

# Computationally Solving a Partial Differential Equation using a Single Logical Operator

Gabriel Q. Tucker<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Ohio State University, Department of Philosophy

<sup>2</sup>Columbus, OH, United States

February 8, 2024

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper is A) to serve as a concrete demonstration that you can solve a high-order mathematical proof using only binary logic; and B) to serve as a tutorial on how to both represent and compute high-order expressions in low-order languages.

**Keywords:** Second-Order Predicate Logic, Partial Differential Equations, Computational Mathematics

## 1 Introduction

To solve our PDE, we will use only the NAND operator—the Sheffer stroke ( $\uparrow$ ):

A	B	$A \uparrow B$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

Using the Sheffer stroke, we will build to the rules required to perform this proof.

The PDE we will solve is the Navier-Stokes Equation, used to describe the motion of viscous fluid substances,

$$0 = -\frac{dP}{dx} + \mu \frac{d^2u}{dx^2} \quad (1)$$

where  $P$  is the pressure,  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity, and  $u(x)$  is the velocity of the fluid as a function of position  $x$ . For simplicity, assume  $P$  is a constant gradient, so  $\frac{dP}{dx} = C$ , where  $C$  is a constant.

The equation simplifies to:

$$\mu \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = C \quad (2)$$

Integrating both sides with respect to  $x$  gives:

$$\mu \frac{du}{dx} = Cx + K_1 \quad (3)$$

where  $K_1$  is an integration constant. Integrating again with respect to  $x$ :

$$\mu u = \frac{C}{2} x^2 + K_1 x + K_2 \quad (4)$$

where  $K_2$  is another integration constant. Finally, solving for  $u(x)$ :

$$u(x) = \frac{C}{2\mu} x^2 + \frac{K_1}{\mu} x + \frac{K_2}{\mu} \quad (5)$$

This expression for  $u(x)$  represents the velocity profile of the fluid under the given assumptions and conditions. The constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  can be determined based on boundary conditions specific to a problem.

## 2 Justification

The language from which we will begin is second-order predicate logic. This is because the ninth of the Peano axioms (as they are typically expressed) is a second-order axiom, since it involves induction over sets.

From first-order logic, we may assign terms, which are either quantifier-bound variables or constants, to predicates. We are permitted to use the existential and universal quantifiers.

From second-order logic,

## 3 Results

Present the findings of your study. Use figures, tables, and equations to support your results.

## 4 Conclusion

Summarize the main findings and their implications. Discuss the limitations of your study and suggest future research directions.

## Acknowledgements

Acknowledge any support or contributions from individuals or organizations.