Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): **Ponderosa Pine Northern and Central Rockies R0PIPOnr** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Tonja Opperman tsopperman@fs.fed.us Steve Barrett sbarrett@mtdig.net Lynnette Morelean lmorelan@fs.fed.us Cathy Stewart cstewart@fs.fed.us Jane Kapler Smith jsmith09@fs.fed.us General Model Sources **Vegetation Type** Rapid Assessment Model Zones Literature Forested California Pacific Northwest Local Data South Central Great Basin **Dominant Species*** Expert Estimate Great Lakes Southeast PIPO Northeast S. Appalachians **FEID LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest 10 ✓ N-Cent.Rockies 19 22 20 29

Geographic Range

Throughout the northern and central Rocky Mountains in Montana, northern Idaho, and west-central Wyoming. In Idaho, the distribution of this PNVG is limited.

Biophysical Site Description

These stands typically occurred on hot, dry, south and west-facing slopes at lower elevations with well drained soils and gentle to moderately steep slopes.

Vegetation Description

Vegetation is characterized by Pfister et al. (1977) as the ponderosa pine series, and ponderosa pine will often be the only tree species present. However, a frequent fire regime could maintain seral ponderosa pine stands on additional adjacent sites, characterized by Pfister as Douglas-fir or grand fir series. Fischer and Bradley (1987), Fischer and Clayton (1983), and Smith and Fischer (1997) would characterize these as predominantly Fire Groups 2 and 4 for western Montana, Fire Group 3 for eastern Montana and Wyoming, and Fire Group 1 for Northern Idaho.

Frequent fires promoted a grass-dominated understory with sparse shrubs and a ponderosa pine overstory. Douglas-fir and Rocky Mountain juniper may occur as accidental individuals, but overall Douglas-fir cover will be less than 10%. Common snowberry, antelope bitterbrush, and chokecherry are important shrubs, and mountain mahogany may also occur on rocky outcrops. Grasses may include Idaho and rough fescue (Fischer and Bradley 1987). More mesic shrubs may be present if it is a wetter habitat type that historically maintained an open stand via frequent fire.

Disturbance Description

Frequent, non-lethal surface fires were the dominant disturbance factor, occurring every 3 to 30 years (Arno and Petersen 1993, Arno 1976, Fischer and Bradley 1987). Three-year fire return intervals are likely very

localized and associated with Native American burning. More median fire return intervals were likely about 15 years. Mixed-severity fires likely occurred about every 50 years; again, depending on the vegetative state. Stand-replacement fires likely occurred in stands and small patches on the order of a few hundred acres every 300-700 years depending on the vegetative state. Some authors note that little information is available regarding the exact nature of stand replacement fire severity in this PNVG.

Bark beetles will affect areas with denser canopy cover of ponderosa pine (e.g., when basal area exceeds 120 sq. ft.) Western pine beetle can attack large ponderosa pine in any canopy density.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

These sites typically formed the lower timberline in the area and were historically found adjacent to grasslands and shrublands that dominated valley bottoms.

In the 21st century, after missing several fire return intervals, these stands may support an overabundance of stagnant ponderosa pine pole thickets, heavy duff and litter layers, and few grasses or shrubs. Dense pockets of Douglas-fir may also occur on microsites. This PNVG may be found on several different habitat types depending on the local fire regime; FRG I maintained these stands as ponderosa pine, but today they may be supporting a variety of shade-tolerant conifers. If your landscape of interest was maintained by another FRG, use a different PNVG.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R2PIOPO from the Great Basin model zone.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	✓ Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate

Stands dominated by ponderosa pine with frequent fire return intervals commonly exhibit very small patch sizes even though fire events occurred over hundreds or thousands of acres (Agee 1998). Open, late-seral stands typically dominated the landscape with frequent fire, though even-aged stands were uncommon. In Idaho, this type was often found as a narrow band between grassland/shrublands at lower elevations and Douglas-fir types at higher elevations.

Issues/Problems

Fischer and Bradley (1987) show only a single pathway from the dense pole stage characterized by succession without a fire disturbance (Class A to Class B). However, it seems that under a frequent fire regime, these stands would typically bypass Class B and move directly to Class C--unless there is not enough fuel to carry fire at this stage until there is sufficient stand density and leaf litter. 2) Mixed-severity and stand-replacement fire return intervals are not well documented in the literature for this PNVG. Some evidence suggests these fires indeed occurred, but there may be room to improve the assumptions used in this modeling effort. 3) There was some debate in the in-workshop peer review over the probability of mixed fire. Currently the model shows a fire interval of about 70 years for mixed severity fire; some thought it should be more like 50.

