Information Access and Government Transparency

Maria Josefina A. Bartolo-Cariaga, RMT, Florinda G. Vigonte, Marmelo V. Abante

mariajosefina_bartolo@yahoo.com; florgarcia3207@gmail.com; docmelo888@gmail.com

World Citi Colleges, Graduate School Department

960 Aurora Blvd., Bagumbuhay, Quezon City

Abstract

This narrative review with an eye on legal frameworks, digital governance projects, and citizen participation, this narrative assessment of Philippine government openness and information access looks at The execution of the Freedom of Information (FOI) Executive Order No. 2, signed in 2016, which established public access to information inside the executive branch, forms central focus of this debate. To assess the efficacy of FOI methods, the Electronic Freedom of Information (eFOI) site, and the influence of open data projects such the Open Government Partnership (OGP), the review synthesizes scholarly literature, policy reports, and case studies. Notwithstanding these developments, ongoing issues still exist including limited agency compliance, public ignorance, infrastructure flaws, and politicalizing of transparency initiatives. Moreover, the spread of false information and digital manipulation has made it more difficult for the people to get trustworthy knowledge. Although institutional changes have set the stage for a more open government, this analysis emphasizes how important continuous political will, technical innovation, and civic education are to guaranteeing significant information access in the democratic administration of the Philippines.

Introduction

Ensuring openness, responsibility, and public involvement in democratic societies depends on citizens' capacity to access government-held information. Particularly in initiatives to fight corruption, boost public confidence, and encourage responsive policymaking, information availability has been ever more acknowledged as a pillar of good governance in the Philippines. Through legislative and digital reforms, the Philippine government has notably moved during the past ten years to institutionalize openness. Executive Order No. 2, which operationalized the long-awaited Freedom of Information (FOI) policy inside the executive branch, was one of the most important events of 2016.

This strategy seeks to empower people to seek and gain knowledge about government operations, budgets, and policies, so improving civic involvement and control. Complementing this effort is the Electronic Freedom of Information (eFOI) portal, a web tool allowing the tracking and submission of FOI inquiries. The Open Government Partnership (OGP), which supports the acceptance of open data standards and participatory governance methods, now has members including the Philippines. These initiatives point toward more easily available, open digital era government. Still, there is unequal practical application of these openness policies. Government agency compliance differs; many people—especially in rural or underprivileged areas— remain uninformed of their right to obtain information or lack the digital capacity to do so. Moreover, even if digital channels improve access, they also generate questions about data security, false information, and the politicization of transparency systems. Using policy evaluations, scholarly research, and case-based data, this review seeks to critically examine, from policy assessments, academic studies, and government transparency in the Philippines, the advancement, constraints, and future directions of information access and government openness.

Methodology

This study methodology synthesizes and analyzes current literature, government records, policy reports, case studies on information access and government transparency in the Philippines, using a narrative review technique. Particularly considering the multidisciplinary character of transparency studies, which crosses political science, public administration, law, and information technology, a narrative review was chosen over a systematic review to enable a more flexible and interpretive exploration of the topic.

From official government websites (e.g., FOI.gov.ph, Official Gazette), academic databases (e.g., JSTOR, Scopus, Google Scholar), and publications by civil society organizations (e.g., Philippine Center for Investigative Journalism, Transparency International Philippines), relevant sources were compiled. Particularly the execution of Executive Order No. 2 (2016) and the establishment of the eFOI system, the selection

criteria gave materials released between 2010 and 2024 top priority in ensuring contemporaneity with current policy measures.

Using a thematic approach, the study arranged results into four main themes: Legal and Institutional Frameworks; Digital Transparency Platforms; Public Engagement and Civic Literacy; and Implementation Challenges and Policy Gaps. Within every category, the study examined policy goals versus real results, therefore stressing both Philippine context limits and progress.

This approach seeks to provide a thorough, qualitative knowledge of how information access is operationalized in practice and to pinpoint elements that either support or impede government transparency, therefore transcending the quantitative analysis. Limitations of this review include possible bias from non-peer-reviewed sources and the exclusion of non-English and highly localized materials owing to access restrictions.

DISCUSSION

Defining Information Access and Government Transparency

Information access is the capacity of groups and individuals to acquire, retrieve, and apply knowledge kept by public institutions. Within the framework of government, it is a basic democratic right that lets people track government operations, demand responsibility, and engage meaningfully in public affairs (UNESCO, 2019). Effective information access covers not only the legal right to request and get information but also the pragmatic systems—digital platforms, public records, and lines of communication—that support such access. Conversely, government transparency is the idea and practice of freely sharing to the public government procedures, policies, decisions, and data. It stems from the belief that openness is necessary for responsibility since it lets people, media, and monitoring agencies examine public official and institutional behavior (Meijer, 2013). Transparency encompasses response disclosure (e.g., compliance with FOI requests) as well as proactive disclosure—that is, posting budget reports, procurement data.

These ideas are operationalized in the Philippine setting under legal instruments including Executive Order No. 2 (2016), which established Freedom of Information (FOI) inside the

executive branch, and through digital tools including the eFOI portal, which lets people request government-held data online. Transparency and information availability taken together provide a feedback loop supporting civic trust, effective government, and deterring of corruption.

