Anexo 1. Resultados de pruebas de heterocedasticidad, multicolinealidad y especificación del modelo para la ecuación 1 – condiciones del clima de inversión según características de la empresa – ES 2010 y ES 2016

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI - modelo A: (Sh) WK financiado externamente - ES 2010

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of final_wk_finext

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 294) = 1.45Prob > F = 0.2282

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(46) = 53.67Prob > chi2 = 0.2038

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	chi2	df	p
Heteroskedasticity	53.670	46	0.204
Skewness	10.610	11	0.477
Kurtosis	2.530	1	0.112
Total	66.810	58	0.200

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	2.651	.377
3.firm size final	2.925	.342
4.firm size final	2.521	.397
2.firm age1	7.55	.132
3.firm age1	7.591	.132
1.foreign	1.217	.821
1.government	1.017	.984
1.exporter	1.218	.821
1.small city	1.088	.919
1.size change2	1.511	.662
2.size change2	1.418	.705
Mean VIF	2.792	

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI – modelo A: (Sh) WK financiado externamente – ES 2016

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of final_wk_finext

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F (3, 295) = 1.35Prob > F = 0.2598

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(42) = 35.36

Prob > chi2 = 0.7556 Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	chi2	df	р	
Heteroskedasticity	35.360	42	0.756	
Skewness	12.570	10	0.248	
Kurtosis	1.940	1	0.164	
Total	49.880	53	0.597	

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	4.723	.212
3.firm size final	5.083	.197
4.firm size final	3.3	.303
1.firm age1	1.072	.933
2.firm age1	1.034	.967
1.foreign	1.164	.859
1.exporter	1.117	.895
1.small city	1.023	.977
1.size change2	1.263	.792
2.size change2	1.241	.806
Mean VIF	2.102	

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI - modelo A: (Sh) INV financiado externamente - ES 2010

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of final_wk_invest

Ho: model has no omitted variables

$$F(3, 302) = 1.02$$

Prob > F = 0.3828

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(47) = 44.47Prob > chi2 = 0.5781

Source	chi2	df	р
Heteroskedasticity	44.470	47	0.578
Skewness	19.200	11	0.058
Kurtosis	2.190	1	0.139
Total	65.850	59	0.252

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	2.407	.415
3.firm size final	2.626	.381
4.firm size final	2.369	.422
2.firm age1	7.709	.13
3.firm age1	7.713	.13
1.foreign	1.195	.837

1.government	1.017	.984
1.exporter	1.248	.801
1.small city	1.089	.918
1.size change2	1.485	.673
2.size change2	1.423	.703
Mean VIF	2.753	

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI - modelo A: - (log) % Tiempo gerente con oficiales - ES 2016

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of log_final_reg_meanj2

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 292) = 1.22Prob > F = 0.3022

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(42) = 31.56Prob > chi2 = 0.8801

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	chi2	df	р
Heteroskedasticity	31.560	42	0.880
Skewness	9.280	10	0.506
Kurtosis	4.120	1	0.042
Total	44.960	53	0.776

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	4.942	.202
3.firm size final	5.291	.189
4.firm size final	3.423	.292
1.firm age1	1.075	.93
2.firm age1	1.036	.966
1.foreign	1.165	.858
1.exporter	1.125	.889
1.small city	1.024	.977
1.size change2	1.259	.794
2.size change2	1.239	.807
Mean VIF	2.158	•

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI - modelo A: (log) Días de inspección - ES 2010

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of log_final_reg_meanj4

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 281) = 0.90Prob > F = 0.4406

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(45) = 48.22

Prob > chi2 = 0.3440 Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	chi2	df	р	
Heteroskedasticity	48.220	45	0.344	
Skewness	8.270	11	0.689	
Kurtosis	8.860	1	0.003	
Total	65.350	57	0.209	

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	3.205	.312
3.firm size final	3.56	.281
4.firm size final	2.943	.34
2.firm age1	7.298	.137
3.firm age1	7.349	.136
1.foreign	1.225	.816
1.government	1.017	.983
1.exporter	1.257	.796
1.small city	1.076	.929
1.size change2	1.515	.66
2.size change2	1.434	.697
Mean VIF	2.898	

