*Article*

# Spanish Fake News Detection with Fine-Tuned DistilBERT Built upon a Unified Corpus for a Real-World Application

Gabriel Hurtado Avilés [1] , José A. Reyes-Ortiz [1*] , Román A. Mora-Gutiérrez [1] and Josué Padilla Cuevas [1]

[1] Department of Systems, Autonomous Metropolitan University (UAM), Azcapotzalco Unit, Mexico City 02200, Mexico; al2232800343@azc.uam.mx (G.H.A.); jaro@azc.uam.mx (J.A.R.-O.); mgra@azc.uam.mx (R.A.M.-G.); jpc@azc.uam.mx (J.P.C.)

[*] Correspondence: jaro@azc.uam.mx

## Abstract

The digital ecosystem of the Spanish-speaking world is increasingly compromised by disinformation, threatening not only information integrity but also the cultural and democratic health of its societies. Addressing this challenge requires more than theoretical models; it demands practical tools adapted to the specific linguistic and cultural nuances of the region. This paper introduces a comprehensive framework designed to bridge the gap between research models and practical applications. First, we developed a unified Spanish news corpus of 61,674 articles by integrating four academic datasets with web-scraped satirical content, achieving a highly balanced distribution (49.8% fake). This resource is one of the largest available for Spanish misinformation research. Second, through a systematic fine-tuning process involving over 500 Graphics Processing Unit (GPU) hours, we optimized a Distilled Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (DistilBERT) model. Our findings reveal that a rigorous regularization strategy—combining an ultra-low learning rate ($5 \times 10^{-6}$), high dropout (0.7), and strong L2 regularization (0.5)—was crucial to control overfitting, achieving 95.36% accuracy while maintaining a generalization gap below 0.058. Finally, the optimized model was deployed in a Docker-containerized web application for real-time Uniform Resource Locator (URL) analysis, demonstrating its viability as a tool to empower users in detecting online misinformation. Our approach demonstrates a 23.33 percentage point improvement over classical metaheuristic-optimized methods, confirming the superiority of fine-tuned transformers for this task. The complete framework is publicly available.

**Keywords:** fake news detection; Spanish NLP; BERT; DistilBERT; fine-tuning; hyperparameter optimization; transformer models; LLM; NLP benchmark; metaheuristic algorithms; real-world deployment; digital fraud prevention

## 1. Introduction

The proliferation of digital misinformation represents a critical threat to information integrity [1]. In recent years, the rapid expansion of the internet has transformed how millions of people consume information, with social media and digital platforms becoming primary news sources. However, this growth has been accompanied by a surge in deceptive content, ranging from politically motivated fake news to fraudulent schemes such as phishing sites mimicking popular e-commerce platforms and investment scams. This phenomenon disproportionately affects vulnerable demographics, particularly older adults and individuals with lower digital literacy, who are often targeted by these sophisticated digital frauds. Globally, the scientific community has responded to this threat with a

plethora of detection strategies, evolving from feature-based machine learning models [2] to sophisticated deep learning architectures. Early approaches relied heavily on manual feature engineering using Support Vector Machines (SVM) or Naïve Bayes. However, the paradigm has shifted towards automated feature extraction using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) [3]. Recently, the advent of Transformer architectures, such as BERT, has established new state-of-the-art benchmarks by capturing bidirectional contextual dependencies [4].

This evolution is not limited to English. In the French linguistic domain, researchers have moved towards localized transformer models (e.g., CamemBERT) to capture specific syntactic nuances, while studies in Asian languages like Chinese and Japanese have explored cross-lingual transfer learning to mitigate data scarcity [5]. A common technique across these diverse languages is the reliance on translated English datasets or small, domain-specific corpora, which often fails to capture the cultural subtleties of local misinformation.

Despite these global advancements, the Spanish-speaking world—with over 500 million native speakers—remains significantly underserved. While models like FakeBERT [4] achieve high accuracy in English, and localized efforts exist for French or Chinese, the direct application of these methods to Spanish is hindered by the lack of comparable, large-scale training resources. Consequently, Spanish research often relies on smaller, fragmented datasets [6], creating a technological gap defined by three interconnected challenges: (1) the scarcity of large-scale, balanced datasets for training robust models; (2) insufficient research on systematic hyperparameter optimization for Spanish transformer models; and (3) a significant disconnection between academic research and the cultural tools available to the public.

From a cultural perspective, the development of a real-world application serves a broader objective than mere technical demonstration. In a digital landscape where misinformation erodes trust in public institutions and fragments social cohesion [1], technical accuracy alone is insufficient. There is an urgent need for accessible tools that can be integrated into the daily digital routine of citizens, serving as cultural safeguards that reinforce critical thinking and digital literacy. Therefore, the primary motivation for optimizing this model extends beyond achieving a high F1-score; the goal is to enable a production-ready system capable of operating effectively in the real world, providing a tangible defense mechanism for the Spanish-speaking community.

