The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALUNK		
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable	censor		
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1386

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values				
Total Event Censored Percent Censored				
1386	18	1368	98.70	

Convergence Status Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion Without Covariates Covariates				
-2 LOG L	255.130	252.605		
AIC	255.130	254.605		
SBC	255.130	255.496		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	2.5250	1	0.1121
Score	2.4054	1	0.1209
Wald	2.4333	1	0.1188

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio						
logtxb	1	0.50724	0.32517	2.4333	0.1188	1.661

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	95% Wald Point Confidence iption Estimate Limits		
logtxb Unit=1	1.661	0.878	3.141

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALUNK		
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable	censor		
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	1004

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values				
Total Event Censored Censored				
1004	30	974	97.01	

Convergence Status Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Without With Criterion Covariates Covariates				
-2 LOG L	406.381	396.003		
AIC	406.381	398.003		
SBC	406.381	399.404		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0				
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq	
Likelihood Ratio	10.3776	1	0.0013	
Score	12.8380	1	0.0003	
Wald	12.6729	1	0.0004	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates									
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio									
logtxb	1	0.79181	0.22242	12.6729	0.0004	2.207			

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb						
Description	95% Wald Point Confidence Estimate Limits					
logtxb Unit=1	2.207	1.427	3.414			

09:41 Saturday, June 19, 2021 5 HR for Mortality from Unknown For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALUNK		
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable	censor		
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations	Read 3044
Number of Observations	Used 2390

Class Level Information					
Class Value Design Variables					
combined	Q4 or > median	1			
	Q1_Q3 or <= median	0			

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values					
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored		
2390	48	2342	97.99		

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics							
Criterion	Without With Covariates Covariates						
-2 LOG L	733.149	722.601					
AIC	733.149	724.601					
SBC	733.149	726.472					

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS							
Likelihood Ratio	10.5480	1	0.0012				
Score	11.4768	1	0.0007				
Wald	10.6586	1	0.0011				

09:41 Saturday, June 19, 2021 6 HR for Mortality from Unknown For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

Type 3 Tests							
Wald Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq							
		10.6586					

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter Standard Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
combined	Q4 or > median	1	0.94582	0.28971	10.6586	0.0011	2.575	combined Q4 or > median

Hazard Ratios for combined					
Description	Point Estimate	95 Wa Confi Lin	ald dence		
combined Q4 or > median vs Q1_Q3 or <= median	2.575	1.459	4.543		

HR for Mortality from Unknown For UTXB > Q3 for ASA = No

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALUNK		
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable	censor		
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1386

Class Level Information			
Class	Value	Design Variables	
q2u	Q4	1	
	Q1-Q3	0	

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values					
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored		
1386	18	1368	98.70		

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion Without Covariates With				
-2 LOG L	255.130	254.705		
AIC	255.130	256.705		
SBC	255.130	257.596		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0						
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq						
Likelihood Ratio	0.4251	1	0.5144			
Score	0.4540	1	0.5004			
Wald	0.4501	1	0.5023			

HR for Mortality from Unknown For UTXB > Q3 for ASA = No

Type 3 Tests				
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
q2u	1	0.4501	0.5023	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
q2u	Q4	1	0.35306	0.52628	0.4501	0.5023	1.423	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3					
Description	Point Estimate		, ,		
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.423	0.507	3.993		

HR for Mortality from Unknown For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes

Model Information			
Data Set WORK.SURVIVALUN			
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable	censor		
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1004

Class Level Information					
Class	Design Value Variables				
medianu	> median	1			
	<= median	0			

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values					
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored		
1004	30	974	97.01		

Convergence Status				
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.				

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates				
-2 LOG L	406.381	399.041				
AIC	406.381	401.041				
SBC	406.381	402.443				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0								
Test	Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq							
Likelihood Ratio	7.3392	1	0.0067					
Score	7.2538	1	0.0071					
Wald	6.6452	1	0.0099					

HR for Mortality from Unknown For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes

Type 3 Tests						
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq			
medianu	1	6.6452	0.0099			

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label					Label			
medianu	> median	1	1.02700	0.39840	6.6452	0.0099	2.793	medianu > median

Hazard Ratios for medianu					
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits			
medianu > median vs <= median	2.793	1.279	6.097		