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI – modelo A: Monto de pagos informales (% del valor del contrato) – ES 2010

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of log_final_corru_meanj6

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 91) = 1.15Prob > F = 0.3329

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(31) = 12.91Prob > chi2 = 0.9983

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	chi2	df	р
Heteroskedasticity	12.910	31	0.998
Skewness	5.810	10	0.831
Kurtosis	1.050	1	0.304
Total	19.780	42	0.999

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI – modelo A: Monto de pagos informales (% de ventas anuales) – ES 2010

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of log_final_corru_meanj7a

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 156) = 0.32Prob > F = 0.8117

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(34) = 47.11Prob > chi2 = 0.0668

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	chi2	df	р	
Heteroskedasticity	47.110	34	0.067	
Skewness	30.140	10	0.001	
Kurtosis	1.900	1	0.168	
Total	79.150	45	0.001	

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	6.372	.157
3.firm size final	6.607	.151
4.firm size final	2.936	.341
1.firm age1	1.026	.974
2.firm age1	1.047	.955
1.foreign	1.066	.938
1.exporter	1.05	.953
1.small city	1.03	.971
1.size change2	1.292	.774
2.size change2	1.269	.788
Mean VIF	2.37	

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI – modelo A: (log) Perdidas por cortes eléctricos (% de ventas) – ES 2010

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of log_final_inf_meanc9a

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3, 275) = 0.42Prob > F = 0.7411

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(45) = 46.65Prob > chi2 = 0.4042

Source	chi2	df	р	
Heteroskedasticity	46.650	45	0.404	
Skewness	19.560	11	0.052	
Kurtosis	2.490	1	0.115	
Total	68.700	57	0.138	

VIF	1/VIF

2.firm size final	2.826	.354
3.firm size final	3.11	.322
4.firm size final	2.521	.397
2.firm age1	7.79	.128
3.firm age1	7.816	.128
1.foreign	1.186	.843
1.government	1.018	.983
1.exporter	1.193	.839
1.small city	1.081	.925
1.size change2	1.506	.664
2.size change2	1.423	.703
Mean VIF	2.861	

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI - modelo A: (log) Perdidas en tránsito (% de ventas) - ES 2010

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of log_final_inf_meand11

Ho: model has no omitted variables

F(3,77) = 2.02Prob > F = 0.1188

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(33) = 31.81Prob > chi2 = 0.5261

Source	chi2	df	р	
Heteroskedasticity	31.810	33	0.526	
Skewness	31.570	10	0.001	
Kurtosis	10.100	1	0.002	
Total	73.480	44	0.004	

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	6.384	.157
3.firm size final	6.242	.16
4.firm size final	5.559	.18
2.firm age1	8.369	.119
3.firm age1	8.343	.12
1.foreign	1.302	.768
1.exporter	1.741	.574
1.small city	1.201	.833
1.size change2	1.511	.662
2.size change2	1.476	.677
Mean VIF	4.213	

Ecuación 1. Variable dependiente del CI - modelo A: (log) Perdidas en tránsito (% de ventas) - ES 2016

Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of log_final_inf_meand11

Ho: model has no omitted variables

$$F(3, 187) = 1.06$$

Prob > F = 0.3662

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity

against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(32) = 31.69 Prob > chi2 = 0.4820

Source	chi2	df	р	
Heteroskedasticity	31.690	32	0.482	
Skewness	9.260	10	0.507	
Kurtosis	0.460	1	0.499	
Total	41.420	43	0.540	

	VIF	1/VIF
2.firm size final	25.803	.039
3.firm size final	24.836	.04
4.firm size final	12.104	.083
1.firm age1	1.057	.946
2.firm age1	1.07	.935
1.foreign	1.127	.887
1.exporter	1.077	.929
1.small city	1.023	.978
1.size change2	1.291	.775
2.size change2	1.246	.802
Mean VIF	7.063	