Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

	Potential Natural Vege	ation Group (PNVG)	:
R1MCONss	Mixed Conifer - Sou		
	General Int	ormation	
Contributors (addition Modelers Joe Sherlock Neil Sugihara	jsherlock@fs.fed.us nsugihara@fs.fed.us	Evolution and Comments") Reviewers 2 anonymous reviewers	
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Asses	ssment Model Zones
Forested Dominant Species* ABCO PIPO PILA PSME Geographic Range	✓ Literature	□N-Cent.Ro	South Central Southeast S. Appalachians Plains Southwest
range, to the Klama conifer in southern Biophysical Site De South and west-fac	ath-Siskiyou region. May include inte Oregon, and may be extremely similar escription ing aspects, throughout the geographic 00 feet elevation in the north. Upper of	rior coast ranges. Type inteur to it. c range. Generally above 5	ergrades with mixed ,000 at the southern
Douglas-fir, white components. Giant	ests are typically composed of 3 or mo fir, and incense cedar. California blac sequoia forests are included within the ense cedar may compose a larger prop	k oak, or other hardwood s is PNVG. Douglas-fir drop	pecies, are also common os out south of Yosemite
overall mean FRI 8	at an average generally between 5-10 s-10 years (Taylor and Skinner 2003, ecurs every 7-10 years. Snow breakag	Taylor and Skinner 1998)	Insect/pathogen drought-
	tification Concerns ne low elevation hardwood forests to	he red fir forests of the upr	per elevations.
Scale Description	Sources of Scale osaic, driven by variations of surface	Data ✓ Literature _ Local	Data Expert Estimate

Also includes coarser texture, at the 100's to 1,000's of acres scale, that are less frequent.

Issues/Problems

It is difficult to generalize across the latitudinal range of MCON - there is a considerable variation in the frequency of fire by fire type as you go from north to south. These differences will be better reflected in LF models by mapping zone.

Model Evolution and Comments

Very little data on reference % of PNVG by state. JoAnn Fites and Richard Minnich provided comments after the models entered final Q/C - they suggested that A/B/C/D/E should be 5/10/15/35/35. Shlisky adjusted model to reflect a compromise of A/B/C/D/E/ of 5/5/15/55/20 given the Sherlock/Sugihara fire frequencies. We will develop this hypothesis further for LF modeling by mapping zone.

Succession classes are the equivalent c	Succession (f "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as de			ook (www.frcc.gov).
Class A 5% Early1 PostRep Description Early succession, after localized mortality, or mixed severity fire, comprised of grass, shrubs, and tree seedlings to saplings.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ABCO PIPO PILA PSME Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size	Min 0 % no data Class no data yer lifeform differs fro nd cover of dominant	Max 80 % no data m dominant lifeform.
Class B 5% Mid1 Closed Description Pole to medium sized conifers with canopy cover greater than 40%.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ABCO PIPO PSME PILA Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size	Min 40 % no data Class no data yer lifeform differs fro nd cover of dominant	Max 70 % no data m dominant lifeform.
Class C 15% Mid1 Open Description Pole to medium sized conifers with canopy cover less than 40%.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ABCO PIPO PILA PS	Structure Cover Height Tree Size (Data (for upper layer Min 0 % no data Class no data	Max 39 % no data

	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data				om dominant li l lifeform are:	ifeform.	
Class D 55%	Indicator Species* and	Structure	e Data (f	or upper lave	er lifeform)		
	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Ma				Max	
Late1 Open	ABCO	Cover 0%				39 %	
<u>Description</u>	PIPO	Height	1	no data	nc	data	
Overstory of large and very large	PILA	Tree Size Class no data				no data	
trees with canopy cover less than	PSME						
40%. Occurring in small to moderately-sized patches on southerly aspects and ridgetops. Multi-aged.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data				om dominant li t lifeform are:	ifeform.	
Class E 20%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (f	or upper lay		Mov	
Late1 Closed	ABCO PIPO	Cover		Min 40 %		<i>Max</i> 70 %	
<u>Description</u>		Cover Height		no data		data	
Overstory of large and very large	PILA	Tree Size		no data	lic	uata	
trees with canopy cover greater	PSME	1166 3126	Class	no data			
than 40%. Occurring in small to moderately-sized patches on north aspects and lower slope positions. Understory characterized by medium and smaller-sized shade-tolerant conifers	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
	Disturba	nces					
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Group:	1					
✓ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	I: 0-35 year frequer II: 0-35 year freque III: 35-200 year fred IV: 35-200 year fred V: 200+ year freque	ncy, replace quency, low quency, rep	ement se and mixe lacement	verity ed severity t severity			
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is express fire combined (All Fires and maximum show th the inverse of fire inter Percent of all fires is th estimates and not prec	s). Average e relative ra val in years ne percent c	FI is the ange of fin and is us	e central tende re intervals, if sed in referer	ency modeled known. Prob ace condition r	. Minimum ability is nodeling.	

		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	200			0.005	4
✓ Literature	Mixed	50			0.02	16
☐Local Data	Surface	10			0.1	80
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	8			0.125	

References

Beaty R. M. and A. H. Taylor. Spatial and temporal variation of fire regimes in a mixed conifer forest landscape, Southern Cascades, California, USA Department of Geography, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA. Journal of Biogeography 28: 955±966

Bekker, M. F. and A. H. Taylor. 2001. Gradient Analysis of Fire Regimes in Montane Forests of the Southern Cascade Range, Thousand Lakes Wilderness, California, USA. Plant Ecology 155: 15–28.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Caprio, A.C. and T.W.Swetnam. 1995. Historic fire regimes along an elevational gradient on the west slope of the Sierra Nevada, California. In: Brown, James K.; Mutch, Robert W.; Spoon, Charles W.; Wakimoto, Ronald H., tech. Coord. 1995. Proceedings: Symposium on Fire in Wilderness and Park Management: Past Lessons and Future Opportunities, March 30-April 1, 1993. Missoula, MT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-320. Ogden, UT; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.

Evan J. Frost and Rob Sweeney. 2000. Fire Regimes, Fire History and Forest Conditions in the Klamath-Siskiyou Region: An Overview and Synthesis of Knowledge. Wildwood Environmental Consulting. Prepared for the World Wildlife Fund, Klamath-Siskiyou Ecoregion Program, Ashland, OR. December, 2000

Kilgore, B. M. And D. Taylor. 1979. Fire history of a sequoia-mixed conifer forest. Ecology, 60(1), 1979, pp. 129 – 142 1979

McKelvey, K.S. and seven other authors. 1996. An Overview of Fire. In: the Sierra Nevada Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress, vol. II, Assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, 1996.

Skinner, C.N. and C. Chang. 1996. Fire Regimes, Past and Present. In: the Sierra Nevada Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress, vol. II, Assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, 1996.

Taylor, A. H. and C. N. Skinner. 1998. Fire history and landscape dynamics in a late-successional reserve, Klamath Mountains, California, USA Forest Ecology and Management 111: 285-301

Taylor, A.H. and C.N. Skinner. 2003. Spatial patterns and controls on historical fire regimes and forest structure in the Klamath Mountains. Ecological Applications 13:704-719.

Taylor, A. H. (date?). Fire regimes and forest changes in mid and upper montane forests of the southern

Cascades, Lassen Volcanic National Park, California, U.S.A. Journal of Biogeography 27: 87–104
list not complete