

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1680

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1680	312	1368	81.43

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	4507.960	4466.390
AIC	4507.960	4468.390
SBC	4507.960	4472.133

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	41.5700	1	<.0001
Score	39.6054	1	<.0001
Wald	39.9514	1	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.48724	0.07709	39.9514	<.0001	1.628

The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
logtxb Unit=1	1.628	1.400	1.893

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1363

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1363	389	974	71.46

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	5363.731	5338.254
AIC	5363.731	5340.254
SBC	5363.731	5344.218

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	25.4768	1	<.0001
Score	27.8667	1	<.0001
Wald	27.8849	1	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio
logtxb	1	0.38530	0.07296	27.8849	<.0001	1.470

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Hazard Ratios for logtxb			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
logtxb Unit=1	1.470	1.274	1.696

## The PHREG Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	3044
Number of Observations Used	3043

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
q2u	Q4	1
	Q1-Q3	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
3043	701	2342	76.96

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	10870.464	10801.988
AIC	10870.464	10803.988
SBC	10870.464	10808.541

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	68.4755	1	<.0001
Score	76.6468	1	<.0001
Wald	73.8101	1	<.0001

## The PHREG Procedure

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
q2u	1	73.8101	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
q2u	Q4	1	0.67490	0.07856	73.8101	<.0001	1.964	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.964	1.684	2.291

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1681
Number of Observations Used	1680

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
q2u	Q4	1
	Q1-Q3	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1680	312	1368	81.43

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	4507.960	4459.708
AIC	4507.960	4461.708
SBC	4507.960	4465.451

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	48.2521	1	<.0001
Score	55.2322	1	<.0001
Wald	52.1501	1	<.0001

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=No Aspirin Use

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
q2u	1	52.1501	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
q2u	Q4	1	0.83382	0.11546	52.1501	<.0001	2.302	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	2.302	1.836	2.887



## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.SURVIVAL
Dependent Variable	days
Censoring Variable	censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Ties Handling	BRESLOW

Number of Observations Read	1363
Number of Observations Used	1363

Class Level Information		
Class	Value	Design Variables
q2u	Q4	1
	Q1-Q3	0

Summary of the Number of Event and Censored Values			
Total	Event	Censored	Percent Censored
1363	389	974	71.46

Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
-2 LOG L	5363.731	5340.113
AIC	5363.731	5342.113
SBC	5363.731	5346.077

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	23.6179	1	<.0001
Score	25.9039	1	<.0001
Wald	25.2820	1	<.0001

## The PHREG Procedure

Aspirin Use=Aspirin Use

Type 3 Tests			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
q2u	1	25.2820	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter		DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Hazard Ratio	Label
q2u	Q4	1	0.54158	0.10771	25.2820	<.0001	1.719	4th Q vs Q1-3 Q4

Hazard Ratios for 4th Q vs Q1-3			
Description	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
q2u Q4 vs Q1-Q3	1.719	1.392	2.123