## The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read Number of Observations Used	
Number of Observations Osea	1433

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1493	125	1368	91.63

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	1786.171	1764.191
AIC	1786.171	1766.191
SBC	1786.171	1769.019

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	21.9799	1	<.0001
Score	20.7834	1	<.0001
Wald	21.0729	1	<.0001

		Analysis of I	Maximum Li	kelihood Estim	nates	
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.57109	0.12441	21.0729	<.0001	1.770

## The PHREG Procedure

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
logtxb Unit=1	1.770	1.387	2.259

## The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1110

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1110	136	974	87.75

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion Without Covariates Covariate				
-2 LOG L	1830.948	1817.279		
AIC	1830.948	1819.279		
SBC	1830.948	1822.192		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	13.6692	1	0.0002
Score	15.3157	1	<.0001
Wald	15.2847	1	<.0001

		Analysis of I	Maximum Li	kelihood Estim	nates	
					Hazard Ratio	
logtxb	1	0.46693	0.11943	15.2847	<.0001	1.595

## The PHREG Procedure

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95 Wa Confi Lin	ald
logtxb Unit=1	1.595	1.262	2.016

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER	
Dependent Variable	days	
Censoring Variable	censor	
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Ties Handling	BRESLOW	

Number of Observations Read	3044
Number of Observations Used	2603

Class Level Information			
Class	Design Value Variables		
q2u	Q4	1	
	Q1-Q3	0	

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total Event Censored Censor			
2603	261	2342	89.97

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics			
Criterion Without Without Covariates Covariate			
-2 LOG L	3986.021	3958.322	
AIC	3986.021	3960.322	
SBC	3986.021	3963.887	

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	27.6993	1	<.0001
Score	31.3238	1	<.0001
Wald	30.0498	1	<.0001

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	Pr > ChiSq		
q2u	1	30.0498	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter	Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label							
q2u	Q4	1	0.70782	0.12912	30.0498	<.0001	2.030	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3						
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits				
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	2.030	1.576	2.614			

#### Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information				
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER			
Dependent Variable	days			
Censoring Variable	censor			
Censoring Value(s)	1			
Ties Handling	BRESLOW			

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	1493

Class Level Information				
Class	Value	Design Variables		
q2u	Q4	1		
	Q1-Q3	0		

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values						
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored			
1493	125	1368	91.63			

# **Convergence Status** Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates				
-2 LOG L	1786.171	1768.240				
AIC	1786.171	1770.240				
SBC	1786.171	1773.068				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0						
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq			
Likelihood Ratio	17.9305	1	<.0001			
Score	20.6182	1	<.0001			
Wald	19.5214	1	<.0001			

Type 3 Tests					
Wald Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq					
q2u	1	19.5214	<.0001		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
q2u	Q4	1	0.81286	0.18398	19.5214	<.0001	2.254	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3					
Description	Point Estimate				
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	2.254	1.572	3.233		

Model Information					
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER				
Dependent Variable	days				
Censoring Variable	censor				
Censoring Value(s)	1				
Ties Handling	BRESLOW				

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1110

Class Level Information					
Class Value Design Variables					
q2u	Q4	1			
	Q1-Q3	0			

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values				
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored	
1110	136	974	87.75	

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates			
-2 LOG L	1830.948	1820.720			
AIC	1830.948	1822.720			
SBC	1830.948	1825.633			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSquare							
Likelihood Ratio	10.2283	1	0.0014				
Score	11.3651	1	0.0007				
Wald	11.0272	1	0.0009				

Type 3 Tests					
Effect	Pr > ChiSq				
q2u	1	11.0272	0.0009		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter	Parameter Standard Hazard Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label							
q2u	Q4	1	0.60326	0.18167	11.0272	0.0009	1.828	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3						
Description	95% Wald Point Confidence Estimate Limits					
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.828	1.280 2.610				