

Outline

1 Quantitative polynomial functors

1.1 Category of closed types and linear functions

Let \mathcal{C} be the category of closed types and linear functions $f : (x \vdash X) \rightarrow Y$ for which derivations in QTT exist. Composition of morphisms $\Gamma \vdash f \overset{\sigma}{:} (x \vdash X) \rightarrow Y$ and $\Gamma \vdash g \overset{\sigma}{:} (y \vdash Y) \rightarrow Z$ is given by ordinary function composition $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x \vdash X. g(f(x)) \overset{\sigma}{:} (x \vdash X) \rightarrow Z$.

The linearity restriction on the morphisms does not lead to loss of expressiveness - functions with arbitrary resource annotations can be represented as linear ones via:

1.2 Internal representation

Let F be the polynomial functor mapping a type X to $(a \stackrel{1}{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} X)$ and a function $f : X \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} Y$ to $Ff : (a \stackrel{1}{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} X) \rightarrow (a \stackrel{1}{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} Y)$

If D_f is the derivation of f , we show how to derive Ff . Given some $a : A$ and $h : Ba \xrightarrow{1} X$, start by composing with f and constructing a tensor product:

$$\frac{\frac{\vdash \Gamma, a \stackrel{1}{:} A, h \stackrel{1}{:} Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} X}{0\Gamma, a \stackrel{0}{:} A, h \stackrel{1}{:} Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} X \vdash h \stackrel{1}{:} Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} X} Var \quad \frac{D_f}{\Gamma \vdash f \stackrel{1}{:} X \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} Y}}{0\Gamma, a \stackrel{1}{:} A, h \stackrel{0}{:} \dots \vdash a \stackrel{1}{:} A \quad \Gamma, a \stackrel{0}{:} A, h \stackrel{1}{:} Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} X \vdash \lambda b \stackrel{1}{:} Ba. f(g(b)) \stackrel{1}{:} (Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} Y)}{\Gamma, a \stackrel{1}{:} A, h \stackrel{1}{:} Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} X \vdash (a, \lambda b \stackrel{1}{:} Ba. f(g(b))) \stackrel{1}{:} (a \stackrel{1}{:} A) \otimes (Ba \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} Y)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, z \dot{\vdash} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} X)}{0\Gamma, z \dot{\vdash} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} X) \vdash z \dot{\vdash} \dots \quad \Gamma, \dots \vdash (a, \lambda b \dot{\vdash} Ba.f(g(b))) \dot{\vdash} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} Y)} \oplus - E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, z \dot{\vdash} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} X) \vdash \text{let } (x, u) = a \text{ in } (x, \lambda b \dot{\vdash} Ba.f(u(b))) \dot{\vdash} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} Y)}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda z \dot{\vdash} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} X). \text{let } \dots \dot{\vdash} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} X) \dot{\rightarrow} (a \dot{\vdash} A) \otimes (Ba \dot{\rightarrow} Y)} Lam$$

1.3 External representation (using adjoints)

$$(s \stackrel{0}{:} S) \otimes ((t \stackrel{0}{:} T) \otimes Id_{f(s),g(t)}) \text{ Use QCwF structure...}$$

1.4 Generalising to non-empty contexts

1.5 Properties of quantitative polynomial functors

2 Algebras for QPFs

2.1 \mathbb{N}

$f : 1 \rightarrow Bool$, Let $A := Bool$ and $B = \mathbf{1}$, P_f .

$P_f(X) := (a : Bool) \otimes ((b \in f^{-1}(a)) \rightarrow X)$ Assume N , prove initiality.

If there is an initial algebra for P_f there is a type N that satisfies n.n. induction (requires dependent function).

2.2 Lists

2.3 Trees

2.4 Induction principle

3 Rules for W-types in QTT

4 Parametricity and W-types

5 Appendix

5.1 (Stand-alone) Sum types

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{0\Gamma \vdash A \quad 0\Gamma \vdash B}{0\Gamma \vdash \rho A \oplus \pi B} \oplus\text{-type} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \overset{\sigma}{:} A}{\rho\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{inl} S_1 \overset{\sigma}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B} \text{inl} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash S_2 \overset{\sigma}{:} B}{\pi\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{inr} S_2 \overset{\sigma}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B} \text{inr} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M \overset{\sigma}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B \quad \Gamma', a \overset{\rho}{:} A \vdash T_1 \overset{\sigma}{:} C[\mathbf{inl} a/x] \quad \Gamma', b \overset{\pi}{:} B \vdash T_2 \overset{\sigma}{:} C[\mathbf{inr} b/x] \quad 0\Gamma = 0\Gamma'}{\Gamma' + \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{case}(M, T_1, T_2) \overset{\sigma}{:} C[M/x]} \oplus\text{-elim} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \overset{\sigma}{:} A \quad \Gamma \vdash M \overset{\sigma}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B \quad \Gamma', a \overset{\rho}{:} A \vdash T_1 \overset{\sigma}{:} C[\mathbf{inl} a/x] \quad 0\Gamma = 0\Gamma'}{\Gamma' + \rho\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{case}(\mathbf{inl}(S_1), T_1, T_2) \equiv T_1[S_1/a]} \oplus\text{-comp}
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Rules for \oplus -type

