# The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1375	7	1368	99.49

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	98.874	98.830
AIC	98.874	100.830
SBC	98.874	100.776

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	0.0444	1	0.8332
Score	0.0439	1	0.8341
Wald	0.0439	1	0.8340

		Analysis of I	Maximum Li	kelihood Estim	nates	
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.10413	0.49700	0.0439	0.8340	1.110

# The PHREG Procedure

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	95% Wald Point Confidence Estimate Limits		ald dence
logtxb Unit=1	1.110	0.419	2.939

# The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	996

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
996	22	974	97.79

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates				
-2 LOG L	296.625	295.358				
AIC	296.625	297.358				
SBC	296.625	298.449				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0								
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq								
Likelihood Ratio	1.2670	1	0.2603					
Score	1.3824	1	0.2397					
Wald	1.3833	1	0.2395					

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio						
logtxb	1	0.36615	0.31131	1.3833	0.2395	1.442

# The PHREG Procedure

Hazard Ratios for logtxb							
Description	95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits						
logtxb Unit=1	1.442	0.783 2.655					

Model Information					
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE				
Dependent Variable	days				
Censoring Variable	censor				
Censoring Value(s)	1				
Ties Handling	BRESLOW				

Number of Observations Read Number of Observations Used 3044 2371

Class Level Information					
Class	Design Value Variables				
q2u	Q4	1			
	Q1-Q3	0			

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values						
Total Event Censored Censored						
2371	29	2342	98.78			

**Convergence Status** Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates				
-2 LOG L	441.214	441.059				
AIC	441.214	443.059				
SBC	441.214	444.426				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0								
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS								
Likelihood Ratio	0.1557	1	0.6931					
Score	0.1609	1	0.6883					
Wald	0.1606	1	0.6886					

Type 3 Tests						
Wald Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq						
q2u	1	0.1606	0.6886			

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
q2u	Q4	1	0.17391	0.43398	0.1606	0.6886	1.190	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3							
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits							
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.190	0.508 2.786					

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE	
Dependent Variable	days	
Censoring Variable	censor	
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Ties Handling	BRESLOW	

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1375

Class Level Information			
Class	Value	Design Variables	
q2u	Q4	1	
	Q1-Q3	0	

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values					
Total Event Censored Censored					
1375	7	1368	99.49		

Convergence Status		
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.		

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion Without Covariates With				
-2 LOG L	98.874	98.661		
AIC	98.874	100.661		
SBC	98.874	100.607		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0						
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq						
Likelihood Ratio	0.2136	1	0.6440			
Score	0.2301	1	0.6315			
Wald	0.2276	1	0.6333			

Type 3 Tests				
Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq				
q2u	1	0.2276	0.6333	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter Standard Hazard Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
q2u	Q4	1	0.39918	0.83681	0.2276	0.6333	1.491	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3					
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits					
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.491	0.289	7.685		

Model Information			
Data Set WORK.SURVIVALSTROK			
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable	censor		
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	996

Class Level Information					
Class	Design Value Variables				
q2u	Q4	1			
	Q1-Q3	0			

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values					
Total	Event	Percent Censored			
996	22	974	97.79		

Convergence Status				
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.				

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates				
-2 LOG L	296.625	296.578				
AIC	296.625	298.578				
SBC	296.625	299.669				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0								
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS								
Likelihood Ratio	0.0469	1	0.8285					
Score	0.0480	1	0.8266					
Wald	0.0479	1	0.8267					

Type 3 Tests						
Effect	Pr > ChiSq					
q2u	1	0.0479	0.8267			

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Parameter Standard Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
q2u	Q4	1	0.11139	0.50885	0.0479	0.8267	1.118	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3							
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits							
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.118	0.412	3.030				