

# SCOTUS Survey - April 11, 2023



Sample 2029 U.S. Adult residents  
Conducted April 5 - 11, 2023  
Margin of Error  $\pm 2.3\%$

1. Some people think that private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should be able to. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 72%  
Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions ... 28%

2. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 75%  
Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions ... 25%

3. Some people think that private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should NOT be able to. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions ... 33%  
Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 67%

4. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions ... 33%  
Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 67%

5. Some people think that public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should be able to. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 73%  
Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions .... 27%

6. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 80%  
Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions .... 20%

7. Some people think that public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should NOT be able to. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions .... 26%  
Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 74%

8. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions . . . . 28%  
Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions 72%

9. Some people think that the language in the Constitution means that only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts. Other people think that state courts can exercise this oversight as they do in other areas. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts 41%  
State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas 59%

10. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts 50%  
State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas 50%

11. Some people think state courts can exercise oversight over federal elections as they do in other areas. Other people think that the language in the U.S. Constitution means that only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts.

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas 51%  
Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts 49%

12. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas 54%  
Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts 46%

13. Colorado law prohibits businesses, including creative and artistic businesses, from discriminating against gay customers. Some people think this violates business owners' rights to free speech. Other people think this does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech . . . . . 53%  
Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech . . . . . 47%

14. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech . . . . . 57%  
Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech . . . . . 43%

15. Colorado law prohibits businesses, including creative and artistic businesses, from discriminating against gay customers. Some think such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech. Other people think this violates business owners' rights to free speech. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech ..... 51%  
Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech ..... 49%

16. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech ..... 50%  
Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech ..... 50%

17. One fourth of Alabama voters are Black. Alabama recently created seven Congressional districts, with only one of them being a majority-Black district. Some people think the small number of districts in which Black voters are a majority violates Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, which bans racial discrimination in voting policies, and that the state should be forced to redraw the districts. Other people disagree and say that the original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The state should be forced to redraw the district ..... 55%  
The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful ..... 45%

18. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The state should be forced to redraw the districts ..... 47%  
The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful ..... 53%

19. One fourth of Alabama voters are Black. Alabama recently created seven Congressional districts, with only one of them being a majority-Black district. Some people say that the plan with one majority-Black district is lawful. Other people think the small number of districts in which Black voters are a majority violates Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, which bans racial discrimination in voting policies, and that the state should be forced to redraw the districts. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful ..... 50%  
The state should be forced to redraw the district ..... 50%

20. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful ..... 55%  
The state should be forced to redraw the districts ..... 45%

21. The Clean Water Act is a federal law that prohibits the "discharge of pollutants" into "navigable waters." Some people think that this should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands. Other people think that this should be read narrowly, to include only things like streams, rivers, and lakes. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands .....77%  
The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands .....23%

22. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands .....60%  
The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands .....40%

23. The Clean Water Act is a federal law that prohibits the "discharge of pollutants" into "navigable waters." Some people think that this should be read narrowly, to include only things like streams, rivers, and lakes. Other people think that this should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands .....32%  
The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands .....68%

24. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands .....45%  
The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands .....55%

25. California law prohibits the sale of pork from pigs that are kept in small cages. Some people think that such a law discriminates against commerce from other states because most pork comes from outside of California. Other people think that such a law does not discriminate against commerce from other states. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such law discriminates against commerce from other states .....51%  
Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states .....49%

26. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such law discriminates against commerce from other states .....54%  
Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states .....46%

27. California law prohibits the sale of pork from pigs that are kept in small cages. Some people think such a law does not discriminate against commerce from other states. Other people think that such a law discriminates against commerce from other states because most pork comes from outside of California. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states .....54%  
Such law discriminates against commerce from other states .....46%

28. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states ..... 51%  
Such law discriminates against commerce from other states ..... 49%

29. In 1981, a photographer took a photo of the musician Prince. The artist Andy Warhol made changes to this photograph and used that to create a series of famous prints. Some people think that when images are transformed like this, the original creator is not entitled to any payment. Other people think that the original creator is entitled to payment because the image is still recognizable. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The original creator is NOT entitled to payment ..... 29%  
The original creator is entitled to payment ..... 71%

30. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The original creator is NOT entitled to payment ..... 36%  
The original creator is entitled to payment ..... 64%

31. In 1981, a photographer took a photo of the musician Prince. The artist Andy Warhol made changes to this photograph and used that to create a series of famous prints. Some people think that the original creator is entitled to payment because the image is still recognizable. Other people think that when images are transformed like this, the original creator is not entitled to any payment. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The original creator is entitled to payment ..... 77%  
The original creator is NOT entitled to payment ..... 23%

32. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The original creator is entitled to payment ..... 68%  
The original creator is NOT entitled to payment ..... 32%

33. Federal law states that internet companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that large tech companies, such as Google, can be held responsible when their algorithm recommends certain content to users. Other people think that these companies cannot be held responsible for content recommended by the company's algorithms if that content was posted by other users. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users 71%  
Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users ..... 29%

34. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users 64%  
 Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users  
 ..... 36%

35. Federal law states that internet companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that large tech companies, such as Google, cannot be held responsible for content recommended by the company's algorithms if that content was posted by other users. Other people think that these companies can be held responsible when their algorithm recommends certain content to users. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users  
 ..... 34%  
 Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users 66%

36. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users  
 ..... 43%  
 Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users 57%

37. Federal law states that social media companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that social media companies can be held responsible for aiding and abetting terrorism for not removing content and accounts promoting terrorism. Other people think that they cannot be held responsible. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Social media companies CAN be held responsible ..... 76%  
 Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible ..... 24%

38. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Social media companies CAN be held responsible ..... 67%  
 Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible ..... 33%

39. Federal law states that social media companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that social media companies cannot be held responsible for aiding and abetting terrorism not removing content and accounts promoting terrorism. Other people think that they can be held responsible. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible ..... 32%  
 Social media companies CAN be held responsible ..... 68%

40. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible ..... 40%  
Social media companies CAN be held responsible ..... 60%

41. The Biden Administration announced plans to give up to \$20,000 in student loan forgiveness to people who make less than \$125,000 a year. Some people think that the Biden Administration overstepped its authority with this debt forgiveness plan. Other people disagree and think that the Biden Administration did not overstep its authority. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ..... 53%  
The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ... 47%

42. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ..... 64%  
The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ... 36%

43. The Biden Administration announced plans to give up to \$20,000 in student loan forgiveness to people who make less than \$125,000 a year. Some people think that the Biden Administration did not overstep its authority with this debt forgiveness plan. Other people disagree and think that the Biden Administration overstepped its authority. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ... 53%  
The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ..... 47%

44. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ... 43%  
The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan ..... 57%

45. Some people think that striking union workers can be held responsible for damage or loss caused to an employer's property by employees stopping their work. Other people think that they cannot be held responsible because this would undermine the ability of union workers to strike. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage ..... 49%  
Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage ..... 51%

46. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage ..... 57%  
Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage ..... 43%



47. Some people think that striking union workers cannot be held responsible for damage or loss caused to an employer's property by employees stopping their work because this would undermine the ability of union workers to strike. Other people think that striking union workers can be held responsible. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage ..... 51%  
 Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage ..... 49%

48. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage ..... 46%  
 Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage ..... 54%

49. In 1978, Congress enacted a law that says that Native American children who are removed from their families should be placed with extended family members or foster homes of people who are also Native American. Some people think this law discriminates on the basis of race. Other people think it does NOT discriminate on the basis of race. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This law discriminates on the basis of race ..... 49%  
 This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race ..... 51%

50. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This law discriminates on the basis of race ..... 49%  
 This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race ..... 51%

51. In 1978, Congress enacted a law that says that Native American children who are removed from their families should be placed with extended family members or foster homes of people who are also Native American. Some people think this law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race. Other people think it discriminates on the basis of race. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race ..... 56%  
 This law discriminates on the basis of race ..... 44%

52. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race ..... 58%  
 This law discriminates on the basis of race ..... 42%



53. A postal worker refused to work on Sundays for religious reasons. The Postal Service agreed to help him find coworkers to swap shifts with, but when he could not find any coworkers to swap with and did not show up to work multiple times, he was disciplined and then quit. Some people think that this is NOT religious discrimination. Other people think that this is religious discrimination and that the employer should have to accommodate his beliefs and should not have disciplined him. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This is NOT religious discrimination .....	51%
This is religious discrimination .....	49%

54. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This is NOT religious discrimination .....	50%
This is religious discrimination .....	50%

55. A postal worker refused to work on Sundays for religious reasons. The Postal Service agreed to help him find coworkers to swap shifts with, but when he could not find any coworkers to swap with and did not show up to work multiple times, he was disciplined and then quit. Some people think that this is religious discrimination and that the employer should have to accommodate his beliefs and should not have disciplined him. Other people think that this is NOT religious discrimination. What do you think?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This is religious discrimination .....	52%
This is NOT religious discrimination .....	48%

56. The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

*Asked of a random half of respondents*

This is religious discrimination .....	51%
This is NOT religious discrimination .....	49%

57. Can you name any case ever heard by the U.S. Supreme Court?

Yes .....	54%
No .....	46%

58. In a recent case called Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, the Supreme Court overturned its 1973 ruling in Roe v. Wade, which had established a constitutional right to an abortion under specific circumstances. What is your opinion of the Court's decision in Dobbs?

