## TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF TRUNCATED BROWN-PETERSON SPECTRA II

GABRIEL ANGELINI-KNOLL, DOMINIC LEON CULVER, AND EVA HÖNING

ABSTRACT. We compute topological Hochschild homology of the second truncated Brown-Peterson spectrum at primes  $p \geq 3$  with Adams summand coefficients.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1.	Conventions	1
2.	Recollections	]
3.	Bounding Hochschild homology of $BP\langle n \rangle$	2
Ref	erences	4

## 1. Introduction

1.1. Conventions. We write  $L_E$  for Bousfield localization at a spectrum E. We write  $BP\langle n \rangle$  for a family of  $\mathbb{E}_3$ -MU-algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  such that

$$\mathrm{MU} \to \cdots \to \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \to \mathrm{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle \to \cdots \to H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \to H\mathbb{F}_p$$

and therefore we fix classes  $v_i$  such that on graded commutative rings

$$\mathrm{MU}_* \to \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_*$$

is given by sending  $x_{p^i-1}$  to  $v_i$  for  $0 \le i \le n$  (with  $v_0 = p$ ) and  $x_j \mapsto 0$  otherwise. This also fixes the map of graded commutative rings

$$BP\langle n\rangle_* \to BP\langle n-1\rangle_*$$

sending  $v_i$  to  $v_i$  for  $0 \le i \le n-1$  and  $v_n \mapsto 0$ . Such a family exists by [5].

#### 2. Recollections

In this section, we recall the necessery results from [1].

**Proposition 2.1.** There is an isomorphism of  $\pi_*L_{H\mathbb{Q}}\mathrm{BP}\langle n\rangle = \mathbb{Q}[v_1,\ldots,v_n]$ -algebras

$$\pi_* L_{H\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Q}[v_1, \dots, v_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma v_1, \dots, \sigma v_n).$$

*Proof.* The authors computed

$$\pi_* \operatorname{THH}(\operatorname{BP}\langle n \rangle; H\mathbb{Q}) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma v_1, \dots, \sigma v_n).$$

We then observe that

$$L_{H\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle) \simeq \operatorname{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle; L_{H\mathbb{Q}} BP\langle n \rangle)$$

because  $L_{H\mathbb{Q}}$  is a smashing localization. We then consider the spectral sequence

$$THH_*(BP\langle n\rangle; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_* L_{H\mathbb{Q}}BP\langle n\rangle \implies THH_*(BP\langle n\rangle; L_{H\mathbb{Q}}BP\langle n\rangle)$$

associated to the multiplicative complete filtration  $\tau_{\geq \bullet}$  THH(BP $\langle n \rangle; \mathbb{Q}$ ) in  $H\mathbb{Q}$ -modules. This spectral sequence has input

$$\pi_* L_{H\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{BP} \langle n \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} (\sigma v_1, \dots, \sigma v_n)$$

by [?AKCH22, Proposition 3.7]. It collapses because the targets of all differentials are zero groups. The abutment and the  $E_{\infty}$ -term are a free  $\pi_* L_{H\mathbb{Q}} BP \langle n \rangle$ -modules and consequently there are no  $\pi_* L_{H\mathbb{Q}} BP \langle n \rangle$ )-module extensions. There isn't room for algebra extensions.

## Proposition 2.2. The groups

$$THH_s(BP\langle n\rangle)$$

are finitely generated for all integers s. Consequently, we have

$$|\operatorname{THH}_s(\operatorname{BP}\langle n\rangle)| < \infty$$

for 
$$s \neq 2p^i - 1 \mod 2p^j - 2$$
 for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

Proof. Since  $\pi_a \mathbb{S}$  and  $\pi_b \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$  are finitely generated abelian groups for all integers a, b, the strongly convergent Künneth spectral sequence computing  $\pi_*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \wedge \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$  is finitely generated in each bidegree and has a vanishing line of postive slope so  $\pi_c(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \wedge \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$  is finitely generated for each integer c. The same argument implies that  $\mathrm{THH}_s(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$  is finitely generated for each integer s. The second statement then follows from Proposition 2.1 and the classification of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -modules.