Vision and Objectives of Information Access and Government Transparency

The study hopes to advance knowledge of how information access could empower people, encourage responsibility, and inspire confidence in democratic systems. By means of evidence-based analysis and lobbying, it seeks to ultimately support continuous efforts to institutionalize open governance, lower corruption, and increase civic involvement. Its objectives includes: (1) Examine the institutional and legal systems influencing Philippine transparency policies—including Freedom of Information projects. (2) Assess how well digital channels including open data technologies and the eFOI portal enable public access to government-held data. (3) Investigate public participation, civic awareness of transparency rights and methods, and degree of public knowledge. (4) Identify the main obstacles to complete application of transparency policies including institutional opposition, technical restrictions, and political dynamics. (5) Identify the main obstacles to complete application of transparency policies including institutional opposition, technical restrictions, and political dynamics.Emphasizing sustainability, inclusivity, and responsibility, suggest ways to strengthen government openness and information access.

Pillars of Information Access and Government Transparency

Identify the main obstacles to complete application of transparency policies including institutional opposition, technical restrictions, and political dynamics.Good information availability and government transparency depend on several basic pillars that taken together support open, responsible government. These pillars give citizens the structural and functional basis they need to obtain correct, timely, and relevant information on government activities:

1. Legal Frameworks and Policies

Strong laws and policies—like the Philippine Executive Order No. 2 (2016) on Freedom of Information—are absolutely vital. These legal tools outline the public's right to access information, compel government disclosure, and provide the conditions for compliance, appeals, and consequences for non-compliance.

2. Institutional Capacity and Governance

Government departments have to be able to carry out openness policies successfully in terms of organizational structures and human resources as well. This covers staff training, well defined information disclosure policies, and institutional responsibility systems.

3. Digital Infrastructure and Technology

Open data websites and the Electronic Freedom of Information (eFOI) portal are two digital platforms modern transparency projects depend more on. These technologies enable the proactive publishing and simple information retrieval, thereby increasing public access beyond of physical government buildings.

4. Public Awareness and Civic Engagement

Transparency is significant only if people actively interact with the information at hand and know their rights. Media involvement, education initiatives, and civil society lobbying help people to demand information, track government activities, and take part in decision-making.

5. Political Will and Cultural Commitment

Real political will from leadership and a culture inside government institutions that upholds openness and responsibility define sustained transparency. Policies run the danger of becoming symbolic rather than transformational without greatest degrees of dedication.

Best Practices in Information Access and Government Transparency

- Comprehensive Legal Frameworks with Clear Implementation Guidelines

Laws that precisely define the extent of information access, exemptions, response times, and enforcement systems establish effective transparency from the outset. Best practices stress not just following freedom of information legislation but also providing thorough implementing rules and regulations (IRRs) to direct agencies in compliance (Roberts, 2006).

- Proactive Disclosure and Open Data Initiatives

Beyond reactive FOI requests, governments should aggressively publish budgets, procurement information, performance metrics on publicly available platforms. The Open Government Partnership (OGP) motivates members—including the Philippines—to implement open data policies that enable information to be accessed in machine-readable forms, therefore improving transparency and fostering civic innovation.

- User-Friendly Digital Platforms

Designed to be easily available, dependable, and secure, digital solutions such as the Philippine eFOI portal epitomize best practices. Easy navigation, real-time tracking of requests, and feedback systems help to build user trust by means of features. Accessibility criteria should guarantee that several groups—including those with disabilities—can use platforms.

- Capacity Building and Training for Public Officials

Government staff members should be constantly learning FOI techniques, data management, and citizen involvement. Training initiatives help to create an open culture and empower employees to effectively manage demands, therefore lowering bureaucratic delays and raising compliance rates.

- Public Awareness Campaigns and Civic Education

Mass media, educational institutions, and civil society campaigns on the right to information help people to use their access rights. Informed and empowered people act as watchdogs, therefore holding government responsible.

- Monitoring, Evaluation, and Accountability Mechanisms

Establishing independent monitoring agencies or ombudsmen to track FOI application guarantees authenticity and efficiency of efforts toward openness. Clear procedures for appeals and complaints, public updates on agency compliance, and frequent audits help to keep momentum and public confidence.

- Collaboration with Civil Society and Media

Government, NGOs, reporters, and academics working together improves openness by giving public forum for policy comments, investigative reporting, and public dialogue. Demand as well as supply sides of information access depend critically on civil society.

Challenges in the Implementation of Information Access and Government Transparency

1. Limited Agency Compliance and Institutional Resistance

Many government departments show different degrees of FOI policy compliance. Bureaucratic inertia, concern of revealing inefficiencies or corruption, or lack of clear enforcement policies cause some to be delayed or reluctant to reveal information. This institutional opposition generates gaps between policy and practice and compromises the openness of legislation.

2. Insufficient Public Awareness and Civic Literacy

Many of the Filipino people still do not know their right to access information or the processes to submit FOI requests. Particularly in underprivileged and rural areas with little means for education, this lack of civic literacy lowers demand for government transparency and inhibits citizen participation.

