

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1680

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1680	125	1555	92.56

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	1799.995	1780.124
AIC	1799.995	1782.124
SBC	1799.995	1784.952

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	19.8712	1	<.0001
Score	18.8571	1	<.0001
Wald	19.0587	1	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.53454	0.12244	19.0587	<.0001	1.707

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
logtxb Unit=1	1.707	1.343	2.170

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1363

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1363	136	1227	90.02

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	1857.633	1844.956
AIC	1857.633	1846.956
SBC	1857.633	1849.869

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	12.6765	1	0.0004
Score	14.1078	1	0.0002
Wald	14.1085	1	0.0002

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.45527	0.12121	14.1085	0.0002	1.577

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
logtxb Unit=1	1.577	1.243	1.999

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	3044
Number of Observations Used	3043

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
combined	Q4 or > median	1
	Q1_Q3 or <= median	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
3043	261	2782	91.42

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	4024.673	3994.464
AIC	4024.673	3996.464
SBC	4024.673	4000.028

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	30.2098	1	<.0001
Score	32.0306	1	<.0001
Wald	30.7956	1	<.0001

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

The PHREG Procedure

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
combined	1	30.7956	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
combined	Q4 or > median	1	0.68875	0.12411	30.7956	<.0001	1.991	combined Q4 or > median

Hazard Ratios for combined			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
combined Q4 or > median vs Q1_Q3 or <= median	1.991	1.561	2.540

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes**The PHREG Procedure**

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1363

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
medianu	> median	1
	<= median	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1363	136	1227	90.02

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	1857.633	1848.132
AIC	1857.633	1850.132
SBC	1857.633	1853.045

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	9.5006	1	0.0021
Score	9.4723	1	0.0021
Wald	9.2491	1	0.0024

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes**The PHREG Procedure**

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
medianu	1	9.2491	0.0024

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
medianu	> median	1	0.53624	0.17633	9.2491	0.0024	1.710	medianu > median

Hazard Ratios for medianu			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
medianu > median vs <= median	1.710	1.210	2.415

The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1680

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
q2u	Q4	1
	Q1-Q3	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1680	125	1555	92.56

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	1799.995	1784.240
AIC	1799.995	1786.240
SBC	1799.995	1789.069

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	15.7547	1	<.0001
Score	17.8675	1	<.0001
Wald	17.0352	1	<.0001

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Q3 for ASA = No

The PHREG Procedure

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
q2u	1	17.0352	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
q2u	Q4	1	0.75957	0.18403	17.0352	<.0001	2.137	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	2.137	1.490	3.066