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review incorporated on 4/6/2005. The peer-review process resulted in lumping two original ponderosa pine models together-- one for Idaho and one for Montana (these were originally called PPIN1 and PPIN2 during the June 2004 workshop; were later renamed to R0PIPOdy and R0PIPO to adhere to Rapid Assessment naming conventions; and has subsequently been renamed R0PIPOnr to identify the lumped type). To lump the two types, the descriptions were generally combined and in VDDT, the attributes for fire in the R0PIPOdy model were replaced with the attributes for fire from R0PIPO.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Indicator Species* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 5% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 PostRep **FEID** Cover 0% 100 % **Description AGSP** Height no data no data Fire-maintained grass/forb and/or **PIPO** Tree Size Class no data seedlings and saplings. Largest size class would be about 6" Upper laver lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. **Upper Layer Lifeform** diameter trees; no very large or old-Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous growth trees would be present in Shrub patches of 10s to 100s of acres to \Box Tree be counted in this class. Seedlings Fuel Model no data among large or very large trees should be counted in class B or C depending on percent cover. Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class B 5% **Canopy Position** Min Max **PIPO** Mid1 Closed Cover 40% 100% **FEID Description** Height no data no data **AGSP** Closed PIPO pole stand; may have Tree Size Class no data **PSME** Douglas-fir as accidentals. Larger, old-growth trees may be present in **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper laver lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. this class, but the regeneration in Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: the 4-18" diameter size class Shrub occurring between these large trees Tree is what should be counted for this Fuel Model no data class. May see large dead snags as poles compete on the site. Stagnant pole stands are counted here; may see insect/disease here. Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 20% **Canopy Position** Min Max **PIPO** Mid1 Open Cover 0% 40 % **FEID Description** Height no data no data **AGSP** Open PIPO pole stand that may Tree Size Class no data have Douglas-fir as accidentals. Larger, old-growth trees may be Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. present in this class, but the 4-18" Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous diameter regeneration between \square Shrub these trees is what should be Tree counted for this class. These Fuel Model no data patches have probably had recent fire or are drier in order to retain

the more open condition.

Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 60% Canopy Position Min Max **PIPO** Late1 Open Cover 0% 30% **FEID Description** Height no data no data **AGSP** Classic fire-maintained open, park-Tree Size Class no data **SYAL** like PIPO; nearly any fire maintains; Douglas-fir may be seen **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. as accidentals or in patches, but not Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Shrub a major component of the overstory. Understory is □Tree dominated by grasses and is Fuel Model no data relatively open. Seedlings are very infrequent, with less than 10% cover. Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 10% **Canopy Position** Min Мах Late1 Closed **PIPO** 30% 100% Cover **Description PSME** Height no data no data Crowded, decadent, two or multi-Tree Size Class no data story PIPO stand; may see Douglasfir on microsites. Thickets of pole **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. size trees, large trees, and old-Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous growth may be interspersed with Shrub large snags. Tree Fuel Model no data **Disturbances** Fire Regime Group: Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity ✓ Insects/Disease II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Other: Other: Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Historical Fire Size (acres) fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is Avg: the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Min: Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Max: estimates and not precise. Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Avg FI Min FI Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 100 1000 300 0.00333 4 Mixed 19 60 50 200 0.01667 **✓** Literature Surface **✓** Local Data 15 3 30 0.06667 77

✓ Expert Estimate

All Fires

12

0.08667

References

Agee, J.K. 1988. The landscape ecology of western forest fire regimes. Northwest Science, Vol. 72, Special Issue: p24-34.

Arno, S.F. 1980. Forest Fire History in the Northern Rockies. Journal of Forestry 78:8, 460-465.

Arno, S.A. and T.D. Petersen. 1983. Variation in Estimates of fire intervals: a closer look at fire history on the Bitterroot National Forest. Res. Pap. INT-301, Ogden, UT: USDA, Forset Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, 8p.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1984. Fire history of the River of No Return Wilderness: River Breaks Zone. Final Report. Missoula, MT: Systems for Environmental Management. 40 p + appendices.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1993. Fire regimes on the Clearwater and Nez Perce National Forests north-central Idaho. Final Report: Order No. 43-0276-3-0112. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. 21 p. Unpublished report on file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory, Missoula, MT.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Fischer, W.C. and B.D. Clayton. 1983. Fire ecology of Montana forest habitat types east of the Continental Divide. GTR-INT-141.Ogden UT: USDA, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, 83p.

Fisher, W.C. and A.F. Bradley. 1987. Fire Ecology of Western Montana Forest Habitat Types. General Technical Report INT-223. Ogden UT: USDA, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, 95p.

Habeck, J.R. and R.W. Mutch. 1973. Fire-dependent forest in the northern Rocky Mountains. Quarternary Research 3, 408-424.

Morgan, P. and Parsons, R. 2001, Historical range of variability of forests of the Idaho Southern Batholith Ecosystem. Univerity of Idaho. Unpublished.

Pfister, R.D., B.L. Kovalchik, S.F. Arno, and R.C. Presby. 1977. Forest Habitat Types of Montana. GTR-INT-34. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden UT, 174p.

Smith, Jane Kapler; Fischer, William C. 1997. Fire ecology of the forest habitat types of northern Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-363. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 142 p.