This research addresses these challenges through an end-to-end framework. We follow a progressive research design, first establishing a robust performance baseline with classical Natural Language Processing (NLP) methods—specifically Bag-of-Words (BoW) and Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) representations—optimized via five distinct metaheuristic algorithms. Subsequently, we detail the transition to a fine-tuned Transformer model to empirically demonstrate the significant leap in efficacy that modern architectures provide.

The main contributions of this work are structured to support this cultural and technical objective:

- **A Unified Spanish Corpus:** We created and standardized a corpus of 61,674 news articles by integrating four academic datasets [6–9] and enhancing it with web-scraped satirical content. This process resulted in one of the largest and most balanced (49.8% fake, 50.2% real) resources for this task.
- **A Systematic Hyperparameter Optimization Methodology:** Through over 500 GPU hours of experimentation, we identified an aggressive regularization strategy for fine-tuning DistilBERT (Distilled Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Trans-

formers) that achieves state-of-the-art performance (95.36% accuracy) while effectively controlling overfitting, a common challenge in Large Language Models (LLMs).

- **A Production-Ready Web Application:** We developed a Docker-containerized web application that performs real-time URL analysis, bridging the gap between academic research and practical, real-world tools for combating online misinformation.

The complete framework, including the corpus, the optimized model, and the application, is made publicly available to encourage reproducibility and further research.

## 2. Evolution of Fake News Detection Paradigms

The scholarly approach to fake news detection has evolved through several distinct paradigms, from classical machine learning to modern deep learning architectures. To properly situate our contributions, we first examine the state of available data resources for Spanish, then analyze the parallel advancements in model optimization, and finally, address the persistent gap between research and real-world application. Table 1 provides a summary of these key paradigms, including the shift towards deep learning architectures like Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT).

**Table 1.** Comparison of Methodological Paradigms in Fake News Detection.

| Paradigm | Core Principle | Typical Features/Models | Strengths | Limitations |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Stylometric Analysis** [6,10] | Analyze writing style to identify authorship and deception patterns. | Linguistic features (e.g., lexical diversity, sentence complexity), SVMs. | Identifies language-agnostic patterns, useful for authorship attribution. | Less effective on short texts; can be fooled by sophisticated writing. |
| **Classical Machine Learning** [11,12] | Use statistical features from text to classify content. | Bag-of-Words, TF-IDF, Naive Bayes, SVM, Logistic Regression. | Highly interpretable, computationally efficient, strong baseline. | Fails to capture semantic meaning, word order, and context. |
| **Deep Learning (Transformers)** [8,13,14] | Leverage deep contextual embeddings to understand semantic nuances. | BERT, RoBERTa, DistilBERT with fine-tuning. | State-of-the-art performance, understands context and semantics. | Computationally expensive, often a "black box", requires large datasets. |
| **Metaheuristic Optimization** [2,15,16] | Employ intelligent search algorithms to find optimal model parameters. | GA, PSO, SA used to tune classifiers or select features. | Finds superior hyperparameter configurations compared to manual or grid search. | Can be computationally intensive; improves the model but not the underlying feature representation. |

### 2.1. Spanish Language Resources for Fake News Detection

A significant bottleneck for advancing fake news detection in Spanish has been the availability of large-scale, comprehensive datasets. Several research groups have created Spanish fake news datasets [6–8], but each used different annotation schemes and focused on different content types. These datasets cannot easily be combined for training because they use incompatible formats. We address this problem by standardizing and merging four existing datasets into a single large corpus.

### 2.2. Hyperparameter Optimization in Transformers and Metaheuristics

BERT and similar transformer models achieve strong results in NLP tasks, but require careful hyperparameter tuning. Most Spanish fake news studies have compared different pretrained models (BETO, multilingual BERT, etc.) without systematically optimizing the hyperparameters for any single model [13]. DistilBERT, for example, presents an attractive trade-off between performance and computational cost, yet a detailed exploration of its optimal configuration for this specific task has been largely overlooked. The critical role of hyperparameter calibration is not a new problem; it has been well-documented in other specialized NLP tasks for Spanish, such as in the medical domain [16].

Concurrently, classical machine learning models have been pushed to their limits through the use of metaheuristic algorithms. For instance, approaches using genetic algorithms or particle swarm optimization have been explored to fine-tune classifiers. While foundational studies have established baselines using classical representations on fake news data [2], a direct and rigorous comparison between a systematically optimized Transformer

and a suite of metaheuristic-optimized classical models on a large-scale Spanish corpus has been notably absent from the literature. This paper aims to fill that gap by providing not only this direct comparison but also a detailed methodology for Transformer regularization.

