COURSE SUMMARY

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm GABRIELA~BROWN} \\ {\rm MATH~B49} \\ {\rm 05/23/2022} \end{array}$

Contents

1. Int	roduction	1
2. Fu	ndamentals of Shift Spaces	1
2.1.	Coded Shifts	1
2.2.	Topological Entropy in Shift Spaces	1
3. Computability of shift spaces		2
3.1.	Introduction to Computability	2
3.2.	Computability of Sofic Shifts and SFTs	2
3.3.	Crash course in Measure Theory	2
3.4.	Crash Course in Ergodic Theory	2
3.5.	Connecting Topological and Measure Theoretic Entropy	3
3.6.	Entropy of Coded Shifts	4
3.7.	Questions	5
Appendix A. Quick Reference Sheet		5
A.1.	Shift Spaces	5
A.2.	Measure and Ergodic Theory	5
A.3.	Computability	5
Appendix B. Lecture index		5
References		5

1. Introduction

TODO

- 2. Fundamentals of Shift Spaces
- 2.1. **Coded Shifts.** [1, L8]
- 2.2. Topological Entropy in Shift Spaces. [1, L5]

3. Computability of shift spaces

Our goal in this section is to define the notion of computability at a point, and then use that notion to understand the computability of entropy of shift spaces. For SFTs and sofic shifts it is sufficient to work with topological entropy as we defined in the last section. However to understand coded shifts we will need to enter the world of measure-theoretic entropy, and we'll also need a few important results of ergodic theory in order to be able to move between these two version of entropy.

3.1. Introduction to Computability. [1, L6, L7]

check notes on computability

3.2. Computability of Sofic Shifts and SFTs. [1, L7]

check notes on computability

3.3. Crash course in Measure Theory. [1, L6] If you're like me and have never taken an analysis course, you may have also confused metrics and measures. For clarity's sake, a metric is a function $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ that tells us the distance between two points in a space, and satisfies certain properties like the triangle inequality. A measure is a function that tells us the size of a set in the right context. We now establish that context.

```
// TODO: sigma algebras and such
```

Definition 3.1 (Measure). // TODO

// TODO: check notes on computability

3.4. Crash Course in Ergodic Theory. [1, L10]

Let f be a generic dynamical system. Ergodic theory is the study of f-invariant measures. We say a measure is an **ergodic measure** if for all f-invariant subsets A, either $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) = 1$. These ergodic measures pick out a particular invariant subset to give full measure, which creates a notion of decomposition into smaller dynamical systems. Any measure is a convex sum of ergodic measures, so it also gives us a way to study measures by their more neatly behaved components. We will go about identifying ergodic measures by the fact that they are the extreme points in the space of measures.

Let (X,d) be a compact metric space with continuous dynamical system $f: X \to X$. We use $\mathcal{M}_{X,f}$ to mean the set of f-invariant Borel probability measures on X. (f-invariant means $\mu(f^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A) \ \forall \ A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and probability measure means $\mu(X) = 1$.) The set $\mathcal{M}_{X,f}$ is metrizable by the **Wasserstein-Kantorovitch distance**, $w_1(\mu,\nu) = \sup |\int \phi d\mu - \int \phi d\nu|$ for any $\phi \in 1$ -Lip (X,\mathbb{R}) . This metric induces the weak* topology (definition omitted). We will now give several statements that let us find the ergodic measures in $\mathcal{M}_{X,f}$.

Fact 3.2. $\mathcal{M}_{X,f}$ is compact under the weak* topology.

Theorem 3.3. $\mathcal{M}_{X,f} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. // TODO: in notes

Fact 3.4. $\mathcal{M}_{X,f}$ is convex. (Between any two points you can draw a straight line that stays in the set).

In a compact, convex topological space you can define extreme points. In fact, the set of ergodic measures of $\mathcal{M}_{X,f}$ is exactly the extreme points of the set, which we denote $M_E \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{X,f}$. We write the decomposition of a general measure into ergodic measures in the following way. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{X,f}$. Then there exists another $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{X,f}$ such that $\lambda(\mathcal{M}_E) = 1$ and $\int \phi d\mu = \int \int \phi d\nu d\lambda$ for all $\phi \in C(X,\mathbb{R})$ and arbitrary measure ν . In other words, the non-ergodic measures form a set of measure 0 for λ and do not contribute to the integral.

We will need the following theorem in the next section to understand the technique of inducing a dynamical system onto a preferred subset.

Theorem 3.5 (Poincare Recurrence Theorem). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{X,f}$, and $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ with $\mu(A) > 0$. Then the set of points that return to A a finite number of times has measure θ . Equivalently,

$$\mu(\{x \in A : f^n(x) \in A \text{ infinitely many times }\}) = \mu(A).$$

The next theorem gives us a key connection between the so called time average and space average of a dynamical system. This connection is important because only the space average relies on a measure, and so it allows us to bridge topological and measure theoretic notions of entropy.

