APC 523 - HW1 Eric Meseley 1. Let x = \(\frac{5}{2} \) b_1 \(\frac{2}{2} \) If we round at the p+1 term, and we let l'=l-p-1, let's consider the case where $b_{p+1}=1$, then does this differ from truncating? we add a term of size 2^{-p-1} . the case of truncating had

x-trunc(x) = ± (\(\frac{5}{l=p+1} \) \(\frac{1}{l} \) Then, if l'=1-p-1, 00 -1 2e-p-1

X-trunc(x)=±(\frac{5}{2}b_{-1}-p_{-1}\frac{1}{2})2e-p-1 But we know that we've done butter than this with rnd(x) by adding Z-P-1 to trun(x) > x-rnd(x) = = (\lefta b-e'-\rho_12-e')2-e-1-7-P-1+e = (b-p+1) = 1 + 5 b2'-p-12 2 e-p-1 the largest that series can be is 2. => => Max 11 x-rad(x)1 = 2e-P-1 the smallest x con be if x = \\ \frac{2}{2} \b_{-1} \rightarrow 2e 75 2° Since the leading term is always 1. Thus, when rounding up, the error is 1 x-tnd(x) < 2 e-p-1/2e-1 = 2 p

when rounding down, we subtract a term instead that is atmost of 8/2 flis is the same as truncaling the series in this case. X-trunc(x)= x-rnd(x)= ± (£ 6-12)2 but for the p+1 tem, ne have 6-p-1=0. There fore, we have, in the worst case scenario, formula for the error of trunc(x), if we just make this replacement, we have our answer. That gives us: (X-rnd(x)) < 22-P-1 2-P And their covers but cases.