Sample 2029 U.S. Adults Conducted April 5 - 11, 2023

Table of Contents

Q1A		Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD	
024	(Group A	Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD (Group	2
Q2A	A)	Gloup	9
Q1B	Α)	Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD	_
	(Group B		2
Q2B		Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD (Group	
	B)		3
Q3A	A .\	Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group	,
Q4A	A)	Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group A) .	•
Q3B		Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group	•
QUD	B)		2
Q4B		Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group B)	4
Q5A		Personal Opinion: MOORE V. HARPER (Group A)	4
Q6A		Court Ruling: MOORE V. HARPER (Group A)	5
Q5B		Personal Opinion: MOORE V. HARPER (Group B)	5
Q6B		Court Ruling: MOORE V. HARPER (Group B)	5
Q7A		Personal Opinion: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group A)	6
Q8A		Court Ruling: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group A)	6
Q7B		Personal Opinion: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group B)	7
Q8B		Court Ruling: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group B)	7
Q9A		Personal Opinion: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group A)	8
Q10A		Court Ruling: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group A)	3
Q9B		Personal Opinion: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group B)	,
Q10B		Court Ruling: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group B)	٠,
Q11A		Personal Opinion: SACKETT V. EPA (Group A)	10
Q12A		Court Ruling: SACKETT V. EPA (Group A)	10
Q11B		Personal Opinion: SACKETT V. EPA (Group B)	11 11
Q12B		Court Ruling: SACKETT V. EPA (Group B)	1 1
Q13A		•	12
014A	(Group	Court Ruling: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL V. ROSS	12
	(Group A)		12
Q13B		Personal Opinion: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL V. ROSS	
	(Group		13
Q14B		Court Ruling: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL V. ROSS	
	(Group B)		13
Q15A		Personal Opinion: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V.	
			14
Q16A		Court Ruling: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V. GOLD-	4.
01 ED	\	Personal Opinion: WARHOL FOLINDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V	14
Q15B		Personal Opinion: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V.	15
Q16B		Court Ruling: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V. GOLD-	15
Q I UD		Court huiling. WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V. GOLD-	15
017A		Personal Opinion: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group A)	16