3. Digital Divide and Infrastructure Constraints

Although digital tools like the eFOI portal show development, access to consistent internet and technology still varies around the archipelago. Using online transparency technologies can be difficult for rural locations and lower-income groups, therefore aggravating information access disparities.

4. Political and Cultural Barriers

Transparency projects could get politicized if some officials or agencies see openness as a threat instead of a governance standard. With certain officials giving political objectives first priority above responsibility, a general culture of secrecy and patronage politics might impede efforts to institutionalize openness.

5. Lack of Enforcement and Monitoring

Weak enforcement results from the lack of robust monitoring authorities endowed with the ability to punish non-compliant agencies. FOI policies run the danger of becoming symbolic gestures instead than practical tools for transparency without genuine monitoring and responsibility systems.

6. Data Quality and Management Issues

Information retrieval is complicated by incomplete, out-of-date, or badly structured records. Lack of data management and archiving knowledge among government staff members lowers the accuracy and timeliness of the material made public.

7. Threats of Disinformation and Misinformation

Growing digital misinformation tactics erode confidence in established information sources. Transparency aims to present correct data; misinformation can skew public opinion and generate uncertainty, therefore undermining the purpose of transparency.

Case Study: The Implementation of the Freedom of Information Executive Order No. 2 (2016) in the Philippines

President Rodrigo Duterte's 2016 Executive Order No. 2 represents a historic first toward institutionalizing the freedom to information inside the Philippine government. Aiming to

improve openness and fight corruption, this policy obliged government entities under the executive to reveal public records, documents, and information upon demand.

Implementation Highlights:

- Launched as an online platform to enable citizen requests, the Electronic Freedom of Information (eFOI) portal offers a consolidated and easily available interface for filing and monitoring FOI petitions.
- FOI officials assigned by government entities to handle inquiries and guarantee adherence to the 15-working-day response schedule.
- Though sensitive and classified material is exempt, the policy addresses a broad spectrum of information, from government expenditure and procurement to agency performance data.

Challenges Encountered:

- Many organizations showed uneven compliance despite early excitement, usually citing capacity issues or worries about the possible political consequences of publishing material.
- Public knowledge of the FOI order and the eFOI portal is still poor; many people, particularly in rural regions, are ignorant of their rights or experience difficulties using online services.
- The FOI ruling limits the extent of openness by applying solely to the executive branch, omitting the legislative and court branches.
- Sometimes user experience on the eFOI portal was hampered by technical problems including sluggish processing times and website down-times.

Outcomes and Lessons:

- The FOI effort has made government data more easily available and established a legal precedent for national information access.
- Monitoring FOI compliance and teaching the public about their rights has been much aided by civil society groups.

- The case emphasizes the need of increasing digital infrastructure, spreading FOI coverage to additional parts of government, and funding government staff capacity development.
- It also emphasizes the need of more general public education initiatives to enable people to fully utilize their right to information.

Conclusion

Fundamental cornerstones of democratic governance, information availability and government openness enable people to hold public officials responsible and actively engage in the political process. Particularly with the passage of Executive Order No. 2 (2016) and the creation of the eFOI site, the Philippines boasts notable progress. These projects are important instruments in the fight against corruption and help to build public confidence since they show a rising dedication to transparent government.

This study does, however, emphasize how unevenly transparent realization still is. The complete execution of FOI rules and more general transparency changes is nevertheless hampered by institutional opposition, low public awareness, technological constraints, and political hurdles. Dealing with these issues calls both constant political will and capacity building inside government institutions, investments in digital infrastructure, and strong civic education initiatives to empower people.

In the Philippines, enhancing information availability and openness calls on public, government, and civil society cooperation ultimately. Reiterating these foundations will help the nation go closer to realizing a really accountable, inclusive, and responsive government that preserves the rights and interests of every Filipino.

References

Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1997). Writing narrative literature reviews. *Review of General Psychology, 1*(3), 311–320. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.1.3.311

Meijer, A. (2013). Understanding the complex dynamics of transparency. *Public Administration Review, 73*(3), 429–439. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12038

Roberts, A. (2006). *Blacked out: Government secrecy in the information age*. Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/us/universitypress/subjects/law/us-law/blacked-out-government-secrecy-information-age?format=HB&isbn=9780521858700

UNESCO. (2019). *Access to information and fundamental freedoms*. UNESCO Publishing. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000371867

Philippine Executive Order No. 2. (2016). Institutionalizing the Freedom of Information (FOI) Program in the Executive Branch. Official Gazette of the Republic of the Philippines. https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/2016/07/23/executive-order-no-2-s-2016/

Open Government Partnership. (n.d.). Philippines National Action Plan. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/members/philippines/

Philippine Center for Investigative Journalism (PCIJ). (2020). Transparency and the Freedom of Information Act in the Philippines. https://pcij.org/article/5485/transparency-and-the-freedom-of-information-act-in-the-philippines

Transparency International Philippines. (2023). *Corruption perceptions and access to information*. https://www.transparency.org.ph