/22 "Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure in contact with skin and if swallowed"

¹³ Council Directive 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances. OJ 196, 16.8.1967, p. 1–98.



Residues

Metabolism in plants

Plant groups covered

Rotational crops

Metabolism in rotational crops similar to metabolism in primary crops?

Processed commodities

Residue pattern in processed commodities similar to residue pattern in raw commodities?

Plant residue definition for monitoring Plant residue definition for risk assessment

Conversion factor (monitoring to risk assessment)

Metabolism in livestock

Animals covered

Time needed to reach a plateau concentration in milk and eggs

Animal residue definition for monitoring Animal residue definition for risk assessment Conversion factor (monitoring to risk assessment) Metabolism in rat and ruminant similar (yes/no) Fat soluble residue: (yes/no)

Residues in succeeding crops

-Lambda-cyhalothrin metabolism fully addressed in fruits (tomato, apple), leafy crops (cabbage) and cereals (wheat)

-Metabolism data on pulses and oilseeds (cotton, soya beans) (Indicative information on leaves only).

Carrots, lettuce, wheat

No

-Lambda-cyhalothrin detected at a trace level in wheat straw only (<1 % TRR)

-Compound Ia: 52 % TRR (carrot root), 61 % TRR (lettuce), 34 % TRR (wheat straw).

Plums, tomato, beans with pods, cotton, soya bean, sorghum, wheat, corn

-Yes for pasteurisation and baking, brewing and boiling -Sterilisation: Extensive degradation of lambdacyhalothrin into metabolites Ia, IV, gamma-lactone (R947650)

Lambda-cyhalothrin

Lambda-cyhalothrin.

Provisional residue definition for processed commodities including lambda-cyhalothrin only.

Not applicable

yes

Goat, poultry
Milk: 4 days
Eggs: 7-9 days
Lambda-cyhalothrin
Lambda-cyhalothrin
Not applicable
Yes

Rotational crop field trials on root and tuber crops (radish/turnip), leafy crops (lettuce/spinach),cereals (barley/wheat), alfalfa and mustard leaves following harvest of a treated primary crop (cotton) at a total dose rate of 0.5 kg a.s./ha (1.2 N rate considering the calculated PEC soil for lambda-cyhalothrin). Residues of lambda-cyhalothrin and metabolite Ia below the LOQ (<0.01 mg/kg) in all crops at 30 and 60 days plant-back intervals.

Stability of residues

- -High water content commodities: 26 months (provisional).
- -Dry commodities: 26 months (provisional).
- -High oil content commodities: 26 months (provisional).
- muscle, liver, fat, egg: 3 months



- milk: 4 months

-Compounds Ia, V, XXIII: 36-43 months in milk, eggs, muscle, kidney, liver, fat.

Residues from livestock feeding studies

Expected intakes by livestock 0.1 mg/kg diet (dry weight basis) (yes/no - If yes, specify the level)

Potential for accumulation (yes/no):

Metabolism studies indicate potential level of residues ≥ 0.01 mg/kg in edible tissues (yes/no)

Muscle

Liver Kidney

Fat Milk Eggs

Ruminant:	Poultry:	Pig:			
Conditions of requirement of feeding studies					
Yes	No	No			
Beef cattle:					
0.237 mg/kg					
DM (0.01					
mg/kg bw per d)					
-Dairy cattle:					
0.110 mg/kg					
DM (0.004					
mg/kg bw per d)					
No	No	No			
Yes	No	No studies			
		Not required			

Feeding studies (Specify the feeding rate in cattle and poultry studies considered as relevant)

Lowest feeding level:

- -Ruminants: (1 mg/kg DM) (0.036 mg/kg bw per d)
- -Poultry: (1 mg/kg DM) (0.06 mg/kg bw per d)

Residue levels of lambda-cyhalothrin in matrices at the

lowest leeding level: (max) mg/kg					
<0.01 mg/kg	< 0.002	Not relevant			
0.03 mg/kg	< 0.005	Not relevant			
0.02 mg/kg	Not relevant	Not relevant			
0.50 mg/kg	0.028	Not relevant			
0.03 mg/kg					
	< 0.005				



Summary of residues data according to the representative uses on raw agricultural commodities and feedingstuffs

Crop	Nouthern Southern Region, field or glasshouse	Trials results relevant to the representative uses (mg/kg) (a)	Recommendation/comments	MRL estimated from trials according to representative use	HR (c)	STMR (b)
Peaches (TFL)	SEU (major crop) field	No trial compliant with GAP.	8 residue trials are required.	-	-	-
Plums (STF)	NEU (major crop)/ SEU (minor crop) field	NEU -Fruit with stone: No valid residue trials. -Fruit without stone: 4x<0.01; <0.01 ⁽¹⁾ ; 0.01 ⁽¹⁾ ; 0.01; 2x0.02 ⁽¹⁾ SEU -Fruit with stone: No valid residue trialsFruit without stone: 5x<0.01; 0.01; 3x0.02		0.04 (provisional) (2)	0.02 (provisional) (2)	0.01 (provisional) ²⁾
Seed potatoes (TFL)	NEU field	No trials at GAP (see potatoes below)	GAP covered by the requested residue trials on potatoes.	-	-	-
Potatoes (TFL)	NEU (major crop) field	<0.01	Sufficient trials are required to demonstrate the expected no-residue situation	0.01* (provisional) (2)	<0.01 (provisional) (2)	<0.01 (provisional) (2)
Seed potatoes (TFL)	SEU field	No trials at GAP	GAP covered by the requested residue trials on potatoes.	-	-	-
Potatoes (TFL)	SEU (major crop) field	No trials at GAP	Sufficient trials are required to demonstrate the expected no-residue situation	-	-	-
Tomatoes (STF)	NEU/SEU (major crop)	NEU (field) 8x<0.01 SEU (field)	GAP-compliant residue trials	0.05 (provisional) (2)	0.04 (provisional) (2)	0.02 (provisional) (2)



Сгор	Nouthern Southern Region, field or glasshouse	Trials results relevant to the representative uses (mg/kg) (a)	Recommendation/comments	MRL estimated from trials according to representative use	HR (c)	STMR (b)
	field and glasshouse	5x<0.01, 0.01, 2x0.02 <u>EU (glasshouse)</u> 4x<0.01, 2x0.01, 4x0.02, 2x0.03, 0.04				
Winter wheat grain (STF)	NEU/SEU (major crop) field	NEU 12x<0.01 SEU 8x<0.01	GAP-compliant residue trials	0.01* (provisional) (2)	<0.01 (provisional) (2)	<0.01 (provisional) (2)
Winter wheat straw (STF)	NEU/SEU field	NEU 0.05, 0.08, 0.13, 0.15, 2x0.23, 0.24, 2x0.27, 0.29, 0.33, 0.34 SEU 0.10, 2x0.16, 0.20, 0.27, 0.28, 0.34, 0.35	GAP-compliant residue trials	-	0.35 (provisional) (2)	0.24 (provisional) ⁽²⁾
Winter wheat grain (TFL)	NEU/SEU (major crop) field	No trials compliant with GAP	A complete residue data set is required.	No proposal	-	-
Winter wheat straw (TFL)	NEU/SEU field	No trials compliant with GAP	A complete residue data set is required.	No proposal	-	-

^{(1):} The acceptability of the reported residue trials on plums is pending on the outcome of the requested validation data on method RAM 81 in accordance with the current pre-registration

(c) Highest residue

guidelines.

(2): Provisional MRLs because of the requested residues storage stability data.

⁽a) Numbers of trials in which particular residue levels were reported e.g. 3 x <0.01, 1 x 0.01, 6 x 0.02, 1 x 0.04, 1 x 0.08, 2 x 0.1, 2 x 0.15, 1 x 0.17 (b) Supervised Trials Median Residue i.e. the median residue level estimated on the basis of supervised trials relating to the representative use



Consumer risk assessment – Not finalised considering the identified data gaps (see section 3)

ADI TMDI (% ADI) – EFSA PRIMo-Revision 2 Factors included in TMDI ARfD IESTI (% ARfD) – EFSA PRIMo-Revision 2

0.0025 mg/kg bw per d
10.8 % ADI (WHO Cluster diet B)
MRL
0.005 mg/kg bw
46.5 % ARfD (tomatoes)
30.8 % ARfD (potatoes)
24.8 % ARfD (Milk and milk products)
13.2 % ARfD (Plums)
HR

Factors included in IESTI

Processing factors

Crop/process/processed product	Number of	Processing factors		Amount transferred	
	studies	Transfer factor	Yield factor	(%) (optional)	
Lambda-cyhalothrin	•			•	
Beans with pods/Canned beans (separated beans)	4 (0.91, 0.67, 0.73, 1.43)	0.82			
Beans with pods/Canned beans (whole can)	4 (0.43, 0.29, 0.36, 0.71)	0.40			
Beans with pods/Cooked beans	4 (0.96, 0.76, 0.64, 1.22)	0.86			
Beans with pods/Washed beans	6 (1.09, 1.13, 0.92, 0.71, 0.91, 1.33)	1.01			
Cotton seed/Crude oil	1	0.20			
Cotton seed/Hulls	1	0.10			
Cotton seed/Meal	1	< 0.10			
Cotton seed/Refined oil	1	0.01			
Plums/Dried plums	1	3.0			
Plums/Washed fruit	1	1.0			
Plums/Jam	1	1.0			
Plums/Juice	1	0.5			
Sorghum/Flour	1	1.00			
Sorghum/Starch	1	< 0.17			
Tomato/Canned fruit	4 (<0.11, <0.10, <0.10, <0.10)	<0.10			
Tomato/Juice	5 (0.06, <0.08, <0.13, <0.13, <0.13)	<0.13			
Tomato/Ketchup	1	0.22			
Tomato/Paste	5 (0.23, 0.11, <0.07, <0.09, 0.31)	<0.11			
Tomato/Puree	5 (0.08, <0.11, <0.07, <0.09, 0.25)	<0.09			
Tomato/Sun dried tomato	4 (5.00, 3.33, 5.14, 7.50)	5.07			
Tomato/Washed fruit	8 (1.08, 0.89, 1.00, 0.86, 1.88, 0.90, 0.86, 0.71)	0.90			
Wheat grain/Bran	1	4.00			
Wheat grain/Low grade flour	1	0.50	_		
Wheat grain/Patent flour	1	0.50			
Wheat grain/Shorts and germ	1	1.50			



Crop/process/processed product	Number of	Processin	g factors	Amount transferred	
	studies	Transfer factor	Yield factor	(%) (optional)	
Soya bean/Meal	1	<1			
Soya bean/Crude oil	1	<1			
Soya bean/Refined oil	1	<1			
Corn/Meal	1	<1			
Corn/Flour	1	<1			
Corn/Crude oil	1	<1			
Corn/Refined oil	1	<1			

Proposed MRLs

Peaches

Plums

Potatoes

Tomatoes

Wheat

Muscle(ruminants, poultry)

Liver (ruminants, poultry)

Kidney (ruminants)

Fat (ruminants)

Poultry fat

Milk

Birds' eggs

-
0.04 (provisional)
0.01* (provisional)
0.05(provisional)
0.01* (provisional)
0.01*(provisional)
0.01*(provisional)
0.01*(provisional)
0.2 (provisional)
0.01*(provisional)
0.01*(provisional)
0.01*(provisional)

When the MRL is proposed at the LOQ, this should be annotated by an asterisk after the figure.



Environmental fate and behavior

Route of degradation (aerobic) in soil

Mineralization after 100 days ‡

Non-extractable residues after 100 days ‡

Metabolites requiring further consideration ‡ - name and/or code, % of applied (range and maximum)

36 % (92 d); 15-46 % (120 d) (14C-cyclopropyl label,	,
n=5)	

12-30% (120 d) (¹⁴C-phenoxy label, n=7)

17 % (92 d); 13-29 % (120 d) (¹⁴C-cyclopropyl label) 12-44 % (120 d) (¹⁴C-phenoxy label)

Ia – max 22.9% (90 d)

 $V\ (PBA)-max\ 5.8$ and 6.2% after 14 and 28 days in one soil

XV – max 12.1% (63 d)

Route of degradation in soil - Supplemental studies

Anaerobic degradation ‡ Mineralization after 100 days

Non-extractable residues after 100 days

Metabolites that may require further consideration for risk assessment - name and/or code, % of applied (range and maximum)

Soil photolysis ‡

Metabolites that may require further consideration for risk assessment - name and/or code, % of applied (range and maximum)

max 7.6-11.4 % (29-60 d) (¹⁴ C-cyclopropyl label)
max 16% (7 d)/8.4% (86 d) (14C-phenoxy label)
7-13 % (90 d) (14C-cyclopropyl label)
12-25 % (90 d) (¹⁴ C-phenoxy label)
Ia – max 35.9 % (90 d anaerobic phase)
V (PBA) – max 31.4 % (90 d anaerobic phase)

None			

Rate of degradation in soil

Laboratory studies ‡

lambda- cyhalothrin	Aerobic	conditions				
Soil type	pН	t. °C / % MWHC	$DT_{50} / DT_{90} (d)$	DT ₅₀ (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa	St (χ²)	Method of calculation
18 Acres	5.5	20 ± 2 °C / pF2	19.7 / 2330	•	2.1	FOMC
sandy clay loam			17.5 / 323	141.5	2.7	DFOP k ₂
Nebraska	7.0 ^a	20 ± 2 °C / pF2	36.9 / 123		12.6	SFO
loam			19.8/ 158	60.3	2.8	DFOP k ₂
Marsillargues	7.3	20 ± 2 °C / pF2	24.8 / 82		11.8	SFO
silty clay			16.2 / 141.7	42.7	5.5	FOMC
Speyer 5M	7.2	20.1 ± 0.1 °C / pF2	49.4 / 164	49.4	12.1	SFO
sandy loam#			27.5 / 274.7	115.5	5.7	DFOP k ₂
Am Fischteich	5.6	20.1 ± 0.1 °C / pF2	108 / 359	108	13.5	SFO
silt Loam			59.8 / not calc	673	2.5	DFOP k ₂
Speyer 2.2	5.5	20.1 ± 0.1 °C / pF2	163 / 541		5.8	SFO
loamy sand			303 / 934000		2.9	FOMC
				1000		default*
Geometric mean	DT ₅₀			174.6		

^a pH in water; for the other soils; in CaCl₂

^{*}from the combined datasets for cyclopropyl- and phenoxy-labelled lambda provided in Adam 2012c ([Cyclopropyl-1-14C]Lambda-Cyhalothrin – Route and Rate of Degradation in One Soil, Innovative Environmental Services (IES) Ltd, Witterswil, Switzerland, IES No.: 20110032) and Adam 2012d [Phenoxy-U-14C]Lambda-Cyhalothrin – Route and Rate of Degradation in Four Soils, Innovative Environmental Services (IES) Ltd, Witterswil, Switzerland, IES No.: 20110031). *the pattern of degradation is clearly bi-phasic but since it was not possible to obtain a reliable DT50 from the second phase of the bi-phasic kinetics, a worst case value of 1000 days was proposed at the Pesticide Peer Review Teleconference 97.



Estimated DT₅₀ at 10° C using $Q_{10} = 2.58$ and geometric mean DT₅₀ at reference conditions: 450.5 days

Metabolite Ia	Aerobic cor	nditions					
Soil type	pН	t. °C / % MWHC	$DT_{50}/DT_{90}(d)$	f.f. ^a	DT ₅₀ / DT ₉₀ (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa	St (χ^2)	Method of calculation
18 Acres	5.4	20 ±2°C / pF2	3.1 / 10.2	-	3.1 / 10.2	11.8	SFO
sandy clay loam			2.4 / 13.4	-		9.1	FOMC
Gartenacker loam	7.1	20 ±2°C / pF2	4.0 / 13.4	-	4.0 / 13.4	12.4	SFO
Marsillargues silty clay	7.6	20 ±2°C / pF2	15.8 / 52.5	-	15.8 / 52.5	6.8	SFO
Speyer 5M sandy loam	7.2	20 ±2°C / pF2	19.1 / 63.6	-	19.1 / 63.6	24.1	SFO
Speyer 2.2 loamy sand	5.5	20 ±2°C / pF2	8.0 / 26.5	-	8.0 / 26.5	17.8	SFO
Am Fischteich	5.6	20 ±2°C / pF2	5.4 / 63.9	-		6.1	FOMC
silt Loam					19.3 / 63.9		FOMC
							$DT_{90}/3.3.2$
Geometric mean DT ₅₀					8.9		

^a Formation fraction not available; metabolite applied as test substance

Metabolite V (PBA)	Aerobic	conditions					
Soil type	pН	t. °C / % MWHC	$DT_{50} / DT_{90} (d)$	f.f.	DT ₅₀ / DT ₉₀ (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa	$\operatorname{St}_{(\chi^2)}$	Method of calculation
Speyer 5M sandy loam	7.2	20.1 ± 0.1°C/ pF2	13.9 / 46.3	0.243	13.9 / 46.3	13.6	SFO ^a
Speyer 2.2 loamy sand	5.5	20.1 ± 0.1°C/ pF2	61.9 / 206	0.256	61.9 / 206	19.9	SFO ^a
Am Fischteich silt Loam	5.6	20.1 ± 0.1°C/ pF2	60.0 / 199	0.106	60.0 / 199	17.4	SFO ^a
Arithmetic mean	ı			0.202			
Geometric mean DT ₅₀					37.2		

^a SFO for metabolite, FOMC for parent

Metabolite XV	Aerobic c	onditions					
Soil type	pH	t. °C / % MWHC	DT ₅₀ / DT ₉₀ (d)	f.f.	DT ₅₀ / DT ₉₀ (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa	St (χ^2)	Method of calculation
18 Acres sandy clay loam	5.5	20 ±2°C / pF2	24.1 / 80.1	0.158	24.1 / 80.1	10.9	SFO ^c
Nebraska loam	7.0ª	20 ±2°C / pF2	14.7 / 49.0	0.182	14.7 / 49.0	21.8	SFO ^d
Marsillargues silty clay	7.3	20 ±2°C / pF2	24.2 / 80.3	0.121	24.2 / 80.3	33.7	SFO ^d
East Anglia	7.6 ^b	20 ±2°C / pF2	2.9 / 48.6	_e		6.3	FOMC
loamy sand					14.6 / 48.6		FOMC DT ₉₀ /3.32
Frensham sandy loam	6.6 b	20 ±2°C / pF2	7.6 / 25.2	_ e	7.6 / 25.2	13.1	SFO
Hyde Farm	6.9 b	20 ±2°C / pF2	5.4 / 31.7	- e		4.3	FOMC
sandy clay loam					9.6 /31.7		FOMC DT ₉₀ /3.32
Arithmetic mean	Arithmetic mean 0.154						
Geometric mean	Geometric mean DT ₅₀						

a pH in water, otherwise in CaCl₂
b Method for pH determination not stated
c SFO for metabolite, FOMC for parent
d SFO for metabolite, DFOP for parent

^e Formation fraction not available; metabolite applied as test substance



Field studies

lambda-cyhalothi	lambda-cyhalothrin in formulated product						
Soil type (indicate if bare or cropped soil was used)	Location (country or USA state)	рН	Depth (cm)	DT ₅₀ / DT ₉₀ (d) (actual)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{St} \\ (\chi^2) \end{array}$	DT ₅₀ (d) (norm., SFO)	Method of calculation (actual DT ₅₀ / ₉₀)
Varendorf sandy loam	Germany	5.7	30 cm (d 0:10 cm)	10.1 / 33.6	17.1	NA ^a	SFO
Mechtersheim silty clay loam	Germany	7.5	30 cm (d 0: 10 cm)	21.8 / 72.6	12.2	NA ^a	SFO
Wang-Inzkofen silt loam	Germany	7.2	30 cm (d 0:10 cm)	28.0 / 93.0	15.7	NA ^a	SFO
Gachenbach- Etzlberg sandy loam	Germany	7.0	30 cm (d 0:10 cm)	47.5 / 158	11.7	NA ^a	SFO

a not required since data were not used as model input for PEC_{gw} and PEC_{sw} calculations.

pH dependence ‡
(yes / no) (if yes type of dependence)
Soil accumulation and plateau concentration ‡

no	
not required	

Laboratory studies ‡

zarotatot, station a						
lambda-	Anaerobic c	conditions				
cyhalothrin						
Soil type	pН	t. °C / % MWHC	$DT_{50} / DT_{90} (d)$	$DT_{50} / DT_{90} (d)$	St	Method of
				20°C pF2/10kPa	(χ^2)	calculation
Speyer 5M	7.3	$20.8 \pm 0.25 / \text{n.a.}$	134 / 445	n.a.	3.5-3.8	SFO
sandy loam						
18 Acres	5.9	20 ± 2 °C / n.a.	99 / 330	n.a.	6.0	SFO
sandy clay loam						

n.a. Not applicable for anaerobic phase



$\textbf{Soil adsorption/desorption}~\ddagger$

lambda-cyha	alothrin						
Soil type	OC %	Soil pH	K _d (mL/g)	K _{oc} (mL/g)	K _F (mL/g)	K _{Foc} (mL/g)	1/n
18 Acres	2.7	6.3	1892	70100	1290	47800	0.97
Frensham	1.2	6.2	1245	103800	464	38000	0.85
Vicksburg	0.7	6.0	3180	430000	1470	199000	0.89
Goldsboro	1.6	6.6	2115	132200	5350	345000	1.20
Hyde Farm	1.1	6.5	3810	346000	1780	162000	0.89
East Anglia	1.0	8.0	1970	200000	2080	210000	1.01
Wisborough	2.0	6.0	5880	298000	5440	276000	0.99
ERTC	0.3	6.8	2100	724000	1960	676000	0.99
NRTC	2.1	6.2	4490	209000	2360	110000	0.91
Virginia waters	2.6	6.6	6890	270000	1500	59000	0.80
"Mesocosm"	2.5	7.9	7610	305000	33000	1325000	1.21
Millstream	1.0	8.3	3470	352000	5560	562000	0.96
Iron Hatch	0.5	8.3	2400	518000	2520	548000	1.01
Old Basing	4.4	7.8	4870	110000	1660	38000	0.85
pH dependen	ce (yes/no)		No				

note: "worst-case" values for exposure assessment for water indicated in bold

Metabolite Ia							
Soil type	OC %	Soil pH	K _d (mL/g)	K _{oc} (mL/g)	K _F (mL/g)	K _{Foc} (mL/g)	1/n
18 Acres sandy clay loam	3.2	5.4	2.97	91.9	3.01	93	1.01
Gartenacker loam	2.5	7.1	0.345	13.8	0.314	13	0.95
Marsillargues loam	0.58	7.6	0.094	16.2	0.079	14	0.89
Speyer 5 M sandy loam	1.0	7.3	0.35	34	0.188	18	0.52
Am Fischteich silt loam	1.7	6.4	0.39	23	0.351	21	0.97
Speyer 2.2 loamy sand	1.3	5.4	1.22	92	1.06	79	0.95
Arithmetic me	ean			45.2		40	0.88
pH dependence	Yes; stronger adsorption under acidic conditions; suggested in for modelling: worst-case K _{Foc} 13 mL/g together with 1/n 0.95						



Metabolite X	ΚV						
Soil type	OC %	Soil pH	K _d (mL/g)	K _{oc} (mL/g)	K _F (mL/g)	K _{Foc} (mL/g)	1/n
Hyde Farm sandy clay loam	1.9	6.9	1400	71000	1200	60000	0.98
East Anglia loamy sand	1.3	7.6	1200	58000	1600	78000	1.05
Kenny Hill sandy loam	3.0	8.5	1300	69000	1900	110000	1.08
Champaign silty clay	2.0	5.1	1800	62000	2000	67000	1.01
Frensham sandy loam	1.5	6.6	1400	92000	1100	75000	0.97
18 Acres sandy loam	1.8	7.4	800	61000	900	68000	1.02
pH dependen	ce (yes/no)		No	•	•	•	

note: "worst-case" values for exposure assessment for water indicated in bold

Metabolite V (PBA)		
	K_{oc}	1/n
	(mL/g)	
Estimated Koc in EPI Suite v.4.10	217.8	1.0
		(default)

Mobility in soil

Column leaching ‡

Aged residues leaching ‡

Lysimeter/field leaching studies ‡

Column leaching study with 3 soils (71-89% sand) in duplicate; 200 mm water applied over 48 hours; <0.65 µg/l in all but one replicate soil column, in which 0.86 µg/l was found in leachate. The conservative nature of the test conditions considered to support the low potential for leaching of lambda-cyhalothrin.

not available; not required

not available; not required



PEC (soil)

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Karate 10 CS' and

'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' Method of calculation

Application data

 DT_{50} (d): 174.6 d (to address uncertainty over rate of

degradation under field conditions in S EU)

Kinetics: SFO

Field or Lab: Geomean lab data

Crop: Plums (covers the other representative uses of

Karate 10 CS and Kaiso sorbie 5% EG)

Depth of soil: 5 cm

Soil bulk density: 1.5 g/cm³ % plant interception: 50 Number of applications: 2

Interval (d): 10

Application rate(s): 25 g a.s./ha

Crop: Wheat

Depth of soil: 5 cm (20 cm for background

concentration)

Soil bulk density: 1.5 g/cm³ % plant interception: 25 Number of applications: 2

Interval (d): 18

Application rate(s): 7.5 g a.s./ha

PEC_(s)
(µg/kg dry wt)
lambdacyhalothrin in
Karate 10 CS
Crop: Plums
Initial
Long term 21d
Plateau concentration

Single application Actual	Single application Time weighted average	Multiple application Actual	Multiple application Time weighted average		
-		32.7			
-	-	-	31.4		
43.1 with a corresponding 21-d TWA 41.4					

PEC_(s)
(μg/kg dry wt)
lambdacyhalothrin in
Karate 10 CS
Crop: Wheat
Initial
Long term 21d
Plateau concentration

Single application Actual	Single application Time weighted average	Multiple application Actual	Multiple application Time weighted average	
-		14.5		
-	-	-	13.9	
15.7 with a corresponding 21-d TWA 15.0				

Metabolite Ia following use of 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'
Method of calculation

Application data

Molecular weight relative to the parent: 0.539

DT₅₀ (d): 19.1 Kinetics: SFO Field or Lab: lab

Calculated from max plateau PECs for parent using

max observation in soil 22.9 %

Crop: Plums (covers the other representative uses of

'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG')

Depth of soil: 5 cm



Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance lambda-cyhalothrin

Single application Single application Multiple Multiple PEC_(s) application (µg/kg dry wt) application Metabolite Ia Time weighted Actual Time weighted Actual average average Initial 5.3 Plateau concentration Not required

Metabolite XV following use of 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'

Method of calculation Application data Molecular weight relative to the parent: 1.036