Q18A Court Ruling: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group A) 16 Personal Opinion: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group B) 17 Q18B Court Ruling: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group B) 17 Q18A Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A) 18 Q20A Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A) 18 Q20B Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 Q21A Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 Q21A Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group A) Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 Q21A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group A) Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group A) Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 Q22A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 Q23A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH. Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH. Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH. Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD. Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD. Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Group GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Cou		0	
018B Court Rulling: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group B) 17 02PA Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A) 18 02PA Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 02PB Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 02PA Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 021A Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20 (Group A) Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20 (22B Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 22 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 (22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DE	•		
019A Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A) 18 028A Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A) 18 019B Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 02B Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 02IA Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20 022A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20 021B Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 022B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 023B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL 21 024B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 22 024B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 025B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL 22 024B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL 22 025B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHODOL. 23 025A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-BERHODOL. 24 025A			
Q29A Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A) 18 Q2BB Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 Q2BB Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 Q2BA Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 Q2DA Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20 Q2DB Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 Q2BB Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 Q2BA Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 Q2BA Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL 21 Q2BA Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL 22 Q2BA Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 22 Q2BB Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q2BA Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q2BA Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q2BA Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q2BB Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B)			
0198 Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 0208 Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 021A Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCA-TION (Group A) 20 022A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group A) 21 021B Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 022A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 023A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 21 024A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 22 024B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 025A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 24 025B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 025A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 24 026A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 026A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 027A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Gr	-		_
Q28B Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B) 19 Q21A Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20 Q22A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20 Q21B Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 Q22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21 Q3A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL 21 Q24A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 22 Q23B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q24B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. 23 Q25A Personal Opinion			
Q21A			
TION (Group A) Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group A)	-		19
Q22A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Q21B Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TION (Grou 21 Q22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 Q23A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH 22 Q24A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD Q28B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD Q24B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 25 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27			
Q21B Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group.) 21 Q22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 Q23A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 22 Q24A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 22 Q23B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_1 1 Naming of Cases -			20
Q21B Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 Q22A Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B) 21 Q23A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL DEPARTMENT (DIAL V. INTERNATIONAL DEPARTMENT (DIAL V. INTERNATIONAL DEPARTMENT (DIAL V. INTERNATIONAL DEPARTMENT (DIAL DEPARTMENT) 22 Q24B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH 23 Q24B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD. 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD. 23 Q25B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 28	- -		
TION (Group B)	(Group A)	D	20
Q22B Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Q33A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. Q24A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. Q23B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. Q24B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD. Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29			01
Q23A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH 22 Q24A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD 22 Q23B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH 23 Q24B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_5_1			21
Q23A Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 22 Q24A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 22 Q23B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Quiling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case			01
BROTHERH 22			۷۱
Q24A Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 22 Q23B Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q24B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_11 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_21 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_31 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_41 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_51 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29			22
ERHOOD Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERH 23 Q248 Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_8		Court Opinion: CLACIED INTERNATIONAL V INTERNATIONAL PROTE	22
Q23B BROTHERH Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q24B ERHOOD Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q26A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B COurt Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A COURT Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B COURT Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1,1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2,1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_2,1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4,1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_5,1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_5,1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_6,1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_8,1 Naming of Cas	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22
BROTHERH Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q26A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1.1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2.1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3.1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4.1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5.1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_7.1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7.1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9.1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>22</td>			22
Q24B Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTH-ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Rulling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_2.1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2.1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3.1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4.1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5.1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7.1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7.1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9.1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 <td></td> <td></td> <td>22</td>			22
ERHOOD 23 Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q26A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_1 Naming of Cas			20
Q25A Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q26A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30			23
Q26A Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A) 24 Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1 1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2 1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3 1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4 1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5 1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_5 1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_7 1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8 1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_9 1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9 1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q29			
Q25B Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_5 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Q40_9 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion			
Q26B Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B) 25 Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Q59 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion:			
Q27A Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Qpbbbs Views on Dobbs 30 Qpobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Q28A Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A) 26 Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_21 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_31 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_41 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_51 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_61 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_71 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_81 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_91 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_91 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Q0bbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Q27B Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2.1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3.1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4.1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5.1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_5.1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_5.1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7.1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_8.1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9.1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Q50bbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
Q28B Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B) 27 Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Q50 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRG	-		
Q39 Name More than 10 Cases 27 Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Q50 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Q40_1_1 Naming of Cases - Case 1 28 Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Qbobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: DBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA		· · · · · ·	27
Q40_2_1 Naming of Cases - Case 2 28 Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Qbbbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	-		28
Q40_3_1 Naming of Cases - Case 3 28 Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 QDobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33			28
Q40_4_1 Naming of Cases - Case 4 28 Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 QDobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33			
Q40_5_1 Naming of Cases - Case 5 29 Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6 29 Q40_7_1 Naming of Cases - Case 7 29 Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33			
Q40_6_1 Naming of Cases - Case 6		Naming of Cases - Case 5	29
Q40_8_1 Naming of Cases - Case 8 29 Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 qDobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q40_6_1		29
Q40_9_1 Naming of Cases - Case 9 30 Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 qDobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q40_7_1	Naming of Cases - Case 7	29
Q40_10_1 Naming of Cases - Case 10 30 qDobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q40_8_1	Naming of Cases - Case 8	29
qDobbs Views on Dobbs 30 Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q40_9_1	Naming of Cases - Case 9	30
Q29 Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q40_10_1	Naming of Cases - Case 10	30
Q30 Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT 31 Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	qDobbs		30
Q31 Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 31 Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q29	•	31
Q32 Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS 32 Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q30	Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT	31
Q33 Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33	Q31		
Q34 Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES 32 Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33			
Q35 Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA 33 pid3 Party ID - 3 categories 33			
Q36 Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA			
pid3 Party ID - 3 categories		·	
pid7 Party ID - 7 categories			
	pid7	Party ID - 7 categories	34