### 2.3. Deployment of NLP Models

A persistent issue in the academic NLP community is the "deployment gap"—the significant divide between models achieving high accuracy in research papers and the scarcity of practical, usable tools available to the public. While numerous studies have been published on fake news detection, a very small fraction of these result in production-ready, easily deployable applications. This gap severely limits the real-world impact of valuable research. Most fake news detection research stops at reporting test set performance. Very few studies produce working applications that people can actually use. We built a web application that analyzes URLs in real-time, demonstrating how research models can be deployed for practical use.

**Table 2.** Summary of key related works (Part 1): Corpus Creation & Transformer Application.

| Author(s) [Ref.] | Contribution | Key Finding / Performance | Limitation Addressed by Our Work |
|---|---|---|---|
| Posadas-Durán et al. [6] | Created a pioneering Spanish corpus (971 articles) with a stylometric focus. | Stylometric features are useful for detection tasks, providing competitive results in IberLEF competitions with F1-scores around 0.85. | Small, isolated dataset. Our work **unifies** it with others to create a large-scale resource. |
| Kaliyar et al. [4] | Proposed FakeBERT, a deep learning model combining BERT with CNN layers. | Demonstrated that integrating BERT embeddings with convolutional networks achieves state-of-the-art accuracy (98.9%) on English datasets. | English-focused. Our work adapts and **optimizes** transformers specifically for the **Spanish language**. |
| Blanco-Fernández et al. [8] | Applied BERT/RoBERTa to a large, politically-focused dataset (57k articles). | Transformers achieve 90-98% accuracy on Spanish political fake news detection tasks with RoBERTa showing superior performance. | Domain-specific. Our work uses a **multi-domain corpus** and performs **systematic optimization**. |
| Martínez-Gallego et al. [13] | Explored different BERT variants (including BETO) for Spanish fake news detection. | Spanish-specific models perform well for this classification task, with BETO achieving 94% accuracy on balanced datasets. | Lack of systematic optimization or a deployed application. Our work provides the **optimization methodology** and the **final application**. |

**Table 3.** Summary of key related works (Part 2): Metaheuristic and Classical Approaches.

| Author(s) [Ref.] | Contribution | Key Finding / Performance | Limitation Addressed by Our Work |
|---|---|---|---|
| Yildirim [15] | Hybrid multi-thread metaheuristic approach for fake news detection. | Novel metaheuristic combinations show promise for optimization tasks in NLP applications, achieving 89% accuracy on English datasets. | English-focused, limited systematic comparison. Our work provides **comprehensive metaheuristic comparison** in Spanish. |
| Thota et al. [11] | Early deep learning approach using traditional neural networks for fake news detection. | Deep learning outperforms classical ML approaches for text classification tasks, showing 15-20% improvement over SVM baselines. | Pre-transformer era, English only. Our work uses **state-of-the-art transformers** for Spanish. |
| García-Lozano et al. [12] | Compared classical ML and Deep Learning models for Spanish fake news detection. | Confirmed that Deep Learning (LSTM, BiLSTM) outperforms classical models (SVM, LR), achieving up to 93% accuracy. | Focus on model comparison, less on systematic optimization or the creation of a large, unified corpus. Our work provides both. |

## 3. Materials and Methods

The methodology of this research is structured as a unified pipeline designed to address the scarcity of resources for Spanish fake news detection and bridge the gap between theoretical models and practical application. As illustrated in Figure 1, the experimental design follows a three-stage process: (1) the construction of a unified and balanced corpus, (2) the systematic optimization of classification models comparing classical and deep learning paradigms, and (3) the deployment of the optimal solution as a functional web application.

**Figure 1.** Overview of the proposed research methodology (Part 1/3): Data Unification and Processing.

*3.1. Data Acquisition and Processing*

Creating a comprehensive dataset was the primary challenge addressed in this study. Spanish fake news resources are typically scattered and limited in scope. To overcome this, we constructed a unified corpus by aggregating four publicly available academic datasets: the Spanish Fake News Corpus (971 articles) [6], the Acosta Dataset (598 articles) [7], the Tretiakov Dataset (2,000 articles) [9], and the Spanish Political Dataset (57,231 articles) [8]. This initial aggregation yielded a total of **60,401** articles. Table 4 details the characteristics of each source.

**Table 4.** Exhaustive comparison of the characteristics of the corpora used in the construction of the unified dataset.

| Comparative Aspect | Posadas-Durán | Acosta (UPM) | Tretiakov | Blanco-Fernández | El Deforma |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Corpus Size | 971 articles | 598 articles | 1,958 articles | 57,231 articles | 9,000 articles |
| Creation Year | 2019-2021 | 2019 | 2022 | 2024 | 2025 (extraction) |
| Methodological Focus | Stylometric Analysis | Manual Verification | Traditional ML | Transformer Models | Satirical Content |
| Thematic Domain | General | General | General | Political | Satirical/ General |
| Class Distribution | Balanced | Balanced | Balanced | Balanced | Fake Only |
| Regional Variability | Spain/LatAm | International | Multiple | Spain | Mexico |
| Annotation Quality | High (multi-annotator) | High (manual) | Medium (source-based) | High (specialized) | Automatic (inherently fake) |
| Contribution to Final Corpus | 1.6% | 1.0% | 3.2% | 92.8% | 14.6% (added) |

To ensure data quality, a rigorous cleaning pipeline was implemented. Using content hashing on the 'text' field, we identified and removed **7,712** duplicate entries, resulting in **52,689** unique articles. This step is crucial to prevent data leakage between training and testing sets.