I AGREE with the Court overturning Roe and eliminating the constitutional right to an abortion .....	52%
I DISAGREE with the Court overturning Roe and eliminating the constitutional right to an abortion .....	48%

59. The Court's 1965 ruling in a case called *Griswold v. Connecticut* established a constitutional right of couples to use contraception, such as the birth control pill. Some people think that the Court should overrule *Griswold* and eliminate the constitutional right of couples to use contraception. Other people think that *Griswold* should be upheld, maintaining this right. What do you think?

The right of couples to use contraception should be eliminated ..... 20%  
 The right of couples to use contraception should be upheld ..... 80%

60. Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

The Supreme Court will eliminate the right of couples to use contraception ..... 27%  
 The Supreme Court will uphold the right of couples to use contraception ..... 73%

61. The Court's 2003 ruling in a case called *Lawrence v. Texas* declared that states punishing people for engaging in homosexual conduct was unconstitutional. Some people think that the Court should overrule *Lawrence* and allow states to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct. Other people think that *Lawrence* should be upheld, prohibiting states from doing this. What do you think?

States should be allowed to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct ..... 31%  
 States should NOT be allowed to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct .... 69%

62. Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

The Supreme Court will allow states to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct 34%  
 The Supreme Court will NOT allow states to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct ..... 66%

63. The Court's 2015 ruling in a case called *Obergefell v. Hodges* held that states must recognize same-sex marriages. Some people think that the Court should overrule *Obergefell* and allow states to refuse to recognize same-sex marriages. Other people think that *Obergefell* should be upheld, maintaining that states must recognize same-sex marriages. What do you think?

States should be allowed refuse to recognize same-sex marriages ..... 46%  
 States must continue to recognize same-sex marriages ..... 54%

64. Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

The Supreme Court will allow states to refuse to recognize same-sex marriages ..... 47%  
 The Supreme Court will say that states must continue to recognize same-sex marriages 53%

65. The Court's 1967 ruling in a case called *Loving v. Virginia* held that states cannot prevent two people of different races from marrying. Some people think that the Court should overrule *Loving* and allow states to prevent two people of different races from marrying. Other people think that *Loving* should be upheld, maintaining that states cannot prevent two people of different races from marrying. What do you think?

States should be allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying ..... 19%  
 States should NOT be allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying .81%

66. Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

The Supreme Court will say that states are allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying ..... 22%

The Supreme Court will say states should NOT be allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying ..... 78%

67. In the past six months, how frequently would you say you have heard political leaders from the [Democratic/Republican] Party mention the Supreme Court?

*Asked of Democrats and Republicans*

Always ..... 6%

Often ..... 26%

Sometimes ..... 43%

Rarely ..... 17%

Never ..... 8%

68. In your view, how important is it for political leaders of the [Democratic/Republican] Party to talk about the kinds of justices they would like to see appointed to the Supreme Court?

*Asked of Democrats and Republicans*

Extremely Important ..... 26%

Very Important ..... 38%

Moderately Important ..... 18%

Slightly Important ..... 13%

Not Important ..... 5%

69. How important are the following in how you feel about a Supreme Court justice?

	Extremely Important	Very Important	Moderately Important	Slightly Important	Not Important
Having good professional and educational qualifications	49%	30%	14%	4%	2%
Being likely to decide cases in a way that I agree with	16%	29%	33%	10%	12%
Being likely to follow the appropriate process of interpreting the law	51%	29%	14%	4%	2%
Being of the same party as me	10%	20%	30%	12%	28%

70. How much do you agree with the following statement: "It is important for leaders of the [Democratic/Republican] Party to criticize the Supreme Court"

*Asked of Democrats and Republicans*

Strongly Agree ..... 16%

Agree ..... 28%

Neither Agree nor Disagree ..... 38%

Disagree ..... 13%

Strongly Disagree ..... 5%

71. The Supreme Court has nine members. For the issues that we asked you about earlier, what do you think the breakdown in the votes on the Court would typically be?

5-4 .....	31%
6-3 .....	42%
7-2 .....	17%
8-1 .....	4%
9-0 .....	5%

72. Some judges in the U.S. serve for a set number of years; others serve a life term. Do you happen to know whether the Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court serve for a set number of years or whether they serve a life term?

Set number of years .....	24%
Life term .....	64%
Neither .....	12%

73. Do you happen to know who has the last say when there is a conflict over the meaning of the U.S. Constitution—the U.S. Supreme Court, the U.S. Congress, or the President?

U.S. Congress .....	17%
U.S. Supreme Court .....	71%
The President .....	12%

74. Some judges in the U.S. are elected; others are appointed to the bench. Do you happen to know if the Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court are elected or appointed to the bench?