# 3. Bounding Hochschild homology of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$

The goal of this section is to use the cosimplicial descent spectral sequence from work of [3] to produce a useful upper bound on  $THH_*(BP\langle n \rangle)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $C^{\bullet}(A/B)$  denote the cosimplicial cobar complex with q-simplices  $C^{q}(A/B) = A^{\otimes_{B}q+1}$ .

First, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $n \ge 1$ . There is an isomorphism rings

$$\mathbf{E}_2^{*,*} \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\pi_* \mathrm{BP} \langle n-1 \rangle \wedge \mathrm{BP} \langle n-1 \rangle} (\mathrm{BP} \langle n-1 \rangle, \mathrm{BP} \langle n-1 \rangle) \otimes \Gamma\{\sigma^2 v_n^{(j)} : 1 \leq j \leq q\} \otimes \Lambda(\sigma v_1^{(j)} : 1 \leq j \leq q)$$
 where

$$\mathbf{E}_{2}^{*,*} = \pi_{*} \left( \pi_{*} H \pi_{*} \operatorname{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle)^{\wedge_{H\pi_{*}} \operatorname{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)} q^{+1} \right)$$

is the E<sub>2</sub>-term of the multiplicative Künneth spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{E}_2^{*,*} \implies \mathrm{THH}_*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n-1\rangle^{\wedge q+1}).$$

Proof. When q=0, then THH(BP $\langle n-1\rangle^{\wedge_{\text{BP}\langle n\rangle}q+1}$ ) = THH(BP $\langle n-1\rangle$ ). We first compute  $\pi_*(\text{BP}\langle n-1\rangle\otimes_{\text{BP}\langle n\rangle}\text{BP}\langle n-1\rangle)$  by a Künneth spectral sequence. The  $E_2$ -term is BP $\langle n-1\rangle_*\otimes\Lambda(\sigma v_n)$  so it is concentrated in Künneth filtration [0,1] and therefore the spectral sequence collapses because the targets of all differentials are zero groups. We then use the equivalence

$$A \wedge_B A \wedge_B A \simeq (A \wedge_B A) \wedge_A (A \wedge_B A)$$

where  $A = \mathrm{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle$  and  $B = \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$  and the fact that  $\pi_*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle \wedge_{\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle} \mathrm{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle)$  is free as a  $\mathrm{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle_*$ -module to inductively determine from the Künneth spectral sequence that

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n-1\rangle^{\wedge_{\mathrm{BP}\langle n\rangle}q+1}) \cong \mathrm{BP}\langle n-1\rangle_* \otimes \Lambda(\sigma v_n^{(1)},\ldots,\sigma v_n^{(q)}).$$

By obstruction theory, we determine that  $\mathrm{BP}\langle n-1\rangle^{\wedge_{\mathrm{BP}\langle n\rangle}q+1}$  is the smash product of square zero extensions

$$(BP\langle n-1\rangle \vee \Sigma^{2p-1}BP\langle n-1\rangle)^{\wedge_{BP\langle n-1\rangle}q}$$
.

Consequently, we determine that

$$\pi_* \left( \mathrm{BP} \langle n-1 \rangle^{\wedge_{\mathrm{BP} \langle n \rangle} q+1} \right)^{\wedge 2} \cong \pi_* (\mathrm{BP} \langle n-1 \rangle^{\wedge 2}) \otimes \Lambda(\sigma v_n^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma v_n^{(q)})^{\wedge 2}.$$

We then note that the Künneth spectral sequence sequence computing

$$THH_*(BP\langle n-1\rangle^{\wedge_{BP\langle n\rangle}q+1})$$

has  $E_2$ -term

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\pi_* \operatorname{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle \wedge \operatorname{BP}\langle n-1}(\operatorname{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle, \operatorname{BP}\langle n-1 \rangle) \otimes \Gamma(\sigma^2 v_n^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma^2 v_n^{(q)}) \otimes \Lambda(\sigma v_n^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma v_n^{(q)})$$
 and that is exactly what we describe in the statement of the lemma.