pid7others	Other Party ID
Q37	Political Leaders Mention Supreme Court
Q38	Political Leaders and Kinds of Justices
Q41	Reputation of the U.S. Supreme Court
Q42	Feelings Towards Justices
Q43	Importance of Criticism of Court
Q44	Breakdown of Votes
Q45	Justice Tenure
Q46	Last Say in Conflict of Meaning
Q47	Justice Selection
Q48	Current Chief Justice
Q49	Most Recent Justice
Q50	Republican Appointed Justices
Q51	Appointing President Partisanship Effects 40
Q52	How much do you approve of the performance of the Supreme Court? . 40
Q53	Statement Agreement
Q54	Respondent Ideology
Q55	Court Ideology
Q56	Increase Court Size
Q57	Limit Court Terms
newsint	Political Interest
cablenews	Most watched cable news network
ideo3	Ideology - 3 categories
ideo5	Ideology - 5 categories
votereg	Voter Registration Status
votereg2	Voter Registration - 2 categories
turnout22post	2022 Turnout
housevote22	House Vote 2022
votemethod22	Method of Voting in 2022 Election
turnout20post	2020 Turnout
presvote20post	2020 President Vote Post Election
gender	Gender
birthyr	Birth Year
age	Age
age4	Age - 4 categories
race	Race
race4	Race - 4 categories
hispanic	Hispanic
educ	Educational Attainment
educ4	Education - 4 categories
marstat	Marital Status
child18	Children Under 18
inputstate	State of Residence
region	Census Region
urbancity	Area Type
acsownrent	Own or Rent Home
employstat2	Employment status among non-students
faminc	Family income
income3	Family Income - 3 categories
milstat	Military Status
union_hhold	Union Household
volunteer	Volunteer Activities
pew religimp	Importance of Religion

pew_churatd	Church Attendance
pew_bornagain	Born Again
pew_prayer	Prayer Frequency
religpew	Affiliation
rand_ab	A/B Randomization
starttime	Questionnaire Start Time
endtime	Questionnaire End Time
lastmodified	Questionnaire Last Modified Time
weight	weight
Appendix 1	inputstate – State of Residence
Appendix 2	faminc – Family income

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR A...

Categorical

Q1A

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD (Group A)Some people think that private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should be able to. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	703
2	Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	294
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMIS...

Q2A

Categorical

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	738
2	Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	259
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR A...

Q1B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD (Group B)Some people think that private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should NOT be able to. What do you think?

Code	Label	Count
1	Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	670
2	Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	361
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMIS...

Q2B

Categorical

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. HARVARD (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Private colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	686
2	Private colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	344
8	skipped	2
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR A...

Q3A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group A)Some people think that public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should be able to. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	721
2	Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	276
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMIS...

Q4A

Categorical

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	791
2	Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	206
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR A...

Q3B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group B)Some people think that public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions. Other people think that they should NOT be able to. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	749
2	Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	283
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMIS...

Q4B

Categorical

Court Ruling: STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSION V. UNC (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Public colleges and universities should NOT be able to use race as a factor in admissions	743
2	Public colleges and universities should be able to use race as a factor in admissions	289
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: MOORE V. HARPER (Gr...

Q5A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: MOORE V. HARPER (Group A)Some people think that the language in the Constitution means that only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts. Other people think that state courts can exercise this oversight as they do in other areas. What do you think?

Code	Label	Count
1	Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts	402
2	State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas	595
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: MOORE V. HARPER (Group A)

Q6A

Categorical

The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts	491
2	State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas	506
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: MOORE V. HARPER (Gr...

Q5B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: MOORE V. HARPER (Group B)Some people think state courts can exercise oversight over federal elections as they do in other areas. Other people think that the language in the U.S. Constitution means that only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts.