We give the following semantics for the \oplus -type:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\rho A \oplus \pi B(\gamma)| &:= |A(\gamma)| \sqcup |B(\gamma)| \\
a \models_{\rho A \oplus \pi B(\gamma)} (i, x) &\text{ iff } (\exists b. a = [!_{\rho} b, \ulcorner \text{true} \urcorner] \wedge b \models_{A(\gamma)} x \wedge i = 0) \vee \\
&\quad (\exists c. a = [!_{\pi} c, \ulcorner \text{false} \urcorner] \wedge c \models_{B(\gamma)} x \wedge i = 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Claim. *The rules are sound when interpreted wrt to the given semantics for \oplus -types and realisability model.*

Proof. The underlying set-theoretic functions are immediate. For the realisers, let

- $a_{\mathbf{inl}} := \lambda^* x. [F_{\rho} \cdot !_\rho a_{s_1} \cdot x, \ulcorner \text{true} \urcorner]$

$$\text{if } a_{s_1} \cdot a_{\gamma} \models s_1, \text{ then } a_{\mathbf{inl}} \cdot !_\rho a_{\gamma} \models \mathbf{inl} s_1; a_{\mathbf{inl}} \cdot !_\rho a_{\gamma} \rightsquigarrow [!_{\rho}(a_{s_1} \cdot a_{\gamma}), \ulcorner \text{true} \urcorner]$$

$$\lambda^* x. \text{ let } [a'_{\gamma}, a_{\gamma}] = x,$$

- $a_{\mathbf{case}} := \begin{array}{l} [a, b] = a_m \cdot a_{\gamma} \text{ in} \\ E(b, a_{T_1}, a_{T_2}) \cdot [a'_{\gamma}, a] \end{array}$

assuming $a_m \cdot a_{\gamma} \models M$, $a_{T_1} \cdot [a'_{\gamma}, !_\rho a_a] \models T_1$, $a_{T_2} \cdot [a'_{\gamma}, !_\pi a_b] \models T_2$, then we want to find $a_{\mathbf{case}}$, s.t. $a_{\mathbf{case}} \cdot [a'_{\gamma}, a_{\gamma}] \models \mathbf{case}(M, T_1, T_2)$.

if $a_m \cdot a_\gamma = [!_\rho a_a, \ulcorner true \urcorner]$, then $a_{\text{case}} \cdot [a_\gamma, a'_\gamma] \rightsquigarrow E(\ulcorner true \urcorner, a_{T_1}, a_{T_2}) \cdot [a'_\gamma, !_\rho a_a] \rightsquigarrow$
 $a_{T_1} \cdot [a'_\gamma, !_\rho a_a]$
 if $a_m \cdot a_\gamma = [!_\pi a_b, \ulcorner false \urcorner]$, then ...

□

Claim. *There is a bijection:*

$$RTm(\Gamma, \Pi(x \overset{\tau}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B) C) \cong RTm(\Gamma, \Pi(y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A) C[\mathbf{inl}y/x]) \times RTm(\Gamma, \Pi(z \overset{\tau\pi}{:} B) C[\mathbf{inl}z/x])$$

(natural in Γ).

Proof. Given a term $\Gamma \vdash f \overset{1}{:} (x \overset{\tau}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B) \rightarrow C$, we can derive another term $\Gamma \vdash f^l \overset{1}{:} (y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A) \rightarrow C[\mathbf{inl}y/x]$:

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : (x \overset{\tau}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B) \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, y \overset{0}{:} A \vdash f \overset{1}{:} (x \overset{\tau}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B) \rightarrow C} \text{Weak} \quad \frac{\frac{\vdash 0\Gamma, y \overset{1}{:} A}{0\Gamma, y \overset{1}{:} \vdash y \overset{1}{:} A} \text{var}}{0\Gamma, y \overset{\rho}{:} A \vdash \mathbf{inl}y \overset{1}{:} \rho A \oplus \pi B} \text{inl}}{\Gamma, y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A \vdash f(\mathbf{inl}y) \overset{1}{:} C[\mathbf{inl}y/x]} \text{App}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A \vdash f(\mathbf{inl}y) \overset{1}{:} C[\mathbf{inl}y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A. f(\mathbf{inl}y) : (y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A) \rightarrow C[\mathbf{inl}y/x]} \text{Lam}$$

Analogously, we can obtain $\Gamma \vdash f^r \overset{1}{:} (z \overset{\tau\pi}{:} B) \rightarrow C[\mathbf{inr}y/x]$.

Now suppose we have terms $\Gamma \vdash l \overset{1}{:} (y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A) \rightarrow C[\mathbf{inl}y/x]$ and $\Gamma \vdash r \overset{1}{:} (z \overset{\tau\pi}{:} B) \rightarrow C[\mathbf{inr}z/x]$.

Using the isomorphism $\Lambda^{\mathcal{L}}$, we get judgements $\Gamma, y \overset{\tau\rho}{:} A \vdash l^* : C[\mathbf{inl}y/x]$ and

$\Gamma, z \overset{\tau\pi}{:} B \vdash r^* : C[\mathbf{inr}z/x]$.

□

Now we can focus on the relational part

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho A \oplus \pi B)(\gamma)_R &:= A(\gamma)_R \sqcup B(\gamma)_R \\ (\rho A \oplus \pi B)(\gamma)_{\text{refl}} &:= A(\gamma)_{\text{refl}} \sqcup B(\gamma)_{\text{refl}} \\ (\rho A \oplus \pi B)(\gamma)_\sigma &:= A(\gamma)_\sigma \sqcup B(\gamma)_\sigma, \quad \sigma \in \{\text{src}, \text{tgt}\} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose A, B are discrete. Then $\rho A \oplus \pi B$ is also discrete. (Since coproducts preserve isos).