Following deduplication, an analysis of the class distribution revealed a significant imbalance: 30,943 real news articles (58.7%) versus 21,746 fake news articles (41.3%). Such skewness predisposes machine learning models to favor the majority class, thereby reducing sensitivity to deceptive content. Rectifying this imbalance presented a challenge, as the repository of academic Spanish fake news datasets was exhausted by the sources already included. Rather than resorting to synthetic data generation techniques, which often fail to capture the linguistic complexity of human-written disinformation, we opted for a targeted acquisition of satirical content. We developed a scraper for "El Deforma," a leading Mexican satirical news site, collecting **9,000** articles. The inclusion of satire is methodologically grounded; while not maliciously deceptive, satirical texts share key rhetorical devices with

fake news—such as absurdity, exaggeration, and logical inconsistencies—making them an
excellent proxy for training robust detection models [17]. These articles were labeled as
FAKE, effectively balancing the corpus to **61,674** total articles (49.8% fake, 50.2% real), as
visualized in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Visual representation of the corpus balancing process. The initial imbalanced distribution
(left) was corrected by strategically adding 9,000 FAKE articles via web scraping, resulting in a nearly
50/50 final distribution (right). Source: Authors' own elaboration based on study data.

*3.2. Model Development and Optimization*

The core experimental phase focused on comparing classical approaches against
modern transformer architectures.

### 3.2.1. Data Partitioning and Generalization Strategy

To ensure the statistical reliability of our results and guarantee model generalization
to unseen data, we implemented a rigorous data splitting protocol, as illustrated in Figure
3. The unified balanced corpus ($N = 61,674$) was partitioned into three disjoint subsets
using **stratified random sampling**. This strategy was crucial to preserve the approximately
50/50 class distribution across all partitions, preventing bias during training. The split
proportions were defined as follows:

- **Training Set (70%, ≈43,171 articles):** Used for model fitting and gradient updates.
- **Validation Set (10%, ≈6,167 articles):** Used exclusively for hyperparameter tuning,
  model selection, and monitoring for early stopping.
- **Test Set (20%, ≈12,335 articles):** Strictly isolated during the entire optimization process
  and used solely for the final performance evaluation reported in Section 4.

Furthermore, to maximize generalization and prevent overfitting, we applied a "hold-out"
validation strategy combined with the aggressive regularization techniques detailed in
Section 3.2.3 (high dropout and L2 regularization). This approach ensures that the reported
metrics reflect the model's true ability to handle novel, real-world misinformation rather
than memorized patterns.

**Figure 3.** Overview of the proposed research methodology (Part 2/3): Model Optimization and Comparative Evaluation.

**Classical Machine Learning Baseline.** We initially established a baseline using TF-IDF feature representation, a standard approach validated in the fake news detection literature [2]. Dimensionality was reduced to the top 800 features (10% of the vocabulary) using a Chi-squared test to ensure feasibility. Five metaheuristic algorithms—Multi-Start Simulated Annealing (MSA), Scatter Search (SS), Variable Neighborhood Search (VNS), Genetic Algorithm (GA), and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)—were employed to optimize the hyperparameters of a logistic regression model, maximizing the F1-Score.

**Deep Learning with Transformers.** To overcome the semantic limitations of classical methods, we selected `distilbert-base-multilingual-cased` [20]. While we evaluated the full BERT model and TinyBERT, DistilBERT offered the optimal trade-off between

performance and computational efficiency for Spanish text, being approximately four times faster than BERT while maintaining competitive accuracy (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Comparison of optimized BERT models for the classification task.

| Model | Parameters | Layers | Dimension | Spanish Support | Reduction vs BERT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **BERT-base-multilingual** | 110M | 12 | 768 | Yes | – (Reference) |
| **DistilBERT-multilingual** | 66M | 6 | 768 | Yes | 40% parameters |
| **TinyBERT** | 14.5M | 4 | 312 | Limited | 87% parameters |

**Hyperparameter Fine-tuning.** We conducted a systematic optimization process involving over 30 experiments and 500 GPU hours to identify configurations that mitigate overfitting—a common challenge where the model memorizes training data (decreasing training loss) but fails to generalize (increasing validation loss), as illustrated in Figure 4.



(**a**) Overfitting scenario: Validation loss diverges while training loss decreases.

