Possible issues which can arise in the system

Overall the system is quite balanced and well assessed, but let's try to build different scenarios to test the sustainability of the economics created below the project.

1. Players and Creators do not grow.

Imagine the simplest system with, let's say, 4 players and one creator.

- Creator -- > 1 SAND
- o Players -- > 1 SAND each

Now let's suppose only Games exists in this system (so that we will simplify the currency exchange in the process): the Creator spend his SAND to create a game, which cost to Players 1 SAND subscription fee.

All the players participate and only one will win.

Since all the Players participate the pool of liquidity here is composed of 4 SANDs, and the Creator will receive a percentage of this pool, let's say 25%.

- Player (winner) -- > 3 SAND
- Creator -- > 1 SAND
- Player 2,3,4 (losers) -- > out of the system (assuming they are not willing to exchange fiat currency for SANDs for different reasons)

Now, assume for simplicity that no other games are created, the only game left in the system cost 1 SAND and provide, in this case, a reward of 0.5 to the creator and 1.5 to the player. In this scenario the system obviously collapses. Indeed, the Creator can decide if spend his tokens to try to win, entering a cycle in which he can lose everything, or not play at all, causing the circulation of tokens to stop and thus the project to collapse.

2. If only Players grow in number.

Since Players are growing, we can also assume a growing demand for Games, making them more expensive if the supply does not react (and assuming that Creators does not grow, the supply here will grow less than the demand). Since Players will pay more for playing Games, sooner the net returns will diminish, making player less willing to participate. Thus, players will become Creators, because attracted from the high reward, but since players growth in rate and creators do not, this scheme will end up only if Players will not become Creators. In this extreme situation Players will be disincentivized to play for the high fees that will dimmish the winning prize more and more. However, it's more likely that a growing number of layers will be attracted by the high revenue that will be recognized to creators, thus they will be reallocated between Players and Creators, making also creators growing

3. If only Creators grow.

Here we will be in a system in which Creators produce a lot of Games that nobody will play. Thus, here the cost of playing will be very low, but as this also the prizes, and the fee recognized to Creators due to the competitive forces in this role. This system will not go on with high probability. In fact, here being a player does not have incentives, so Creators will not become Players rebalancing the system.

Summing up we can have a system in which Player growth constantly, and due to the incentive offered by being a Creator they will probably become Creators. Note that in reality there are frictions to the shift in role: being a Creator is not easy as being a Player, so that it will take time for a Player to specialize and

become a Creator. Thus, this could cause slowdowns in the system, causing a moment in which there are a lot of Players and a few Creators, so low incentives to play as rapidly analysed before.

In the other hands, a lot of Creators could cause problems, creating too many contents that will become less profitable due to higher supply, and moreover they will not be incentivized to become Players. This consideration seems to be empirically true for two facts:

- To become a Creator you will need an approval, suggesting that The SandBox team does not want too many of them.
- Recently, the company has closed the possibility to applicate as a Creator, due to the high numbers of creators¹. Maybe they noticed a decreasing number of Players, making them scary about the future of the system?

 $^{^{1}\,} Medium\, article:\, \underline{https://medium.com/sandbox-game/user-generated-content-in-the-sandbox-metaverse-and-the-future-of-the-creator-fund-6d7b9c50ba5f}$