The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALCANCER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1485

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1485	117	1368	92.12

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	1682.575	1672.672
AIC	1682.575	1674.672
SBC	1682.575	1677.434

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	9.9031	1	0.0016
Score	9.5211	1	0.0020
Wald	9.5788	1	0.0020

		Analysis of I	Maximum Li	kelihood Estim	nates	
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.38602	0.12472	9.5788	0.0020	1.471

The PHREG Procedure

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate		
logtxb Unit=1	1.471	1.152	1.879

The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALCANCER
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1087

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1087	113	974	89.60

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates				
-2 LOG L	1534.141	1528.957				
AIC	1534.141	1530.957				
SBC	1534.141	1533.684				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0								
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq								
Likelihood Ratio	5.1845	1	0.0228					
Score	5.5966	1	0.0180					
Wald	5.6001	1	0.0180					

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
						Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.32846	0.13880	5.6001	0.0180	1.389

The PHREG Procedure

Hazard Ratios for logtxb					
Description	95% Wald Point Confidence escription Estimate Limits				
logtxb Unit=1	1.389	1.058 1.823			

Model Information				
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALCANCER			
Dependent Variable	days			
Censoring Variable	censor			
Censoring Value(s)	1			
Ties Handling	BRESLOW			

Number of Observations Read Number of Observations Used 3044 2572

Class Level Information					
Class	Design Value Variables				
q2u	Q4	1			
	Q1-Q3	0			

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values							
Total	Percent Censored						
2572	2572 230 2342 91.06						

Convergence Status Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	With Covariates					
-2 LOG L	3538.388	3519.326				
AIC	3538.388	3521.326				
SBC	3538.388	3524.765				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS							
Likelihood Ratio	19.0614	1	<.0001				
Score	21.2919	1	<.0001				
Wald	20.5997	1	<.0001				

Type 3 Tests					
Effect	Pr > ChiSq				
q2u	1	20.5997	<.0001		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
q2u	Q4	1	0.63104	0.13904	20.5997	<.0001	1.880	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3						
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits						
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.880	1.431 2.468				

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALCANCER	
Dependent Variable	days	
Censoring Variable	censor	
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Ties Handling	BRESLOW	

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	1485

Class Level Information			
Class	Class Value Design Variables		
q2u	Q4	1	
	Q1-Q3	0	

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1485	117	1368	92.12

Convergence Status Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics			
Criterion Without W Covariates Covaria			
-2 LOG L	1682.575	1664.828	
AIC	1682.575	1666.828	
SBC	1682.575	1669.590	

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio	17.7472	1	<.0001		
Score	20.4154	1	<.0001		
Wald	19.2805	1	<.0001		

Type 3 Tests				
Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq				
q2u	1	19.2805	<.0001	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label								
q2u	Q4	1	0.83131	0.18932	19.2805	<.0001	2.296	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3				
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits				
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	2.296	1.584	3.328	

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALCANCER	
Dependent Variable	days	
Censoring Variable	censor	
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Ties Handling	BRESLOW	

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1087

Class Level Information		
Class Value Design Variables		
q2u	Q4	1
	Q1-Q3	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values					
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored		
1087	113	974	89.60		

Convergence Status					
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.					

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates			
-2 LOG L	1534.141	1530.440			
AIC	1534.141	1532.440			
SBC	1534.141	1535.168			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0						
Test	Chi-Square DF		Pr > ChiSq			
Likelihood Ratio	3.7008	1	0.0544			
Score	3.9887	1	0.0458			
Wald	3.9420	1	0.0471			

Type 3 Tests					
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
q2u	1	3.9420	0.0471		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
q2u	Q4	1	0.41153	0.20727	3.9420	0.0471	1.509	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3					
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits			
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.509	1.005	2.265		