Names

Arthur David Redfern	jsf7un
Gabriel Hanson	gh8sj
Ajay Sanjeevan	zwz3wu
Keshara Weerasinghe	cjh9fw
Kabir Menghrajani	km5qte

Problem 1

Part 1

В

Part 2

Α

Part 3

В

Part 4

Δ

(use one hot encoding)

Part 5

Α

Part 6

Α

Part 7

В

В Part 9 В Part 10 B, assumes a gaussian shape Problem 2 Part 1 D Part 2 E. Part 3 A. Part 4 В Part 5 D Part 6 B, C, E Part 7 A, B, D Part 8 Α

Part 8

Part 9

C, D

Part 10

D

Problem 3

Part A

U0 will move to the left and u1 will move to the right

Part B

It will increase since each iteration increases the likelihood of the data assuming that we are not exactly at a local optimum.

Problem 4

Data #	Cluster Assignment after 1 iteration	Cluster Assignment after convergence
1	1	1
2	1	1
3	1	1
4	1	1
5	1	1
6	2	2
7	2	2
8	2	1

9	2	2
10	2	2

Problem 5

- 1. Autoencoders use weights with variable initialization to perform dimensionality reduction. As a result they will have variable performance on the same data, versus PCA which when given the same input data and data explanation % target will give the same results.
- 2. Autoencoders use an iterative training process using backpropagation, as a result it takes significantly longer to use than PCA.
- 3. The user has more control over PCA in that they can choose a target percentage they want the data to explain, and create a variable number of eigenvalues from that. Autoencoders have no real equivalent to this.