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts	500
2	State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas	531
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: MOORE V. HARPER (Group B)

Q6B

Categorical

The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Only state legislatures can regulate federal elections, without oversight from state courts	477
2	State courts can exercise oversight over federal elections just like they do in other areas	554
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: 303 CREATIVE V. ELE...

Q7A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group A)Colorado law prohibits businesses, including creative and artistic businesses, from discriminating against gay customers. Some people think this violates business owners' rights to free speech. Other people think this does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech	499
2	Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech	498
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS ...

Q8A

Categorical

Court Ruling: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech	567
2	Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech	430
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: 303 CREATIVE V. ELE...

Q7B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group B)Colorado law prohibits businesses, including creative and artistic businesses, from discriminating against gay customers. Some think such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech. Other people think this violates business owners' rights to free speech. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech	489
2	Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech	543
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS ...

Q8B

Categorical

Court Ruling: 303 CREATIVE V. ELENIS (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Such a law violates business owners' rights to free speech	520
2	Such a law does NOT violate business owners' rights to free speech	512
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN...

Q9A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group A)One fourth of Alabama voters are Black. Alabama recently created seven Congressional districts, with only one of them being a majority-Black district. Some people think the small number of districts in which Black voters are a majority violates Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, which bans racial discrimination in voting policies, and that the state should be forced to redraw the districts. Other people disagree and say that the original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The state should be forced to redraw the district	561
2	The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful	436
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Gr...

Q10A

Categorical

Court Ruling: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The state should be forced to redraw the districts	463
2	The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful	534
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN...

Q9B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group B)One fourth of Alabama voters are Black. Alabama recently created seven Congressional districts, with only one of them being a majority-Black district. Some people say that the plan with one majority-Black district is lawful. Other people think the small number of districts in which Black voters are a majority violates Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, which bans racial discrimination in voting policies, and that the state should be forced to redraw the districts. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The state should be forced to redraw the district	536
2	The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful	496
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Gr...

Q10B

Categorical

Court Ruling: MERRILL V. MILLIGAN (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The state should be forced to redraw the districts	468
2	The original plan with one majority-Black district is lawful	563
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: SACKETT V. EPA (Gro...

Q11A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: SACKETT V. EPA (Group A)The Clean Water Act is a federal law that prohibits the "discharge of pollutants" into "navigable waters." Some people think that this should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands. Other people think that this should be read narrowly, to include only things like streams, rivers, and lakes. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands	771
2	The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands	226
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: SACKETT V. EPA (Group A)

Q12A

Categorical

The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands	595
2	The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands	401
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: SACKETT V. EPA (Gro...

Q11B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: SACKETT V. EPA (Group B)The Clean Water Act is a federal law that prohibits the "discharge of pollutants" into "navigable waters." Some people think that this should be read narrowly, to include only things like streams, rivers, and lakes. Other people think that this should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands	706
2	The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands	326
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: SACKETT V. EPA (Group B)

Q12B

Categorical

The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The Clean Water Act should be read broadly, to include things like wetlands	548
2	The Clean Water Act should be read narrowly, to not include things like wetlands	484
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: NATIONAL PORK PRODU...

Q13A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL V. ROSS (Group A)California law prohibits the sale of pork from pigs that are kept in small cages. Some people think that such a law discriminates against commerce from other states because most pork comes from outside of California. Other people think that such a law does not discriminate against commerce from other states. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Such law discriminates against commerce from other states	499
2	Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states	498
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS...

Q14A

Categorical

Court Ruling: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL V. ROSS (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Such law discriminates against commerce from other states	549
2	Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states	448
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: NATIONAL PORK PRODU...

Categorical

Q13B

Personal Opinion: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL V. ROSS (Group B)California law prohibits the sale of pork from pigs that are kept in small cages. Some people think such a law does not discriminate against commerce from other states. Other people think that such a law discriminates against commerce from other states because most pork comes from outside of California. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Such law discriminates against commerce from other states	466
2	Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states	566
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS...