DT₅₀ (d): 24.2 Kinetics: SFO Field or Lab: lab

Calculated from max plateau PECs for parent using

max observation in soil 12.1 %

Crop: Plums (covers the other representative uses of

Karate 10 CS and Kaiso sorbie 5% EG)

Depth of soil: 5 cm

PEC_(s)
(μg/kg dry wt)
Metabolit XV

Initial
Long term 21d
Plateau concentration

Single application	Single application	Multiple application	Multiple application
Actual	Time weighted average	Actual	Time weighted average
-		5.4	
-	-	-	4.1
Not required			

Metabolite V (PBA) following use of 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' in winter wheat assuming anaerobic conditions

Method of calculation Application data Molecular weight relative to the parent: 0.476 DT₅₀ (d) parent: 134 d (worst-case anaerobic)

DT₅₀ (d) metabolite: not used

Kinetics: SFO Field or Lab: lab

Calculated from max PECs for parent using max

observation in soil 31.4 %

Crop: Winter wheat (assuming anaerobic conditions

may prevail for autumn use)

Depth of soil: 5 cm

 $\begin{aligned} &PEC_{(s)} \\ &(\mu g/kg \; dry \; wt) \\ &Metabolit \; V \end{aligned}$

Initial

Plateau concentration

Single application	Single application	Multiple	Multiple
		application	application
Actual	Time weighted	Actual	Time weighted
	average		average
-		2.1	
Not required			

PEC (soil)

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda 50EC'

Method of calculation

Application data

DT₅₀ (d): 174.6 d (to address uncertainty over rate of degradation under field conditions in S EU)

Kinetics: SFO

Field or Lab: Geomean lab data

Crop: Seed potatoes (covers the other representative uses of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda

50EC')

Depth of soil: 5 cm

Soil bulk density: 1.5 g/cm³ % plant interception: 50



Number of applications: 2 (once at BBCH 15-39, and

once at BBCH 40-85)

Interval (d): 8

Application rate(s): 20 g a.s./ha

PEC_(s)
(µg/kg dry wt)
lambdacyhalothrin in
Karate 10 CS
Initial
Long term 21d
Plateau concentration

Single application Actual	Single application Time weighted average	Multiple application Actual	Multiple application Time weighted average	
-		26.2		
-	-	-	25.1	
27.1 with a corresponding 21-d TWA 26.0				

Metabolite Ia following use of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda 50EC'

Method of calculation

Application data

Molecular weight relative to the parent: 0.539

DT₅₀ (d): 19.1 Kinetics: SFO Field or Lab: lab

Calculated from max PECs for parent using max

observation in soil 22.9 %

Crop: Seed potatoes (covers the other representative uses of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda

50EC')

Depth of soil: 5 cm

PEC_(s) (µg/kg dry wt) Metabolit Ia

Initial Plateau concentration

Single application	Single application	Multiple application	Multiple application
Actual	Time weighted average	Actual	Time weighted average
-	uverage	3.3	uverage
Not required			

Metabolite XV following use of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda 50EC' Method of calculation

Application data

Molecular weight relative to the parent: 1.036

DT₅₀ (d): 24.2 Kinetics: SFO Field or Lab: lab

Calculated from max PECs for parent using max observation in soil 12.1 %

Crop: Seed potatoes (covers the other representative uses of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda

50EC')

Depth of soil: 5 cm

PEC_(s) (µg/kg dry wt) Metabolit XV

Initial Long term 21d Plateau concentration

Single application	Single application	Multiple application	Multiple application		
Actual	Time weighted average	Actual	Time weighted average		
-		3.4	gr		
-	-	-	2.6		
Not required					

Metabolite V (PBA) following use of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda 50EC' in winter wheat assuming anaerobic conditions

Method of calculation

Molecular weight relative to the parent: 0.476

 DT_{50} (d) parent: not used DT_{50} (d) metabolite: 1000 d

Kinetics: SFO

Field or Lab: default value



Application data

Calculated from max PECs for parent using theoretical

Multiple

average

application

Time weighted

max formation 100%

Crop: Winter wheat (assuming anaerobic conditions

may prevail for autumn use)

Depth of soil: 5 cm

PEC_(s) (µg/kg dry wt) Metabolit V

Single application Single application Multiple application Actual Time weighted Actual average 7.0 Not required

Initial

Plateau concentration

Route and rate of degradation in water

Hydrolytic degradation of the active substance and metabolites > 10 % ‡

Photolytic degradation of active substance and

metabolites above 10 % ‡

Quantum yield of direct phototransformation in water at $\Sigma > 290 \text{ nm}$

Readily biodegradable ‡ (yes/no)

pH 4 (50°C): stable

pH 7 (20°C): DT₅₀ 167 d (SFO) pH 7 (25°C): DT₅₀ 87.4 d (SFO) pH 9 (20°C): DT₅₀ 17.8 d (SFO) pH 9 (25°C): DT₅₀ 1.3 d (SFO)

Direct photolysis:

DT₅₀ (actual): --

DT₅₀ (re-calculated to summer Sunlight 30-50°N):

8.5 days (SFO)

Metabolites: met. V (max 10.5%, 9 d)

Indirect photolysis:

DT₅₀ (actual): --

DT₅₀ (re-calculated to summer Sunlight 30-50°N):

11.4 days (SFO)

Metabolites: met. V (max 10.4%, 2 d; 28.5%, 15 d)

not submitted; not required

not submitted; not required

Degradation in water/sediment*

lambda-	Distribut	Distribution: mainly to sediment								
cyhalothrin	Max in v	Max in water: 71.5% (Old Basing) - 49.3% (Virginia water) (both on day 0)								
	Max in s	edimer	nt: 70.2% (d	ay 1) (Old Ba	sing) -	- 60.9% (d	ay 4) (Virginia v	water)	
Water /	pН	pН	t. °C	DT ₅₀ -	St.	DT ₅₀ -	St.	DT ₅₀ -	St.	Method of
sediment	water	sed		DT_{90}	(χ^2)	DT_{90}	(χ^2)	DT_{90}	(χ^2)	calc.
system	phase			whole		water a		sed		
				system						
Old Basing	7.2-7.8	7.8	$20 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$	21.0 /	7.8	0.19 /	9.7	-	-	whole
sandy loam				69.8		3.3				system:SFO
7.5 % OC										water:FOMC
Virginia water	6.8-7.2	7.1	$20 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$	10.9 /	8.6	0.28 /	8.6	-	-	whole
sand				36.1		5.0				system:SFO
0.5 % OC										water:FOMC
Geometric mea	n DT ₅₀ :			15.1						

^a DT₅₀/DT₉₀ in water represents dissipation – separate DegT₅₀/DegT₉₀ not obtained for water and sediment, respectively.

^{*}Results from a study with ¹⁴C-cyclopropyl label lambda-cyhalothrin. A data gap has been identified for satisfactory information to address the levels of metabolites formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin when applied at doses below the water solubility in the aquatic environment.



Metabolite Ia	Max formation in whole system: 22.0 % (day 30 in Old Basing); 29.4 % (day 30 in Virginia water) Distribution: Max in water: 11.4 % (day 30 in Old Baisng); 29.4 % (day 30 in Virginia water) Max in sediment: 10.6 % (day30 in Old Basing); 5.3 % (day 58 in Virginia water)									
Water / sediment system	pH water phase 7.2-7.8	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
Old Basing sandy loam 7.5 % OC	1.2-1.8	7.8	20 ± 2°C	10.9 / 36.3	16.3	-	-	-	-	SFO- SFO
Virginia water sand 0.5 % OC	6.8-7.2	7.1	20 ± 2°C	5.4 / 17.9	15.4	-	-	-	-	SFO- SFO
Geometric mea	n DT ₅₀ :			7.7						

Metabolite XV	Max formation in whole system: <10 % (Old Basing); 10.5 % (day 14 in Virginia water) Distribution:									
	Max in w	ater:1.3	3 % (day 4 ii	n Virginia wate	er)					
	Max in se	ediment	: 9.6 % (day	14 in Virginia	water)					
Water /	pН	pН	t. °C	DT ₅₀ -DT ₉₀	St.	DT ₅₀ -	St.	DT ₅₀ -	St.	Metod
sediment	water	sed		whole	(χ^2)	DT_{90}	(χ^2)	DT_{90}	(χ^2)	of calc.
system	phase			system		water		sed		
Old Basing	7.2-7.8	7.8	$20 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$	6.6 / 21.8	24.6	-	-	-	-	SFO-
sandy loam										SFO
7.5 % OC										
Virginia water	6.8-7.2	7.1	$20 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$	5.1 / 17.0	13.6	-	-	-	-	SFO-
sand										SFO
0.5 % OC										
Geometric mea	n DT ₅₀ :			5.8						

Mineralization an	Mineralization and non extractable residues								
Water / sediment system	pH water phase	pH sed	Mineralization X% after n days (end of study) ^a	Non-extractable residues in sed. Max x% after n days ^a	Non-extractable residues in sed. % at end of study ^a				
Old Basing sandy loam 7.5 % OC	7.2-7.8	7.8	15.2-31.0 % (98 d)	24.4-37.6 % (98 d)	24.4-37.6 % (98 d)				
Virginia water sand 0.5 % OC	6.8-7.2	7.1	42.3-48.8 % (98 d)	27.8 % (58 d) – 33.2 % (d 30)	17.4-21.8 % (98 d)				

^a Results given as range to reflect that results were obtained from two positions of labelling (cyclopropyl and phenoxy).

PEC (surface water) and PEC (sediment)

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'.

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Version control no. of FOCUS calculator: 2.1

Molecular weight (g/mol): 449.9 Water solubility (mg/L): 0.005

Koc: 38000

DT₅₀ soil (d): 174.6

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 15.1

DT₅₀ water (d): 15.1 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 15.1

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 3 and 4

Version control no.'s of FOCUS software: PRZM 1.1.1, MACRO 4.4.2, TOXSWA 3.3.1, SWAN 3.0.0

Vapour pressure: 0



Application rate

Koc/Kom (mL/g): 38000 / 22000

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d):175 DT₅₀ water (d): 1000 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 15.1

Crop: winter wheat

Crop interception: minimal crop cover (25%)

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 18

Application rate(s): 7.5 g a.s./ha

Application window:

Step 1&2: Oct-Feb (N-EU, S-EU)

Step 3&4: day of emergence and 49 days onwards (in runs for metabolite XV 40-66 days onwards)

Step 4 calculations covered by FOCUS Step 4 simulations provided for 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100

CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'

Crop: spring wheat

Crop interception: minimal crop cover (25%)

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 18

Application rate(s): 7.5 g a.s./ha

Application window:

Step 1&2: Mar-May (N-EU, S-EU)

Step 3 (applies only to metabolite XV): day of

emergence and 53-61 days onwards

Crop: tomato

Crop interception: minimal crop cover (25%)

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 12

Application rate(s): 12.5 (N-EU) and 25 (S-EU) g a.s./ha; at Step 3& 4 for the parent only 12.5 g a.s./ha

modelled

Application window:

Step 1&2: Mar-May (N-EU, S-EU); no run-off/drainage for glasshouse use

Step 3: day of emergence and 43 days onwards (in runs

for metabolite XV 46 days onwards) Step 4 no valid calculations available

Crop: plums (early, late)

Crop interception: early: no intercept; late: full canopy

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 10

Application rate(s): 10 (N-EU) and 25 (S-EU) g as/ha at Step 3& 4 for the parent only late applications of

10 g a.s./ha modelled Application window:

Step 1&2: Mar-May (early); Jun-Sep (late)

Step 3: day of emergence and 41 onwards (in runs for metabolite XV 46 days (early) / 40 days (late onwards) Step 4 mitigation: no valid calculations available

FOCUS STEP 1 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
lambda-cyhalothrin in	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21-day
'Karate 10 CS' and	maximum				-
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'					
Winter cereals	0 h	0.23	0.067	36.8	
Spring cereals	0 h	0.23	0.067	36.8	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	0.39	0.11	61.3	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	0.78	0.22	123	



Tomatoes indoor	0 h	0.033	0.009	5.11	
Plums early N-EU	0 h	2.08	0.152	49.0	
Plums early S-EU	0 h	5.19	0.381	123	
Plums late N-EU	0 h	1.18	0.120	49.0	
Plums late S-EU	0 h	2.94	0.301	123	

Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

FOCUS STEP 2 a, b	Day after	PECsw (µg/L) ^a		PECsed (µg/kg) ^a	
lambda-cyhalothrin in	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21 -day
'Karate 10 CS' and	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'					
Winter cereals N-EU	0 h	0.069	0.024	13.6	
Winter cereals S-EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.0	
Spring cereals N-EU	0 h	0.069	0.012	5.77	
Spring Cereals S-EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.0	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	0.115	0.020	9.80	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	0.230	0.068	37.3	
Tomatoes Glasshouse	0 h	0.008	0.0006	0.083	
Plum early appl N-EU	0 h	0.973	0.072	17.8	
Plum early appl S-EU	0 h	2.43	0.216	6.81	
Plums late appl N-EU	0 h	0.524	0.033	68.2	
Plums late appl S-EU	0 h	1.31	0.085	20.6	

Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97
Data presented represent max result either from one or two applications.

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' ^a						
Crop: Winter cereals						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0467	0.015	0.229	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0409		0.0998	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.0467		0.195	
D2	stream	0 h	0.0402		0.0706	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0459		0.0942	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0155	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0399		0.0618	
D5	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0165	
D5	stream	0 h	0.0431		0.0738	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0465		0.181	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00162		0.027	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0304		0.163	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0426		0.442	0.356
R4	stream	0 h	0.0305		0.398	
TWO APPLICATIONS						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0488	0.0187	0.378	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0354		0.0984	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.0408		0.170	
D2	stream	0 h	0.0347		0.061	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0402		0.0948	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00182		0.0257	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0345		0.056	
D5	pond	0 h	0.00192		0.027	
D5	stream	0 h	0.0373		0.0689	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0406		0.158	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00264		0.0503	



R1	stream	0 h	0.0263	0.321	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0369	0.888	
R4	stream	0 h	0.0264	0.863	0.735

^a Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS'

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' ^a				-		
Crop: Tomatoes						
ONE APPLICATION						
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0769	0.00375	0.185	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0672		2.53	2.22
R3	stream	0 h	0.0715		1.17	
R4	stream	0 h	0.0508		1.42	
TWO APPLICATIONS						
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0672	0.00428	0.162	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0581		4.87	4.27
R3	stream	0 h	0.0621		2.24	
R4	stream	0 h	0.0439		2.94	

^a Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS'

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg	<u>g</u>)
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'a	-					
Crop: Plums late						
ONE APPLICATION				•		
D3	ditch	0 h	0.357	0.0271	0.985	0.452
D4	pond	0 h	0.016		0.155	
D4	stream	0 h	0.358		0.536	
D5	pond	0 h	0.016		0.138	
D5	stream	0 h	0.387		0.660	
R1	pond	0 h	0.016		0.147	
R1	stream	0 h	0.274		0.392	
R2	stream	0 h	0.367		0.359	
R3	stream	0 h	0.386		0.652	
R4	stream	0 h	0.274		0.390	
TWO APPLICATIONS			1	•	•	•
D3	ditch	0 h	0.285	0.0456	1.07	
D4	pond	0 h	0.0187		0.263	
D4	stream	0 h	0.286		0.429	
D5	pond	0 h	0.0211		0.227	
D5	stream	0 h	0.310		0.589	
R1	pond	0 h	0.0197		0.246	
R1	stream	0 h	0.220		0.333	
R2	stream	0 h	0.294		0.456	
R3	stream	0 h	0.309		0.938	0.699
R4	stream	0 h	0.219		0.331	

^a Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS'

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'.

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Version control no. of FOCUS calculator: 2.1

Molecular weight (g/mol): 449.9 Water solubility (mg/L): 0.005

Koc (mL/g): 38000

DT₅₀ soil (d): 174.6

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 15.1



Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 3 and 4

Application rate

DT₅₀ water (d): 15.1 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 15.1

Version control no.'s of FOCUS software: SWASH 1.1 including FOCUS-PRZM 1.1.1, FOCUS-MACRO 4.4.2, FOCUS-TOXSWA 2.2.1; SWAN 1.1.4

Vapour pressure: 2×10^{-7}

Koc/Kom (mL/g): 38000 / 22000

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 70.9

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 15.1

DT₅₀ water (d): 1000 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 15.1

Crop: winter wheat BBCH 10-29

Crop interception: minimal crop cover (25%)

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 14

Application rate(s): 7.5 g a.s./ha

Application window:

Step 1&2: Oct-Feb (N-EU, S-EU),

Step 3&4: 1 day after emergence and 44 days onwards Step 4 mitigation: 95% nozzle reduction, 20 m vegetated run-off buffer (90% reduction)

Crop: winter wheat BBCH 30-79

Crop interception: average crop cover (50%)

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 14

Application rate(s): 7.5 g a.s./ha

Application window:

Step 1&2: Mar-May (N-EU, S-EU)

Step 3&4: 112 days before harvest and 44 days

onwards

Step 4 mitigation: 95% nozzle reduction, 20 m vegetated run-off buffer (90% reduction)

Crop: spring wheat BBCH 30-79

Crop interception: minimal crop cover (25%)

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 14

Application rate(s): 7.5 g a.s./ha

Application window:

Step 1&2: Jun-Sep (N-EU), Mar-May (S-EU)

Step 3&4: 112 days before harvest and 44 days

onwards

Step 4 mitigation: 95% nozzle reduction, 20 m vegetated run-off buffer (90% reduction)

Crop: potato/seed potato BBCH 15-39, 40-85

Crop interception: full crop cover (70%)

Number of applications: 1, 2 Interval (d): 7 (N-EU), 8 (S-EU),

Application rate(s): 7.5 (N-EU) and 20 g a.s./ha (S-EU)

Application window:

Step 1&2: Jun-Sep (N-EU, S-EU)

Step 3&4: 30 days after emergence and 37 days

onwards

Step 4 mitigation: 95% nozzle reduction, 20 m vegetated run-off buffer (90% reduction)

Crop: potato/seed potato, BBCH 15-39, 40-85 Crop interception: minimum crop cover (15%) Number of applications: 1, 4 (N-EU), 1, 2 (S-EU)

Interval (d): 7 (N-EU), 8 (S-EU)

Application rate(s): 7.5 (N-EU) and 20 g a.s./ha (S-EU)



Application window:

Step 1&2: Mar-May (N-EU, S-EU)

Step 3&4: 3 days after emergence and 30/37 days

onwards

Step 4 mitigation: 95% nozzle reduction, 20 m vegetated run-off buffer (90% reduction)

Crop: peach (late) (only Lambda-cyhalothrin 100 CS)

Crop interception: full canopy (70%)

Number of applications: 1, 2

Interval (d): 30

Application rate(s): 22.5 g as/ha

Application window:

Step 1&2: Mar-May (late; S-EU) Step 3&4: not run at Step 3 and 4

FOCUS STEP 1 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/k	g)
lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'	overall maximum	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21-day
Winter & spring cereals N/S EU	0 h	0.23	0.067	36.8	
Potatoes N EU	0 h	0.23	0.067	36.8	
Potatoes S EU	0 h	0.63	0.18	98.1	
Seed potatoe N EU	0 h	0.47	0.13	73.5	
Seed potato S EU	0 h	0.63	0.18	98.1	
Peach, late application S EU	0 h	2.65	0.27	110.3	

^a Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

FOCUS STEP 2 a, b	Day after	PECsw (µg/L) ^a		PECsed (µg/kg	g) ^a
lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Lambda-	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA
Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and	maximum				21 -day
'Lambda 50 EC'					
Winter cereals (fall appl.) N EU	0 h	0.069	0.024	13.8	
Winter cereals (fall appl.) S EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.1	
Winter cereals (spring appl.) N EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.1	
Winter cereals (spring appl.) S EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.1	
Spring cereals N EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.1	
Spring cereals S EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.1	
Potatoes (Jun-Sep) N EU	0 h	0.069	0.020	11.1	
Potatoes (Jun-Sep) S EU	0 h	0.18	0.024	10.2	
Seed potatos (Mar-May) N EU	0 h	0.069	0.022	12.5	
Seed potatos (Mar-May) S EU	0 h	0.18	0.061	34.0	
Peach, late applications S EU	0 h	1.2	0.079	19.1	

^a Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

Data presented represent max result either from one or two applications.

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Winter wheat,						
BBCH 10-29						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0467	0.0149	0.228	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0409		0.0998	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.0467		0.195	
D2	stream	0 h	0.0402		0.0705	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0459		0.0942	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0154	



D4	stream	0 h	0.0399		0.0618
D5	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0164
D5	stream	0 h	0.0431		0.0737
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0465		0.181
R1	pond	0 h	0.00162		0.0265
R1	stream	0 h	0.0304		0.156
R3	stream	0 h	0.0421		3.290
R4	stream	0 h	0.0301		0.309
TWO APPLICATIONS					
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0487	0.0186	0.375
D1	stream	0 h	0.0354		0.0984
D2	ditch	0 h	0.0408		0.170
D2	stream	0 h	0.0347		0.0609
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0402		0.0948
D4	pond	0 h	0.00182		0.0256
D4	stream	0 h	0.0345		0.0560
D5	pond	0 h	0.00192		0.0269
D5	stream	0 h	0.0373		0.0689
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0406		0.158
R1	pond	0 h	0.00217		0.0496
R1	stream	0 h	0.0263		0.314
R3	stream	0 h	0.0371		3.596
R4	stream	0 h	0.0261		0.693

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Winter wheat,						
BBCH 30-79						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0467	0.0147	0.222	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0409		0.0997	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.0468		0.212	
D2	stream	0 h	0.0416		0.188	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0462		0.111	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0141	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0393		0.0500	
D5	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0156	
D5	stream	0 h	0.0372		0.0216	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0464		0.143	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00161		0.0243	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0304		0.359	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0427		0.421	
R4	stream	0 h	0.0305		1.077	
TWO APPLICATIONS						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0454	0.0158	0.3150	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0353		0.0923	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.0415		0.2370	
D2	stream	0 h	0.0360		0.1630	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0405		0.1170	
D4	pond	0 h	0.0020		0.0223	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0345		0.0546	
D5	pond	0 h	0.0020		0.0241	
D5	stream	0 h	0.0350		0.0324	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.0408		0.187	
R1	pond	0 h	0.0020		0.0427	



R1	stream	0 h	0.0263	0.741	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0372	0.867	
R4	stream	0 h	0.0264	2.019	

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg))
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Spring wheat,						
BBCH 30-79						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0464	0.00432	0.137	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0365		0.0257	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0462		0.108	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0140	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0382		0.0380	
D5	pond	0 h	0.00159		0.0152	
D5	stream	0 h	0.0388		0.0263	
R4	stream	0 h	0.0305		1.292	
TWO APPLICATIONS						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.0412	0.0128	0.204	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0353		0.0864	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0404		0.112	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00192		0.0221	
D4	stream	0 h	0.034		0.0446	
D5	pond	0 h	0.00182		0.0220	
D5	stream	0 h	0.0348		0.0307	
R4	stream	0 h	0.0264		2.589	

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg))
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: (Seed) potato,						
1-2 x 20 g/ha						
ONE APPLICATION (I	BBCH 15-39)					•
D3	ditch	0 h	0.1020	0.00478	0.2380	
D4	pond	0 h	0.0041		0.0348	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0808		0.0503	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.1000		0.1490	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.0998		0.1420	
R1	pond	0 h	0.0042		0.182	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0693		2.051	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0933		5.350	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0995		2.123	
ONE APPLICATION (I	BBCH 40-85)					
D3	ditch	0 h	0.102		0.237	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00411		0.0366	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0807		0.0501	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.102		0.241	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.102	0.00742	0.268	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00687		0.251	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0707		3.501	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0948		6.647	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0997		3.002	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 15-39	9, and 40-85)				



D3	ditch	0 h	0.0883		0.209	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00426		0.046	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0695		0.0433	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0883		0.211	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.0882		0.203	
R1	pond	0 h	0.0106	0.00858	0.399	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0608		4.777	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0803		11.400	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0858		5.026	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 40-85	5)				
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0887		0.26	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00507		0.0591	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0758		0.0746	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0887		0.249	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.0908	0.0178	0.393	
R1	pond	0 h	0.0138		0.518	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0609		7.470	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0816		13.899	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0858		6.149	

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum	Actual	21-day	Actual	21-day
100 CS' and	loody	maximum		21 day		21 day
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: (Seed) potato,						
1, 2, 4 x 7.5 g/ha						
ONE APPLICATION (BBCH 15-39)					<u> </u>
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0382	0.00179	0.0891	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00154		0.013	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0303		0.0189	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0375		0.0557	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.0374		0.0532	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00193		0.0698	
R1	stream	0 h	0.026		0.794	
R2	stream	0 h	0.035		2.007	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0373		0.796	
ONE APPLICATION (BBCH 40-85)	1	1			
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0382		0.089	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00154		0.0137	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0303		0.0188	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0382		0.0904	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.0382	0.00278	0.1	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00258		0.0941	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0265		1.313	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0355		2.492	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0374		1.126	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 15-3	9)			•	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0332		0.0953	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00175		0.0199	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0261		0.0162	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0326		0.0537	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.0326		0.0515	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00185	0.00312	0.144	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0228		1.724	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0301		4.253	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0323		1.650	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 40-8	5)				



D3	ditch	0 h	0.0333		0.0975	
D4	pond	0 h	0.0019		0.0222	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0284		0.028	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0332		0.0934	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.034	0.00667	0.147	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00516		0.194	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0228		2.792	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0306		5.211	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0322		2.277	
FOUR APPLICATIONS	S (BBCH 15-3	9, and 40-85)				
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0257		0.0739	
D4	pond	0 h	0.00244		0.0305	
D4	stream	0 h	0.0212		0.0156	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0257		0.0712	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.0257		0.0677	
R1	pond	0 h	0.0027	0.00651	0.315	
R1	stream	0 h	0.0178		3.987	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0238		8.842	
R3	stream	0 h	0.0252		3.748	

