

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1680

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1680	312	1368	81.43

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	4507.960	4466.390
AIC	4507.960	4468.390
SBC	4507.960	4472.133

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	41.5700	1	<.0001
Score	39.6054	1	<.0001
Wald	39.9514	1	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.48724	0.07709	39.9514	<.0001	1.628

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
logtxb Unit=1	1.628	1.400	1.893

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1363

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1363	389	974	71.46

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	5363.731	5338.254
AIC	5363.731	5340.254
SBC	5363.731	5344.218

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	25.4768	1	<.0001
Score	27.8667	1	<.0001
Wald	27.8849	1	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.38530	0.07296	27.8849	<.0001	1.470

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
logtxb Unit=1	1.470	1.274	1.696

# HR for Any Mortality For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

## The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	3044
Number of Observations Used	3043

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
combined	Q4 or > median	1
	Q1_Q3 or <= median	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
3043	701	2342	76.96

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	10870.464	10771.106
AIC	10870.464	10773.106
SBC	10870.464	10777.658

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	99.3582	1	<.0001
Score	105.6295	1	<.0001
Wald	100.6794	1	<.0001

# HR for Any Mortality For UTXB > Q1&2 ASA = Y and > Q1 to Q3 for ASA = N

## The PHREG Procedure

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
combined	1	100.6794	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
combined	Q4 or > median	1	0.75961	0.07570	100.6794	<.0001	2.137	combined Q4 or > median

Hazard Ratios for combined			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
combined Q4 or > median vs Q1_Q3 or <= median	2.137	1.843	2.479

## The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1363

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
medianu	> median	1
	<= median	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1363	389	974	71.46

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	5363.731	5334.480
AIC	5363.731	5336.480
SBC	5363.731	5340.443

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	29.2515	1	<.0001
Score	29.1337	1	<.0001
Wald	28.3965	1	<.0001

## The PHREG Procedure

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
medianu	1	28.3965	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
medianu	> median	1	0.55576	0.10429	28.3965	<.0001	1.743	medianu > median

Hazard Ratios for medianu			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
medianu > median vs <= median	1.743	1.421	2.139



## The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1680

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
q2u	Q4	1
	Q1-Q3	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1680	312	1368	81.43

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	4507.960	4459.708
AIC	4507.960	4461.708
SBC	4507.960	4465.451

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	48.2521	1	<.0001
Score	55.2322	1	<.0001
Wald	52.1501	1	<.0001

## The PHREG Procedure

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
q2u	1	52.1501	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
q2u	Q4	1	0.83382	0.11546	52.1501	<.0001	2.302	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	2.302	1.836	2.887