

# Terms

Given lowercase letters are scalar values and uppercase letters are vectors/matrices...

- $X$  = vector of presynaptic membrane potentials
- $Y$  = vector of postsynaptic membrane potentials
- $T$  = vector of target postsynaptic membrane potentials
- $E$  = vector of error between target and actual postsynaptic membrane potentials
- $W_{XY}$  = vector of axon conductance factors between  $X$  and  $Y$
- $b$  = forward propagation interference factor
- $S$  = vector of axon potentials
- $\eta$  = learning factor
- $t_{thresh}$  = axon potential action threshold

## Forward Propagation / $(X, W_{XY}, b) \Rightarrow Y$

Assuming:  $X = [2, 3]$ ,  $W_{XY} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $b = 0$

**Compute Axon Potential**  $(X, W_{XY}, b) \Rightarrow S$

$$\begin{aligned} S &= X \cdot W_{XY} + b \\ &= [2, 3] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + 0 \\ &= [(2)(4) + (3)(0), (2)(5) + (3)(-2)] \\ &= [11, 4] \end{aligned}$$

**Compute Activation Functions** /  $S \Rightarrow Y$

Assuming  $\forall_i s_i \in S \dots$

• **Linear:**  $f_{act}(S) = S$

• **Threshold:**  $f_{act}(S) = \left[ \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_i \leq t_{\text{hreshold}} \\ 1 & \text{if } s_i > t_{\text{hreshold}} \end{cases} \right]_{i=0}^{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ \dots \\ s_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

• **Sigmoid:**  $f_{act}(S) = \left[ \frac{1}{1+\exp(-s_i)} \right]_{i=0}^{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ \dots \\ s_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

## Unsupervised Hebbian Learning / $(\eta, X, Y, W_{XY}) \Rightarrow W'_{XY}$

- Replicates classical conditioning
- Biologically inspired
- Learns associations, but does not recognize right from wrong

Assuming:  $\eta = 0.1$     $X = [2, 3]$ ,    $Y = [11, 16]$ ,    $W_{XY} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

**Calculate weight delta matrix /  $(\eta, X, Y) \Rightarrow \Delta W_{XY}$**

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta W_{XY} &= \eta \times X^T \times Y \\ &= 0.1 \times \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} [11, 16] \\ &= 0.1 \times \begin{bmatrix} (2)(11) & (2)(16) \\ (3)(11) & (3)(16) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 & 3.2 \\ 16.5 & 24 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

**Apply weight delta matrix /  $(W_{XY}, \Delta W_{XY}) \Rightarrow W'_{XY}$**

$$\begin{aligned}W'_{XY} &= W_{XY} + \Delta W_{XY} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 & 3.2 \\ 16.5 & 24 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 6.2 & 8.2 \\ 16.5 & 22 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

## Semi-Supervised Hebbian Learning / $(\eta, X, T, W_{XY}) \Rightarrow W'_{XY}$

- Combines classical (unsupervised) and operant (supervised) conditioning principles
- Does unsupervised learning on the whole network, then does supervised learning on the final axon conductance vector
- Biologically inspired
- Error-driven reinforcement guided by target output and ignoring current output on final axon conductance vector

Assuming:  $\eta = 0.1$ ,  $X = [2, 3]$ ,  $T = [13, 17]$ ,  $W_{XY} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

- First, perform Unsupervised Hebbian Learning on all axon conductances up to the network's output membrane potential vector.
- Next, perform the Supervised Hebbian Learning on the axon conductance vector connecting the final hidden layer's membrane potential vector and the output membrane potential vector like so:

**Calculate weight delta vector** /  $(\eta, X, T) \Rightarrow \Delta W_{XY}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta W_{XY} &= \eta \times X^T \times T \\ &= 0.1 \times \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} [13, 17] \\ &= 0.1 \times \begin{bmatrix} (2)(13) & (2)(17) \\ (3)(13) & (3)(17) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.6 & 3.4 \\ 3.9 & 5.1 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