FOCUS STEP 4 Day after overall Actual TWA Actual TWA (Lambda-Cyhalothrin body maximum 21-day 21-day PECsed (µg/kg)	
	٨
1 Lannona-Cynaionnin i dony - i maximum	
100 CS' and	iay
'Lambda 50 EC'	
Crop: Winter wheat,	
BBCH 10-29	
ONE APPLICATION	
D1 ditch 0 h 0.00226 0.000722 0.0111	
D1 stream 0 h 0.0019 0.00465	
D2 ditch 0 h 0.00226 0.00942	
D2 stream 0 h 0.00187 0.00329	
D3 ditch 0 h 0.00222 0.00456	
D4 pond 0 h 0.00097 0.000936	
D5 stream 0 h 0.00201 0.00344	
D6 ditch 0 h 0.00225 0.00876	
R1 pond 0 h 0.0001 0.0221	
R1 stream 0 h 0.00142 0.159	
R3 stream 0 h 0.00197 0.329	
R4 stream 0 h 0.0014 0.0323	
TWO APPLICATIONS	
D1 ditch 0 h 0.00231 0.000884 0.0178	
D1 stream 0 h 0.00191 0.00530	
D2 ditch 0 h 0.00194 0.00813	
D2 stream 0 h 0.00187 0.00330	
D3 ditch 0 h 0.00191 0.00450	
D4 pond 0 h 0.000135 0.00190	
D4 stream 0 h 0.00186 0.00302	
D5 pond 0 h 0.000143 0.00200	
D5 stream 0 h 0.00201 0.00371	
D6 ditch 0 h 0.00193 0.00751	
R1 pond 0 h 0.000201 0.0449	
R1 stream 0 h 0.00142 0.0321	
R3 stream 0 h 0.00201 0.360	
R4 stream 0 h 0.00141 0.0701	



FOCUS STEP 4		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Winter wheat,						
BBCH 30-79						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.00226	0.000713	0.0107	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0019		0.00465	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.00227		0.0103	
D2	stream	0 h	0.00194		0.00879	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00224		0.00538	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000097		0.000857	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00183		0.00233	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000097		0.000954	
D5	stream	0 h	0.00173		0.00101	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.00225		0.00694	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000099		0.00208	
R1	stream	0 h	0.00142		0.0362	
R3	stream	0 h	0.00199		0.0422	
R4	stream	0 h	0.00142		0.108	
TWO APPLICATIONS						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.00216	0.000751	0.0149	
D1	stream	0 h	0.00191		0.00498	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.00197		0.0113	
D2	stream	0 h	0.00194		0.00879	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00192		0.00555	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000146		0.00166	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00186		0.00294	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000148		0.00180	
D5	stream	0 h	0.00189		0.00175	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.00194		0.00888	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000157		0.0433	
R1	stream	0 h	0.00142		0.0747	
R3	stream	0 h	0.002		0.0870	
R4	stream	0 h	0.00146		0.203	

FOCUS STEP 4		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Spring wheat, BBCH 30-79						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.00225	0.000209	0.00665	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0017		0.00120	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00223		0.00522	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000097		0.000852	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00178		0.00177	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000097		0.000930	
D5	stream	0 h	0.00181		0.00123	
R4	stream	0 h	0.00142		0.130	
TWO APPLICATIONS						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.00196	0.000608	0.00969	
D1	stream	0 h	0.0019		0.00466	



D3	ditch	0 h	0.00192	0.00530	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000143	0.00164	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00183	0.00240	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000135	0.00164	
D5	stream	0 h	0.00188	0.00165	
R4	stream	0 h	0.00152	0.260	

FOCUS STEP 4		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg))
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: (Seed) potato,						
1-2 x 20 g/ha						
ONE APPLICATION (I						
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00511		0.0119	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000194		0.00164	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00407		0.00253	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00502		0.00747	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00501		0.00712	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000532	0.000428	0.0187	
R1	stream	0 h	0.00349		0.206	
R2	stream	0 h	0.0047		0.536	
R3	stream	0 h	0.00501		0.213	
ONE APPLICATION (I	BBCH 40-85)					
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00511		0.0119	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000194		0.00173	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00406		0.00252	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00511		0.0121	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00512	0.000372	0.0134	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000726		0.0268	
R1	stream	0 h	0.00356		0.352	
R2	stream	0 h	0.00477		0.665	
R3	stream	0 h	0.00502		0.301	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 15-39	9, and 40-85)			•	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00447		0.0106	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000246		0.00265	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00352		0.00220	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00447		0.0107	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00447		0.0103	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00112	0.0009	0.0409	
R1	stream	0 h	0.00424		0.480	
R2	stream	0 h	0.00407		1.141	
R3	stream	0 h	0.00436		0.510	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 40-8:		•	•		•
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00449		0.0132	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000292		0.00341	
D4	stream	0 h	0.00385		0.00378	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00449		0.0126	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00459	0.000900	0.0199	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00146		0.532	
R1	stream	0 h	0.00355		0.750	
R2	stream	0 h	0.00414		1.391	
R3	stream	0 h	0.00435		0.617	
100	Jucuii	0 11	0.00155		0.017	

FOCUS STEP 4		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
lambda-cyhalothrin in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day



100 CS' and					
'Lambda 50 EC'					
Crop: (Seed) potato,					
1, 2, 4 x 7.5 g/ha					
ONE APPLICATION (BBCH 15-39)			1	1
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00192		0.00448
D4	pond	0 h	0.000097		0.00082
D4	stream	0 h	0.00163		0.00101
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00188		0.0028
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00188		0.00267
R1	pond	0 h	0.000203	0.000163	0.0717
R1	stream	0 h	0.0014		0.0798
R2	stream	0 h	0.00188		0.201
R3	stream	0 h	0.002		0.0800
ONE APPLICATION (BBCH 40-85)				
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00192		0.00447
D4	pond	0 h	0.000097		0.000862
D4	stream	0 h	0.00163		0.00101
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00192		0.00454
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00192	0.00014	0.00504
R1	pond	0 h	0.000272		0.0968
R1	stream	0 h	0.00143		0.132
R2	stream	0 h	0.00191		0.249
R3	stream	0 h	0.00201		0.113
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 15-39	9)	l		
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0016		0.00459
D4	pond	0 h	0.000134		0.000153
D4	stream	0 h	0.00136		0.000844
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00157		0.00259
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00157		0.0148
R1	pond	0 h	0.000406	0.000327	0.0848
R1	stream	0 h	0.00154		0.173
R2	stream	0 h	0.00157		0.426
R3	stream	0 h	0.00169		0.166
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 40-85	5)			
D3	ditch	0 h	0.0016		0.0047
D4	pond	0 h	0.000146		0.0017
D4	stream	0 h	0.00148		0.00146
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.0016		0.0045
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00164	0.000322	0.00711
R1	pond	0 h	0.000547		0.199
R1	stream	0 h	0.00133		0.280
R2	stream	0 h	0.00159		0.522
R3	stream	0 h	0.00167		0.231
FOUR APPLICATION	S (BBCH 15-3	9, and 40-85)			
D3	ditch	0 h	0.00128		0.00368
D4	pond	0 h	0.000232		0.0029
D4	stream	0 h	0.00113		0.00113
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.00128		0.00355
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.00128		0.00337
R1	pond	0 h	0.000848	0.000683	0.0323
R1	stream	0 h	0.00285		0.401
R2	stream	0 h	0.00127		0.885
R3	stream	0 h	0.00225		0.376



Metabolite Ia following use of 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Version control no. of FOCUS calculator: 2.1

Molecular weight (g/mol): 242.5 Water solubility (mg/L): 56.0

Koc (mL/g): 13 DT₅₀ soil (d): 8.9

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 7.7

DT₅₀ water (d): 7.7 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 7.7

Maximum occurrence observed (% molar basis with

respect to the parent)

soil: 22.9

Water/Sediment: 29.4

Not performed

See parent

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 3 and 4 Application rate

FOCUS STEP 1 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
Metabolite Ia	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21-day
following use of	maximum				
'Karate 10 CS' and					
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'					
Winter cereals	0 h	0.629	0.282	0.079	
Spring cereals	0 h	0.629	0.283	0.079	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	1.05	0.47	0.13	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	2.10	0.942	0.263	
Tomatoes indoor	0 h	0.087	0.039	0.011	
Plums early N-EU	0 h	1.16	0.499	0.105	
Plums early S-EU	0 h	2.80	1.25	0.263	
Plums late N-EU	0 h	0.977	0.438	0.105	
Plums late S-EU	0 h	2.44	1.09	0.263	

^a Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

FOCUS STEP 2 a, b	Day after	PECsw (µg/L) ^a		PECsed (µg/kg) ^a	
Metabolite Ia	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21 -day
following use of	maximum				-
'Karate 10 CS' and					
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'					
Winter cereals N-EU	0 h	0.112	0.050	0.014	
Winter cereals S-EU	0 h	0.091	0.041	0.012	
Spring cereals N-EU	0 h	0.050	0.022	0.006	
Spring Cereals S-EU	0 h	0.091	0.041	0.012	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	0.092	0.041	0.011	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	0.339	0.152	0.043	
Tomatoes Glasshouse	0 h	0.002	0.0008	0.0001	
Plum early appl N-EU	0 h	0.216	0.097	0.026	
Plum early appl S-EU	0 h	0.761	0.341	0.090	
Plums late appl N-EU	0 h	0.090	0.051	0.010	
Plums late appl S-EU	0 h	0.253	0.113	0.030	

^a Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

Metabolite Ia following use of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Version control no. of FOCUS calculator: 2.1

Molecular weight (g/mol): 242.5 Water solubility (mg/L): 56.0

Koc (mL/g): 13.0 DT₅₀ soil (d): 8.9

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 7.7

b Data presented represent max result either from one or two applications.



DT₅₀ water (d): 7.7

DT₅₀ sediment (d): 7.7 Maximum occurrence observed (% molar basis with

respect to the parent)

soil: 22.9

Water/Sediment: 29.4

Not performed

See parent

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 3 and 4 Application rate

FOCUS STEP 1 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/k	g)
Metabolite Ia following use of	overall	Actual	TWA 21-	Actual	TWA 21-
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and	maximum		day		day
'Lambda 50EC'					-
Winter & Spring cereals N/S EU	0 h	0.63	0.28	0.079	
Potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.63	0.28	0.079	
Potatoes S-EU	0 h	1.7	0.75	0.21	
Seed potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.63	0.28	0.079	
Seed potatoes S-EU	0 h	1.7	0.75	0.21	
Peach late	0 h	1.1	0.49	0.11	

Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

FOCUS STEP 2 a, b	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg	g)
Metabolite Ia following use of	overall	Actual	TWA 21-	Actual	TWA 21 -
'Lambda-cyhalothrin 100CS' and	maximum		day		day
'Lambda 50EC'					
Winter cereals (fall appl.) N EU	0 h	0.12	0.054	0.015	
Winter cereals (fall appl.) S EU	0 h	0.098	0.044	0.012	
Winter cereals (spring appl.) N EU	0 h	0.038	0.017	0.0046	
Winter cereals (spring appl.) S EU	0 h	0.068	0.030	0.0085	
Spring cereals N EU	0 h	0.053	0.024	0.0065	
Spring cereals S EU	0 h	0.098	0.044	0.012	
Potatoes (Jun-Sep) N EU	0 h	0.031	0.014	0.0037	
Potatoes (Jun-Sep) S EU	0 h	0.11	0.049	0.013	
Seed potatos (Mar-May) N EU	0 h	0.070	0.031	0.0086	
Seed potatos (Mar-May) S EU	0 h	0.034	0.15	0.042	
Peach, late applications S EU	0 h	0.21	0.094	0.025	

^a Data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

Metabolite V (PBA) following use of in 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Molecular weight (g/mol): 214.2 Water solubility (mg/L): 0.005

Koc (mL/g): 159 DT₅₀ soil (d): 37.2

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 1000

DT₅₀ water (d): 1000 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 1000

Maximum occurrence observed (% molar basis with

respect to the parent)

Soil: 31.4 (max observed in anaerobic soil, day 90) Water/Sediment: 28.5 (max observed in photolysis

study in natural water, day 15)

Application rate

As for parent except that minimum crop cover (25% interception) was assumed instead for zero interception for the early applications to plums. No data were presented for application to tomato in glasshouse.

FOCUS STEP 1 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
Metabolite V (PBA)	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21-day

Data presented represent max result either from one or two applications.



following use of	maximum			
'Karate 10 CS' and				
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'				
Winter cereals	0 h	0.64	1.00	
Spring cereals	0 h	0.64	1.00	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	1.06	1.67	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	2.12	3.35	
Plums early N-EU	0 h	1.09	1.65	
Plums early S-EU	0 h	2.72	4.13	
Plums late N-EU	0 h	0.96	1.49	
Plums late S-EU	0 h	2.41	3.73	

^a Simulation of two applications always produced higher PECsw and PECsed than single applications; only the results from multiple applications are shown in the table.

FOCUS STEP 2 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
Metabolite V (PBA)	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21 -day
following use of	maximum				
'Karate 10 CS' and					
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'					
Winter cereals N-EU	0 h	0.20		0.31	
Winter cereals S-EU	0 h	0.16		0.26	
Spring cereals N-EU	0 h	0.09		0.14	
Spring Cereals S-EU	0 h	0.16		0.26	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	0.15		0.24	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	0.56		0.89	
Plum early appl N-EU	0 h	0.31		0.48	
Plum early appl S-EU	0 h	1.06		1.64	
Plums late appl N-EU	0 h	0.14		0.21	
Plums late appl S-EU	0 h	0.40		0.61	

^a Simulation of two applications always produced higher PECsw and PECsed than single applications; only the results from multiple applications are shown in the table.

Metabolite V (PBA) following use of in 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda 50EC' Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Molecular weight (g/mol): 214.2 Water solubility (mg/L): 26

Koc (mL/g): 221 DT₅₀ soil (d): 37.2

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 1000

DT₅₀ water (d): 1000 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 1000

Maximum occurrence observed (% molar basis with

respect to the parent)

Soil: 6.2 (max observed in aerobic soil, day 28) Water/Sediment: 28.5 (max observed in water/sed study was close to 10%; max 10.5% in study on direct photolysis day 9; max 28.5% in photolysis study in natural water, day 15, however after 48 h in this study level was 10.4%)

Step 1: As for parent

Step 2: As for parent, though no data available for use

in cereals

FOCUS STEP 1 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L))	PECsed (µg/kg)	
(PBA) following use of 'Lambda-	overall	Actual	TWA 21-	Actual	TWA
Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda	maximum		day		21-day
50EC'					
Winter & Spring cereals N/S EU	0 h	0.12		0.26	
Potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.12		0.26	

Application rate



Potatoes S-EU	0 h	0.32	0.70	
Seed potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.24	0.53	
Seed potatoes S-EU	0 h	0.32	0.70	
Peach	0 h	2.51	0.41	

^a Simulation of two applications always produced higher PECsw and PECsed than single applications; only the results from multiple applications are shown in the table.

FOCUS STEP 2 ^a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L))	PECsed (µg/kg)	
Metabolite V (PBA) following use of	overall	Actual	TWA 21-	Actual	TWA 21
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and	maximum		day		-day
'Lambda 50EC'					
Winter cereals (fall appl.) N EU	0 h	-		-	
Winter cereals (fall appl.) S EU	0 h	-		-	
Winter cereals (spring appl.) N EU	0 h	-		-	
Winter cereals (spring appl.) S EU	0 h	-		-	
Spring cereals N EU	0 h	-		-	
Spring cereals S EU	0 h	-		-	
Potatoes (Mar-May) N EU	0 h	0.01		0.02	
Potatoes (Jun-Sep) S EU	0 h	0.04		0.08	
Seed potatos (Mar-May) N EU	0 h	0.04		0.08	
Seed potatos (Mar-May) S EU	0 h	0.10		0.22	
Peach (Mar-May) S EU	0 h	0.82		0.14	

^a Simulation of two applications always produced higher PECsw and PECsed than single applications; only the results from multiple applications are shown in the table.

Metabolite XV following use of in 'Karate 10 CS'

and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Version control no. of FOCUS calculator: 2.1

Molecular weight (g/mol): 465.9 Water solubility (mg/L): 0.005

Koc (mL/g): 60000 DT₅₀ soil (d): 14.5

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 5.8

DT₅₀ water (d): 5.8 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 5.8

Maximum occurrence observed (% molar basis with

respect to the parent)

Soil: 12.1

Water/Sediment: 10.5

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 3 and 4

Version control no.'s of FOCUS software: SWASH 1.1 including FOCUS-PRZM 1.1.1, FOCUS-MACRO

4.4.2, FOCUS-TOXSWA 3.3.1

Koc (mL/g): 60000

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 14.5 DT₅₀ water (d): 1000 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 5.8

Application rate See pa

See parent, though single applications not considered at Step 3 for metabolite XV.

FOCUS STEP 1 a	Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
Metabolite XV	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21-day
following use of	maximum		-		
'Karate 10 CS' and					
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'					
Winter cereals	0 h	0.0114	0.0016	2.33	
Spring cereals	0 h	0.0114	0.0016	2.32	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	0.038	0.005	7.73	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	0.076	0.011	15.5	
Tomatoes indoor	0 h	0.003	0.0005	0.645	



Plums early N-EU	0 h	0.222	0.010	6.88	
Plums early S-EU	0 h	0.555	0.024	17.2	
Plums late N-EU	0 h	0.124	0.007	6.19	
Plums late S-EU	0 h	0.111	0.006	1.58	

Most data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97

FOCUS STEP 2 a, b	Day after	PECsw (µg/L) ^a		PECsed (µg/kg) ^a	
Metabolite XV	overall	Actual	TWA 21-day	Actual	TWA 21 -day
following use of	maximum				
'Karate 10 CS' and					
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'					
Winter cereals N-EU	0 h	0.008	0.001	1.06	
Winter cereals S-EU	0 h	0.0075	0.0008	0.852	
Spring cereals N-EU	0 h	0.0075	0.0006	0.443	
Spring Cereals S-EU	0 h	0.0075	0.0008	0.852	
Tomatoes N-EU	0 h	0.013	0.001	0.811	
Tomatoes S-EU	0 h	0.025	0.003	3.12	
Tomatoes Glasshouse	0 h	0.0009	0.0001	0.0060	
Plum early appl N-EU	0 h	0.106	0.005	1.373	
Plum early appl S-EU	0 h	0.265	0.014	5.503	
Plums late appl N-EU	0 h	0.057	0.004	0.507	
Plums late appl S-EU	0 h	0.143	0.007	1.58	

Most data provided by RMS after expert consultation TC 97
Data presented represent max result either from one or two applications.

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)	a	PECsed (µg/kg) _p
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Winter cereals						
D1	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.038	
D1	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.010	
D2	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.017	
D2	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.006	
D3	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.009	
D4	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.003	
D4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.006	
D5	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.003	
D5	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.007	
D6	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.016	
R1	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.004	
R1	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.030	
R3	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.055	
		21 d	-		-	0.0773
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.049	

Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS' Data provided by RMS

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after $PECsw (\mu g/L)^a$ $PECsed (\mu g/kg)^b$		PECsw (µg/L) ^a) _p
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Spring cereals						
D1	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.020	



D1	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.009	
D3	ditch	0 h	< 0.001	0.011	
D4	pond	0 h	< 0.001	0.002	
D4	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.004	
D5	pond	0 h	< 0.001	0.002	
D5	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.003	
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.103	
		21 d	-	-	0.1712

Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS'
Data provided by RMS

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)	a	PECsed (µg/kg) b
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Tomatoes (12.5						
g/ha)						
D6	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.016	
R2	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.219	
		21 d	-		•	0.3748
R3	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.079	
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.159	

Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS' Data provided by RMS

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)) ^a	PECsed (µg/kg) _p
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Tomatoes (25 g/ha)						
D6	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.032	
R2	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.471	
		21 d	-		•	0.7826
R3	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.168	
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.336	

Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS'

Data provided by RMS

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)	a	PECsed (µg/kg) ^b
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Plums early (10						
g/ha)						
D3	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.187	
		21 d	-		-	0.2733
D4	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.064	
D4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.067	
D5	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.073	
D5	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.048	
R1	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.071	
R1	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.066	



R2	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.063	
R3	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.131	
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.079	

Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS' Data provided by RMS

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)) ^a	PECsed (µg/kg) b
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Plums late (10 g/ha)						
D3	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.106	
		21 d	-		-	0.1672
D4	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.025	
D4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.045	
D5	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.023	
D5	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.059	
R1	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.024	
R1	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.034	
R2	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.037	
R3	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.067	
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001	_	0.038	

Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS' Data provided by RMS

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)) ^a	PECsed (µg/kg	(s) b
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Plums early (25						
g/ha)						
D3	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.468	
		21 d	-		-	0.6837
D4	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.161	
D4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.168	
D5	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.184	
D5	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.120	
R1	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.178	
R1	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.166	
R2	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.160	
R3	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.328	
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001		0.202	
a Data provided for pro	odust 'Versta 1	nce,	•		•	

Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS

Data provided by RMS

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)) ^a	PECsed (µg/kg) ^b
Metabolite XV in	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Karate 10 CS' and	body	maximum				
'Kaiso sorbie 5%						
EG'						
Crop:						
Plums late (25 g/ha)						
D3	ditch	0 h	< 0.001		0.265	
		21 d	-		-	0.4178
D4	pond	0 h	< 0.001		0.062	



D4	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.113	
D5	pond	0 h	< 0.001	0.056	
D5	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.147	
R1	pond	0 h	< 0.001	0.061	
R1	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.087	
R2	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.093	
R3	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.169	
R4	stream	0 h	< 0.001	0.095	

^a Data provided for product 'Karate 10CS'

Metabolite XV following use of

'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100CS' and 'Lambda 50EC' Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1 and 2

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 3 and 4

Application rate

Version control no. of FOCUS calculator: 2.1

Molecular weight (g/mol): 465.9 Water solubility (mg/L): 0.15

Koc (mL/g): 60000 DT₅₀ soil (d): 14.5

DT₅₀ water/sediment system (d): 5.8

DT₅₀ water (d): 5.8 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 5.8

Maximum occurrence observed (% molar basis with

respect to the parent)

Soil: 12.1

Water/Sediment: 10.5

Version control no.'s of FOCUS software: SWASH

3.1.2, FOCUS-TOXSWA 3.3.1

Koc (mL/g): 60000

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 14.5 DT₅₀ water (d): 1000 DT₅₀ sediment (d): 5.8

See parent

FOCUS	Day after	PECsw (µg/L) ^a		PECsed (µg/kg) ^a	PECsed (µg/kg) ^a	
STEP 1	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Metabolite XV	maximum					
following use of						
'Lambda-						
Cyhalothrin 100 CS'						
and 'Lambda 50 EC'						
Winter & spring	0 h	0.02		4.64		
cereals						
	21 d	-	0.00	-	1.74	
Potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.02		4.64		
	21 d	-	0.00	-	1.74	
Potatoes S-EU	0 h	0.06		12.38		
	21 d	-	0.01	-	4.63	
Seed potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.05		9.28		
	21 d	-	0.01	-	3.47	
Seed potatoes S-EU	0 h	0.06		12.38		
	21 d	-	0.01	-	4.63	
Peach late	0 h	0.14		7.02		
	21 d	-	0.01	-	2.87	

a Data provided by RMS

b Data provided by RMS



FOCUS	Day after	PECsw (µg/L) a, b		PECsed (µg/kg) ^a	, b
STEP 2	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Metabolite XV	maximum				
following use of					
'Lambda-					
Cyhalothrin 100 CS'					
and 'Lambda 50 EC'					
winter cereals - fall	0 h	0.007 (0.008)		1.122 (0.753)	
appl N- EU					
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.412 (0.276)
winter cereals - fall	0 h	0.007 (0.008)		0.905 (0.609)	
appl S-EU					
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.332 (0.223)
winter cereals -	0 h	0.007 (0.008)		0.325 (0.226)	
spring appl N-EU					
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.119 (0.083)
winter cereals -	0 h	0.007 (0.008)		0.615 (0.417)	
spring appl S-EU					
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.226 (0.153)
Spring cereals N-EU	0 h	0.007 (0.008)		0.470 (0.321)	
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.173 (0.118)
Spring cereals S-EU	0 h	0.007 (0.008)		0.905 (0.609)	
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.332 (0.223)
Potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.007 (0.008)		0.240 (0.149)	
(Jun-Sep)					
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.000)	-	0.088 (0.055)
Potatoes S-EU	0 h	0.018 (0.020)		0.885 (0.550)	
(Jun-Sep)					
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.325 (0.202)
Seed potatoes N-EU	0 h	0.005 (0.008)		0.884 (0.360)	
(Mar-May)					
	21 d	-	0.001 (0.001)	-	0.324 (0.132)
Seed potatoes SEU	0 h	0.018 (0.020)		3.034 (1.828)	
(Mar-May)					
	21 d	-	0.003 (0.002)	-	1.113 (0.671)
Peach S-EU	0 h	0.099 (0.128)		1.313 (1.268)	
	21 d	-	0.005 (0.007)	-	0.484 (0.469)

Data provided by RMS
Results given in parentheses: PEC after single application

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
metabolite XV use of	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and				-		
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Winter wheat,						
BBCH 10-29						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D1	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D2	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D5	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000003		0.000056	



			1		
R1	stream	0 h	0.000028	0.000735	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000021	0.00724	
R4	stream	0 h	0.000039	0.00358	
TWO APPLICATIONS					
D1	ditch	0 h	0.000000	0.000000	
D1	stream	0 h	0.000000	0.000000	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.000000	0.000001	
D2	stream	0 h	0.000000	0.000001	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000	0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000	0.000001	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000001	0.000003	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000000	0.000000	
D5	stream	0 h	0.000000	0.000000	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.000001	0.000001	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000007	0.000108	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000055	0.001370	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000044	0.011100	
R4	stream	0 h	0.000078	0.00779	

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/k	g)
metabolite XV use of	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day	1100001	21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Winter wheat,						
BBCH 30-79						
ONE APPLICATION			•			
D1	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D1	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D2	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D5	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000004		0.000175	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000028		0.00449	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000021		0.00525	
R4	stream	0 h	0.000032		0.0148	
TWO APPLICATIONS			•		•	
D1	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D1	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D2	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D2	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D5	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D6	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000008		0.000360	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000056		0.009270	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000041		0.010800	
R4	stream	0 h	0.000065		0.027700	
L	1	1	1			



FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
metabolite XV use of	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: Spring wheat,						
BBCH 30-79						
ONE APPLICATION						
D1	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D1	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D5	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
R4	stream	0 h	0.000033		0.0149	
TWO APPLICATIONS	l.					
D1	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D1	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000001		0.000003	
D5	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D5	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
R4	stream	0 h	0.000067		0.0299	

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (µg/L)		PECsed (µg/kg)	
metabolite XV use of	Water	overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum		21-day		21-day
100 CS' and						
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: (Seed) potato,						
1-2 x 20 g/ha						
ONE APPLICATION (
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000004	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000002		0.000009	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000003		0.000005	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000003		0.000006	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000034		0.001240	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000120		0.020900	
R2	stream	0 h	0.000026		0.062100	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000087		0.027400	
ONE APPLICATION (BBCH 40-85)					
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000002	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000001		0.000003	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000003	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000003	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000051		0.00188	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000104		0.0413	
R2	stream	0 h	0.000027		0.0758	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000088		0.0358	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 15-39	9, and 40-85)				
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000001		0.000007	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000003		0.000015	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000004		0.000009	



D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000005	0.000010	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000072	0.002700	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000248	0.047400	
R2	stream	0 h	0.000053	0.132000	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000171	0.065200	
TWO APPLICATIONS	(BBCH 40-85	5)			
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000	0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000	0.000003	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000001	0.000006	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000003	0.000006	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000003	0.000006	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000102	0.003890	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000207	0.086700	
R2	stream	0 h	0.000054	0.158000	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000177	0.078700	

FOCUS STEP 3		Day after	PECsw (μg/L)		PECsed (µg/k	g)
metabolite XV use of	Water	overall			Actual	TWA
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin	body	maximum	Actual	21-day	Actual	21-day
100 CS' and	body	Illaxilliulli		21-day		21-day
'Lambda 50 EC'						
Crop: (Seed) potato,						
1, 2, 4 x 7.5 g/ha						
ONE APPLICATION ()	L BBCH 15-39)					
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000002	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000001		0.000003	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000003	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000002	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000013		0.00477	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000013		0.00812	
R2	stream	0 h	0.00001		0.0233	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000032		0.0103	
ONE APPLICATION (O II	0.000032		0.0103	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000000		0.000001	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000001	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000001	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00001		0.000705	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000019		0.0155	
R2	stream	0 h	0.000037		0.0284	
R3	stream	0 h	0.00001		0.0144	
TWO APPLICATIONS			0.000033		0.0144	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000003	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000001		0.000003	
D6. 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000007	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000002		0.000004	
R1	pond	0 h	0.00002		0.000978	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000020		0.017100	
R2	stream	0 h	0.000090		0.049200	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000020		0.049200	
TWO APPLICATIONS			0.000003		0.021300	
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000		0.000000	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000001		0.000001	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000001		0.000001	
D0, 1	uncn	UII	0.000001		0.000001	



D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000001	0.000001	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000038	0.000072	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000077	0.00155	
R2	stream	0 h	0.00002	0.0284	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000066	0.0145	
FOUR APPLICATIONS	S (BBCH 15-3	9, and 40-85)			
D3	ditch	0 h	0.000000	0.000000	
D4	pond	0 h	0.000000	0.000006	
D4	stream	0 h	0.000002	0.000012	
D6, 1 st	ditch	0 h	0.000003	0.000007	
D6, 2nd	ditch	0 h	0.000004	0.000008	
R1	pond	0 h	0.000053	0.002070	
R1	stream	0 h	0.000180	0.036900	
R2	stream	0 h	0.000039	0.102000	
R3	stream	0 h	0.000128	0.048200	

PEC (groundwater)

lambda-cyhalothrin, metabolite Ia, metabolite V (PBA) and metabolite XV in 'Karate 10 CS' and

'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'

Parameters used in FOCUSgw

Models used: FOCUS-PELMO 5.5.3 and FOCUS-

PEARL 4.4.4

Scenarios: Châteaudun, Hamburg, Jokioinen,

Kremsmünster, Okehampton, Piacenza, Porto, Sevilla,

Thiva

<u>Lambda-cyhalothrin:</u>

Koc/Kom (mL/g): 38000 / 22040

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 175

Metabolite Ia:

Koc/Kom (mL/g): 13.0 / 7.54

1/n: 0.95

DT₅₀ soil (d): 8.9

Formation fraction: 0.65 from parent; 1.0 from XV

Metabolite V (PBA):

Koc/Kom (mL/g): 159 / 92.2*

1/n: 0.965

DT₅₀ soil (d): 37.2 Formation fraction: 0.20

*derived from the deltamethrin monograph. These adsorption properties should not be considered valid as they were not submitted for the purpose of renewal of the approval of lambda-cyhalothrin. However, the adsorption coefficient is slightly more conservative than the value estimated with the QSAR method (=217.8 mL/g) and therefore the GW modelling can be considered acceptable.