Q14B

Categorical

Court Ruling: NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL V. ROSS (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Such law discriminates against commerce from other states	510
2	Such a law does NOT discriminate against commerce from other states	522
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: WARHOL FOUNDATION F...

Q15A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V. GOLDSMITH (Group A)In 1981, a photographer took a photo of the musician Prince. The artist Andy Warhol made changes to this photograph and used that to create a series of famous prints. Some people think that when images are transformed like this, the original creator is not entitled to any payment. Other people think that the original creator is entitled to payment because the image is still recognizable. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The original creator is NOT entitled to payment	277
2	The original creator is entitled to payment	720
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR V...

Q16A

Categorical

Court Ruling: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V. GOLDSMITH (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The original creator is NOT entitled to payment	350
2	The original creator is entitled to payment	647
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: WARHOL FOUNDATION F...

Q15B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V. GOLDSMITH (Group B)In 1981, a photographer took a photo of the musician Prince. The artist Andy Warhol made changes to this photograph and used that to create a series of famous prints. Some people think that the original creator is entitled to payment because the image is still recognizable. Other people think that when images are transformed like this, the original creator is not entitled to any payment. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The original creator is NOT entitled to payment	238
2	The original creator is entitled to payment	793
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR V...

Q16B

Categorical

Court Ruling: WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR VISUAL ARTS V. GOLDSMITH (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The original creator is NOT entitled to payment	326
2	The original creator is entitled to payment	705
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE ...

Q17A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group A)Federal law states that internet companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that large tech companies, such as Google, can be held responsible when their algorithm recommends certain content to users. Other people think that these companies cannot be held responsible for content recommended by the company's algorithms if that content was posted by other users. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	721
2	Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	275
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Gro...

Q18A

Categorical

Court Ruling: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	633
2	Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	363
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE ...

Q17B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group B)Federal law states that internet companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that large tech companies, such as Google, cannot be held responsible for content recommended by the company's algorithms if that content was posted by other users. Other people think that these companies can be held responsible when their algorithm recommends certain content to users. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	678
2	Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	353
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Gro...

Q18B

Categorical

Court Ruling: GONZALEZ V. GOOGLE (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Companies CAN be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	593
2	Companies CANNOT be held responsible when their algorithm recommends content to users	439
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH ...

Q19A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A)Federal law states that social media companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that social media companies can be held responsible for aiding and abetting terrorism for not removing content and accounts promoting terrorism. Other people think that they cannot be held responsible. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Social media companies CAN be held responsible	771
2	Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible	226
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Gro...

Q20A

Categorical

Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Social media companies CAN be held responsible	668
2	Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible	329
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH ...

Q19B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B)Federal law states that social media companies are not responsible for hosting content that is posted by others. Some people think that social media companies cannot be held responsible for aiding and abetting terrorism not removing content and accounts promoting terrorism. Other people think that they can be held responsible. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Social media companies CAN be held responsible	711
2	Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible	321
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Gro...

Q20B

Categorical

Court Ruling: TWITTER V. TAAMNEH (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Social media companies CAN be held responsible	618
2	Social media companies CANNOT be held responsible	414
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA D...

Q21A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group A)The Biden Administration announced plans to give up to \$20,000 in student loan forgiveness to people who make less than \$125,000 a year. Some people think that the Biden Administration overstepped its authority with this debt forgiveness plan. Other people disagree and think that the Biden Administration did not overstep its authority. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	519
2	The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	478
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPAR...

Q22A

Categorical

Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	634
2	The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	363
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA D...

Q21B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B)The Biden Administration announced plans to give up to \$20,000 in student loan forgiveness to people who make less than \$125,000 a year. Some people think that the Biden Administration did not overstep its authority with this debt forgiveness plan. Other people disagree and think that the Biden Administration overstepped its authority. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	463
2	The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	569
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPAR...