**Calculate final weight vector** /  $(W_{XY}, \Delta W_{XY}) \Rightarrow W'_{XY}$

$$\begin{aligned}W'_{XY} &= W_{XY} + \Delta W_{XY} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 & 3.2 \\ 16.5 & 24 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 6.2 & 8.2 \\ 16.5 & 22 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

## Gradient Descent / Theorem

- Used to calculate  $\Delta W_{XY}$  given an error expression

Assuming:  $E'_{wh} = \frac{1}{2}(T - Y)^2$  Widrow-Hoff error with  $\frac{1}{2}(\dots)^2$  for derivative convenience

**Perform Gradient Descent on Widrow-Hoff error /  $E_{wh} \Rightarrow \Delta W_{XY}$**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial E'_{wh}}{\partial Y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} E'_{wh} \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \frac{1}{2} (T - Y)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} (T - Y)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} u^2 \quad \text{where } u = T - Y \text{ and } \frac{d}{dY} (T - Y) = -1 = \frac{du}{dY} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} u^2 \quad \text{where } \frac{d}{dY} = \frac{d}{dY} \frac{du}{du} = \frac{du}{dY} \frac{d}{du} = -\frac{d}{du} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot -2u \\
 &= -u \\
 &= -(T - Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial Y}{\partial W} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial W} Y \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial W} f_{act}(WX^T) \quad \text{Assuming linear activation function where } f_{act}(S_i) = S_i \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial W} WX^T \\
 &= X^T
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta E_{wh} &= \frac{\partial E'_{wh}}{\partial W} \\
 &= \frac{\partial E'_{wh}}{\partial W} \cdot \frac{\partial Y}{\partial W} \\
 &= \frac{\partial E'_{wh}}{\partial Y} \cdot \frac{\partial Y}{\partial W} \\
 &= -(T - Y) \cdot X^T \\
 &= -X^T (T - Y)
 \end{aligned}
 \qquad
 \begin{aligned}
 \Delta W_{wh} &= -\eta \times \Delta E_{wh} \\
 &= -\eta \times -X^T (T - Y) \\
 &= \eta \times X^T \times (T - Y) \\
 &= \eta \times X^T \times E_{wh} \quad \text{Assuming } E_{wh} = T - Y
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, given error signal  $\frac{1}{2}(T - Y)^2$ , we can simply reference the above formula when updating weights during backpropagation assuming linear forward propagation.

For us to compute  $\Delta W_{wh}$  with other activation functions, we would have to recompute  $\frac{\partial Y}{\partial W}$  where  $Y = f_{act}$  is not defined as  $f_{act}(s_i) = S_i$ .

## Widrow-Hoff Learning / $(\eta, X, T, Y, W_{XY}) \Rightarrow W'_{XY}$

- AKA Delta Learning Rule
- Replicates operant conditioning
- Not biologically plausible
- Algorithm for backpropagation

Assuming:  $\eta = 0.1$ ,  $X = [2, 3]$ ,  $T = [13, 17]$ ,  $Y = [11, 16]$ ,  $W_{XY} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

**Calculate error vector /  $(T, Y) \Rightarrow E$**

$$\begin{aligned} E &= T - Y \\ &= [13, 17] - [11, 16] \\ &= [2, 1] \end{aligned}$$

**Calculate weight delta vector /  $(\eta, E, X) \Rightarrow \Delta W_{XY}$**

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta W_{XY} &= \eta \times X^T \times E \\ &= 0.1 \times \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 0.1 \times \begin{bmatrix} (2)(2) & (2)(3) \\ (1)(2) & (1)(3) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Calculate final weight vector /  $(W_{XY}, \Delta W_{XY}) \Rightarrow W'_{XY}$**

$$\begin{aligned} W'_{XY} &= W_{XY} + \Delta W_{XY} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4.4 & 5.6 \\ 0.2 & -1.7 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$