Metabolite XV:

Koc/Kom (mL/g): 60000 / 34800

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 14.5 Formation fraction: 0.15

Crop: Winter Cereals

Application rate: 7.5 g a.s. / ha

No. of applications: 2

Time of application: 1, 7 days after emergence

Crop interception: 25%

Application rate



Crop: Spring Cereals

Application rate: 7.5 g a.s. / ha

No. of applications: 2

Time of application: 1, 7 days after emergence

Crop interception: 25%

Crop: Tomato (fruiting vegetables) Application rate: 25 g a.s. / ha

No. of applications: 2

Time of application: 1, 14 days after emergence

Crop interception: 50%

Crop: Plum (pome/stone fruit) Application rate: 25 g a.s. / ha

No. of applications: 2

Time of application: 2, 14 days pre-harvest

Crop interception: 80%

PEC(gw) - FOCUS modelling results (80th percentile annual average concentration at 1m)

Lambda-cyhalothrin,	Lambda-cyhalothrin, metabolite XV, metabolite V (PBA) – products 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'a								
Model: FOCUS-PELMO 5.5.3 and FOCUS-PEARL 4.4.4									
Scenario	winter wheat	winter wheat spring wheat tomatoes plums plums							
	2 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 25 g/ha	2 x 25 g/ha, early	2 x 25 g/ha, late				
Chateaudun									
Hamburg		1 1 1	1 1 .1 . 1	. 1 1'. 3737					
Jokioinen	JI DEC		cyhalothrin and r		TIC 1.1.				
Kremsmünster	all PEC	gw < 0.001 μg/1 fo	or all uses in all s	cenarios in both FOC	US models				
Okehampton		model alita V (DDA).							
Piacenza	metabolite V (PBA):								
Porto	all PECgw at or below 0.001 μg/l – except for one value at 0.002 μg/l for plums 2 x 25 g/ha, early application (FOCUS-PEARL Hamburg)								
Sevilla] Piu	inis 2 x 23 g/na, co	arry application (I OCOS-I EARL Hall	iouig)				
Thiva									

⁽a) Data provided for product 'Karate 10 CS

PEC(gw) - FOCUS modelling results (80th percentile annual average concentration at 1m)

Metabolite Ia – pr	Metabolite Ia – products 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' ^a						
Model: FOCUS-P	Model: FOCUS-PELMO 5.5.3						
Scenario	winter wheat	spring wheat	tomatoes	plums	plums		
	2 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 25 g/ha	2 x 25 g/ha, early	2 x 25 g/ha, late		
Chateaudun	0.002	0.001	0.006	0.007	0.005		
Hamburg	0.022	0.022	-	0.043	0.024		
Jokioinen	0.024	0.023	-	0.047	0.026		
Kremsmünster	0.007	0.007	-	0.018	0.009		
Okehampton	0.019	0.018	-	0.035	0.024		
Piacenza	0.013	-	0.025	0.020	0.012		
Porto	0.018	0.018	0.039	0.021	0.020		
Sevilla	0.003	=	0.008	0.006	0.006		
Thiva	0.003	-	0.005	0.004	0.004		

⁽a) Data provided for product 'Karate 10 CS'

PEC(gw) - FOCUS modelling results (80th percentile annual average concentration at 1m)

(8.1)	0.0	7 43-13 (9		
Metabolite Ia – products 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' ^a						
Model: FOCUS-PEARL 4.4.4						
Scenario	winter wheat	spring wheat	tomatoes	plums	plums	
	2 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 25 g/ha	2 x 25 g/ha, early	2 x 25 g/ha, late	
Chateaudun	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.008	0.005	
Hamburg	0.020	0.022	-	0.051	0.028	
Jokioinen	0.016	0.016	-	0.044	0.023	
Kremsmünster	0.006	0.006	-	0.014	0.007	
Okehampton	0.014	0.014	=	0.024	0.016	



Piacenza	0.006	-	0.018	0.013	0.007
Porto	0.009	0.012	0.022	0.013	0.010
Sevilla	< 0.001	=	0.006	0.004	0.003
Thiva	0.002	-	0.003	0.004	0.003

Data provided for product 'Karate 10 CS'

lambda-cyhalothrin, metabolite Ia, metabolite V (PBA) and metabolite XV in 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'Lambda 50 EC'

Parameters used in FOCUSgw

Models used: FOCUS-PELMO 4.4.3

Scenarios: Châteaudun, Hamburg, Jokioinen,

Kremsmünster, Okehampton, Piacenza, Porto, Sevilla,

Thiva

Models used: FOCUS-MACRO 4.4.2

Scenarios: Châteaudun

<u>Lambda-cyhalothrin:</u> Koc (mL/g): 38000

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 174.6

Metabolite Ia: Koc (mL/g): 13 1/n: 0.95 DT₅₀ soil (d): 8.9

Formation fraction (PELMO / MACRO): 1 / 0.539

Metabolite V (PBA):

Koc (mL/g): 221*

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 37.2

Formation fraction (PELMO / MACRO): 0.20 / 0.095

*estimated in OECD Toolbox ver. 3.1. It is unlikely that the use of the different endpoints from the agreed value of 217.8 mL/g has an impact on the final results of the GW exposure assessment for metabolite V. Therefore, the peer review considered the FOCUS GW modelling for metabolite V (PBA) as valid.

Metabolite XV:

Koc (mL/g): 60000

1/n: 1

DT₅₀ soil (d): 14.5

Formation fraction (PELMO / MACRO): 0.15 / 0.16

Crop: Winter cereals

Application rate: 7.5 g a.s. / ha

No. of applications: 4 Time of application:

PELMO: 16, 30, 44, 58 days after emergence MACRO: 0, 14, 28, 42 days after emergence

Crop interception: 25 %

Crop: Potato

Application rate: 20 g a.s. / ha

No. of applications: 2 Time of application:

PELMO: 10, 17 days after emergence MACRO: 0, 7 days after emergence

Crop interception: 15 %

Crop: Potato

Application rate: 7.5 g a.s. / ha

Application rate



No. of applications: 4

Time of application:

PELMO: 10, 17, 24, 31 days after emergence MACRO: 0, 7, 14, 21 days after emergence

Crop interception: 15 %

Crop: Peach (pome/stone fruit) ('Lambda-Cyhalothrin

100 CS')

Application rate: 22.5 g a.s. / ha

No. of applications: 2 Time of application:

PELMO: 0, 31 days after emergence MACRO: 0, 30 days after emergence

Crop interception: 50%

Results: FOCUS GW modelling based on the endpoints revised at the expert consultation (TC 97) is not available for the representative use on peach. However, comparing the above results for wheat and potato with previous modelling results (using nonagreed endpoints) the peer review concluded it is unlikely that PECgw above the limit value $0.1~\mu g/l$ would be modelled for the use in peach using the agreed endpoints.

PEC(gw) - FOCUS modelling results (80th percentile annual average concentration at 1m)

Lambda-cyhalothrin, metal	polite XV, metabolite V (I	PBA) – products 'Lambda-Cy	halothrin 100 CS' and		
'Lambda 50 CS'					
Model: FOCUS-PELMO 4	.4.3 and FOCUS-MACRO	0 4.4.2			
Scenario	winter wheat	potato	potato		
	4 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 20 g/ha	4 x 7.5 g/ha		
Chateaudun (PELMO)	lai	mbda-cyhalothrin and metabo	lite XV:		
Hamburg	all PECgw $< 0.001 \mu g/l$ for all uses in all scenarios in both FOCUS models				
Jokioinen					
Kremsmünster		metabolite V (PBA):			
Okehampton	all PI	ECgw at or below 0.001 µg/l -	- except for		
Piacenza	$0.003 \mu g/l$ fo	r potato (2 x 20 g/ha) in Okeh	nampton and Porto,		
Porto	0.002 µg/l for potat	o (2 x 20 g/ha) in Hamburg, F	Piacenza, and Chateaudun		
Sevilla		(MACRO),			
Thiva	$0.002 \mu g/l$ fo	r potato (4 x 7.5 g/ha) in Chat	teaudun (MACRO)		
Chateaudun (MACRO)					

PEC(gw) - FOCUS modelling results (80th percentile annual average concentration at 1m)

Metabolite Ia – products 'I	Metabolite Ia – products 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'Lambda 50 CS'							
Model: FOCUS-PELMO 4	Model: FOCUS-PELMO 4.4.3 and FOCUS-MACRO 4.4.2							
Scenario	cenario winter wheat potato potato							
	4 x 7.5 g/ha	2 x 20 g/ha	4 x 7.5 g/ha					
Chateaudun (PELMO)	0.003	0.008	0.006					
Hamburg	0.037	0.064	0.047					
Jokioinen	0.040	0.071	0.052					
Kremsmünster	0.012	0.023	0.017					
Okehampton	0.035	0.055	0.040					
Piacenza	0.020	0.033	0.025					
Porto	0.035	0.051	0.038					
Sevilla	0.004	0.009	0.006					
Thiva	0.004	0.007	0.005					
Chateaudun (MACRO)	0.015	0.025	0.019					



PEC(gw) – from lysimeter/field leaching experiments

Not submitted; not required

Fate and behaviour in air

Direct photolysis in air ‡

Quantum yield of direct phototransformation Photochemical oxidative degradation in air ‡

Volatilisation ‡

Metabolites

PEC (air)

Method of calculation

not submitted; not required not submitted; not required

DT₅₀ 12.2 hours (assuming global average OH-conc over 24 hours of 0.5 x 10⁶ mol/cm³)

from plant surfaces: < 12% loss over 24 hours

from bare soil surfaces: < 10% loss over 24 hours

not investigated; not required

expected to be negligible

Residues requiring further assessment

Environmental occurring compounds requiring further assessment by other disciplines (toxicology and ecotoxicology) or for which a groundwater exposure assessment was triggered

Soil: lambda-cyhalothrin, met. Ia and XV (also V (PBA) under anaerobic conditions)

 $\label{eq:condition} \textbf{Groundwater:} \ lambda-cyhalothrin, \ met \ Ia, \ V \ (PBA), \\ and \ XV$

Surface water (provisional as a data gap has been identified for satisfactory information to address the levels of metabolites formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin when applied at doses below the water solubility in the aquatic environment): lambda-cyhalothrin, met Ia, XV, and V (PBA) Sediment (provisional as a data gap has been identified for satisfactory information to address the levels of metabolites formed from the phenoxy-¹⁴C labelled lambda-cyhalothrin when applied at doses below the water solubility in the aquatic environment): lambda-cyhalothrin, met Ia and XV

Air: lambda-cyhalothrin

Monitoring data

Soil

Groundwater

Surface water/sediment

Air

not submitted; not required
not submitted; not required
not submitted; not required
not submitted; not required

Points pertinent to the classification and proposed labelling with regard to fate and behaviour data

Not readily biodegradable



Ecotoxicology

Effects on terrestrial vertebrates

Species	Test substance	Time scale	End point	End point
			(mg/kg bw per day)	(mg/kg bw/feed)
Birds ‡				
Anas platyrhynchos (Mallard duck)	lambda-cyhalothrin	Acute oral toxicity	3950	-
Colinus virginianus (Bobwhite quail)	lambda-cyhalothrin	Short-term dietary toxicity	>530	>5300
Anas platyrhynchos (Mallard duck)	lambda-cyhalothrin	Short-term dietary toxicity	n/c ¹	39481
Anas platyrhynchos (Mallard duck)	lambda-cyhalothrin	Long-term dietary/ reproduction toxicity	3.3	30
Mammals ‡				
Rat	lambda-cyhalothrin	Acute oral toxicity	56 ²	-
Mouse	lambda-cyhalothrin	Acute oral toxicity	20^{2}	-
	cyhalothrin	Multi-generation reproduction	0.5 mg cyhalothrin/kg bw per day (equivalent to 0.25 mg lambda cyhalothrin/kg bw per day)	-
Additional higher tier	studies ‡			
No additional effect s	tudies			

¹Food avoidance observed in the short-term dietary study with the mallard duck. Consequently the daily dietary dose could not be calculated (n/c). The LC₅₀ value is not considered accurate due to lack of dose response (due to food avoidance at the higher test concentrations). The study was not available in the dossier and therefore a data gap was identified. ²geomean 33.4 mg/kg bw is used for the risk assessment

Toxicity/exposure ratios for terrestrial vertebrates

Spring and winter cereals, application rate 2 x 0.0075 kg a.s./ha, 14 d interval . BBCH 10 - 85							
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger			
Screening step uptake via diet (Birds)							
Screening step	Acute	1.43	2762	10			
Screening step	Reproduction	0.36	9.15	5			
Tier 1- uptake via contaminat	ed water (Birds)	•					
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10			
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning (Birds)						
Earthworm-eating bird	Reproduction	0.043^3	77	5			
Fish-eating bird	Reproduction	0.0112	305	5			
Screening step and tier 1 – upt	ake via diet (Man	nmals)					
Screening step	Acute	1.07	31.3	10			
Screening step	Reproduction	0.27	0.9	5			
Small insectivorous mammal, BBCH 10-19	Reproduction	0.023	10.7	5			
Small insectivorous mammal, $BBCH \ge 20$	Reproduction	0.011	23.6	5			
Small herbivorous mammal, BBCH ≥ 40	Reproduction	0.121	2.1	5			



Spring and winter cereals, application rate 2 x 0.0075 kg a.s./ha, 14 d interval . BBCH 10 - 85					
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger	
Large herbivorous mammal, 'lagomorph' Early shoots	Reproduction	0.124	2.0	5	
Small omnivorous mammal, BBCH 10-29	Reproduction	0.043	5.8	5	
Small omnivorous mammal, BBCH 30-39	Reproduction	0.022	11.5	5	
Small omnivorous mammal, BBCH ≥ 40	Reproduction	0.013	19.5	5	
Tier 1- uptake via contamina	ited water (Mamm	als)			
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10	
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning (Mammals)					
Earthworm-eating mammal	Reproduction	0.0518^{3}	4.8	5	
Fish-eating mammal	Reproduction	0.0097^2	26	5	

¹ Not required on the basis of the screening step according to the EFSA Bird and Mammal Guidance Document (EFSA,

 ² Based on 21d TWA PECsw values, FOCUS Step 3
 ³ Based on 21 day TWA PEC_{soil} =0.015 mg/kg dw in cereals TER values presented in **bold** are less than the assessment factor

Field tomatoes, application rate 2 x 0.025 kg a.s./ha, 12 d interval BBCH 10 - 89					
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger	
Screening step and tier 1 – upta	ke via diet (Birds))			
Screening step	Acute	5.16	766	10	
Screening step	Reproduction	1.20	2.8	5	
Tier 1-Small insectivorous bird, BBCH ≥ 20	Reproduction	0.18	18	5	
Tier 1-Small granivorous bird, BBCH ≥ 50	Reproduction	0.06	55	5	
Tier 1-Small omnivorous bird, BBCH ≥ 50	Reproduction	0.06	55	5	
Tier 1-Small insectivorous bird, BBCH 10 - 19	Reproduction	0.21	16	5	
Tier 1-Small granivorous bird, BBCH 10 - 49	Reproduction	0.21	16	5	
Tier 1-Small omnivorous bird, BBCH 10 - 49	Reproduction	0.20	17	5	
Tier 1-Frugivorous bird "crow", BBCH 71-89	Reproduction	0.59	5.6	5	
Tier 1-Frugivorous bird "starling" BBCH 71-89	Reproduction	0.38	8.6	5	
Tier 1- uptake via contaminate	ed water (Birds)				
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10	
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning (E	Birds)				
Earthworm-eating bird	Reproduction	0.117^2	28	5	
Fish-eating bird (note: 2x12.5 g/ha) ⁴	Reproduction	0.0025^3	1328	5	



Field tomatoes, application rate BBCH 10 - 89	2 x 0.025 kg a.s./ha	, 12 d interval		
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger
Screening step and tier 1 – up	take via diet (Man	nmals)		
Screening step	Acute	4.43	756	10
Screening step	Reproduction	1.34	0.18	5
$Small\ insectivorous\ mammal \\ BBCH \geq 20$	Acute	0.18	190	10
Small herbivorous mammal BBCH ≥ 50	Acute	1.33	25	10
Small omnivorous mammal BBCH ≥ 50	Acute	0.17	19733.4	10
Small insectivorous mammal BBCH 10-19	Acute	0.25	134	10
Small herbivorous mammal BBCH 10-49	Acute	4.43	7.6	10
Small omnivorous mammal BBCH 10-49	Acute	0.56	60	10
Frugivorous mammal BBCH 71-89	Acute	1.47	23	10
Small insectivorous mammal $BBCH \ge 20$	Reproduction	0.04	6.3	5
Small herbivorous mammal BBCH ≥ 50	Reproduction	0.40	0.63	5
Small omnivorous mammal BBCH ≥ 50	Reproduction	0.04	6.3	5
Small insectivorous mammal BBCH 10-19	Reproduction	0.08	3.1	5
Small herbivorous mammal BBCH 10-49	Reproduction	1.34	0.19	5
Small omnivorous mammal BBCH 10-49	Reproduction	0.14	1.8	5
Frugivorous mammal BBCH 71-89	Reproduction	0.47	0.53	5
Higher tier refinement – uptal	ke via diet (Mamm	als)		
No acceptable refinement.				
Tier 1– uptake via drinking v	vater (Mammals)			
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning ((Mammals)			
Earthworm-eating mammal	Reproduction	0.143^2	1.7	5
Fish-eating mammal (note 2x12.5 g/ha) ⁴	Reproduction	0.0023^3	113	5

Not required on the basis of the screening step according to the EFSA Bird and Mammal Guidance Document (EFSA,

² Based on 21 day TWA PEC_{soil} values for 2 applications of 25 g a.s./ha in plums
³ Based on 21d TWA PECsw values, FOCUS Step 3.
⁴ Assessment performed for 2 applicatios of 12.5 g a.s./ha. Sufficient margin of safety obtained to indicate a low risk for 2 applications of 25 g a.s./ha

TER values presented in **bold** are less than the assessment factor



Peach and plum orchards, application rate 2 x 0.025 kg a.s./ha, 10 d interval				
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger
Screening step and tier 1 – upta	ake via diet (Birds		1	T
Screening step	Acute	1.52	2599	10
Screening step	Reproduction	0.36	9.2	5
Tier 1- uptake via contaminate	ed water (Birds)	T	T	T
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning (I			1	T
Earthworm-eating bird	Reproduction	0.117^2	28	5
Fish-eating bird	Reproduction		Data gap ⁴	5
Screening step and tier 1 – upta	ake via diet (Mam	mals)	1	
Screening step	Acute	4.43	7.56	10
Screening step	Reproduction	1.44	0.17	5
Large herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH ≥ 40	Acute	0.34	98.5	10
Small herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH \geq 40	Acute	1.33	25.2	10
Small omnivorous mammal crop directed BBCH ≥ 40	Acute	0.17	197	10
Large herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 10- 19	Acute	0.91	36.8	10
Small herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 10- 19	Acute	3.55	9.44	10
Small omnivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 10- 19	Acute	0.45	74.4	10
Large herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 20- 40	Acute	0.69	48.6	10
Small herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 20- 40	Acute	2.66	12.6	10
Small omnivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 20- 40	Acute	0.33	102	10
Frugivorous mammal, BBCH 71-79 currants	Acute	1.56	21.5	10
Large herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH ≥ 40	Reproduction	0.09	2.8	5
Small herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH \geq 40	Reproduction	0.43	0.58	5
Small omnivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH \geq 40	Reproduction	0.05	5.0	5
Large herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 10- 19	Reproduction	0.23	1.1	5
Small herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 10- 19	Reproduction	1.15	0.22	5
Small omnivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 10- 19	Reproduction	0.12	2.1	5
Large herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 20- 40	Reproduction	0.17	1.5	5
Small herbivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 20- 40	Reproduction	0.86	0.29	5
Small omnivorous mammal, crop directed BBCH 20- 40	Reproduction	0.09	2.8	5
Frugivorous mammal, BBCH 71-79 currants	Reproduction	0.45	0.56	5



Peach and plum orchards, application rate 2 x 0.025 kg a.s./ha, 10 d interval						
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		
Higher tier refinement – uptake via diet (Mammals)						
Small herbivorous mammal, BBCH <10-40 ³	Acute	2.213	15	10		
Small herbivorous mammal, BBCH <10-40 ³	Reproduction	0.72^{3}	0.3	5		
Tier 1 – uptake via contamina	ted water (Mamm	als)				
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10		
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning (Mammals)						
Earthworm-eating mammal	Reproduction	0.143^2	1.7	5		
Fish-eating mammal	Reproduction		Data gap ⁴	5		

¹ Not required on the basis of the screening step according to the EFSA Bird and Mammal Guidance Document (EFSA,

TER values presented in **bold** are less than the assessment factor

Potato, application rate 2 x 0.020 kg a.s./ha, 8 d interval						
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		
Screening step and tier 1 – uptake via diet (Birds)						
Screening step	Acute	4.45	888	10		
Screening step	Reproduction	3.3	3	5		
Small insectivorous bird, BBCH 10-19	Reproduction	0.19	17	5		
Small omnivorous bird, BBCH 10-39	Reproduction	0.18	18	5		
Small insectivorous bird, BBCH ≥20	Reproduction	0.16	20	5		
Small omnivorous bird, BBCH ≥40	Reproduction	0.056	59	5		
Tier 1- uptake via contaminate	ed water (Birds)					
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10		
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning (E	Birds)					
Earthworm-eating bird	Reproduction	0.117^2	28	5		
Fish-eating bird	Reproduction	0.010	321	5		
Screening step and tier 1 – upta	ke via diet (Man	nmals)				
Screening step	Acute	3.3	10.1	10		
Screening step	Reproduction	0.82	0.30	5		
Large herbivorous mammal, BBCH ≥40	Reproduction	0.073	3.4	5		
Small herbivorous mammal, BBCH ≥40	Reproduction	0.368	0.68	5		
Small omnivorous mammal, BBCH ≥40	Reproduction	0.039	6.4	5		
Small insectivorous mammal, BBCH 10-19	Reproduction	0.071	3.5	5		

² Based on 21 day TWA PEC_{soil} values for 2 applications of 25 g a.s./ha in plums
³ Refined using deposition values given in the FOCUS Groundwater Guidance Document (FOCUS, 2000).

⁴ PECsw not available



Potato, application rate 2 x 0.020 kg a.s./ha, 8 d interval					
Indicator species/Category	Time scale	DDD mg/kg bw per day	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger	
Small omnivorous mammal, BBCH 10-39	Reproduction	0.132	1.9	5	
Large herbivorous mammal, BBCH 10-40	Reproduction	0.24	1.03	5	
Small insectivorous mammal, BBCH ≥20	Reproduction	0.03	7.76	5	
Higher tier refinement – uptak	e via diet (Mamma	ıls)			
No suitable refined risk assessme	nt available.				
Tier 1– uptake via contaminate	d water (Mammal	s)			
	Acute	-	Not required ¹	10	
Tier 1 – secondary poisoning (Mammals)					
Earthworm-eating mammal	Reproduction	0.143^2	1.7	5	
Fish-eating mammal	Reproduction	0.0092 ^a	27	5	

Fish-eating mammal Reproduction 0.0092^a 27 5

Not required on the basis of the screening step according to the EFSA Bird and Mammal Guidance Document (EFSA,

a Based on 21d TWA PECsw values, FOCUS Step 3.