Q22B

Categorical

Court Ruling: BIDEN V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	The Biden Administration overstepped its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	588
2	The Biden Administration did NOT overstep its authority with its debt forgiveness plan	444
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATION...

Q23A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS (Group A)Some people think that striking union workers can be held responsible for damage or loss caused to an employer's property by employees stopping their work. Other people think that they cannot be held responsible because this would undermine the ability of union workers to strike. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage	486
2	Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage	511
9	not asked	1032

Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL ...

Q24A

Categorical

Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage	584
2	Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage	413
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATION...

Q23B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS (Group B)Some people think that striking union workers cannot be held responsible for damage or loss caused to an employer's property by employees stopping their work because this would undermine the ability of union workers to strike. Other people think that striking union workers can be held responsible. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage	502
2	Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage	530
9	not asked	997

Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL ...

Q24B

Categorical

Court Opinion: GLACIER INTERNATIONAL V. INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	Union workers CAN be held responsible for such damage	569
2	Union workers CANNOT be held responsible for such damage	462
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN...

Categorical

Q25A

Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A)In 1978, Congress enacted a law that says that Native American children who are removed from their families should be placed with extended family members or foster homes of people who are also Native American. Some people think this law discriminates on the basis of race. Other people think it does NOT discriminate on the basis of race. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	This law discriminates on the basis of race	480
2	This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race	517
9	not asked	1032

Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (G...

Q26A

Categorical

Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group A)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	This law discriminates on the basis of race	478
2	This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race	519
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN...

Categorical

Q25B

Personal Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B)In 1978, Congress enacted a law that says that Native American children who are removed from their families should be placed with extended family members or foster homes of people who are also Native American. Some people think this law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race. Other people think it discriminates on the basis of race. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	This law discriminates on the basis of race	444
2	This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race	587
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (G...

Q26B

Categorical

Court Opinion: HAALAND V. BRACKEEN (Group B)The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	This law discriminates on the basis of race	426
2	This law does NOT discriminate on the basis of race	603
8	skipped	3
9	not asked	997

Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Gro...

Q27A

Categorical

Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A)A postal worker refused to work on Sundays for religious reasons. The Postal Service agreed to help him find coworkers to swap shifts with, but when he could not find any coworkers to swap with and did not show up to work multiple times, he was disciplined and then quit. Some people think that this is NOT religious discrimination. Other people think that this is religious discrimination and that the employer should have to accommodate his beliefs and should not have disciplined him. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	This is NOT religious discrimination	522
2	This is religious discrimination	475
9	not asked	1032

Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group A)

Q28A

Categorical

The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Code	Label	Count
1	This is NOT religious discrimination	507
2	This is religious discrimination	490
9	not asked	1032

Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Gro...

Q27B

Categorical

Personal Opinion: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B)A postal worker refused to work on Sundays for religious reasons. The Postal Service agreed to help him find coworkers to swap shifts with, but when he could not find any coworkers to swap with and did not show up to work multiple times, he was disciplined and then quit. Some people think that this is religious discrimination and that the employer should have to accommodate his beliefs and should not have disciplined him. Other people think that this is NOT religious discrimination. What do you think?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	This is NOT religious discrimination	501
2	This is religious discrimination	530
8	skipped	1
9	not asked	997

Court Ruling: GROFF V. DEJOY (Group B)

Q28B

Categorical

The Supreme Court will be deciding this issue soon. Regardless of your personal views, how do you think the Supreme Court will decide?

Asked of a random half of respondents

Code	Label	Count
1	This is NOT religious discrimination	499
2	This is religious discrimination	531
8	skipped	2
9	not asked	997

Name More than 10 Cases

Q39

Categorical

Can you name any case ever heard by the U.S. Supreme Court?