(**b**) Underfitting scenario: Both training and validation losses remain high without convergence.

**Figure 4.** Visual comparison of model training behaviors plotted on identical scales for direct comparability. The horizontal axis represents the number of Epochs, and the vertical axis indicates the Loss value. (a) Illustrates **overfitting**, where the model memorizes the training data (blue line decreases) but fails to generalize to new data (red validation line increases). (b) Illustrates **underfitting**, characterized by the inability of the model to capture underlying patterns, resulting in high loss values for both curves.

Using Keras Tuner, we evolved the model through seven major versions (Table 6). The final rigorous regularization strategy (Version 11) included: an ultra-low learning rate ($5 \times 10^{-6}$) for stable convergence, a high dropout rate (0.7), strong L2 regularization (0.5 with weight decay 0.02), a small batch size (4) to introduce stochasticity, and early stopping after 8 epochs of no improvement.

**Table 6.** Evolution of Hyperparameter Configurations Across Experimental Versions.

| Version | Learning Rate | Dropout | L2 Reg. | Batch Size | Val Loss Gap | Accuracy (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| V1 (Baseline) | $3 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.4 | 0.001 | 8 | N/A | 94.7 |
| V2 | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.4 | 0.01 | 4 | 0.018 | 94.3 |
| V3 | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.4 | 0.01 | 4 | 0.051 | 94.8 |
| V4 | $1 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.3 | 0.01 | 8 | 0.037 | 95.8 |
| V5 | $1 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.4 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.037 | 95.8 |
| V6 | $1 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.051 | 94.8 |
| **V11 (Final)** | $\mathbf{5 \times 10^{-6}}$ | **0.7** | **0.5** | **4** | **0.058** | **95.36** |

### 3.3. Real-World Application Deployment

The final stage of the methodology involved implementing the optimized DistilBERT model into a production-ready web application (Figure 5).



**Figure 5.** Overview of the proposed research methodology (Part 3/3): Web Application Deployment and Inference.

To guarantee scalability and reproducibility, the system was architected as a containerized microservice stack. This modular approach (Figure 6) separates the frontend interface from the backend inference tasks, allowing for independent scaling and easier maintenance.



**Figure 6.** System architecture of the deployed web application, showing the static components and their dependencies.

The system consists of four integrated components:

1. **User Interface:** A static web frontend where users submit URLs for analysis.
2. **API Backend:** A Flask-based service exposing an `/analizar` endpoint to handle requests.
3. **Inference Engine:** This component loads the trained DistilBERT model and tokenizer. It scrapes the content of the submitted URL (extracting `<h1>` and `<p>` tags via `BeautifulSoup`), tokenizes the text combining title and body with a `[SEP]` token, and computes the probability scores using a softmax function.
4. **Docker Deployment:** The entire environment, including Python dependencies and model artifacts, is encapsulated in a Docker image to ensure reproducibility and ease of deployment.

The interaction flow, from user request to final verdict, is detailed in the sequence diagram (Figure 7).



**Figure 7.** Sequence diagram illustrating the dynamic step-by-step workflow of a prediction request from the user to the final verdict.

## 4. Results

*4.1. Evaluation Metrics*

We evaluated the models using standard metrics derived from the confusion matrix, which categorizes predictions into true positives (TP), true negatives (TN), false positives (FP), and false negatives (FN). In this context, the "positive" class represents real news (label 1), and the "negative" class represents fake news (label 0). These metrics are widely used in fake news detection literature, facilitating comparison with related work.

- **Accuracy**: Represents the overall proportion of correct predictions. While a useful general indicator, it can be misleading in imbalanced datasets.

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{TN} + \text{FP} + \text{FN}} \tag{1}$$

- **Precision**: Measures the accuracy of positive predictions. High precision is necessary to minimize the misclassification of fake content as real.

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}} \tag{2}$$

- **Recall (Sensitivity)**: Indicates the proportion of actual real news correctly identified by the model.

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}} \tag{3}$$

- **F1-Score**: The harmonic mean of precision and recall. It provides a balanced metric, particularly valuable for uneven class distributions.

$$\text{F1-Score} = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \tag{4}$$

- **Specificity**: Measures the proportion of fake news correctly identified. This metric is crucial for detection systems to ensure deceptive content is accurately flagged.

$$\text{Specificity} = \frac{\text{TN}}{\text{TN} + \text{FP}} \tag{5}$$

For the multiclass comparison, we utilized macro-averaged scores, calculating metrics for each class independently and then averaging them to ensure equitable representation.

*4.2. Performance of the Metaheuristic Approach*

The initial experimental phase established a baseline using classical machine learning algorithms optimized via metaheuristics on TF-IDF representations. Among the five algorithms evaluated, the Genetic Algorithm (GA) demonstrated the most robust performance, achieving an accuracy of 72.03% and a macro F1-score of 0.714 (Table 8). This superiority suggests that the evolutionary mechanism of GA is better suited for exploring the high-dimensional sparse space created by TF-IDF vectors compared to swarm-based approaches in this specific context.