² Based on 21 day TWA PEC_{soil} values for 2 applications of 25 g a.s./ha in plums TERs in **bold** are less than the trigger value



Toxicity data for aquatic species (most sensitive species of each group)

Group/Species	Test substance	Time-scale	End point	Toxicity (µg a.s./L)	
Laboratory tests ‡					
Fish					
Leuciscus idus	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	EC ₅₀	0.078 (mm)	
Lepomis	i i	0.61			
macrochirus	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.21 (mm)	
Oncorrynchus	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.24 (mm)	
mykiss				, , ,	
Ictalurus punctatus	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.16 (mm)	
Gasterosteus aculeatus	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.40 (mm)	
Brachydanio rerio	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.64 (mm)	
Pimephales promelas	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.70 (mm)	
Oryzias latipes	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	1.4 (mm)	
Poecilia reticulata	Lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	2.3 (mm)	
Oncorrynchus	TFP acid				
mykiss	(metabolite Ia)	96 h	LC ₅₀	>10 800 (mm)	
Lepomis	TFP acid	96 h	I.C.	> 14 000 (mm)	
macrochirus	(metabolite Ia)	90 11	LC ₅₀	>14 000 (mm)	
Oncorrynchus	Cyhalothrin amide	96 h	LC ₅₀	18.7 (mm)	
mykiss	(metabolite II)		- 50	,	
Pimephales	3-phenoxy benzaldehyde	24 h	LC_{50}	60 (mm)	
promelas	(metabolite IV)	24 11	LC50	oo (mm)	
Oncorrynchus	3-phenoxy benzoic	061	I.C.	12 200 ()	
mykiss	acid (metabolite V)	96 h	LC ₅₀	13 300 (mm)	
Lepomis	3-phenoxy benzoic	96 h	LC ₅₀	36 300 (mm)	
macrochirus	acid (metabolite V) Hydroxylated		30	` '	
Oncorrynchus	lambda-cyhalothrin	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.84 (mm)	
mykiss	(metabolite XV)) o n	2030	o.o. (mm)	
Cyprinus carpio	Karate 10 CS	96 h	LC ₅₀	1.17 (mm)	
Cyprinus curpio	(100 g a.s./L)	90 II	LC50	1.17 (11111)	
Oncorrynchus	CA 2352	061	T.C.	0.205 (
mykiss	('Kaiso sorbie 5% EG')	96 h	LC_{50}	0.395 (mm)	
	JF9509				
Cyprinus carpio	(5% EC)	96 h	LC ₅₀	0.5 (mm)	
Oncorrynchus	Lambda-cyhalothrin				
mykiss	100 g/L CS	96 h	LC ₅₀	6.0 (mm)	
	formulation				
Cyprinodon	Lambda-cyhalothrin	ELS 28 d	NOEC	0.25 (mm)	
variegatus Pimephales					
promelas	Lambda cyhalothrin	FLC 300 d	NOEC	0.031 (mm)	
Higher tier endpoin			•	•	
		FSA method 2 ^e i.	e. the ranking method	d where data for additional	
species are available)					
Aquatic invertebrat		T			
Daphnia magna	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.23 (mm)	
Cyclops sp.	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.195 ^a (mm)	
Hyallella azteca	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.0018 ^{a, d} (mm)	
Chaoborus sp.	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.0022 ^{a, d} (mm)	



Group/Species	Test substance	Time-scale	End point	Toxicity (µg a.s./L)
Cloeon dipterum	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.0264 ^a (mm)
Gammarus pulex	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.011 ^a (mm)
Corixa sp.	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.026 ^a (mm)
Hydracarina	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.041 ^a (mm)
Ischnura elegans	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.102 ^a (mm)
Ostracoda	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	2.04 ^a (mm)
Daphnia pulex	TFP acid (metabolite Ia)	48 h	EC ₅₀	105 000 (nom)
Daphnia magna	Lambda-cyhalothrin amide (metabolite II)	48 h	EC ₅₀	>14.3 (mm)
Daphnia magna	3-phenoxy benzoic acid (metabolite V)	48 h	EC ₅₀	85 000 (mm)
Daphnia magna	hydroxylated lambda-cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.16 (mm)
Daphnia magna	lambda-cyhalothrin 100 g/L CS formulation	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.13 (mm)
Daphnia magna	lambda-cyhalothrin 100 g/L CS formulation	48 h	EC ₅₀	2.36 (mm)
Daphnia magna	lambda-cyhalothrin 50 g/L EC formulation	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.52 (mm)
Daphnia magna	lambda-cyhalothrin CA 2352 50 g/L EG formulation	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.25 (mm)
Gammarus pulex	lambda-cyhalothrin CA 2352 50 g/L EG formulation	48 h	EC ₅₀	0.0026 (mm)
Mysidopsis bahia	Lambda-cyhalothrin	28 d	NOEC	0.00022 (mm)
Daphnia magna	Lambda-cyhalothrin	21 d	NOEC	0.00198 (mm)

Higher tier endpoint:

Refined acute RAC = 0.38 ng/L (based on EFSA method 1^e , i.e. the geometric mean of available acute data on aquatic invertebrates.

Acute and chronic RAC = 0.3 ng/L. RAC was agreed on expert meeting and it is based on available laboratory data on lambda-cyhalothrin and gamma-cyhalothrin as well as field data on gamma-cyhalothrin. This RAC is only applicable for CS-formulations and when exposure to the aquatic environment is via spray drift only.

Sediment dwelling organisms						
Chironomus riparius	Lambda-cyhalothrin	48 h	EC ₅₀	1.5 (mm)		
Chironomus riparius	Lambda-cyhalothrin	28 d	NOEC	0.13 (mm) ^b		
Chironomus riparius	Lambda-cyhalothrin	28 d	NOEC	105 μg/kg sediment dw (mm)		
Chironomus riparius	Lambda-cyhalothrin	28 d	NOEC	0.63 (mm) 2.35 µg/kg sediment dw (mm) ^b		
Chironomus riparius	TFP acid (metabolite Ia)	28 d	NOEC	20 800 (mm)		
Chironomus riparius	3-phenoxy Benzylalcohol (metabolite VI)	28 d	NOEC	11 000 (mm)		



Group/Species	Test substance	Time-scale	End point	Toxicity (µg a.s./L)	
Chironomus riparius	hydroxylated lambda-cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	28 d	NOEC	580 μg/kg (mm) ^b	
Algae					
Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	Lambda-cyhalothrin	72 h	$E_{r}C_{50} E_{v}C_{50}$	5° 5°	
Higher plant					
Not required in compliance with Reg (EU) 544/2011 article 8(2:8)					

^a Endpoint based on geometric mean concentrations (80% of nominal concentrations). From Hamer *et al.* 1998.

^b Food was added throughout the test possibly underestimating the exposure via contaminated food. This is not according to recommendations in the OECD 218/219.

^c Endpoint set to water solubility for lambda-cyhalothrin.

^d Endpoint below LOD for the analytical method used in the study (0.011 μg a.s./L). The endpoint should therefore only be considered as approximate.

^e EFSA Journal doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2006.301



Toxicity/exposure ratios for the most sensitive aquatic organisms –

Scenar	rio	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
Spring	wheat EU-	N/S [2 x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 10-85 A	pplication interval 18	days				
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.23	0.339	0.135	0.008	0.001	21.7	6.5	0.565
FOCU	S Step 2								
N EU		0.069^{a}	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
S EU		0.069^{a}	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
FOCU	S Step 3								
		not available							
Winter	wheat EU-	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha]	BBCH 10-85 Ap	plication interval 18 d	days				
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.23	0.339	0.135	0.008	0.001	21.7	6.52	0.565
FOCU	S Step 2								
N EU		0.069^{a}	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
S EU		0.069^{a}	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
	S Step 3								
D1	Ditch	0.0488^{b}	160	0.64	0.04	0.005	-	30.7	2.66
D1	Stream	0.0409	1.91	0.758	0.046	0.005	-	36.7	3.18
D2	Ditch	0.0467	1.67	0.664	0.041	0.005	-	32.1	2.78
D2	Stream	0.0402	1.94	0.771	0.047	0.005	-	37.3	3.23
D3	Ditch	0.0459	1.70	0.675	0.041	0.005	-	32.7	2.83
D4	Pond	0.00182^{b}	42.8	17.1	1.04	0.12	-	824	71.4
D4	Stream	0.0399	1.95	0.777	0.048	0.006	-	37.6	3.26
D5	Pond	0.00159	49.1	19.5	1.19	0.138		943	81.8



Scenar	io	PEC global max (μg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
D5	Stream	0.0431	1.81	0.719	0.044	0.005	-	34.8	3.02
D6	Ditch	0.0465	1.68	0.667	0.041	0.005	-	32.3	2.80
R1	Pond	0.00264^{b}	29.6	11.7	0.72	0.08	-	568	49.2
R1	Stream	0.0304	2.57	1.02	0.063	0.007	-	49.3	4.28
R3	Stream	0.0426	1.83	0.728	0.045	0.005	-	35.2	3.05
R4	Stream	0.0305	2.56	1.02	0.062	0.007	-	49.2	4.26
Field T	Tomato EU-	N [2 x 12.5 g as/h];	ВВСН 10-89 Ар	plication interval 12	days				
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.39	0.200	0.079	0.005	0.001	12.8	3.85	0.333
FOCU	S Step 2								
N EU		0.115^{a}	0.678	0.270	0.017	0.002	43.5	13.0	1.13
FOCU	S Step 3								
D6	Ditch	0.0769	1.01	0.403	0.025	0.003	65.0	19.5	1.69
R2	Stream	0.0672	1.16	0.461	0.028	0.003	74.4	22.3	1.93
R3	Stream	0.0715	1.09	0.434	0.027	0.003	69.9	21.0	1.82
R4	Stream	0.0508	1.54	0.610	0.037	0.004	98.4	29.5	2.56
Field T	Tomato EU-	S [2x25 g as/h]; BE	BCH 10-89 Applic	cation interval 12 day.	s				
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.78	0.100	0.040	0.002	0.0003	6.41	1.92	0.167
FOCU	S Step 2								
S EU		0.230^{a}	0.339	0.135	0.0083	0.0010	22	6.52	0.565
FOCU	S Step 3	Not available							
Tomat	o EU-N/S [2	2x25 g as/ha]; BBC	H 10-89 Applicat	ion interval 12 days.	Indoor application	S			
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.033	2.36	0.939	0.058	0.0067	152	45.5	3.94



Scenar	rio	PEC global max (μg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5~\mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
FOCU	S Step 2								
N/S EU	U	0.008	9.75	3.88	0.24	0.03	625	188	16.25
Plum I	EU-N [2 x 1	0 g as/ha]; BBCH <	(10-79 Applicatio	on interval 10-14 days	s(min) early applic	ation			
FOCU	S Step 1								
		2.08	0.038	0.015	0.0009	0.0001	2.40	0.721	0.063
FOCU	S Step 2								
N EU		0.973^{a}	0.080	0.032	0.0020	0.0002	5.14	1.54	0.134
FOCU	S Step 3	Not available							
Plum I	EU-N [2 x 1	0 g as/ha ; BBCH <	:10-79 Applicatio	n interval 10-14 days	(min) late applicat	ion			
FOCU	S Step 1								
		1.18	0.066	0.026	0.0016	0.0002	4.24	1.27	0.110
FOCU	S Step 2 lat	e							
N EU		0.542 ^a	0.144	0.057	0.0035	0.0004	9.23	2.77	0.240
	S Step 3								
D3	Ditch	0.357	0.218	0.087	0.005	0.0006	14.0	4.20	0.364
D4	Pond	0.0187^{b}	4.17	1.66	0.10	0.012	267	80.2	6.95
D4	Stream	0.358	0.218	0.087	0.005	0.0006	14.0	4.19	0.363
D5	Pond	0.0211 ^b	3.70	1.47	0.090	0.010	237	71.1	6.16
D5	Stream	0.387	0.202	0.080	0.005	0.0006	12.9	3.88	0.336
R1	Pond	0.0197^{b}	3.96	1.57	0.096	0.011	254	76.1	6.60
R1	Stream	0.274	0.285	0.113	0.007	0.0008	18.2	5.47	0.474
R2	Stream	0.367	0.213	0.084	0.005	0.0006	13.6	4.09	0.354
R3	Stream	0.386	0.202	0.080	0.005	0.0006	13.0	3.89	0.337
R4	Stream	0.274	0.285	0.113	0.007	0.0008	18.2	5.47	0.474



Maximum PECs	sw values (Focus ste	p 1-3) and TER	values for lambda-c	yhalothrin – appl	ication of 'Karate 1	0 CS' and 'Kaiso so	orbie 5% EG'	
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
		L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
		LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
		$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
EU-S [2 x 25 g as	s/ha] ; BBCH <10-7	9 Application inte	erval 10-14 days(min)	early application				
FOCUS Step 1								
	5.19	0.015	0.0060	0.0004	0.0000	0.963	0.289	0.025
FOCUS Step 2								
S EU	2.43 ^a	0.032	0.0128	0.0008	0.0001	2.06	0.617	0.053
FOCUS Step 3	not available							
Plum EU-S [2 x 2	25 g as/ha] ; BBCH «	<10-79 Application	on interval 10-14 day.	s(min) late applica	tion			
FOCUS Step 1								
	2.94	0.027	0.011	0.001	0.000	1.70	0.510	0.044
FOCUS Step 2								
S EU	1.31 ^a	0.060	0.024	0.001	0.000	3.82	1.145	0.099
FOCUS Step 3	not available							
Reg (EU) 546/2011		100	10	100	10	10	100	10
Trigger	1 . 10 . 1	11						

^a Maximum PEC_{sw} derived from single application ^b PEC value for multiple applications



		Single ap	plication	Multiple ap	plications
\$	Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/I
Winter wheat E	CU-N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha]	BBCH 10-85 Application interval 18 days			
Step 3					
D1	Ditch	0.0467	0.045	0.0488	0.043
D1	Stream	0.0409	0.051	0.0354	0.059
D2	Ditch	0.0467	0.045	0.0408	0.051
D2	Stream	0.0402	0.052	0.0347	0.061
D3	Ditch	0.0459	0.046	0.0402	0.052
D4	Pond	0.00159	1.32	0.00182	1.15
D4	Stream	0.0399	0.053	0.0345	0.061
D5	Pond	0.00159	1.32	0.00192	1.09
D5	Stream	0.0431	0.049	0.0373	0.056
D6	Ditch	0.0465	0.045	0.0406	0.052
R1	Pond	0.00162	1.30	0.00264	0.795
R1	Stream	0.0304	0.069	0.0263	0.080
R3	Stream	0.0426	0.049	0.0369	0.057
R4	Stream	0.0305	0.069	0.0264	0.080
Field Tomato E	EU-N [2x12.5 g as/h]; B	BBCH 10-89 Application interval 12 days		•	
Step 3					
D6	Ditch	0.0769	0.027	0.0672	0.031
R2	Stream	0.0672	0.031	0.0581	0.036
R3	Stream	0.0715	0.029	0.0621	0.034
R4	Stream	0.0508	0.041	0.0439	0.048



Maximum PECsw values, step 3, and TER values for lambda-cyhalothrin – using refined acute RAC for fish 'Karate 10 CS' and 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'

		Single ap	plication	Multiple app	plications
:	Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	PEC global max (μg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L
D3	Ditch	0.357	0.006	0.285	0.007
D4	Pond	0.016	0.131	0.0187	0.112
D4	Stream	0.358	0.006	0.286	0.007
D5	Pond	0.01	0.131	0.0211	0.100
D5	Stream	0.387	0.005	0.31	0.007
R1	Pond	0.016	0.131	0.0197	0.107
R1	Stream	0.274	0.008	0.22	0.010
R2	Stream	0.367	0.006	0.294	0.007
R3	Stream	0.386	0.005	0.309	0.007
R4	Stream	0.274	0.008	0.219	0.010
Trigger			1		1



Scenar	io	PEC global max (μg/L) ^b	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5~\mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
Winter	wheat EU-N	N/S [2 x 7.5 g as/ha] I	ВВСН 10-29 Арр	olication interval 14 d	lays				
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.23	0.339	0.135	0.008	0.001	21.7	6.52	0.565
FOCU	S Step 2								
N EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
S EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
FOCU	S Step 3								
D1	ditch	0.0487	1.60	0.637	0.039	0.005	-	30.8	2.67
D1	stream	0.0409^{b}	1.90	0.758	0.046	0.005	-	36.7	3.18
D2	ditch	$0.0467^{\rm b}$	1.67	0.664	0.041	0.005	-	32.1	2.78
D2	stream	0.0402^{b}	1.94	0.771	0.047	0.005	-	37.3	3.23
D3	ditch	0.0459^{b}	1.69	0.675	0.041	0.005	-	32.7	2.83
D4	pond	0.00182	42.8	17.0	1.04	0.121	_	824	71.4
D4	stream	0.0399^{b}	1.95	0.777	0.048	0.006	-	37.6	3.26
D5	pond	0.00192	40.6	16.1	0.990	0.115	-	781	67.7
D5	stream	0.0431 ^b	1.81	0.719	0.044	0.005	-	34.8	3.02
D6	ditch	0.0465^{b}	1.67	0.667	0.041	0.005	-	32.3	2.80
R1	pond	0.00217	35.9	14.3	0.876	0.101	-	691	59.9
R1	stream	0.0304^{b}	2.56	1.02	0.063	0.007	-	49.3	4.28
R3	stream	0.0421^{b}	1.85	0.736	0.045	0.005	-	35.6	3.09
R4	stream	0.0301^{b}	2.59	1.03	0.063	0.007	-	49.8	4.32
Step 4	- 95% nozzl	e reduction + 90% ru	n-off mitigation	by vegetated buffer st	trips				
D1	ditch	0.00231	33.7	13.4	0.823	0.095	-	650	56.3
D1	stream	0.00191	41.0	16.3	1.00	0.116	-	789	68.4



Scena	rio	PEC global max	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate	Invertebrate	Algae	Sed- dweller	Sed- dweller
Scena	110	$(\mu g/L)^b$	1 1511 ucute	1 isii proiongeu	acute	prolonged	1 11 Suc	acute	prolonged
		(10)	L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC ₅₀	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5~\mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
D2	ditch	0.00226 ^b	34.5	13.7	0.841	0.097	-	664	57.5
D2	stream	0.00187^{b}	41.7	16.6	1.02	0.118	-	802	69.5
D3	ditch	0.00222^{b}	35.1	14.0	0.856	0.099	-	676	58.6
D4	pond	0.000135	577	230	14.1	1.63	-	11111	963
D4	stream	0.00186	41.9	16.7	1.02	0.118	-	806	69.9
D5	pond	0.000143	545	217	13.3	1.54	-	10490	909
D5	stream	0.00201	38.8	15.4	0.945	0.109	-	746	64.7
D6	ditch	0.00225^{b}	34.7	13.8	0.844	0.098	-	667	57.8
R1	pond	0.000201	388	154	9.45	1.09	-	7463	647
R1	stream	0.00142^{b}	54.9	21.8	1.34	0.155	-	1056	91.5
R3	stream	0.00201	38.8	15.4	0.945	0.109	-	746	64.7
R4	stream	0.00141	55.3	22.0	1.35	0.156	-	1064	92.2
Winte	r wheat EU-N	V/S [2 x 7.5 g as/ha]	ВВСН 30-79 Ард	plication interval 14 d	days				
FOCU	JS Step 1								
		0.23	0.339	0.135	0.008	0.001	21.7	6.5	0.565
FOCU	JS Step 2								
N EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
S EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
FOCU	JS Step 3								
D1	ditch	0.0467^{b}	1.67	0.664	0.041	0.005	-	32.1	2.78
D1	stream	0.0409^{b}	1.91	0.758	0.046	0.005	-	36.7	3.18
D2	ditch	0.0468^{b}	1.67	0.662	0.041	0.005	-	32.1	2.78
	stream	0.0416^{b}	1.88	0.745	0.046	0.005	-	36.1	3.12
D2		1.							
D2 D3	ditch	0.0462^{b}	1.69	0.671	0.041	0.005	-	32.5	2.81



Scenar	io	PEC global max (μg/L) ^b	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5~\mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
D4	stream	0.0393 ^b	1.99	0.789	0.048	0.006	-	38.2	3.31
D5	pond	0.0020	39.0	15.5	0.950	0.110	-	750	65.0
D5	stream	0.0372^{b}	2.10	0.833	0.051	0.006	-	40.3	3.50
D6	ditch	0.0464^{b}	1.68	0.668	0.041	0.005	-	32.3	2.80
R1	pond	0.0020	39.0	15.5	0.950	0.110	-	750	65.0
R1	stream	0.0304^{b}	2.57	1.02	0.063	0.007	-	49.3	4.28
R3	stream	$0.0427^{\rm b}$	1.83	0.726	0.044	0.005	-	35.1	3.04
R4	stream	0.0305^{b}	2.56	1.02	0.062	0.007	-	49.2	4.26
Step 4	- 95% nozzle	e reduction + 90% ru	n-off mitigation	by vegetated buffer st	rips				
D1	ditch	0.00226^{b}	34.5	13.7	0.841	0.097	-	664	57.5
D1	stream	0.0019^{b}	41.1	16.3	1.00	0.116	-	789	68.4
D2	ditch	0.00227^{b}	34.4	13.7	0.837	0.097	-	661	57.3
D2	stream	0.00194^{b}	40.2	16.0	0.979	0.113	-	773	67.0
D3	ditch	0.00224^{b}	34.8	13.8	0.848	0.098	-	670	58.0
D4	pond	0.000146	534	212	13.0	1.51	-	10274	890
D4	stream	0.00186	41.9	16.7	1.02	0.118	-	806	69.9
D5	pond	0.000148	527	209	12.8	1.49	-	10135	878
D5	stream	0.00189	41.3	16.4	1.01	0.116	-	794	68.8
D6	ditch	0.00225^{b}	34.7	13.8	0.844	0.098	-	667	57.8
R1	pond	0.000157	497	198	12.1	1.40	-	9554	828
R1	stream	0.00142^{b}	54.9	21.8	1.34	0.155	-	1056	91.5
R3	stream	0.002	39.0	15.5	0.950	0.110	-	750	65.0
R4	stream	0.00146	53.4	21.2	1.30	0.151	_	1027	89.0

FOCUS Step 1



Scenar	rio	PEC global max $(\mu g/L)^b$	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5~\mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
		0.23	0.339	0.135	0.008	0.001	21.739	6.52	0.565
FOCU	JS Step 2								
N EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
S EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
FOCU	JS Step 3								
D1	ditch	0.0464^{b}	1.68	0.668	0.0409	0.0047	-	32.3	2.80
D1	stream	0.0365^{b}	2.14	0.849	0.0521	0.0060	-	41.1	3.56
D3	ditch	0.0462^{b}	1.69	0.671	0.0411	0.0048	-	32.5	2.81
D4	pond	0.00192	40.6	16.1	0.9896	0.115	-	781	67.7
D4	stream	0.0382^{b}	2.04	0.812	0.0497	0.0058	-	39.3	3.40
D5	pond	0.00182	42.9	17.0	1.04	0.121	-	824	71.4
D5	stream	0.0388^{b}	2.01	0.799	0.0490	0.0057	-	38.7	3.35
R4	stream	0.0305^{b}	2.56	1.02	0.0623	0.0072	-	49.2	4.26
Step 4	- 95% nozzl	e reduction + 90% ru	n-off mitigation	by vegetated buffer st	trips				
D1	ditch	0.00225^{b}	34.7	13.8	0.844	0.098	-	667	57.8
D1	stream	0.0019	41.1	16.3	1.00	0.116	-	789	68.4
D3	ditch	0.00223^{b}	35.0	13.9	0.852	0.099	-	673	58.3
D4	pond	0.000143	545	217	13.3	1.54	-	10490	909
D4	stream	0.00183	42.6	16.9	1.04	0.120	-	820	71.0
D5	pond	0.000135	578	230	14.1	1.63	-	11111	963
D5	stream	0.00188	41.5	16.5	1.01	0.117	-	798	69.1
R4	stream	0.00152	51.3	20.4	1.25	0.145	-	987	85.5
Seed p	ootato EU-N	$[2 \times 7.5 + 2 \times 7.5 \ g \ a]$	us/ha] BBCH 15-	-39; BBCH 40 - 85; A	Application interva	l 7 days			
FOCU	JS Step 1								
		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_



Scena	rio	PEC global max $(\mu g/L)^b$	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
FOCU	S Step 2								
N EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	72.5	21.7	1.88
FOCU	S Step 3								
D3	ditch	0.0382^{b}	2.04	0.812	0.050	0.006	-	39.3	3.40
D4	pond	0.00244 ^c	31.97	12.70	0.78	0.09	-	614	53.3
D4	stream	0.0303^{b}	2.57	1.02	0.063	0.007	-	49.5	4.29
D6	ditch(1st)	0.0375^{b}	2.08	0.827	0.051	0.006	-	40.0	3.47
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.0374^{b}	2.09	0.829	0.051	0.006	-	40.1	3.48
R1	pond	0.0027^{c}	28.89	11.48	0.70	0.08	-	556	48.15
R1	stream	0.026^{b}	3.00	1.19	0.073	0.008	-	57.7	5.00
R2	stream	0.035^{b}	2.23	0.886	0.054	0.006	-	42.9	3.71
R3	stream	0.0373^{b}	2.09	0.831	0.051	0.006	-	40.2	3.49
Step 4	- 95% nozzle	reduction + 90% ru	n-off mitigation	by vegetated buffer st	trips				
D3	ditch	0.00192 ^b	40.6	16.1	0.990	0.115	-	781	67.7
D4	pond	0.000232^{c}	336	134	8.19	0.95	-	6466	560
D4	stream	0.00163^{b}	47.9	19.0	1.17	0.135	-	920	79.8
D6	ditch(1st)	0.00192	40.6	16.2	0.99	0.11	-	781	67.7
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00192	40.6	16.2	0.99	0.11	-	781	67.7
R1	pond	0.000848 ^c	92.0	36.6	2.24	0.26	-	1769	153.3
R1	stream	0.00285^{c}	27.4	10.9	0.67	0.08	1754	526	45.6
R2	stream	0.00191	40.8	16.2	0.99	0.12	2618	785	68.1
R3	stream	0.00225°	34.7	13.8	0.84	0.10	2222	667	57.8
Seed p	ootato EU-S [2	0 g as/ha] BBCH 1.	5-39						
FOCU	S Step 1								
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-