Elected to the bench .....	18%
Appointed to the bench .....	68%
Neither .....	13%

75. Please select the name of the current Chief Justice of the United States from the choices below:

Sonia Sotomayor .....	12%
Samuel Alito .....	8%
Elena Kagan .....	6%
John Roberts .....	68%
Neil Gorsuch .....	6%

76. Please select the name of the Justice who most recently joined the U.S. Supreme Court from the choices below:

Sonia Sotomayor .....	8%
Ketanji Brown Jackson .....	59%
John Roberts .....	12%
Brett Kavanaugh .....	8%
Amy Coney Barrett .....	13%

77. Supreme Court justices are appointed by the President of the United States. How many of the current nine justices do you think were appointed by Republican presidents?

9 out of 9	4%
8 out of 9	4%
7 out of 9	11%
6 out of 9	38%
5 out of 9	23%
4 out of 9	11%
3 out of 9	4%
2 out of 9	2%
1 out of 9	1%
0 out of 9	3%

78. How much do you think the political party of the appointing president affects how Supreme Court justices decide cases?

A great deal	28%
A lot	27%
A moderate amount	32%
A little	6%
Not at all	6%

79. How much do you approve of the performance of the Supreme Court?

Strongly Approve	13%
Somewhat Approve	32%
Neither Approve nor Disapprove	27%
Somewhat Disapprove	13%
Strongly Disapprove	15%

80. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Judges on the U.S. Supreme Court who consistently make decisions at odds with what a majority of the people want should be removed from their position as judge.	15%	24%	32%	15%	14%
The U.S. Supreme Court has become too independent and should be reined in.	10%	24%	36%	18%	12%

*continued on the next page ...*

*continued from previous page*

If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, it might be better to do away with the Supreme Court altogether.	10%	19%	30%	18%	24%
The U.S. Supreme Court gets too mixed up in politics.	22%	36%	30%	10%	3%
The U.S. Supreme Court can usually be trusted to make decisions that are right for the country as a whole.	11%	34%	32%	14%	8%

81. The U.S. Supreme Court has nine members. Some people believe that Congress should expand the size of the Supreme Court, allowing the current president to appoint one or more new Justices. Do you agree or disagree that the size of the Supreme Court should be increased?

Strongly Agree	12%
Agree	24%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	27%
Disagree	13%
Strongly Disagree	23%

82. U.S. Supreme Court Justices currently serve life terms. Some people think that, instead, Supreme Court Justices should be limited to 18-year terms. Do you agree or disagree that there should be such term limits for Supreme Court Justices?

Strongly Agree	26%
Agree	32%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	26%
Disagree	9%
Strongly Disagree	7%

83. Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs ...

Most of the time	37%
Some of the time	31%
Only now and then	16%
Hardly at all	11%
Don't know	4%

84. In general, how would you describe your own political viewpoint?

Liberal	27%
Moderate	32%
Conservative	32%
Not sure	9%

## 85. Are you registered to vote?

Registered .....	74%
Not registered .....	26%

## 86. Who did you vote for in the election for President in 2020?

Joe Biden .....	32%
Donald Trump .....	29%
Jo Jorgensen .....	1%
Howie Hawkins .....	0%
Other .....	1%
Did not vote for President .....	38%

## 87. Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a ...?

Democrat .....	32%
Republican .....	28%
Independent .....	29%
Other .....	4%
Not sure .....	7%

## 88. Are you...?

Male .....	49%
Female .....	51%

## 89. Respondent age by category

Under 30 .....	21%
30-44 .....	25%
45-64 .....	33%
65+ .....	21%

## 90. What racial or ethnic group best describes you?

White .....	63%
Black .....	12%
Hispanic .....	16%
Other .....	9%

## 91. What is the highest level of education you have completed?

HS or less .....	38%
Some college .....	28%
College grad .....	21%
Postgrad .....	12%



## 92. What is your marital status?

Married .....	47%
Separated .....	2%
Divorced .....	11%
Widowed .....	5%
Never married .....	30%
Domestic / civil partnership .....	5%

## 93. Are you the parent or guardian of any children under the age of 18?

Yes .....	26%
No .....	74%

## 94. Calculated from respondent's state of residence

Northeast .....	17%
Midwest .....	21%
South .....	38%
West .....	24%

## 95. How would you describe the place where you live?

City .....	35%
Suburb .....	32%
Town .....	12%
Rural area .....	21%
Other .....	1%

## 96. Thinking back over the last year, what was your family's annual income?

Under \$50K .....	42%
\$50-100K .....	28%
\$100K or more .....	19%
Prefer not to say .....	11%