Conversely, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) exhibited the lowest performance (57.67% accuracy) and failed to converge effectively. This divergence highlights a critical limitation of classical approaches: their heavy reliance on keyword frequency (TF-IDF) lacks the semantic understanding necessary to detect sophisticated fake news, resulting in high variance between optimization strategies. The confusion matrices in Figure 8 visually confirm this instability, showing significant misclassification rates across the board for the metaheuristic models.

(**a**) GA Confusion Matrix

(**b**) VNS Confusion Matrix

(**c**) SS Confusion Matrix

(**d**) MSA Confusion Matrix

(**e**) PSO Confusion Matrix

**Figure 8.** Confusion matrices for the five metaheuristic algorithms on the test set.

### 4.3. Performance of the Transformer Model

The fine-tuned DistilBERT model (Version 11) demonstrated a decisive improvement over the baseline. As detailed in Table 7, the model achieved an accuracy of 95.36% on the test set. More importantly, the model exhibits a balanced performance between Precision (95.4%) and Recall (95.4%).

In the context of fake news detection, **Specificity** (94.5%) is a critical metric, as it represents the model's ability to correctly identify actual fake news (True Negatives in our configuration) without flagging legitimate news as false. The confusion matrix in Figure 9 corroborates this stability, showing very low false positive and false negative rates compared to the metaheuristic approaches. This confirms that the semantic context captured by the Transformer architecture is essential for distinguishing between subtle nuances in deceptive language that keyword-based models miss.

**Table 7.** Performance metrics of the final optimized DistilBERT model on the test set.

| Metric | Value (%) |
|---|---|
| Accuracy | 95.36 |
| Precision | 95.4 |
| Recall (Sensitivity) | 95.4 |
| F1-Score | 95.35 |
| Specificity | 94.5 |



**Figure 9.** Confusion matrix for the final DistilBERT model on the test set.

### 4.4. Final Comparative Analysis: Metaheuristics vs. Transformer

To quantify the impact of the architectural shift from classical ML to Deep Learning, we performed a direct comparison of the best-performing models from each paradigm. Table 8 presents the consolidated results.

The DistilBERT model outperformed the best metaheuristic algorithm (GA) by a significant margin of **23.33 percentage points** in accuracy (95.36% vs. 72.03%). This disparity illustrates the "semantic gap": while metaheuristics can optimize the decision boundary for keyword frequencies, they cannot compensate for the lack of contextual understanding inherent in TF-IDF representations. The Transformer's attention mechanism allows it to weigh the importance of words based on their context, effectively identifying deceptive patterns that are syntactically correct but semantically misleading. Consequently, the transition to Deep Learning is not merely an incremental improvement but a necessary evolution for robust fake news detection in Spanish.

**Table 8.** Final performance comparison between all implemented models on the test set.

| Algorithm | Accuracy (%) | F1-Score (macro) | Precision (macro) | Recall (macro) | Specificity (%) | Ranking |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Transformer-Based Approach* | | | | | | |
| **DistilBERT (Final)** | **95.36** | **0.954** | **0.954** | **0.954** | **94.5** | **1st** |
| *Metaheuristic-Optimized Classical Approaches* | | | | | | |
| Genetic Algorithm (GA) | 72.03 | 0.714 | 0.740 | 0.720 | 57.6 | 2nd |
| Scatter Search (SS) | 67.64 | 0.669 | 0.693 | 0.676 | 52.7 | 3rd |
| VNS | 66.78 | 0.659 | 0.686 | 0.667 | 51.0 | 4th |
| Simulated Annealing (MSA) | 60.86 | 0.586 | 0.638 | 0.608 | 37.4 | 5th |
| Particle Swarm Opt. (PSO) | 57.67 | 0.489 | 0.736 | 0.575 | 16.3 | 6th |

### 4.5. Overfitting Control Analysis

The implementation of a rigorous regularization strategy successfully mitigated overfitting. Training concluded after 23 epochs due to early stopping, with the optimal checkpoint identified at epoch 17. At this point, training accuracy was 98.6% and validation accuracy was 95.36%. Figure 10 illustrates the evolution of accuracy and loss for the final model (V7).



**Figure 10.** Evolution of performance metrics during the fine-tuning of the final model (V7). The x-axis represents the training epochs, while the y-axes represent Loss (left) and Accuracy (right). The blue lines denote training performance, and the red lines denote validation performance. The gold star identifies the optimal checkpoint at Epoch 13, where the generalization gap (0.058) was minimized before the onset of overfitting.

The generalization gap (difference between validation and training loss) was 0.058, approaching our target of <0.04 and remaining well below the 0.10 threshold typically indicating overfitting.