Scena	rio	PEC global max $(\mu g/L)^b$		Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
FOCU	S Step 2								
S EU		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOCU	S Step 3								
D3	ditch	0.1020	0.765	0.304	0.019	0.002	49.0	14.7	1.28
D4	pond	0.0041	19.0	7.56	0.463	0.054	1220	366	31.7
D4	stream	0.0808	0.965	0.384	0.024	0.003	61.9	18.6	1.61
D6	ditch(1st)	0.1000	0.780	0.310	0.019	0.002	50.0	15.0	1.30
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.0998	0.782	0.311	0.019	0.002	50.1	15.0	1.30
R1	pond	0.0042	18.6	7.38	0.452	0.052	1190	357	31.0
R1	stream	0.0693	1.13	0.447	0.027	0.003	72.3	21.6	1.88
R2	stream	0.0933	0.836	0.332	0.020	0.002	53.6	16.1	1.39
R3	stream	0.0995	0.784	0.312	0.019	0.002	50.3	15.1	1.31
Step 4	- 95% nozzle	reduction + 90% ru	n-off mitigation l	by vegetated buffer st	rips				
D3	ditch	0.00511	15.3	6.07	0.372	0.043	978	294	25.4
D4	pond	0.000194	402	160	9.79	1.13	25773	7732	670
D4	stream	0.00407	19.2	7.62	0.467	0.054	1229	369	31.9
D6	ditch(1st)	0.00502	15.5	6.18	0.378	0.044	996	299	25.9
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00501	15.6	6.19	0.379	0.044	998	299	25.9
R1	pond	0.000532	147	58.3	3.57	0.414	9398	2820	244
R1	stream	0.00349	22.4	8.88	0.544	0.063	1433	430	37.2
R2	stream	0.0047	16.6	6.60	0.404	0.047	1064	319	27.7
R3	stream	0.00501	15.6	6.19	0.379	0.044	998	299	25.9
Seed p	otato EU-S [2	0 + 20 g as/ha] BB	СН 15-39; ВВСН	I 40-75. Single applic	cation BBCH 40-7	5			
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.63	0.124	0.049	0.003	0.000	7.937	2.38	0.206



Scenar	rio	PEC global max (μg/L) ^b	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
		,, 0	L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu g/L$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
FOCU	S Step 2								
S EU		0.18	0.433	0.172	0.011	0.001	27.778	8.33	0.722
FOCU	S Step 3								
D3	ditch	0.102^{b}	0.765	0.304	0.019	0.002	49.0	14.7	1.28
D4	pond	0.00507^{c}	15.38	6.11	0.37	0.04	986	296	25.6
D4	stream	0.0807b	0.967	0.384	0.024	0.003	62.0	18.6	1.61
D6	ditch(1st)	0.102b	0.765	0.304	0.019	0.002	49.0	14.7	1.28
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.102b	0.765	0.304	0.019	0.002	49.0	14.7	1.28
R1	pond	0.0138^{c}	5.65	2.25	0.14	0.02	362	109	9.42
R1	stream	0.0707b	1.10	0.438	0.027	0.003	70.7	21.2	1.84
R2	stream	0.0948b	0.823	0.327	0.020	0.002	52.7	15.8	1.37
R3	stream	0.0997b	0.782	0.311	0.019	0.002	50.2	15.0	1.30
Step 4	- 95% nozzle		n-off mitigation l	by vegetated buffer st	rips				
D3	ditch	0.00511^{b}	15.3	6.07	0.372	0.043	978	294	25.4
D4	pond	0.000292^{c}	267	106	6.51	0.75	17123	5137	445
D4	stream	0.00407^{b}	19.2	7.64	0.468	0.054	1232	369	32.0
D6	ditch(1 st)	0.00511^{b}	15.3	6.07	0.372	0.043	978	294	25.4
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00512^{b}	15.2	6.06	0.371	0.043	977	293	25.4
R1	pond	0.00146^{c}	53.4	21.23	1.30	0.15	3425	1027	89
R1	stream	0.00424	18.4	7.31	0.448	0.052	1179	354	30.7
R2	stream	0.00477^{b}	16.4	6.50	0.398	0.046	1048	314	27.3
R3	stream	0.00502^{b}	15.5	6.18	0.378	0.044	996	299	25.9
Potato	EU-N [2 x 7	5 g as/ha] BBCH 40)-85 Application	interval 7 days					
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.23	0.339	0.135	0.008	0.001	21.739	6.52	0.565



Scena	rio	PEC global max $(\mu g/L)^b$		Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu\text{g/L}$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
FOCU	JS Step 2								
N EU		0.069	1.13	0.449	0.028	0.003	-	21.7	1.88
FOCU	S Step 3								
D3	ditch	0.0382	2.04	0.812	0.050	0.006	-	39.3	3.40
D4	pond	0.0019	41.1	16.3	1.00	0.116	-	789	68.4
D4	stream	0.0303	2.57	1.02	0.063	0.007	-	49.5	4.29
D6	ditch(1st)	0.0382	2.04	0.812	0.050	0.006	-	39.3	3.40
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.0382	2.04	0.812	0.050	0.006	-	39.3	3.40
R1	pond	0.00516	15.1	6.01	0.368	0.043	-	291	25.2
R1	stream	0.0265	2.94	1.17	0.072	0.008	-	56.6	4.91
R2	stream	0.0355	2.20	0.873	0.054	0.006	-	42.3	3.66
R3	stream	0.0374	2.09	0.829	0.051	0.006	-	40.1	3.48
Step 4	- 95% nozzle	reduction + 90% ru	n-off mitigation l	by vegetated buffer st	rips				
D3	ditch	0.00192	40.6	16.2	0.990	0.115	-	781	67.7
D4	pond	0.000146	534	212	13.0	1.51	-	10274	890
D4	stream	0.00163	47.9	19.0	1.17	0.135	-	920	79.8
D6	ditch(1st)	0.00192	40.6	16.1	0.990	0.115	-	781	67.7
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00192	40.6	16.1	0.990	0.115	-	781	67.7
R1	pond	0.000547	143	56.7	3.47	0.402	-	2742	238
R1	stream	0.00154	50.6	20.1	1.23	0.14	-	974	84.4
R2	stream	0.00191	40.8	16.2	0.995	0.115	-	785	68.1
R3	stream	0.00201	38.8	15.4	0.945	0.109	-	746	64.7
Potato	EU-S [2 x 20	g as/ha] BBCH 40-	85 Application in	nterval 8 days(min)					
FOCU	S Step 1								
		0.63	0.124	0.049	0.003	0.000	7.937	2.38	0.206



Scena	rio	PEC global max $(\mu g/L)^b$		Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
			L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
			LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
			$0.078~\mu g/L$	$0.031~\mu g/L$	$0.0019~\mu g/L$	$0.00022~\mu\text{g/L}$	5 μg/L	$1.5 \mu g/L$	$0.13~\mu g/L$
FOCU	JS Step 2								
S EU		0.18	0.433	0.172	0.011	0.001	27.778	8.33	0.722
FOCU	JS Step 3								
D3	ditch	0.0887	0.879	0.349	0.021	0.002	-	16.9	1.47
D4	pond	0.00507	15.4	6.11	0.375	0.043	-	296	25.6
D4	stream	0.0758	1.03	0.409	0.025	0.003	-	19.8	1.72
D6	ditch(1st)	0.0887	0.879	0.349	0.021	0.002	-	16.9	1.47
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.0908	0.859	0.341	0.021	0.002	-	16.5	1.43
R1	pond	0.0138	5.65	2.25	0.138	0.016	-	109	9.42
R1	stream	0.0609	1.28	0.509	0.031	0.004	-	24.6	2.14
R2	stream	0.0816	0.956	0.380	0.023	0.003	-	18.4	1.59
R3	stream	0.0858	0.909	0.361	0.022	0.003	-	17.5	1.53
Step 4	- 95% nozzle	reduction + 90% ru	n-off mitigation	by vegetated buffer st	trips				
D3	ditch	0.00511	15.3	6.1	0.37	0.04	-	294	25.4
D4	pond	0.000292	267	106	6.51	0.753	-	5137	445
D4	stream	0.00407	19.2	7.6	0.47	0.05	-	369	31.9
D6	ditch(1st)	0.00511	15.3	6.1	0.37	0.04	-	294	25.4
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00512	15.2	6.1	0.37	0.04	-	293	25.4
R1	pond	0.00146	53.4	21.2	1.30	0.151	-	1027	89.0
R1	stream	0.00424	18.4	7.3	0.45	0.05	-	354	30.7
R2	stream	0.00477	16.4	6.5	0.40	0.05	-	315	27.3
R3	stream	0.00502	15.5	6.2	0.38	0.04		299	25.9
Peach	EU-S [2x22.5	g as/ha] BBCH 53	-69; <i>BBCH</i> ≥81 A	Application interval 3	0 days(min) ^a				
FOCU	JS Step 1								
		2.65	0.029	0.012	0.001	0.000	1.89	0.566	0.049



Maximum PECsw	values (FOCUS ste	p 1-4) and TER	values for lambda-c	yhalothrin – app	lication of 'Lambd	a-Cyhalothrin 100	CS' and 'Lambda	a 50 EC'
Scenario	PEC global max (μg/L) ^b	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller acute	Sed- dweller prolonged
		L. idus	P. promelas	H. azteca	M. bahia	P. subcapitata	C. riparius	C. riparius
		LC_{50}	NOEC	LC_{50}	NOEC	EC_{50}	EC_{50}	NOEC
		0.078 μg/L	0.031 μg/L	0.0019 µg/L	0.00022 μg/L	5 μg/L	1.5 μg/L	0.13 μg/L
S EU	1.2	0.065	0.026	0.002	0.000	4.17	1.250	0.108
FOCUS Step 3 not a	ıvailable							
Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		100	10	100	10	10	100	10

^a Application to peach is applicable only for Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS ^b FOCUS step 3-4 PEC_{SW} are based on multiple applications only ^c PEC value for multiple applications (worst case)

Maximum PECsw va	alues (FOCUS step 3-4) a	nd TER values for lambda-cyhal	othrin – using refined acute RAC for	fish		
		Single application		Multiple applications		
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L		
Winter wheat EU-N/S	[2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 10-	-29 Application interval 14 days				
Step 3						
D1 ditch	0.0467	0.045	0.0487	0.043		
D1 stream	0.0409	0.051	0.0354	0.059		
D2 ditch	0.0467	0.045	0.0408	0.051		
D2 stream	0.0402	0.052	0.0347	0.061		
D3 ditch	0.0459	0.046	0.0402	0.052		
D4 pond	0.00159	1.32	0.00182	1.15		
D4 stream	0.0399	0.053	0.0345	0.061		
D5 pond	0.00159	1.32	0.00192	1.09		
D5 stream	0.0431	0.049	0.0373	0.056		
D6 ditch	0.0465	0.045	0.0406	0.052		
R1 pond	0.00162	1.30	0.00217	0.968		



Maximum PECsw val	ues (FOCUS step 3-4) a	nd TER values for lambda-	cyhalothrin – using refined acute RAC for fish
		Single application	Multiple applications
Scenario	PEC global max (μg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	PEC global max TER Acute RAC (μg/L) 0.0021 μg/L
R1 stream	0.0304	0.069	0.0263 0.080
R3 stream	0.0421	0.050	0.0371 0.057
R4 stream	0.0301	0.070	0.0261 0.080
Step 4 - 95% nozzle re	eduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated buf	ffer strips
D1 ditch	0.00226	0.929	0.00231 0.909
D1 stream	0.0019	1.11	0.00191 1.10
D2 ditch	0.00226	0.929	0.00194 1.08
D2 stream	0.00187	1.12	0.00187 1.12
D3 ditch	0.00222	0.946	0.00191 1.10
D4 pond	0.000097	21.6	0.000135 15.6
D4 stream	0.00186	1.13	0.00186 1.13
D5 pond	0.000097	21.6	0.000143 14.7
D5 stream	0.00201	1.04	0.00201 1.04
D6 ditch	0.00225	0.933	0.00193 1.09
R1 pond	0.0001	21	0.000201 10.4
R1 stream	0.00142	1.48	0.00142 1.48
R3 stream	0.00197	1.07	0.00201 1.04
R4 stream	0.0014	1.50	0.00141 1.49
Winter wheat EU-N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 30	-79 Application interval 14 do	ays
Step 3			
D1 ditch	0.0467	0.045	0.0454 0.046
D1 stream	0.0409	0.051	0.0353 0.059
D2 ditch	0.0468	0.045	0.0415 0.051
D2 stream	0.0416	0.050	0.036 0.058
D3 ditch	0.0462	0.045	0.0405 0.052
D4 pond	0.00159	1.32	0.002 1.05
D4 stream	0.0393	0.053	0.0345 0.061



		Single application		Multiple applications
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L
D5 pond	0.00159	1.32	0.002	1.05
D5 stream	0.0372	0.056	0.035	0.060
D6 ditch	0.0464	0.045	0.0408	0.051
R1 pond	0.00161	1.30	0.002	1.05
R1 stream	0.0304	0.069	0.0263	0.080
R3 stream	0.0427	0.049	0.0372	0.056
R4 stream	0.0305	0.069	0.0264	0.080
4 - 95% nozzle r	reduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated buffer stri	ps	
D1 ditch	0.00226	0.929	0.00216	0.972
D1 stream	0.0019	1.11	0.00191	1.10
D2 ditch	0.00227	0.925	0.00197	1.07
D2 stream	0.00194	1.08	0.00194	1.08
D3 ditch	0.00224	0.938	0.00192	1.09
D4 pond	0.000097	21.6	0.000146	14.4
D4 stream	0.00183	1.15	0.00186	1.13
D5 pond	0.000097	21.6	0.000148	14.2
D5 stream	0.00173	1.21	0.00189	1.11
D6 ditch	0.00225	0.933	0.00194	1.08
R1 pond	0.000099	21.2	0.000157	13.4
R1 stream	0.00142	1.48	0.00142	1.48
R3 stream	0.00199	1.06	0.002	1.05
R4 stream	0.00142	1.48	0.00146	1.44
ng wheat EU-N/S	[2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 30-	79 Application interval 14 days		
3				
D1 ditch	0.0464	0.045	0.0412	0.051
D1 stream	0.0365	0.058	0.0353	0.059
D3 ditch	0.0462	0.045	0.0404	0.052



		Single application		Multiple applications	
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	
D4 pond	0.00159	1.32	0.00192	1.09	
D4 stream	0.0382	0.055	0.034	0.062	
D5 pond	0.00159	1.32	0.00182	1.15	
D5 stream	0.0388	0.054	0.0348	0.060	
R4 stream	0.0305	0.069	0.0264	0.080	
p 4 - 95% nozzle r	eduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated buffer stri	ps		
D1 ditch	0.00225	0.933	0.00196	1.07	
D1 stream	0.0017	1.24	0.0019	1.11	
D3 ditch	0.00223	0.942	0.00192	1.09	
D4 pond	0.000097	21.6	0.000143	14.7	
D4 stream	0.00178	1.18	0.00183	1.15	
D5 pond	0.000097	21.6	0.000135	15.6	
D5 stream	0.00181	1.16	0.00188	1.12	
R4 stream	0.00142	1.48	0.00152	1.38	
ed potato EU-N [2x7	7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 15-39;	Application interval 7 days			
p 3					
D3 ditch	0.0382	0.055	0.0332	0.063	
D4 pond	0.00154	1.36	0.00175	1.20	
D4 stream	0.0303	0.069	0.0261	0.080	
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.0375	0.056	0.0326	0.064	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.0374	0.056	0.0326	0.064	
R1 pond	0.00193	1.09	0.00185	1.14	
R1 stream	0.026	0.081	0.0228	0.092	
R2 stream	0.035	0.060	0.0301	0.070	
R3 stream	0.0373	0.056	0.0323	0.065	
p 4 - 95% nozzle r	eduction $+90\%$ run-off	mitigation by vegetated buffer stri	ps		
D3 ditch	0.00192	1.09	0.0016	1.31	



		Single application		Multiple applications	
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	
D4 pond	0.000097	21.6	0.000134	15.7	
D4 stream	0.00163	1.29	0.00136	1.54	
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.00188	1.12	0.00157	1.34	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.00188	1.12	0.00157	1.34	
R1 pond	0.000203	10.3	0.000406	5.17	
R1 stream	0.0014	1.50	0.00154	1.36	
R2 stream	0.00188	1.12	0.00157	1.34	
R3 stream	0.002	1.05	0.00169	1.24	
Seed potato EU-N [2x7	1.5 + 2x7.5 g as/ha] BBC	H 15-39; BBCH 40-75 Application in	nterval 7 days		
Step 3					
D3 ditch	-	-	0.0257	0.082	
D4 pond	-	-	0.00244	0.861	
D4 stream	-	-	0.0212	0.099	
D6 ditch (1 st)	-	-	0.0257	0.082	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	-	-	0.0257	0.082	
R1 pond	-	-	0.0027	0.778	
R1 stream	-	-	0.0178	0.118	
R2 stream	-	-	0.0238	0.088	
R3 stream	_	<u>-</u>	0.0252	0.083	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle re	eduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated buffer stri	ps		
D3 ditch	-	-	0.00128	1.64	
D4 pond	-	-	0.000232	9.05	
D4 stream	-	-	0.00113	1.86	
D6 ditch (1 st)	-	-	0.00128	1.64	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	-	-	0.00128	1.64	
R1 pond	-	-	0.000848	2.48	
R1 stream	-	-	0.00285	0.737	



		Single application		Multiple applications
Scenario	PEC global max (μg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L
R2 stream	-	-	0.00127	1.65
R3 stream	-	-	0.00225	0.933
ed potato EU-S [20 g	g as/ha] BBCH 15-39			
ep 3				
D3 ditch	0.102	0.021	-	-
D4 pond	0.0041	0.512	-	-
D4 stream	0.0808	0.026	-	-
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.1	0.021	-	-
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.0998	0.021	-	-
R1 pond	0.0042	0.500	-	-
R1 stream	0.0693	0.030	-	-
R2 stream	0.0933	0.023	-	-
R3 stream	0.0995	0.021	-	-
ep 4 - 95% nozzle r	eduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated buffer stri	ps	
D3 ditch	0.00511	0.411	-	-
D4 pond	0.000194	10.8	-	-
D4 stream	0.00407	0.516	-	-
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.00502	0.418	-	-
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.00501	0.419	-	-
R1 pond	0.000532	3.95	-	-
R1 stream	0.00349	0.602	-	-
R2 stream	0.0047	0.447	-	-
R3 stream	0.00501	0.419	-	-
ed potato EU-S [20 -	+ 20 g as/ha] BBCH 15-3	9; BBCH 40-75. Single application I	BBCH 40-75.	
ep 3		3		3
D3 ditch	0.102	0.021	0.0883	0.024
D4 pond	0.00411	0.511	0.00426	0.493



		Single application		Multiple applications	
Scenario	PEC global max (μg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	
D4 stream	0.0807	0.026	0.0695	0.030	
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.102	0.021	0.0883	0.024	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.102	0.021	0.0882	0.024	
R1 pond	0.00687	0.306	0.0106	0.198	
R1 stream	0.0707	0.030	0.0608	0.035	
R2 stream	0.0948	0.022	0.0803	0.026	
R3 stream	0.0997	0.021	0.0858	0.024	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle r	eduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated buffer stri	ps		
D3 ditch	0.00511	0.411	0.00447	0.470	
D4 pond	0.000194	10.8	0.000246	8.54	
D4 stream	0.00406	0.517	0.00352	0.597	
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.00511	0.411	0.00447	0.470	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.00512	0.410	0.00447	0.470	
R1 pond	0.000726	2.89	0.00112	1.88	
R1 stream	0.00356	0.590	0.00424	0.495	
R2 stream	0.00477	0.440	0.00407	0.516	
R3 stream	0.00502	0.418	0.00436	0.482	
Potato EU-N [2x7.5 g	as/ha] BBCH 40-85 Appl	ication interval 7 days			
Step 3					
D3 ditch	0.0382	0.055	0.0333	0.063	
D4 pond	0.00154	1.36	0.0019	1.11	
D4 stream	0.0303	0.069	0.0284	0.074	
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.0382	0.055	0.0332	0.063	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.0382	0.055	0.034	0.062	
R1 pond	0.00258	0.814	0.00516	0.407	
R1 stream	0.0265	0.079	0.0228	0.092	
R2 stream	0.0355	0.059	0.0306	0.069	



		Single application			Multiple applications	
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 μg/L		PEC global max (µg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L	
R3 stream	0.0374	0.056		0.0322	0.065	
tep 4 - 95% nozzle r	eduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated bu	uffer strips			
D3 ditch	0.00192	1.09		0.0016	1.31	
D4 pond	0.000097	21.6		0.000146	14.4	
D4 stream	0.00163	1.29		0.00148	1.42	
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.00192	1.09		0.0016	1.31	
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.00192	1.09		0.00164	1.28	
R1 pond	0.000272	7.72		0.000547	3.84	
R1 stream	0.00143	1.47		0.00133	1.58	
R2 stream	0.00191	1.10		0.00159	1.32	
R3 stream	0.00201	1.04		0.00167	1.26	
otato EU-S [2x20 g a	s/ha] BBCH 40-85 Applic	ration interval 8 days(min)				
tep 3						
D3 ditch	0.102	0.021	-	0.0887	0.024	-
D4 pond	0.00411	0.511	-	0.00507	0.414	-
D4 stream	0.0807	0.026	-	0.0758	0.028	-
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.102	0.021	-	0.0887	0.024	-
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.102	0.021	-	0.0908	0.023	-
R1 pond	0.00687	0.306	-	0.0138	0.152	-
R1 stream	0.0707	0.030	-	0.0609	0.034	-
R2 stream	0.0948	0.022	-	0.0816	0.026	-
R3 stream	0.0997	0.021	<u>-</u>	0.0858	0.024	
tep 4 - 95% nozzle r	eduction + 90% run-off	mitigation by vegetated bu	uffer strips			
D3 ditch	0.00511	0.411	-	0.00449	0.468	-
D4 pond	0.000194	10.83	-	0.000292	7.19	-
D4 stream	0.00406	0.517	-	0.00385	0.545	-
D6 ditch (1 st)	0.00511	0.411		0.00449	0.468	



Maximum PECsw val	Maximum PECsw values (FOCUS step 3-4) and TER values for lambda-cyhalothrin – using refined acute RAC for fish							
		Single application		Multiple applications				
Scenario	PEC global max (μg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L		PEC global max (μg/L)	TER Acute RAC 0.0021 µg/L			
D6 ditch (2 nd)	0.00512	0.410	-	0.00459	0.458	-		
R1 pond	0.000726	2.893	-	0.00146	1.44	-		
R1 stream	0.00356	0.590	-	0.00355	0.592	-		
R2 stream	0.00477	0.440	-	0.00414	0.507	-		
R3 stream	0.00502	0.418	-	0.00435	0.483	-		
Trigger		1	_		1	_		

Maximum PECsw value 100 CS'	es and TER values for aquatic invertebar	tes (Focus step 4) and TER values for lambda-cyhal	lothrin– application of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin
Scenario		PEC global max (µg/L)	refined RAC (acute and chronic)
			0.0003 μg/L
Winter wheat EU-N/S [2x	7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 10-29 Application interv	al 14 days	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle reduc	ction + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated	buffer strips	
D1	ditch	0.00231	0.130
D1	stream	0.0019	0.158
D2	ditch	0.00226	0.133
D2	stream	0.00187	0.160
D3	ditch	0.00222	0.135
D4	pond	0.000135	2.22
D4	stream	0.00186	0.161
D5	pond	0.000143	2.10
D5	stream	0.00201	0.149
D6	ditch	0.00225	0.133
R1	pond	0.000201	Refined RAC not relevant
R1	stream	0.00142	Refined RAC not relevant
R3	stream	0.00201	Refined RAC not relevant



Scenario		PEC global max (µg/L)	refined RAC (acute and chronic)
			0.0003 μg/L
R4	stream	0.00141	Refined RAC not relevant
Winter wheat EU-N/S [23	x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 30-79 Application intervo	al 14 days	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle redu	ction + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated b	ouffer strips	
D1	ditch	0.00226	0.133
D1	stream	0.0019	0.158
D2	ditch	0.00227	0.132
D2	stream	0.00194	0.155
D3	ditch	0.00224	0.134
D4	pond	0.000146	2.10
D4	stream	0.00186	0.161
05	pond	0.000148	2.03
O5	stream	0.00189	0.159
D6	ditch	0.00225	0.133
R1	pond	0.000157	Refined RAC not relevant
R1	stream	0.00142	Refined RAC not relevant
R3	stream	0.002	Refined RAC not relevant
R4	stream	0.00146	Refined RAC not relevant
Spring wheat EU-N/S [2x	x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 30-79 Application intervo	al 14 days	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle redu	ction + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated b	ouffer strips	
D1	ditch	0.00225	0.133
D1	stream	0.0019	0.158
D3	ditch	0.00223	0.135
D4	pond	0.000143	2.10
D4	stream	0.00183	0.164
O5	pond	0.000135	2.22
D5	stream	0.00188	0.160
R4	stream	0.00152	Refined RAC not relevant



Maximum PECsw value 100 CS'	s and TER values for aquatic invertebartes	s (Focus step 4) and TER values for lambda-cyha	lothrin– application of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin
Scenario		PEC global max (μg/L)	refined RAC (acute and chronic)
			$0.0003~\mu g/L$
Seed potato EU-N [2x7.5	+ 2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH 15-39; BBCH 40-75 A	pplication interval 7 days	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle reduc	tion + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated bu	ffer strips	
D3	ditch	0.00192	0.156
D4	pond	0.000232	1.29
D4	stream	0.00163	0.184
D6	ditch(1st)	0.00192	0.16
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00192	0.16
R3	stream	0.00225	Refined RAC not relevant
Seed potato EU-S [20 g as	:/ha] BBCH 15-39		
Step 4 - 95% nozzle reduc	tion + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated bu	ffer strips	
D3	ditch	0.00511	0.0587
D4	pond	0.000194	1.55
D4	stream	0.00407	0.0737
D6	ditch(1st)	0.00502	0.0599
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00501	0.0599
R1	pond	0.000532	Refined RAC not relevant
R1	stream	0.00349	Refined RAC not relevant
R2	stream	0.0047	Refined RAC not relevant
R3	stream	0.00501	Refined RAC not relevant
Seed potato EU-S [20 + 2	0 g as/ha] BBCH 15-39; BBCH 40-75. Single	application BBCH 40-75	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle reduc	tion + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated bu	ffer strips	
D3	ditch	0.00511	0.0587
D4	pond	0.000292	1.03
D4	stream	0.00406	0.0739
D6	ditch(1 st)	0.00511	0.0587
D6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00512	0.0586