Proposition 3.3. There is an equivalence

$$\operatorname{THH}(\operatorname{BP}\langle n\rangle) \simeq \operatorname{Tot}\left(\operatorname{THH}(C^{\bullet}(\operatorname{BP}\langle n-1\rangle/\operatorname{BP}\langle n\rangle))\right).$$

Consequently, there is a spectral sequence

$$\pi_{t-s} \lim_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Tot} H\pi_s \operatorname{THH}(C^{\bullet}(\operatorname{BP}\langle n-1\rangle/\operatorname{BP}\langle n\rangle)) \implies \pi_{t-s} \operatorname{THH}(\operatorname{BP}\langle n\rangle)$$

associated to the filtration

(3.4) 
$$\lim \operatorname{Tot} \tau_{\geq s} \operatorname{THH}(C^{\bullet}(\operatorname{BP}\langle n-1\rangle/\operatorname{BP}\langle n\rangle)).$$

The  $E_2$ -term is

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(BP\langle n-1\rangle)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\sigma v_n)$$
.

Consequently,

$$|\operatorname{THH}_t(BP\langle n\rangle)| \le |\operatorname{THH}_t(BP\langle n-1\rangle)| + |\operatorname{THH}_{t-2p^n+1}(BP\langle n-1\rangle)|$$

*Proof.* Since  $BP\langle n\rangle \to BP\langle n-1\rangle$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_i$  for i=0,1 the first statement follows directly from [3, Theorem 3.7]. The second statement follows from [4, Remark 3.7] which identifies the filtration (3.4) with the décalage (cf. [2, pp. 21]) of the filtration whose associated graded is the  $E_1$ -term of the Bousfield–Kan spectral sequence.

It therefore suffices to compute the  $E_2$ -term, which is the cohomology of the Hopf algebroid (THH<sub>\*</sub>(BP $\langle n-1 \rangle$ ), THH<sub>\*</sub>(BP $\langle n-1 \rangle$ )  $\otimes \Gamma\{\sigma^2 v_n\}$ ) by Lemma 3.2. We note

from the proof of Lemma 3.2 that this Hopf algebroid is the tensor product of the Hopf algebroids (THH<sub>\*</sub>(BP $\langle n-1 \rangle$ ), THH<sub>\*</sub>(BP $\langle n-1 \rangle$ )) and ( $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$  { $\sigma^2 v_n$ )}. Consequently, the cohomology of this Hopf algebroid is THH<sub>\*</sub>(BP $\langle n-1 \rangle$ )  $\otimes \Lambda(\sigma v_n)$  as desired.

**Example 3.5.** We consider the case n=1. Then there is a spectral sequence

$$THH_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \Lambda(\sigma v_2) \implies THH_*(\ell)$$
.

### References

- [1] Gabriel Angelini-Knoll, Dominic Leon Culver, and Eva Höning, *Topological Hochschild homology of truncated Brown-Peterson spectra I*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. (June 2021), arXiv:2106.06785, available at 2106.06785.
- [2] Pierre Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. II. (Hodge theory. II)*, Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. **40** (1971), 5–57 (French).
- [3] Bjørn Ian Dundas and John Rognes, Cubical and cosimplicial descent, J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 98 (2018), no. 2, 439–460 (English).
- [4] Bogdan Gheorghe, Daniel C. Isaksen, Achim Krause, and Nicolas Ricka, C-motivic modular forms, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **24** (2022), no. 10, 3597–3628 (English).
- [5] Jeremy Hahn and Dylan Wilson, Redshift and multiplication for truncated Brown-Peterson spectra, Ann. Math. (2) 196 (2022), no. 3, 1277–1351 (English).

Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Arnimallee 7, 14195 Berlin, Germany

Email address: gak@math.fu-berlin.de

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Email address: dculver@illinois.edu

Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hamburg, Bundesstrasse 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

Email address: eva.hoening@uni-hamburg.de