The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER		
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable	censor		
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	1493

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total Event Censored Censor			
1493	125	1368	91.63

Convergence Status	
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.	

Model Fit Statistics			
Without With Criterion Covariates Covariates			
-2 LOG L	1786.171	1764.191	
AIC	1786.171	1766.191	
SBC	1786.171	1769.019	

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq			
Likelihood Ratio	21.9799	1	<.0001
Score	20.7834	1	<.0001
Wald	21.0729	1	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio						
logtxb	1	0.57109	0.12441	21.0729	<.0001	1.770

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits			
logtxb Unit=1	1.770	1.387	2.259

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER	
Dependent Variable	days	
Censoring Variable	censor	
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Ties Handling	BRESLOW	

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1110

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1110	136	974	87.75

Convergence Status Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics			
Criterion Without With Covariates Covariate			
-2 LOG L	1830.948	1817.279	
AIC	1830.948	1819.279	
SBC	1830.948	1822.192	

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq							
Likelihood Ratio	13.6692	1	0.0002				
Score	15.3157	1	<.0001				
Wald	15.2847	1	<.0001				

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio								
logtxb	1	0.46693	0.11943	15.2847	<.0001	1.595		

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb						
95% Wald Point Confidence Description Estimate Limits						
logtxb Unit=1	1.595	1.262 2.016				

09:41 Saturday, June 19, 2021 5 HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

Model Information				
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER			
Dependent Variable	days			
Censoring Variable	censor			
Censoring Value(s)	1			
Ties Handling	BRESLOW			

Number of Observations Read Number of Observations Used	3044
Number of Observations Used	2603

Class Level Information				
Class Value Design Variables				
combined	1			
	Q1_Q3 or <= median	0		

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values						
Total Event Censored Censored						
2603	261	2342	89.97			

Convergence Status				
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.				

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion Without Covariates Covariates						
-2 LOG L	3986.021	3950.298				
AIC	3986.021	3952.298				
SBC	3986.021	3955.863				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS							
Likelihood Ratio	35.7230	1	<.0001				
Score	38.2907	1	<.0001				
Wald	36.5420	1	<.0001				

09:41 Saturday, June 19, 2021 6 HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

Type 3 Tests						
Wald Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq						
combined		36.5420	<.0001			

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter	Parameter Standard Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label							
combined	Q4 or > median	1	0.75005	0.12408	36.5420	<.0001	2.117	combined Q4 or > median

Hazard Ratios for combined				
Description	Point Estimate	95 Wa Confid Lin	ald dence	
combined Q4 or > median vs Q1_Q3 or <= median	2.117	1.660	2.700	

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Q3 for ASA = No

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER		
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable censor			
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	1493

Class Level Information			
Class	Design Value Variables		
q2u	Q4	1	
	Q1-Q3	0	

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values					
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored		
1493	125	1368	91.63		

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion Without With Covariates Covariates					
-2 LOG L	1786.171	1768.240			
AIC	1786.171	1770.240			
SBC	1786.171	1773.068			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr >			Pr > ChiSq		
Likelihood Ratio	17.9305	1	<.0001		
Score	20.6182	1	<.0001		
Wald	19.5214	1	<.0001		

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Q3 for ASA = No

Type 3 Tests					
Effect	Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq				
q2u	1	19.5214	<.0001		

	Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates							
Parameter	Parameter DF Parameter Standard Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Ratio Label							
q2u	Q4	1	0.81286	0.18398	19.5214	<.0001	2.254	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3					
Description	Point Estimate				
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	2.254	1.572	3.233		

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVALOTHER		
Dependent Variable	days		
Censoring Variable censor			
Censoring Value(s)	1		
Ties Handling	BRESLOW		

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1110

Class Level Information				
Class	Design Value Variables			
medianu	> median	1		
	<= median	0		

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values				
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored	
1110	136	974	87.75	

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics							
Criterion Without Covariates Covariate							
-2 LOG L	1830.948	1819.600					
AIC	1830.948	1821.600					
SBC	1830.948	1824.513					

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS							
Likelihood Ratio	11.3479	1	0.0008				
Score	11.3518	1	0.0008				
Wald	11.0334	1	0.0009				

HR for Mortality from Other For UTXB > Median for ASA = Yes

Type 3 Tests					
Effect DF		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
medianu	> median	1	0.58560	0.17630	11.0334	0.0009	1.796	medianu > median

Hazard Ratios for medianu					
Description	Point Estimate		, ,		
medianu > median vs <= median	1.796	1.271	2.537		