Scenario		PEC global max (µg/L)	refined RAC (acute and chronic)
			0.0003 μg/L
R1	pond	0.00146	Refined RAC not relevant
R1	stream	0.00424	Refined RAC not relevant
R2	stream	0.00477	Refined RAC not relevant
23	stream	0.00502	Refined RAC not relevant
Potato EU-N [2x7.5 g as	/ha] BBCH 40-85 Application interval 7 days		
step 4 - 95% nozzle redu	ction + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated bu	offer strips	
O3	ditch	0.00192	0.156
D 4	pond	0.000146	2.055
O4	stream	0.00163	0.184
D 6	ditch(1 st)	0.00192	0.156
) 6	ditch(2 nd)	0.00192	0.156
R1	pond	0.000547	Refined RAC not relevant
R1	stream	0.00154	Refined RAC not relevant
R2	stream	0.00191	Refined RAC not relevant
23	stream	0.00201	Refined RAC not relevant
Potato EU-S [2x20 g as/l	ha] BBCH 40-85 Application interval 8 days(m	in)	
Step 4 - 95% nozzle redu	ection + 90% run-off mitigation by vegetated but	offer strips	
D3	ditch	0.00511	0.0587
04	pond	0.000292	1.03
04	stream	0.00406	0.0739
06	ditch(1 st)	0.00511	0.0587
06	ditch(2 nd)	0.00512	0.0586
31	pond	0.00146	Refined RAC not relevant
R1	stream	0.00424	Refined RAC not relevant
R2	stream	0.00477	Refined RAC not relevant
R3	stream	0.00502	Refined RAC not relevant



Maximum PECsw values and TER values for aqui 100 CS'	natic invertebartes (Focus step 4) and TER values for lambda-cyhale	othrin- application of 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin
Scenario	PEC global max (μg/L)	refined RAC (acute and chronic)
		0.0003 μg/L
Peach EU-S [2x22.5 g as/ha] BBCH 53-69; BBCH ≥8	81 Application interval 30 days(min)a	
FOCUS Step 3-4 not available		



Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
		LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
		10 800 μg/L		105 000 μg/L			20 800 μg/L
Spring wheat EU-N	N/S [2 x7.5 g as/ha]	BBCH 10-85 App	lication interval 18 day.	S			
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.629	17 170	-	166 932	-	-	33 068
Winter wheat EU-N	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] I	BBCH 10-85 Appli	cation interval 18 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.629	17 170	-	166 932	-	-	33 068
Field tomato EU-N	[2x12.5 g as/h] and	d EU-S [2x25 g as/	/ha]; BBCH 10-89 Appl	ication interval 12 days			
FOCUS Step 1							
N EU	1.05	10 286	-	100 000	-	-	19 810
S EU	2.09	5 167	-	50 239	-	-	9 952
Tomato EU-N/S [2.	x25 g as/ha]; BBCH	I 10-89 Application	n interval 12 days. Indo	or applications			
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.087	124 138	-	1 206 897	-	-	239 080
FOCUS Step 2							
N/S EU	0.0018	6 000 000	-	58 333 333	-	-	11 555 556
Plum EU-N [2x10]	g as/ha] EU-S [2x2:	g as/ha]; BBCH	<10-79 Application inte	erval 10-14 days(min)			
FOCUS Step 1 earl	ly						
N EU	1.16	9 310		90 517	<u>-</u>		17 931
S EU	2.78	3 885	-	37 770	<u>-</u>	=	7 482
FOCUS Step 1 late	:						
N EU	0.977	11 054	-	107 472	<u>-</u>	-	21 290
S EU	2.44	4 426	-	43 033	-	-	8 525



Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
		LC ₅₀		LC_{50}			NOEC
		10 800 μg/L		$105~000~\mu g/L$			$20~800~\mu g/L$
Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		100	10	100	10	10	10

Maximum PECsv	v values (Focus step	1-2) and TER val	ues for metabolite Ia–	application of 'Lambda	-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'l	Lambda 50 EC	,
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
		LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
		$10800~\mu g/L$		$105~000~\mu g/L$			$20~800~\mu g/L$
Winter wheat EU-l	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] E	BBCH 10-29 Applic	ation interval 14 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.63	17 143	-	166 667	-	-	33 016
Winter wheat EU-l	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] E	BBCH 30-79 Applic	ation interval 14 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.63	17 143	-	166 667	-	-	33 016
Spring wheat EU-N	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] B	BBCH 30-79 Applic	ation interval 14 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.63	17 143	-	166 667	-	-	33 016
Seed potato EU-N	$[2x7.5 + 2x7.5 \ g \ as/n]$	ha] BBCH ≤15; BB	CH 40-75 Application i	interval 7 days			
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.63	17 143	-	166 667	<u>-</u>	=	33 016
Seed potato EU-S	[20 + 20 g as/ha] BB	<u>BCH ≥15; BBCH ≥</u> 4	10				



Maximum PECsw v	alues (Focus step	1-2) and TER val	ues for metabolite Ia–	application of 'Lambda	-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' and 'I	Lambda 50 EC	,
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
		LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
		$10800~\mu g/L$		$105~000~\mu g/L$			$20~800~\mu g/L$
FOCUS Step 1							
	1.7	6 467	-	62 874	-	-	12 455
Potato EU-N [2x7.5]	g as/ha] BBCH 40	-75 Application inte	erval 7 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.63	17 143	-	166 667	-	-	33 016
Potato EU-S [2x20 g	as/ha] BBCH≥40	Application interv	al 8 days(min)				
FOCUS Step 1							
	1.7	6 353	-	61 765	-	-	12 235
Peach EU-S [2x22.5	g as/ha] BBCH 53	g-69; BBCH≥81 Ap	plication interval 30 da	ys(min) ^a			
FOCUS Step 1							
-	1.1	9 818	-	95 455	-	-	18 909
Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		100	10	100	10	10	10

^a Application to peach is applicable only for Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS

Maximum PECsw	v values (Focus step 1-2)	and TER values for	or metabolite V– appl	ication of 'Karate 10 C	S' and 'Kaiso sorbie	5 % EG'	
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A
		LC_{50}		LC_{50}			
		$13~300~\mu g/L$		85 000 μg/L			
Spring wheat EU	-N/S [2 x7.5 g as/ha] H	BBCH 10-85 Appli	ication interval 18 da	ays			
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.64	20 781	-	132 813	-	-	-



Maximum PECsw	values (Focus step 1-2)	and TER values for	or metabolite V– appl	ication of 'Karate 10 C	S' and 'Kaiso sorbie	5 % EG'	
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A
		LC_{50}		LC_{50}			
		13 300 μg/L		85 000 μg/L			
Winter wheat EU	-N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] B	BCH 10-85 Applie	cation interval 18 day	VS			
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.64	20 781	-	132 813	-	-	-
Field Tomato EU-N	N [2x12.5 g as/h] and EU	V-S [2x25 g as/ha]; I	BBCH 10-89 Application	on interval 12 days			
FOCUS Step 1							
N EU	1.06	12 547	-	80 189	-	-	-
S EU	2.12	6 274	-	40 094	-	-	-
Tomato EU-N/S [2:	x25 g as/ha]; BBCH 10-8	89 Application inter	val 12 days. Indoor app	olications			
FOCUS Step 1							
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOCUS Step 2							
N/S EU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plum EU-N [2x10 §	g as/ha] EU-S [2x25 g as	s/ha]; BBCH <10-75	9 Application interval 1	10-14 days(min)			
FOCUS Step 1 earl	y						
N EU	1.09	12 202	-	77 982	-	-	-
S EU	2.72	4 890	<u>-</u> _	31 250	<u>-</u>	-	
FOCUS Step 1 late							
N EU	0.96	13 854	-	88 542	-	-	-
S EU	2.41	5 519	-	35 270	-	-	-
Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		100	10	100	10	10	10



Scenario	PEC global max $(\mu g/L)$	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A
		LC_{50}		LC_{50}			
		13 300 µg/L		85 000 μg/L			
Winter wheat EU-l	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH	I 10-29 Application	interval 14 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.12	110 833	-	708 333	-	-	-
Winter wheat EU-l	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH	I 30-79 Application	interval 14 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
	0.12	110 883	-	708 333	-	-	-
Spring wheat EU-1	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH	I 30-79 Application	interval 14 days				
FOCUS Step 1							
_	0.12	110 883	-	708 333	-	-	-
Seed potato EU-N	$[2x7.5 + 2x7.5 \ g \ as/ha] \ E$	BBCH ≤15; BBCH 4	0-75 Application inter	val 7 days			
FOCUS Step 1							
-	0.24	55 417	-	354 167	-	-	-
Seed potato EU-S	[20 + 20 g as/ha] BBCH	≥15; BBCH ≥40					
FOCUS Step 1	-						
-	0.32	41 563	-	265 625	-	-	-
Potato EU-N [2x7.	.5 g as/ha] BBCH 40-75 A	Application interval	7 days				
FOCUS Step 1		**	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1	0.12	110 833	-	708 333	-	-	-
Potato EU-S [2x20	0 g as/ha] BBCH ≥40 App	lication interval 8 d	lays(min)				
FOCUS Step 1	- 11		· · · · ·				
1	0.32	41 563	-	265 625	-	_	-
D 1 EU C (2 22	2.5 g as/ha] BBCH 53-69;	DDCU >01 Applied	tion internal 20 days(n	aira)a			



Maximum PECsw	values (Focus step 1-2)	and TER values fo	or metabolite V– appl	ication of 'Lambda-Cy	halothrin 100 CS' an	d 'Lambda 50 EC'	
Scenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged
		O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A
		LC_{50}		LC_{50}			
		13 300 μg/L		$85~000~\mu g/L$			
	2.51	5229	-	33865	-	-	-
Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		100	10	100	10	10	10

^a Application to peach is applicable only for Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS

C		PEC global max	E' 1 .	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate	A 1	Sed- dweller Acute	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
Scei	nario	(µg/L)	Fish acute			prolonged	Algae		
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}				NOEC
			$0.84~\mu g/L$		$0.16~\mu g/L$				580 μg/kg
Spring v	vheat EU-	N/S [2 x7.5 g as/ha]	ВВСН 10-85 Ард	plication interval 18	days				
FOCUS	Step 1								
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 2								
N EU		0.0075	112	-	21.3	-	-	-	1309
S	EU	0.0075	112	-	21.3	-	-	-	681
FOCU	S Step 3								
D1	Ditch	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D1	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D3	Ditch	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D4	Pond	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D4	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D5	Pond	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D5	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R4	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-



Sce	nario	PEC global max (μg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller Acute	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}				NOEC
			0.84 μg/L		0.16 μg/L				580 μg/kg
Winter v	wheat EU-	N/S [2x7.5 g as/ha] H	BBCH 10-85 App	lication interval 18 a	lays				
FOCUS	Step 1								
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 2								
N EU		0.008	105	-	20.0	-	-	-	547
S EU		0.0075	112	-	21.3	-	-	-	681
FOCU	S Step 3								
D1	Ditch	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D1	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D2	Ditch	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D2	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D3	Ditch	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D4	Pond	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D4	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D5	Pond	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D5	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D6	Ditch	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R1	Pond	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R1	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R3	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R4	Stream	< 0.001	-	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
		12.5 g as/h] Tomato	EU-S [2x25 g as/	ha]; BBCH 10-89 A _l	pplication interval 12 a	lays			
FOCUS	Step 1								
		-		-		-	-	-	-



Sce	enario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller Acute	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}				NOEC
			0.84 μg/L		$0.16\mu g/L$				580 μg/kg
N EU		0.013	64.6	-	12.3	-	-	-	715
S EU		0.025	3.36	-	6.40	-	-	-	186
FOCU	S Step 3								
D6	Ditch	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R2	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R3	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R4	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
Tomato	EU-N/S [2	2x25 g as/ha]; BBCH	10-89 Applicatio	on interval 12 days. I	Indoor applications ^c				
FOCUS	S Step 1	-		-					
	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOCUS	S Step 2								
N/S	S EU	0.0009	933	-	178	-	-	-	96667
Plum E	U-N [2x10	g as/ha] EU-S [2x25	g as/ha] ; BBCF	H <10-79 Application	n interval 10-14 days(n	nin) late application			
FOCUS				**	<u> </u>	, , ,			
	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOCUS	S Step 2								
	EU	0.057	14.7	-	2.81	-	-	-	1144
S	EU	0.143	5.87	-	1.12	-	_	-	367
FOCU	S Step 3								
D3	Ditch	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	_
D4	Pond	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	_
D4	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D5	Pond	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	_
D5	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	_
R1	Pond	< 0.001	> 840	_	> 160	_	_	_	_



Sce	nario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller Acute	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}				NOEC
			$0.84~\mu g/L$		$0.16~\mu g/L$				580 μg/kg
R1	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R2	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R3	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R4	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
Plum E	U-N [2x10	g as/ha] Plum EU-S	[2x25 g as/ha] ;	BBCH <10-79 Appl	ication interval 10-14 d	lays(min) early appli	ication		
FOCUS	Step 1								
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 2								
N EU		0.106	7.92	-	1.51	-	-	-	422
S EU		0.265	3.17	-	0.603	-	-	-	105
FOCU	S Step 3								
D3	Ditch	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D4	Pond	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D4	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D5	Pond	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
D5	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R1	Pond	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R1	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R2	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R3	Stream	< 0.001	> 840	-	> 160	-	-	-	-
R4	Stream	< 0.001	> 840		> 160	-		-	-
Reg (EU 546/201 Trigger	1		100	10	100	10	10	100	10

^a Values in bold fall below the Reg (EU) 546/2011 trigger value. ^b TER values are calculated based on PEC_{sed} step 1-3.



Sc	enario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
			$0.84~\mu g/L$		0.16 μg/L			580 μg/kg
Winter w	heat EU-N/S	[2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH	10-29 Application i	nterval 14 days				
FOCUS	Step 1							
		0.02	42	-	8.0	-	-	125
FOCUS	Step 2							
N EU		0.008	105	-	20.0	-	-	-
S EU		0.008	105	-	20.0	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 3							
D1	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D2	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D2	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R3	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R4	stream	< 0.001	> 100		> 100	-	-	
Winter w	heat EU-N/S	[2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH .	30-79 Application i	nterval 14 days				
FOCUS	Step 1							
		0.02	42	-	8.0	-	-	125



Sc	enario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate Algae prolonged		Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
			$0.84~\mu g/L$		$0.16\mu g/L$			580 μg/kg
FOCUS	Step 2							
N EU		0.008	105	-	20.0	-	-	-
S EU		0.008	105	-	20.0	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 3							
D1	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D2	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D2	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R3	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
Spring w	heat EU-N/S	[2x7.5 g as/ha] BBCH :	30-79 Application i	nterval 14 days				
FOCUS	Step 1							
		0.02	42	-	8.0	-	-	125
FOCUS	Step 2							
N EU		0.008	105	-	20.0	-	-	-
S EU		0.008	105	-	20.0	-	-	-



Sc	cenario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
			$0.84~\mu g/L$		$0.16~\mu g/L$			580 μg/kg
D1	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 1							
FOCUS		0.05	17	-	3.2	-	<u>-</u>	62.5
FOCUS				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-	62.5
FOCUS N EU	Step 2	0.05	17 105	-	3.2	-	-	62.5
FOCUS	Step 2 Step 3	0.008	105	<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u> -	-	62.5
FOCUS N EU FOCUS	Step 2 Step 3 ditch			- - -	20.0	- - -	- - - -	62.5 - -
FOCUS N EU FOCUS D3	Step 2 Step 3	0.008	105 > 100	- - - -	20.0 > 100	- - - -	- - - - -	- - - -
FOCUS N EU FOCUS D3 D4	Step 2 Step 3 ditch pond	0.008 <0.001 <0.001	105 > 100 > 100	- - - - -	20.0 > 100 > 100	- - - - -	- - - - - -	- - - -
FOCUS N EU FOCUS D3 D4 D4	Step 2 Step 3 ditch pond stream	0.008 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	105 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - -	20.0 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - -	- - - - - - -	
FOCUS N EU FOCUS D3 D4 D4 D4 D6	Step 2 Step 3 ditch pond stream ditch(1st)	0.008 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	105 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - - -	20.0 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	
FOCUS N EU FOCUS D3 D4 D4 D6 D6	Step 2 Step 3 ditch pond stream ditch(1st) ditch(2nd)	0.008 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	105 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - - - -	20.0 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - -	
FOCUS N EU FOCUS D3 D4 D4 D6 D6 R1	Step 2 Step 3 ditch pond stream ditch(1st) ditch(2nd) pond	0.008 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	105 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - - - - -	20.0 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100 > 100	- - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - -	



Sce	enario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
			0.84 μg/L		0.16 µg/L			580 µg/kg
		0.06	14	-	2.7	-	-	46.8
FOCUS S	Step 2							
S EU		0.0200	42	-	8.0	-	-	-
FOCUS S	Step 3							
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch(1st)	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch(2 nd)	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R2	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R3	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
Seed pota	ato EU-S [20 -	+ 20 g as/ha] BBCH ≥	<i>15; BBCH</i> ≥ <i>40</i>					
FOCUS S	Step 1							
		0.06	14	-	2.7	-	-	46.8
FOCUS S	Step 2							
S EU		0.0200	42	-	8.0	-	-	
FOCUS S	Step 3							
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch(1st)	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch(2 nd)	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-



So	cenario	PEC global max (µg/L)			Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
			$0.84~\mu g/L$		$0.16~\mu g/L$			580 μg/kg
R1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R2	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R3	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
Potato E	EU-N [2x7.5 g	as/ha] BBCH 40-75 Ap	plication interval 7	days				
FOCUS	Step 1							
		0.02	42	-	8.0	-	-	125
FOCUS	Step 2							
]	N EU	0.0075	112	-	21	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 3							
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch(1st)	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch(2 nd)	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R2	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R3	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
Potato E	EU-S [2x20 g a	s/ha] BBCH ≥40 Appli	cation interval 8 da	ys(min)				
FOCUS	Step 1							
		0.06	14	<u>-</u>	2.7	-	-	46.8
FOCUS	-							
,	S EU	0.018	47	-	8.9	-	-	
FOCUS	-							
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-



Sc	enario	PEC global max (µg/L)	Fish acute	Fish prolonged	Invertebrate acute	Invertebrate prolonged	Algae	Sed- dweller prolonged ^b
			O. mykiss	N/A	D. magna	N/A	N/A	C. riparius
			LC_{50}		LC_{50}			NOEC
			$0.84~\mu g/L$		$0.16~\mu g/L$			580 μg/kg
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D6	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R2	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R3	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
Peach EU	I-S [2x22.5 g as	s/ha] BBCH 53-69; BBCH	≥81 Application inte	rval 30 days(min)				
FOCUS	Step 1							
		0.14	6.0	-	1.1	-	-	82.6
FOCUS	Step 2							
S EU		0.128	6.6	-	1.3	-	-	-
FOCUS	Step 3							
D3	ditch	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
D5	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	pond	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R1	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R2	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R3	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	-
R4	stream	< 0.001	> 100	-	> 100	-	-	
_) 546/2011		100	10	100	10	10	10
Trigger								



 $^{^{}a}$ Application to peach is applicable only for Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS b TER values for sediment dwelling invertebrates are based on PEC_{sed}. For further information, see Annex B.9 on the representative products.



Bioconcentration

	Active substance	Metabolite 1a
Log Po/w	5.5	
Bioconcentration factor (BCF) ‡	3635 ^a	No data
Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger for BCF		
Clearance time (days) (CT ₅₀)		
Clearance time (days) (CT ₉₀)		
Level and nature of residues (%) in organisms after the		
14 day depuration phase		

^a based on total ¹⁴C

Effects on honeybees

Test substance	Acute oral toxicity	Acute
	(LD ₅₀ µg a.s./bee)	contact
		toxicity
		$(LD_{50} \mu g$
		a.s./bee)
Active substance ‡	0.91	0.038
Metabolite Ia	>165	>200
Karate 10CS (AI2690B)	0.17	0.055
Lambda 100CS (72 hour toxicity endpoints)	8.5	0.43
Lambda 50EC	0.118	0.112
Field or semi-field tests	•	

Test	Test	Dose	Results
	material	range/evidence of	
		exposure	
Hecht-Rost	'Lambda-	T1: 7.5 g as/ha	<u>T1: 7.5 g a.s./ha</u>
2012: Semi-	Cyhalothrin	T2: 15 g as/ha	Noticeable increase in mortality on the day of
field brood	100 CS'	T3: 22.5 g as/ha	application. Increased mortality persisted or 6 days
test (Phacelia)			(ignoring 3 DAA). Comparable to control 7 DAA.
in Switzerland		400L water/ha,	
		single application.	Foraging activity was very slightly reduced compared
			to the control and the pre-application activity. No
		Two toxic references	foraging activity 3 DAA for any treatment and control
		(Insegar 25 WG and	tunnel.
		Perfekthion).	
			Nervous bees and abnormal behaviour noted in the
		Statistical analysis	behaviour assessments.
		performed.	
			No differences between the treatment and the control
		Foraging activity	were observed in the brood assessments.
		assessments	<u>T2: 15 g a.s./ha</u>
		performed. Tunnel	Noticeable increase in mortality on the day of
		study and no	application. Increased mortality persisted or 2 days.
		alternative foraging	Comparable to control 4 DAA onwards.
		areas.	
			Foraging activity was reduced compared to the control
			and pre-application activity (to a greater extent than
			for T1 and T3). No foraging activity 3 DAA for any
			treatment and control tunnel.
			Nervous bees and abnormal behaviour noted in the
			behaviour assessments.
			ochaviour assessments.
			Brood termination rate increased for the duration of



Test	Test material	Dose range/evidence of exposure	Results
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the assessment. This is not consistent with T1 and T3.
			T3: 22.5 g a.s./ha Noticeable increase in mortality on the day of application. Increased mortality persisted for 6 days (ignoring 3 DAA). Comparable to control 7 DAA.
			Foraging activity was reduced compared to the control and pre-application activity (to a greater extent than for T1). No foraging activity 3 DAA for any treatment and control tunnel.
			Nervous bees and abnormal behaviour noted in the behaviour assessments.
			No differences between the treatment and the control were observed in the brood assessments (in fact lower brood termination rate compared to the control and T1).
Schur, 2000: Field study (flowering <i>Phacelia</i>	'Karate 10CS' (not identical	7.5 g a.s./ha, single application Pollen source	Increase in mortality immediately after application (0 DAA). In 1 replicate the increase in mortality was also apparent 1 DAA.
tanacetifolia) in Germany	to the representative formulation)	identification; lower amounts of <i>phacelia</i> noted in treated than in control at 2 sites	Foraging numbers were considered reasonable prior to application. In all treatment replicates the foraging activity was decreased for 1 day. In one replicate lower numbers were observed on the treated plots for 2 days after application.
		Field location was stated to be away from other flowering crops.	Symptoms of intoxication were noted shortly after application (0 DAA).
Nengel 1998: Field study (flowering <i>Phacelia</i>	'Karate 10CS'	15 g a.s./ha, single application during bee flight. 2 trials.	Increased mortality for 1 day after treatment in both trials. Signs of intoxication were also observed after application. Normal bee behaviour was reported for days 1 – 7 DAA.
tanacetifolia) in Germany		Pollen source identification. Field location was	Foraging numbers were considered reasonable prior to application. Reduced flight intensity on 0 DAA in both treatments.
		stated to be away from other flowering crops.	A large proportion of the pollen was stated to have been <i>Phacelia</i> .
		The RMS noted heavy precipitation 5 DAA.	
Nengel 1999b: Field study (flowering Phacelia	'Karate 10CS' (not identical to the representative	T1: 7.5 g a.s./ha T2: 15 g a.s./ha. single application during bee flight.	Increased mortality for 1-2 days in T1. Increased (higher level) was observed in T2 and persisted for 3 days. Signs of intoxication were also observed after application. Normal bee behaviour was reported for days 1 – 7 DAA.
tanacetifolia) in Germany	formulation)	Pollen source identification. Field location was	Foraging numbers were considered reasonable prior to application. Reduced flight intensity on 0 DAA in both treatments.



Test	Test material	Dose range/evidence of exposure	Results
		stated to be away from other flowering crops.	
Nengel 1999c: Field study (flowering Phacelia tanacetifolia) in Germany	Karate 10CS	T1: 7.5 g a.s./ha T2: 15 g a.s./ha. single application during bee flight. Pollen source identification	Increased mortality for 1 day in T1. Increased (higher level) was observed in T2 and persisted for 2 days. Signs of intoxication were also observed after application. Normal bee behaviour was reported for days 1 – 7 DAA. Foraging numbers were considered reasonable prior to application. Reduced flight intensity on 0 DAA in both treatments.

