a) 
$$\frac{10}{dt} = 2t$$
  $0|0\rangle = 0$   $\int_{10}^{10} = \int_{2t}^{2t} dt$ 
 $0 = t^{2} + C$ 
 $\int_{since} 0|0\rangle = 0 \rightarrow c = 0$ 
 $0 = t^{2}$ 
 $(0) = 1$ 

b)  $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} e^{t} \cdot V = 2$   $\int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} \int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} \int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot V \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 
 $\int_{0}^{2t} e^{t} \cdot f(t_{corr}, 0) = 0$ 

$$\hat{Q}_{1} = \hat{Q}_{1/3} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot f(t_{2/3}, 0_{2/3}) = \frac{2}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot 2$$

$$(2/3 = 0)$$

c) for n steps of equal length => 
$$\Delta t = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\hat{O} = \hat{O}_{0} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{0}$$

$$\hat{O}_{1} = \hat{O}_{0} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{0}$$

$$\hat{O}_{2} = \hat{O}_{1} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{1}$$

$$\hat{O}_{2} = \hat{O}_{0} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{1}$$

$$\hat{O}_{3} = \hat{O}_{2} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{1}$$

$$\hat{O}_{3} = \hat{O}_{2} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{1}$$

$$\hat{O}_{n} = \hat{O}_{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{n-1}$$

$$\hat{O}_{n} = \hat{O}_{n} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{n-1}$$

$$\hat{O}_{n} = \hat{O}_{0} + \frac{1}{n} \left( t_{0} + t_{1} + \dots + t_{n-1} \right)$$

$$\hat{O}_{n} = \hat{O}_{0} + \frac{1}{n} \left( t_{0} + t_{1} + \dots + t_{n-1} \right)$$

$$\hat{O}_{n} = \hat{O}_{n} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2t_{n-1}$$

$$\hat{O}_{n} = \hat{O}_{n} + \frac{1}{$$

Yes, the Forward Euler approximation converges to Oli) as  $n \to \infty$  because the timestep size to very small when  $n \to \infty$ , so the approximation is as accurate as possible, because it is surpping over essentially no data of the function. Thus, the approximation is very close to the true value.

1) The derivations done in parts (a)-(c) agree with the plots in part (d) because, for larger values of n, the plot of the Forward Euler approximation gets closer to 0 as the time approaches 1. The plot of the absolute error also confirms this because the error gets very small very quickly for larger values of n. For example, the approximation for n=4 does not come clise to the true value at time=2, but the approximation for n=256 essentially reaches 1. similarly, the absolute error for n=4 decreases much slower than that of n=256. The error for n=4 gets (10se to 0 around t=0.7s, but for n=750 it is at around t=0.55. Also, the plot of error is linear with respect to time, which is expected, because error scales with st, as shown in part (c). This is because Forward Euler is first-order.