#### The PHREG Procedure

#### Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1375

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1375	7	1368	99.49

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	98.874	98.830
AIC	98.874	100.830
SBC	98.874	100.776

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	0.0444	1	0.8332
Score	0.0439	1	0.8341
Wald	0.0439	1	0.8340

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.10413	0.49700	0.0439	0.8340	1.110

#### The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate		
logtxb Unit=1	1.110	0.419	2.939

#### The PHREG Procedure

#### Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	996

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
996	22	974	97.79

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion Without With Covariates Covariates					
-2 LOG L	296.625	295.358			
AIC	296.625	297.358			
SBC	296.625	298.449			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0				
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq				
Likelihood Ratio	1.2670	1	0.2603	
Score	1.3824	1	0.2397	
Wald	1.3833	1	0.2395	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Parameter Standard Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio						
logtxb	1	0.36615	0.31131	1.3833	0.2395	1.442

#### The PHREG Procedure

#### Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits			
logtxb Unit=1	1.442	0.783	2.655

# 09:41 Saturday, June 19, 2021 5 HR for Mortality from Stroke For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

Model Information			
Data Set WORK.SURVIVALSTRO			
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable censor			
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	3044
Number of Observations Used	2371

Class Level Information		
Class Value Design Variables		
combined	Q4 or > median	1
	Q1_Q3 or <= median	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values				
Total Event Censored Censored				
2371	29	2342	98.78	

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion Without Covariates Covariates				
-2 LOG L	441.214	437.578		
AIC	441.214	439.578		
SBC	441.214	440.945		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0				
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq				
Likelihood Ratio	3.6363	1	0.0565	
Score	3.9074	1	0.0481	
Wald	3.7437	1	0.0530	

# 09:41 Saturday, June 19, 2021 6 HR for Mortality from Stroke For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

Type 3 Tests				
Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq				
combined	1	3.7437	0.0530	

	Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates							
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
combined	Q4 or > median	1	0.71906	0.37163	3.7437	0.0530	2.052	combined Q4 or > median

Hazard Ratios for combined						
Description	Point Estimate	95 Wa Confi Lin	ald dence			
combined Q4 or > median vs Q1_Q3 or <= median	2.052	0.991	4.252			

# HR for Mortality from Stroke For UTXB > Q3 for ASA = No

Model Information					
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE				
Dependent Variable	days				
Censoring Variable	censor				
Censoring Value(s)	1				
Ties Handling	BRESLOW				

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1375

Class Level Information					
Class	Value	Design Variables			
q2u	Q4	1			
	Q1-Q3	0			

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values						
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored			
1375	7	1368	99.49			

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics							
Criterion	Without With Criterion Covariates Covariates						
-2 LOG L	98.874	98.661					
AIC	98.874	100.661					
SBC	98.874	100.607					

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS							
Likelihood Ratio	0.2136	1	0.6440				
Score	0.2301	1	0.6315				
Wald	0.2276	1	0.6333				

# HR for Mortality from Stroke For UTXB > Q3 for ASA = No

Type 3 Tests						
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq			
q2u	1	0.2276	0.6333			

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
q2u	Q4	1	0.39918	0.83681	0.2276	0.6333	1.491	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3							
Description	95% Wald Point Confidence Estimate Limits						
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.491	0.289 7.685					

# HR for Mortality from Stroke For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALSTROKE	
Dependent Variable	days	
Censoring Variable	censor	
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Ties Handling	BRESLOW	

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	

Class Level Information				
Class	Design Value Variables			
medianu	> median	1		
	<= median	0		

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values				
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored	
996	22	974	97.79	

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics							
Criterion	Criterion Without Covariates Covariates						
-2 LOG L	296.625	295.819					
AIC	296.625	297.819					
SBC	296.625	298.910					

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq							
Likelihood Ratio	0.8063	1	0.3692				
Score	0.8117	1	0.3676				
Wald	0.8018	1	0.3705				

# HR for Mortality from Stroke For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes

Type 3 Tests					
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
medianu	1	0.8018	0.3705		

	Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates							
Parameter Standard Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
medianu	> median	1	0.38342	0.42819	0.8018	0.3705	1.467	medianu > median

Hazard Ratios for medianu						
Description	Point Estimate					
medianu > median vs <= median	1.467	0.634	3.396			