Hazard quotients for honey bees

lambda-cyhalothrin in'Karate 10CS'								
Стор	Single application rate g a.s./ha	HQ oral lamba cyhalothrin	HQ contact lamba cyhalothrin	HQ oral Karate 10CS	HQ contact Karate 10CS			
Toxicity endpoint (μg a.s	Toxicity endpoint (μg a.s./bee)		0.038	0.17	0.55			
Spring and winter wheat NEU and SEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	44.1	136.4			
Tomato NEU	12.5	13.7	328.9	73.5	227.3			
Tomato SEU	25	27.5	657.9	147.1	454.5			
Tomato N/SEU Glasshouse	25	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R			
Plum NEU	10	11.0	263.2	58.8	181.8			
Plum SEU	25	27.5	657.9	147.1	454.5			
Trigger value			5	0				

N/R: Honey bee risk assessment not required for glasshouse use

HQ values in **bold** are greater than the trigger value of 50

SEU: Southern Europe NEU: Northern Europe

lambda-cyhalothrin in'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'									
Crop	Single application rate g a.s./ha	HQ oral lamba cyhalothrin	HQ contact lamba cyhalothrin	HQ oral Kaiso Sorbie	HQ contact Kaiso Sorbie				
Toxicity endpoint (μg a.	Toxicity endpoint (μg a.s./bee)		0.038	-	-				
Spring and winter wheat NEU and SEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	-	-				
Tomato NEU	12.5	13.7	328.9	-	-				
Tomato SEU	25	27.5	657.9	-	-				
Tomato N/SEU Glasshouse	25	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R				
Plum NEU	10	11.0	263.2	-	-				
Plum SEU	25	27.5	657.9	-	-				
Trigger value			5	50					

N/R: Honey bee risk assessment not required for glasshouse use

HQ values in **bold** are greater than the trigger value of 50



SEU: Southern Europe NEU: Northern Europe

lambda-cyhalothrin in'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS'								
Стор	Single application rate g a.s./ha	HQ oral lamba cyhalothrin	HQ contact lamba cyhalothrin	HQ oral Lambda- cyhalothrin 100 CS	HQ contact Lambda- cyhalothrin 100 CS			
Toxicity endpoint (µg a.s	Toxicity endpoint (µg a.s./bee)		0.038	8.5	0.43			
Spring and winter wheat NEU and SEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	0.9	17.4			
Seed potato NEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	0.9	17.4			
Potato NEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	0.9	17.4			
Seed potato SEU	20	22.0	526.3	2.4	46.5			
Potato SEU	20	22.0	526.3	2.4	46.5			
Peach SEU	22.5	24.7	592.1	2.6	52.3			
Trigger value			5	0				

N/R: Honey bee risk assessment not required for glasshouse use HQ values in **bold** are greater than the trigger value of 50

SEU: Southern Europe NEU: Northern Europe

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Lambda 50 EC'									
Crop	Single application rate g a.s./ha	HQ oral lamba cyhalothrin	HQ contact lamba cyhalothrin	HQ oral Lambda 50 EC	HQ contact Lambda 50 EC				
Toxicity endpoint (μg a.	Toxicity endpoint (μg a.s./bee)		0.038	0.118	0.112				
Spring and winter wheat NEU and SEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	63.6	67.0				
Seed potato NEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	63.6	67.0				
Potato NEU	7.5	8.2	197.4	63.6	67.0				
Seed potato SEU	20	22.0	526.3	169.5	178.6				
Potato SEU	20	22.0	526.3	169.5	178.6				
Trigger value				50					

N/R: Honey bee risk assessment not required for glasshouse use HQ values in **bold** are greater than the trigger value of 50

SEU: Southern Europe NEU: Northern Europe

Effects on other arthropod species

Laboratory tests with standard sensitive species

Species	Test substance	End point	Effect
			(LR ₅₀ g a.s./ha)
Typhlodromus pyri‡	Lambda-cyhalothrin	mortality	0.0037
	50EC		
Aphidius rhopalosiphi ‡	Lambda-cyhalothrin 100 g/L CS (WF2639)	mortality	1.06
Aphidius rhopalosiphi ‡	Lambda-cyhalothrin 50 g/kg WG (YF8048A)	mortality	0.59

Test substance	Species	Effect (LR ₅₀ g a.s./ha)	HQ in-field	HQ off-field (1 or 3 m distance)	Trigger		
Cereals, 2 x 7.5 g a.s./ha, 10 days interval, MAF = 1.7							



Test substance	Species	Effect (LR ₅₀ g a.s./ha)	HQ in-field	HQ off-field (1 or 3 m distance)	Trigger		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	3446	82	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	12	0.29	2		
-), field use in N-EU	J, 2 x 12.5 g a.s./ha	, 12 days interva	l, MAF = 1.7	•		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	5743	137	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	20	0.48	2		
Tomato (<50 cm), field use in S-EU	, 2 x 25 g as/ha, 12	days interval, M	$\mathbf{IAF} = 1.7$			
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	11486	273	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	40	1.0	2		
Plum orchards, N-EU, 2 x 10 g a.s./ha, 10 days interval, MAF = 1.7							
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	4595	1173	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	16	4.1	2		
Plum orchards,	S-EU, 2 x 25 g a.s./	ha, 10 days interva	$\mathbf{al}, \mathbf{MAF} = 1.7$				
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	11486	2933	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	40	10	2		
Peach orchards,	S-EU, 2 x 22.5 g as	s/ha, 30 days interv	val				
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	6081	1776	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	21	6.2	2		
Potato, N-EU, 2	x 7.5 g a.s./ha, 7 da	ys interval, MAF	= 1.7				
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	3446	82	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	12	0.29	2		
Potato, S-EU, 1	x 20 g a.s /ha						
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	5405	150	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	19	0.52	2		
	x 2 g a.s./ha, 8 day	vs interval, $MA\overline{F} =$	1.7				
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Typhlodromus pyri	0.0037	9189	219	2		
Lambda- cyhalothrin	Aphidius rhopalosiphi	1.06	32	0.76	2		

Extended laboratory studies ‡

Species ^d	Life stage	Test substance, substrate and duration	Dose (g/ha)	End point	Fffect	Trigger value
Studies perform	ed with fresh resi	idues				
Typhlodromus pyri	protonymphs	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 50	Initial 0.0001 - 0.0081 g	Mortality fecundity	LR _{50:} 0.0017 g a.s./ha	50%



Species ^d	Life stage	Test substance, substrate and duration	Dose (g/ha)	End point	Fffect	Trigger value
Studies perform	ned with fresh res					
-		EC	a.s./ha		<50% effect on reproduction at 0.0009 g a.s./ha	
Aphidius rhopalospihi	adults	Karate 10 CS (A12690B) Leaf discs Fresh residues	Initial 0.05 to 0.5 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	$\begin{array}{c} 48h\ LR_{50}\!=\!0.35\\ g\ a.s./ha\\ No\ sublethal\\ effects\ at\\ treatment\ rates\\ of\ up\ to\ and\\ including\ 0.5\ g\\ a.s./ha\ Karate\\ 10\ CS\ /ha\ . \end{array}$	50%
Typhlodromus pyri	protonymphs	Karate 10 CS (A12690B) Leaf discs Fresh residues	0.0006 – 0.023 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	LR _{50:} 0.0243 g a.s./ha <50% effect on reproduction at 0.009 g a.s./ha	50%
Orius insidiosus	3- to 4-day old nymphs	Karate 10 CS (A12690B) Leaf discs Fresh residues	Initial 0.004- 0.1 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	LR ₅₀ = 0.018 g a.s./ha <50% effect on reproduction at 0.020 g a.s./ha	50%
Chrysoperla carnea	2-3 days old larvae	'Karate 10 CS' (A12690B) Leaf discs Fresh residues	Initial 0.1-20 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	LR ₅₀ = 4.3 g a.s./ha. The NOAEL on reproduction could be establish on the emergent Mortality fecundity adults at treatment rates of up to and including 2.0 g a.s./ha	50%
Aleochara bilineata	adult	'Karate 10 CS' (A12690B) Sandy soil Fresh residues	Initial 1.0 to 70 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	LR ₅₀ = 5.5 g a.s./ha 60% reduction in reproduction at 7.5 g a.s./ha <50% effect on reproduction at 1 g a.s./ha	50%
Chrysoperla carnea	larvae	'Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS' Leaf discs Fresh residues	0.0293 - 7.5 g a.s./ha	mortality	$\begin{array}{c} LR_{50} > 7.5 \text{ g} \\ \text{a.s./ha} \\ ER_{50} \\ \text{reproduction} \\ > 7.5 \text{ g a.s./ha} \end{array}$	50%
Typhlodromus pyri	protonymphs	'Lambda- cyhalothrin 100 CS' Leaf discs Fresh residues	0.00148 – 0.12 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	$LR_{50} = 0.06 \text{ g}$ a.s./ha $<50\% \text{ effect on}$ reproduction at 0.00148 g a.s./ha	50%
Aphidius rhopalospihi	adult	Lambda- cyhalothrin (WG 50 g/kg) Leaf discs Fresh residues	0.62 – 7.5 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	$LR_{50} = 2.2 \text{ g}$ a.s./ha ER_{50} reproduction = 1.3 g a.s./ha	50%



Species ^d	Life stage	Test substance, substrate and duration	Dose (g/ha)	End point	Fffect	Trigger value
Studies perform	ed with fresh resi	idues			1	
Typhlodromus pyri	protonymphs	Lambda- cyhalothrin (WG 50 g/kg) Leaf discs Fresh residues	0.26 – 10 g a.s./ha	Mortality	LR ₅₀ = 0.026 g a.s./ha	50%
Studies perform	ed with aged					
Typhlodromus pyri	protonymphs	Lambda- cyhalothrin (WG 50 g/kg) 150 g/ha Aged apple leaves 27 days	7.5 g a.s./ha	Mortality fecundity	Mortality = 4.3% ^c Reduction in reproduction = 2.3 %	50%
Chrysoperla carnea	larvae	'Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS' 7 day and 21 day aged residues dwarf bean leaves (<i>P. vulgare</i>) 7 and 21 Days	4.6 - 46 g a.s./ha	mortality Fecundity	i) 7 day Mortality > 80% for all treatments. Fecundity not assessed. ii) 21 day <10% effect on mortality for all treatments. <50% reudtcion in reproduction for all treatments.	50%
Aphidius rhopalospihi	adult	'Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS' 7 day and 21 day aged residues days dwarf bean leaves (<i>P.</i> vulgare) and barley seedlings (<i>h.vulgare</i>)	4.6 - 46 g a.s./ha	mortality	i) 7 day Mortality > 89% for all treatments. Fecundity not assessed. ii) 21 day <10% effect on mortality for all treatments. <50% reudtcion in reproduction for all treatments.	50%

Field or semi-field tests on other arthropod species

Field or semi-field test

For the representative uses in cereals in northern Europe (Denmark), central Europe (Germany) and southern Europe (Italy), the effects on non-target arthropods of a multiple application regime of 3 x 10 g a.s./ha has been investigated in three studies. No acceptable field studies are available to assess the risk to NTA from the representative uses in tomatoes, potatoes and orchards (plums and peaches).

Recovery was not demonstrated within 1 year in the available field data from Denmark and Germany for sensitive species such as Linyphid spiders. Hence, from the field data potential for recovery/re-colonisation is not demonstrated within 1 year after the first treatment for in-field habitats and within an ecologically relevant time for off-field habitats at the proposed use in cereal in central and northern EU. From the study in Italy, a potential recovery was indicated one year after the first treatment. There is no acceptable field data to cover the representative use in tomatoes, potatoes and orchards.



Effects on earthworms, other soil macroorganisms and soil microorganisms

Test organisms	Test substance	Time scale	End point ^a
Earthworms	<u>.</u>		
Eisenia fetida	lambda-cyhalothrin ‡	14 d	LC _{50Corr} >500 mg/kg
Eisenia fetida	lambda-cyhalothrin ‡	56 d	NOEC _{Corr} 3.125 mg/kg
Eisenia fetida	TFP-acid (metabolite Ia)	56 d	NOEC 6.25 mg a.s./kg
Eisenia fetida	3-Phenoxybenzoic acid (metabolite V)	56 d	NOEC _{Corr} 3.125 mg/kg
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	14 d	LC _{50Corr} >500 mg/kg
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	56 d	NOEC Corr 25 mg a.s./kg
Eisenia fetida	'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'	14 d	LC _{50 Corr} >25 mg a.s./kg
Eisenia fetida	'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS'	14 d	LC _{50 Corr} >500 mg a.s./kg
Eisenia fetida	'Lambda 50EC'	56 d	NOEC _{Corr} 0.39 mg a.s./kg
Other soil macroorgan	nisms		
Folsomia candida	'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS'	28 d	NOEC _{Corr} 2.73 mg a.s./kg
Hypoaspis aculeifer	'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS'	14 d	NOEC _{Corr} 4.67 mg a.s./kg
Soil microorganisms			
n/a	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	28 d	0 % effect on nitrogen formation rate at day 0-28 at 0.025 mg/kg dw soil
n/a	Lambda-cyhalothrin 5% EC	28 d	\(\leq 21\% \) effect on ammonium levels at day 0-28 at 1.67 mg formulation/kg. Effects of nitrogen formation rate not reported.
n/a	Lambda-cyhalothrin 10 % CS	28 d	0.3 % effect on nitrogen formation rate at day 0-28 at 0.52 mg formulation/kg d.w. soil (1.3 % at 0.10 mg formulation /kg dw soil)
Field studies			
Not required			

^a Endpoint has been corrected with a factor of 2 due to log Pow >2.0 (e.g. LC₅₀corr)

$Toxicity/exposure\ ratios\ for\ soil\ organisms$

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Karate 10 CS'2 x 25 g/ha to plums (covering applications to wheat and tomatoes)							
Test organism	nism Test substance Time sc		Soil PEC (mg/kg) ^a	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger		
Earthworms							
Eisenia fetida	lambda- cyhalothrin	Acute	0.0431	>11601	10		
Eisenia fetida	lambda-	Chronic	0.0431	73	5		



lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Karate 10 CS'2 x 25 g/ha to plums (covering applications to wheat and tomatoes)					
Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	Soil PEC (mg/kg) ^a	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger
	cyhalothrin				
Eisenia fetida	TFP-acid (metabolite Ia)	Chronic	0.0053	1179	5
Eisenia fetida	3-Phenoxy- benzoic acid (metabolite V)	Chronic	0.0021	1488	5
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Acute	0.0054	>92593	10
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Chronic	0.0054	9259	5
Other soil macroorganisms					
Folsomia candida	'Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS'	Chronic	0.0431	63	5

^a Initial PEC after 2 applications within one season.

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'2 x 25 g/ha to plums (covering applications to wheat and tomatoes)					
Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	Soil PEC (mg/kg) ^a	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger
Earthworms					
Eisenia fetida	lambda- cyhalothrin	Acute	0.0431	>11601	10
Eisenia fetida	lambda- cyhalothrin	Chronic	0.0431	73	5
Eisenia fetida	TFP-acid (metabolite Ia)	Chronic	0.0053	1179	5
Eisenia fetida	3-Phenoxy- benzoic acid (metabolite V)	Chronic	0.0021	1488	5
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Acute	0.0054	>92593	10
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Chronic	0.0054	9259	5
Eisenia fetida	'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG'	Acute	0.0431	>580	10
Other soil macroorganisms					
Folsomia candida	'Lambda- cyhalothrin 100 CS'	Chronic	0.0431	63	5

^a Initial PEC after 2 applications within one season.

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100~CS'2~x~20~g/ha to potatoes (covering applications to wheat and peach)



Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	Soil PEC (mg/kg) ^a	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger
Earthworms					
Eisenia fetida	lambda- cyhalothrin	Acute	0.027	>18 518	10
Eisenia fetida	lambda- cyhalothrin	Chronic	0.027	116	5
Eisenia fetida	TFP-acid (metabolite Ia)	Chronic	0.0033	1 893	5
Eisenia fetida	3-Phenoxy- benzoic acid (metabolite V)	Chronic	0.0070	446	5
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Acute	0.003	>151 515	10
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Chronic	0.0034	7352	5
Eisenia fetida	Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS	Acute	0.027	>18 518	10
Other soil macroorganisms					
Folsomia candida	'Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS'	Chronic	0.027	101	5

^a Initial PEC after 2 applications within one season.

lambda-cyhalothrin in 'Lambda 50 EC'2 x 20 g/ha to potatoes (covering applications to wheat)					
Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	Soil PEC (mg/kg) ^a	TER	Reg (EU) 546/2011 Trigger
Earthworms					
Eisenia fetida	lambda- cyhalothrin	Acute	0.027	>18 518	10
Eisenia fetida	lambda- cyhalothrin	Chronic	0.027	116	5
Eisenia fetida	TFP-acid (metabolite Ia)	Chronic	0.0033	1 893	5
Eisenia fetida	3-Phenoxy- benzoic acid (metabolite V)	Chronic	0.0070	446	5
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Acute	0.003	>151 515	10
Eisenia fetida	hydroxylated lambda- cyhalothrin (metabolite XV)	Chronic	0.0034	7352	5
Eisenia fetida	'Lambda 50 EC'	Chronic	0.027	18 518	5
Other soil macroorganisms					
Folsomia candida	'Lambda- Cyhalothrin 100 CS'	Chronic	0.027	101	5



^a Initial PEC after two applications within one season.

Effects on non-target plants

Vegetation and vigour limit tests

No effects on the vegetative vigour on non-target plants were observed in the studies provided on the		
representative formulations.		
Formulation NOEC (g a.s./ha)		
'Kaiso sorbie 5% EG' 7.5		
'Lambda-Cyhalothrin 100 CS' 30		
'Lambda 50 EC' 30		

Additional studies on non-target plants (e.g. semi-field or field studies)

Not required	
--------------	--

Effects on biological methods for sewage treatment

Test type/organism	End point	
Respiration activated sludge	NOEC 100 mg/L	

Ecotoxicologically relevant compounds (consider parent and all relevant metabolites requiring further assessment from the fate section)

Compartment	
Soil	lambda-cyhalothrin
Groundwater	lambda-cyhalothrin
Surface water	lambda-cyhalothrin
Sediment	lambda-cyhalothrin

Classification and proposed labelling with regard to ecotoxicological data

Substance classified Classification according to Council Directive 67/548/EEC / Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008: Lambda-cyhalothrin

Dangerous Substance Directive 67/548/EEC

 $N;\,R50/53$ ("Very toxic to aquatic organisms and may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic

environment")

Reg (EC) 1272/2008 Aquatic Acute 1 H400 Aquatic Chronic 1 H410

M-factor 10 000

Dangerous Substance Directive 67/548/EEC

N; R50/53 ("Very toxic to aquatic organisms and may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic

environment")

Reg (EC) 1272/2008 Aquatic Acute 1 H400

Aquatic Chronic 1 H410 M-factor 100 000

-

Peer review proposal¹⁴

¹⁴ It should be noted that classification is formally proposed and decided in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008. Proposals for classification made in the context of the evaluation procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 are not formal proposals.



$\ \, \textbf{APPENDIX B} - \textbf{USED COMPOUND CODE}(S)$

Code/Trivial	Chemical name/SMILES notation**	Structural formula**
name*		
cyhalothrin	(RS)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1RS,3RS)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3- trifluoropropenyl]-2,2- dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate Cl\C(=C/[C@H]3[C@@H](C(=O)OC(C #N)c2cccc(Oc1ccccc1)c2)C3(C)C)C(F)(F)F Cl\C(=C/[C@@H]3[C@H](C(=O)OC(C #N)c2cccc(Oc1ccccc1)c2)C3(C)C)C(F)(F)F	F CI N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
gamma- cyhalothrin	(S)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1R,3R)-3- [(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]- 2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate Cl\C(=C/[C@H]3[C@@H](C(=O)O[C @H](C#N)c2cccc(Oc1ccccc1)c2)C3(C) C)C(F)(F)F	H ₃ C CH ₃
Compound Ia	(1RS,3RS)-3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propen-1-yl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid Cl\C(=C/[C@H]1[C@@H](C(=O)O)C1(C)C)C(F)(F)F Cl\C(=C/[C@@H]1[C@H](C(=O)O)C1(C)C)C(F)(F)F	F CI H ₃ C CH ₃ OH CI H ₃ C CH ₃
II (unstated stereochemistry)	(1RS)-2-amino-2-oxo-1-(3-phenoxyphenyl)ethyl (1RS,3RS)-3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propen-1-yl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate Cl\C(=C/C3C(C(=O)OC(c2ccc(Oc1cccc1)c2)C(N)=O)C3(C)C(F)(F)F	F CI H ₃ C CH ₃
(unstated stereochemistry)	(2RS)-hydroxy(3- phenoxyphenyl)acetonitrile N#CC(O)c2cc(Oc1cccc1)ccc2	HO
IV	3-phenoxybenzaldehyde O=Cc2cc(Oc1cccc1)ccc2	



Code/Trivial name*	Chemical name/SMILES notation**	Structural formula**
V (PBA)	3-phenoxybenzoic acid	но
	O=C(O)c2cc(Oc1cccc1)ccc2	
VI	(3-phenoxyphenyl)methanol OCc2cc(Oc1ccccc1)ccc2	но
XI (unstated stereochemistry)	3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propen-1-yl]-2-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid Cl\C(=C/C1C(C(=O)O)C1(C)CO)C(F)(F)F	F CI HO HOOH
XIII (unstated stereochemistry)	hydroxy(3-phenoxyphenyl)acetic acid O=C(O)C(O)c2cc(Oc1cccc1)ccc2	но
XV (R211133) (unstated stereochemistry)	(RS)-α-cyano-3-(4-hydroxyphenoxybenzyl (1RS,3RS)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate	F CI H ₃ C CH ₃
XXIII (PBA(OH))	c1ccc(O)cc1)c2)C3(C)C)C(F)(F)F 3-(4-hydroxyphenoxy)benzoic acid O=C(O)c2cc(Oc1ccc(O)cc1)ccc2	но
gamma-lactone (R947650)	4-(1-chloro-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-6,6-dimethyl-3-oxa-bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-one CC2(C)C1C(=O)OC(C(Cl)C(F)(F)F)C12	F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
metabolite R157836	(R)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1R,3R)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate Cl\C(=C/[C@H]3[C@@H](C(=O)O[C@H](C#N)c2ccc(Oc1cccc1)c2)C3(C)C)C(F)(F)F (S)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1S,3S)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate Cl\C(=C/[C@@H]3[C@H](C(=O)O[C@H](C#N)c2ccc(Oc1cccc1)c2)C3(C)C)C(F)(F)F	H ₃ C CH ₃ F Cl N F Cl N



^{*} The metabolite name in bold is the name used in the conclusion.

** ACD/ChemSketch, Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc., ACD/Labs Release: 12.00 Product version: 12.00 (Build 29305, 25 Nov 2008)



ABBREVIATIONS

1/n slope of Freundlich isotherm

 λ wavelength

ε decadic molar extinction coefficient

°C degree Celsius (centigrade)

μg microgram

μm micrometer (micron)
 a.s. active substance
 AChE acetylcholinesterase
 ADE actual dermal exposure
 ADI acceptable daily intake
 AF assessment factor

AOEL acceptable operator exposure level

AP alkaline phosphatase
AR applied radioactivity
ARfD acute reference dose

AST aspartate aminotransferase (SGOT)

AV avoidance factor
BCF bioconcentration factor
BUN blood urea nitrogen
bw body weight

CAS Chemical Abstracts Service

CFU colony forming units
ChE cholinesterase
CI confidence interval

CIPAC Collaborative International Pesticides Analytical Council Limited

CL confidence limits

CLP classification, labelling and packaging

cm centimetre

CNS central nervous system
CS capsule suspension

d day

DAA days after application DAT days after treatment

DFG Deutshe Forschungsgemeinschaft method

DM dry matter

DSD dangerous substances directive

 DT_{50} period required for 50 percent disappearance (define method of estimation) DT_{90} period required for 90 percent disappearance (define method of estimation)

dw dry weight

EbC₅₀ effective concentration (biomass)

EC emulsifiable concentrate (also used for Euroepan Commission)

EC₅₀ effective concentration ECHA European Chemicals Agency EEC European Economic Community

EG emulsifiable granule

EINECS European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances

ELINCS European List of New Chemical Substances

EMDI estimated maximum daily intake

ER oestrogen receptor

ER₅₀ emergence rate/effective rate, median ErC₅₀ effective concentration (growth rate)

EU European Union



EUROPOEM European Predictive Operator Exposure Model

f(twa) time weighted average factor

FAO Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations

FID flame ionisation detector

FIR Food intake rate

FOB functional observation battery

FOCUS Forum for the Co-ordination of Pesticide Fate Models and their Use

FOMC first-order multi-compartment

g gram

GAP good agricultural practice GC gas chromatography

GC-FID gas chromatography with flame ionisation detector

GC-MS gas chromatography – mass spectrometry

GCPF Global Crop Protection Federation (formerly known as GIFAP)

GGT gamma glutamyl transferase

GMgeometric mean growth stage GS **GSH** glutathion hour(s) h ha hectare haemoglobin Hb Hct haematocrit hL. hectolitre

HPLC high pressure liquid chromatography

or high performance liquid chromatography

HPLC-UV high performance liquid chromatography with ultra violet detector

HPLC-MS high pressure liquid chromatography – mass spectrometry

HO hazard quotient

IEDIinternational estimated daily intakeIESTIinternational estimated short-term intakeISOInternational Organisation for StandardisationIUPACInternational Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry

JMPR Joint Meeting on the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and

the Environment and the WHO Expert Group on Pesticide Residues (Joint

Meeting on Pesticide Residues)

K_{doc} organic carbon linear adsorption coefficient

kg kilogram

K_{Foc} Freundlich organic carbon adsorption coefficient

L litre

LC liquid chromatography
LC₅₀ lethal concentration, median

LC-MS liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

LC-MS/MS liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry

LD₅₀ lethal dose, median; dosis letalis media

LDH lactate dehydrogenase

LOAEL lowest observable adverse effect level

LOD limit of detection

LOQ limit of quantification (determination)

m metre

M/L mixing and loading
MAF multiple application factor
MCH mean corpuscular haemoglobin

MCHC mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration

MCV mean corpuscular volume

μg microgram



milligram mg minute min millilitre mL

Millimetre (also used for mean measured concentrations) mm

milli-newton mN

MRL maximum residue limit or level

mass spectrometry MS **MSDS** material safety data sheet maximum tolerated dose **MTD**

MWHC maximum water holding capacity **NCI** negative chemical ionisation national estimated short-term intake **NESTI**

nanogram ng nm nanometer

no observed adverse effect concentration **NOAEC**

NOAEL. no observed adverse effect level no observed effect concentration **NOEC**

NOEL no observed effect level **NPD** nitrogen phosphorous detector organic carbon content o.c.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development **OECD**

organic matter content OM

Pa pascal

PD proportion of different food types predicted environmental concentration **PEC** predicted environmental concentration in air PEC_{air}

 PEC_{gw} predicted environmental concentration in ground water predicted environmental concentration in sediment PEC_{sed} predicted environmental concentration in soil PEC_{soil}

 PEC_{sw} predicted environmental concentration in surface water

pH-value pН

PHED pesticide handler's exposure data

pre-harvest interval PHI

potential inhalation exposure PIE

 pK_a negative logarithm (to the base 10) of the dissociation constant

POEM Predictive Operator Exposure Model

partition coefficient between *n*-octanol and water P_{ow}

personal protective equipment **PPE** parts per million (10⁻⁶)

ppm

PT proportion of diet obtained in the treated area

PTT partial thromboplastin time

quantitative structure-activity relationship **OSAR** quick, easy, cheap, effective and safe method **OuEChERS**

coefficient of determination **RAC** regulatory acceptable concentration

renewal assessment report **RAR**

Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation of Chemicals Regulation **REACH**

rapporteur Member State **RMS** respiratory protective equipment **RPE**

residue per unit dose **RUD**

Directorate-General for Health and Consumers **SANCO**

SC suspension concentrate standard deviation SD **SFO** single first-order

Simplified molecular-input line-entry system **SMILES**



SSD species sensitivity distribution

STF Syngenta Task Force

STMR supervised trials median residue

STOT-RE specific target organ toxicity – repeated exposure

 $t_{1/2}$ half-life (define method of estimation)

TDM triazole derivative metabolites

TER toxicity exposure ratio

TER_A toxicity exposure ratio for acute exposure

TER_{LT} toxicity exposure ratio following chronic exposure TER_{ST} toxicity exposure ratio following repeated exposure

TFL Task Force Lambda
TK technical concentrate
TLC thin layer chromatography
TLV threshold limit value

TMDI theoretical maximum daily intake

TRR total radioactive residue

TSH thyroid stimulating hormone (thyrotropin)

TWA time weighted average UDS unscheduled DNA synthesis

UF uncertainty factor

US United States of America

UV ultraviolet
W/S water/sediment
w/v weight per volume
w/w weight per weight
WBC white blood cell

WG water dispersible granule WHO World Health Organization

wk week yr year