Lecture #24 03/08/2023 Recap of basics on eig. values & eig. Nectors 1 Computing eig. values { \light\; i=1 of A ∈ Rhxn reduces to solving for the roots of a monic polynomial Equation in degree n: $\det (\lambda T - A) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^{n} + c_1 \lambda^{n-1} + c_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + c_{n-1} \lambda + c_n = 0$ Characteristic poly komish $Charpoly(\lambda) = 0$ Some roots maybe real, some maybe maybe complex conjugates, some maybe repeated

(2) Roots of charpoly (1) have sensitive dependence depend on a complicated manner on the entries For example, AER2x2: Champely $(A) \equiv \lambda^2 - \text{trace}(A)\lambda + \text{det}(A) = 0$ Here, c, = - trace (A) $C_2 = det(A)$

But for general $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$: $Champoly(A) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \text{ trace}(A^k A) A^{n-k}$ trace of the exterior power of A

3) Structural info. about A my Structural info on eig. values

• If $A = A^{T}$ (symmetric) then all eig. values λ_{i} of A are real.

A are real.

• If A is symmetric and positive (semi) definite, from $\lambda_i > 0$ (≥ 0) for all i = 1, ..., n

4) Similarity transformation: AHMAM-1 where M is any nonsingular matrix, preserves the

eig. values of A: $\lambda_i(A) = \lambda_i(MAM^{-1})$ for all i=1,...,n

6 Let AERMXM, BERNXM Then: AB and BA have the same nonzero mxm nxn eig. values. 6) The following sentences are equivalent: A is (unitarily) diagonalizable Matrix A is normal/ non-defective AAT = ATA (Matrix A commutes with its transpose) $A = V \wedge V^{-1}$) It is the diagonal matrix with eig.

and Matrix V is invertible Stacking of eig. vector columns A has linearly independent eig. vectors { v(i) } n eig. vector lig. vector eig-vector corresponding corresponding convesponding to dn to 7, to λ_2

Last topic: Algorithms to sobe (special) eig. value problems Problem Statement: Compute the "dominant"/largest magnitude eig. value and its corresponding "dominant" eig. ve etor for a given AER diagonalizable. We order the eigen values as: $|\lambda_1| \gtrsim |\lambda_2| \gg |\lambda_3| \gg \ldots \gg |\lambda_n|$ our algorithm for

Strictly a needed for greater solving this than problem IDEA: perform vector fixed point recursion: · Make a random guess sor imitéal vector v K=0, 1, 2, ... This algorithm $\frac{\nabla_{K+1}}{\|Av_{K}\|_{2}} = \frac{A v_{K}}{\|Av_{K}\|_{2}}$ is called the Power Iteration Algorithm

We claim: $\{ v_k \} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \frac{v}{\uparrow}$ dorminant eig. vector of A

end

Once we get converged v, then extract the Corresponding dominant eig. value as: $\lambda = \frac{v^T A v}{v^T v}$ because the definition

of eig. value:
$$Av = \lambda v$$

Power Iteration:

 $v = \frac{1}{2}$
 $v = \frac{1}{2}$
 $v = \frac{1}{2}$
 $v = \frac{1}{2}$
 $v = \frac{1}{2}$

for K = 1, 2, ... $V_{K} = \frac{A V_{K-1}}{\|A V_{K-1}\|_{2}};$ $A = (v(:,end))^{T} A v_{2}(:,end);$

Theorem: Given AERIXI déagonalizable with eig. values ordered as $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > --- > |\lambda_n|$ For "almost every" initial guess (=> with probability 1) the power iteration algorithm converges (linearly) to the eig. vector $\underline{v}^{(1)}$ corresponding to 1, with worst-case convergence rate

12/1

Derivation / Proof:

Choose an initial vector Z, and decompose it into eig. vectors $v^{(i)}$ of A:

(i) This is always possible

 $\chi_{0} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (j) \sum_{j=1}^{N} N_{i}(j)$ This is always possible A for diagonalizable A (into a coefficients)

"almost every" (> C, +0

Then,
$$A \times_{o} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} A \underbrace{v^{(i)}}_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} \lambda_{j} \underbrace{v^{(i)}}_{j}$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{2} \times_{o} = A (A \times_{o})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} \lambda_{j} (A \times_{o}^{(i)})$$

Ci Ak v(i)

for any K = 0,1,2,...

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \lambda_i^2 \underline{v}^{(i)}$

$$=\frac{A^{k} \times_{o}}{\|A^{k} \times_{o}\|_{2}}$$

$$=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \lambda_{j}^{k} \underline{v}^{(j)}}{\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (c_{j} \lambda_{j})^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

-; x K+1

because

(v(i)) T v(i)

$$\frac{7}{2} \times |x+1| = \frac{2}{3} \times \left\{ \frac{y(1)}{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{c_{j}}{c_{j}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}} \right)^{k} \frac{y(3)}{j} \right\}$$

$$\frac{2}{3} \times |x| = \frac{2}{3} \times \left[\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}} \right]^{2k} \left[\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_$$