### 4.6. Real-World Application Performance

The deployed web application demonstrated robustness in real-world scenarios.

It correctly identified various types of content, including authentic news, fabricated stories, and investment scams, suggesting the methodology is transferable to other forms of digital fraud. Screenshots of the application analyzing URLs are presented in Figure 11.

(**a**) Correctly identifying a real news article with 93.59% confidence.

(**b**) Successfully identifying a misleading fake news piece with 94.31% confidence.

**Figure 11.** Screenshots of the deployed web application analyzing different types of URLs.

## 5. Discussion

This study aimed to bridge the gap between theoretical NLP models and practical applications for Spanish fake news detection. The transition from classical metaheuristic optimization to a fine-tuned DistilBERT architecture yielded a 23.33% improvement in accuracy. Beyond the raw metrics, it is crucial to analyze the model's capabilities, boundaries, and potential for future evolution.

The primary strength of the proposed DistilBERT model lies in its semantic robustness. Unlike the TF-IDF baseline, which relies on keyword frequency and struggles with sarcasm or subtle linguistic cues, the transformer model effectively captures contextual dependencies. This is evidenced by its high Specificity (94.5%), indicating that the model is exceptionally strong at distinguishing deceptive content without aggressively flagging legitimate news—a common pitfall in automated moderation systems. The rigorous regularization strategy (high dropout and L2) successfully addressed the overfitting typically associated with training on relatively small domain-specific corpora, resulting in a generalization gap of only 0.058.

Despite its high performance, the model is not infallible. A key limitation is its static nature; it was trained on a closed corpus ending in early 2025. Consequently, the model is susceptible to *concept drift*, where it may fail to detect novel misinformation narratives or evolving linguistic tactics used in future disinformation campaigns. Additionally, the current approach is strictly unimodal (text-only). It fails when the deception is embedded in multimedia elements or when analyzing extremely short texts like tweets or headlines where semantic context is scarce.

Regarding extensibility to other languages, although this specific model is monolingual (Spanish), the underlying framework is highly transferable. The methodology—unifying fragmented datasets, applying stratified sampling, and using aggressive regularization during fine-tuning—can be directly replicated for other resource-constrained languages such as Portuguese or Italian. The use of `distilbert-base-multilingual-cased` as the base architecture further facilitates this transition, as extending the system to a new language would primarily require the curation of a language-specific corpus rather than a redesign of the architecture itself.

Future work will focus on three critical areas to address these limitations and expand the system's utility. First, we aim to implement Continuous Learning (CL) pipelines to update the model with fresh data periodically without catastrophic forgetting, mitigating the issue of concept drift. Second, rather than limiting the scope to news, we plan to apply this detection framework to broader categories of digital fraud, such as phishing pages, fraudulent e-commerce sites, and identity theft schemes. This will involve establishing a new methodology and constructing a specialized corpus for these domains. Finally, we intend to expand the web application's API to support browser extensions, bringing the detection capability directly to the user's browsing experience.

## 6. Conclusions

This study presents a comprehensive end-to-end framework for Spanish fake news detection, spanning from data acquisition to the deployment of a real-world application. A significant contribution is the creation of a unified corpus of 61,674 articles, which addresses a critical deficiency in Spanish NLP resources and establishes a robust foundation for future research.

We invested over 500 GPU hours in hyperparameter optimization, identifying effective strategies to mitigate overfitting in transformer training. The results indicate that a multi-layered regularization strategy was decisive, enabling the final model to achieve 95.36% accuracy. This represents a 23.33 percentage point improvement over traditional methods, establishing a new benchmark for this task.

A notable outcome is the development of the Docker-based web application, which translates theoretical research into a practical, accessible tool for digital fraud prevention. The entire framework—comprising the corpus, the optimized model, and the application code—has been made publicly available to facilitate reproducibility and adaptation. Future work will focus on integrating multilingual support, implementing real-time learning

mechanisms, and connecting with fact-checking APIs to further strengthen the digital information ecosystem.

# References

1. Bondielli, A.; Marcelloni, F. A survey on fake news and rumour detection techniques. *Information Sciences* **2019**, *497*, 38–55. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2019.05.035.

2. Shu, K.; Sliva, A.; Wang, S.; Tang, J.; Liu, H. Fake News Detection on Social Media: A Data Mining Perspective. *SIGKDD Explor. Newsl.* **2017**, *19*, 22–36. doi:10.1145/3137597.3137600.

3. Zhou, X.; Zafarani, R. A Survey of Fake News: Fundamental Theories, Detection Methods, and Opportunities. *ACM Comput. Surv.* **2020**, *53*, 1–40. doi:10.1145/3395046.

4. Kaliyar, R.K.; Goswami, A.; Narang, P. FakeBERT: Fake news detection in social media with a BERT-based deep learning approach. *Multimed. Tools Appl.* **2021**, *80*, 11765–11788. doi:10.1007/s11042-020-10183-2.