Theorem 3.6 (Berkhoff Ergodic Theorem). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{X,f}$, and $\phi \in L^1(X,\mu)$. Then the time average given by

$$\phi_f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^k(x)) \quad \text{exists } \mu \text{ a.e.}$$

and $\phi_f \in L^1(X,\mu)$. Furthermore if μ is ergodic then ϕ_f is constant almost everywhere and

$$\phi_f(x) = \int \phi d\mu \quad for \ a.e. \ x \in X.$$

TODO: not sure about this definition of the basin. This theorem gives us a natural definition of the **basin of** μ $B(\mu)$ which is the set of points in X where the time average is equal to the space average for $\phi = 1$, $\{x \in X : \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^k(x)} = \mu\}$

Corollary 3.7. If μ is ergodic, then $\mu(B(\mu)) = 1$.

3.5. Connecting Topological and Measure Theoretic Entropy. [1, L10, L11] The following theorem gives us a measure-theoretic definition of entropy, which is defined pointwise and then integrated. Again in this section we let (X,d) be a compact metric space with $f: X \to X$ continuous and $\mathcal{M}_{X,f}$ the set of f-invariant Borel probability measures.

Theorem 3.8 (Katok-Brin). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{X,f}$. Then the following limit exists

$$h_{\mu}(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu(B_n(x, r)) \quad \mu\text{-a.e. } x.$$

Furthermore $x \mapsto h_{\mu}(x)$ is μ -measurable, and if μ is ergodic then $h_{\mu}(x)$ is continuous and we can define

$$h_{\mu}(f) = \int h_{\mu}(x)d\mu(x).$$

This definition requires that for measure theoretic entropy to be positive, the measure must live on points that are pushed apart, that is, the Boen ball that travels with x is getting smaller in the limit. We are now prepared to see the connection between topological entropy and measure theoretic entropy, commonly referred to as the Variational Principle.

Theorem 3.9 (Variational Principle).

$$h_{top}(f) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{X,f}} h_{\mu}(f)$$

If there is a measure μ such that $h_{\mu}(f) = h_{top}(f)$ then we call μ a **measure of maximal entropy (mme)**. The supremum in this equation means that for some dynamical systems there is no mme.

We've already described the computability of h_{top} for SFTs and sofic shifts, and in order to understand it for coded shifts we're going to need to use the variational principle to study the problem from the perspective of measure-theoretic entropy. But even then if we can find ergodic measures, it can still be tricky to study, so we're going to use ideas from the dynamical inverse problem and the technique of inducing to set up a space of potentials with equillibrium states that lets us study observables instead, which we really can get our hands on. After all this work we'll see that topological entropy for a coded shift is computable if it lives on the concatenations of generators, and not computable if it lives in the limit.

First we need to set up the dynamical inverse problem, which is interested in when we can reconstruct a dynamical system just from observations we make in the world. For example a scientist might measure the temperature every day at the same time, and we would like to know if it is possible to accurately reconstruct the underlying dynamical system of weather just from those observations. If $f: X \to X$ is the underlying dynamical system, the **observables** are functions $\phi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$. The following notion of topological pressure formalizes certain circumstances where it is possible to reconstruct from observables. It works for dynamical systems in general, but we will write it in terms of shift spaces so we can more readily apply it to the situation at hand.

Definition 3.10 (Topological Pressure). Let X be a shift space, with shift map $\sigma: X \to X$. First we give the weighted orbit of x along n,

$$S_n \phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(\sigma^k(x)).$$

Then we define the n^{th} partition function

$$Z_n(\phi) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)} \exp(\sup_{x \in [w]_0^{n-1}} S_n \phi(x)).$$

We can show that $\log Z_n(\phi)$ is subadditive, so the following limit exists

$$P_{top}(\phi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n(\phi).$$

Or if the alphabet is countable we define

$$P_{top}(\phi) = \inf_{n} \{ \log \frac{1}{n} Z_n(\phi) \}.$$

// TODO: add end of 04/19, all of 04/26

3.6. Entropy of Coded Shifts. [1, L12] (this only makes sense for measure theoretic entropy so we need to venture through it to understand top entrop for coded shifts)

REFERENCES 5

3.7. Questions.

- (1) Is every shift a coded shift?
- (2) I don't understand the paragraph about how to decompose a general measure into ergodic measures.
- (3) definition of basin seems wrong.

APPENDIX A. QUICK REFERENCE SHEET

- A.1. Shift Spaces.
- A.2. Measure and Ergodic Theory.
- A.3. Computability.

APPENDIX B. LECTURE INDEX

L1	01/25
L2	02/01
L3	02/15
L4	02/22
L5	03/02
L6	03/08
L7	03/15
L8	03/22
L9	04/05
L10	04/19
L11	04/26
L12	05/01

References

[1] Christian Wolf. Symbolic Dynamics and Computability. CUNY Topics Course. Spring 2023.