5. Hu, L.; Wei, S.; Zhao, Z.; Wu, B. Deep learning for fake news detection: A comprehensive survey. *AI Open* **2022**, *3*, 133–155. doi:10.1016/j.aiopen.2022.09.001.

6. Posadas-Durán, J.P.; Gómez-Adorno, H.; Sidorov, G.; Escobar, J.J.M. Detection of fake news in a new corpus for the Spanish language. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* **2019**, *36*, 4869–4876. doi:10.3233/jifs-179034.

7. Acosta, F.A.Z. Construcción de un dataset de noticias para el entrenamiento y evaluación de clasificadores automatizados. Master's Thesis, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, 2019. Available online: https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31181.49126 (accessed on 7 October 2025).

8. Blanco-Fernández, Y.; Otero-Vizoso, J.; Gil-Solla, A.; García-Duque, J. Enhancing Misinformation Detection in Spanish Language with Deep Learning: BERT and RoBERTa Transformer Models. *Appl. Sci.* **2024**, *14*, 9729. doi:10.3390/app14219729.

9. Tretiakov, A.; Martín García, A.; Camacho, D. Detection of false information in Spanish using machine learning techniques. In Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning – IDEAL 2022; Yin, H., Camacho, D., Tino, P., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 13756; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; pp. 42–53. ISBN 978-3-031-21753-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-21753-1_5.

10. Tsai, C.M. Stylometric fake news detection based on natural language processing using named entity recognition: In-Domain and Cross-Domain analysis. *Electronics* **2023**, *12*, 3676. doi:10.3390/electronics12173676.

11. Thota, A.; Tilak, P.; Ahluwalia, S.; Lohia, N. Fake news detection: A deep learning approach. *SMU Data Science Review* **2018**, *1*(3). Available online: https://scholar.smu.edu/datasciencereview/vol1/iss3/10/ (accessed on 7 October 2025).

12. García-Lozano, M.; García-Valls, M.; Iglesias, C.A. Fake News Detection in Spanish Using Machine Learning and Deep Learning. *Electronics* **2024**, *13*, 3361. doi:10.3390/electronics13173361.

13. Martínez-Gallego, K.; Álvarez-Ortiz, A.M.; Arias-Londoño, J.D. Fake news detection in Spanish using deep learning techniques. *arXiv* **2021**, arXiv:2110.06461. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2110.06461.

14. Gómez-Adorno, H.; Posadas-Durán, J.P.; Enguix, G.B.; Capetillo, C.P. Overview of FakeDeS at IberLEF 2021: Fake news detection in Spanish shared task. *Procesamiento del Lenguaje Natural* **2021**, *67*, 223–231. doi:10.26342/2021-67-19.

15. Yildirim, G. A novel hybrid multi-thread metaheuristic approach for fake news detection in social media. *Applied Intelligence* **2023**, *53*, 11182–11202. doi:10.1007/s10489-022-03972-9.

16. Padilla Cuevas, J.; Reyes-Ortiz, J.A.; Cuevas-Rasgado, A.D.; Mora-Gutiérrez, R.A.; Bravo, M. MédicoBERT: A Medical Language Model for Spanish Natural Language Processing Tasks with a Question-Answering Application Using Hyperparameter Optimization. *Appl. Sci.* **2024**, *14*, 7031. doi:10.3390/app14167031.

17. Aragón, M.E.; Jarquín-Vásquez, H.J.; Montes-y-Gómez, M.; Escalante, H.J.; Villaseñor-Pineda, L.; Gómez-Adorno, H.; Posadas-Durán, J.P.; Bel-Enguix, G. Overview of MEX-A3T at IberLEF 2020: Fake news and aggressiveness analysis in Mexican Spanish. In Notebook Papers of 2nd SEPLN Workshop on Iberian Languages Evaluation Forum (IberLEF); CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 2664; 2020; pp. 222–235. Available online: https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2664/mex-a3t_overview.pdf.

18. Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A.N.; Kaiser, L.; Polosukhin, I. Attention is All You Need. *arXiv* **2017**, arXiv:1706.03762. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1706.03762.

19. Devlin, J.; Chang, M.; Lee, K.; Toutanova, K. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:1810.04805. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1810.04805.

20. Sanh, V.; Debut, L.; Chaumond, J.; Wolf, T. DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. *arXiv* **2019**, arXiv:1910.01108. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1910.01108. Model available online: https://huggingface.co/distilbert-base-multilingual-cased (accessed on 23 November 2025). Apache-2.0 License.

21. Jiao, X.; Yin, Y.; Shang, L.; Jiang, X.; Chen, X.; Li, L.; Wang, F.; Liu, Q. TinyBERT: Distilling BERT for natural language understanding. *arXiv* **2019**, arXiv:1909.10351. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1909.10351.