Code	Label	Count
1	Yes	1142
2	No	886
8	skipped	1

Naming of Cases - Case 1

Q40_1_1

Text

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
1099	930	106

Naming of Cases - Case 2

Q40_2_1

Text

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
620	1409	66

Naming of Cases - Case 3

Q40_3_1

lext

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
437	1592	66

Naming of Cases - Case 4

Q40_4_1

Text

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
291	1738	73

Naming of Cases - Case 5

Q40_5_1

Text

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
212	1817	91

Naming of Cases - Case 6

Q40_6_1

Text

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
155	1874	58

Naming of Cases - Case 7

Q40_7_1

lext

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
116	1913	83

Naming of Cases - Case 8

Q40_8_1

Text

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
89	1940	56

Naming of Cases - Case 9

Q40_9_1

Tex

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
68	1961	78

Naming of Cases - Case 10

Q40_10_1

Text

Please list as many Supreme Court cases as you can in the boxes below, up to 10: (Case Name)

Filled	Missing	Max Length
60	1969	71

Views on Dobbs qDobbs

Categorical

In a recent case called Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, the Supreme Court overturned its 1973 ruling in Roe v. Wade, which had established a constitutional right to an abortion under specific circumstances. What is your opinion of the Court's decision in Dobbs?

Code	Label	Count
1	I AGREE with the Court overturning Roe and eliminating the constitutional right to an abortion	993
2	I DISAGREE with the Court overturning Roe and eliminating the constitutional right to an abortion	1036

Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECT...

Q29

Categorical

Personal Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUTThe Court's 1965 ruling in a case called Griswold v. Connecticut established a constitutional right of couples to use contraception, such as the birth control pill. Some people think that the Court should overrule Griswold and eliminate the constitutional right of couples to use contraception. Other people think that Griswold should be upheld, maintaining this right. What do you think?

Code	Label	Count
1	The right of couples to use contraception should be eliminated	383
2	The right of couples to use contraception should be upheld	

Court Opinion: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT

Q30

Categorical

Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

Code	Label	Count
1	The Supreme Court will eliminate the right of couples to use contraception	541
2	The Supreme Court will uphold the right of couples to use contraception	1488

Personal Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS

Q31

Categorical

The Court's 2003 ruling in a case called Lawrence v. Texas declared that states punishing people for engaging in homosexual conduct was unconstitutional. Some people think that the Court should overrule Lawrence and allow states to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct. Other people think that Lawrence should be upheld, prohibiting states from doing this. What do you think?

Code	Label	Count
1	States should be allowed to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct	613
2	States should NOT be allowed to punish people for engaging in homosexual conduct	

Court Opinion: LAWRENCE V. TEXAS

Categorical

Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

Count
luct 693 1336

Personal Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES

Categorical

The Court's 2015 ruling in a case called Obergefell v. Hodges held that states must recognize same-sex marriages. Some people think that the Court should overrule Obergefell and allow states to refuse to recognize same-sex marriages. Other people think that Obergefell should be upheld, maintaining that states must recognize same-sex marriages. What do you think?

Code	Label	Count
1	States should be allowed refuse to recognize same-sex marriages	931
2	States must continue to recognize same-sex marriages	1098

Court Opinion: OBERGEFELL V. HODGES

Categorical

Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

Code	Label	Count
1	The Supreme Court will allow states to refuse to recognize same-sex marriages	966
2	The Supreme Court will say that states must continue to recognize same-sex marriages	1063

Q32

Q33

Q34

Personal Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA

Categorical

The Court's 1967 ruling in a case called Loving v. Virginia held that states cannot prevent two people of different races from marrying. Some people think that the Court should overrule Loving and allow states to prevent two people of different races from marrying. Other people think that Loving should be upheld, maintaining that states cannot prevent two people of different races from marrying. What do you think?

Code	Label	Count
1	States should be allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying	379
2	States should NOT be allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying	

Court Opinion: LOVING V. VIRGINIA

Categorical

Although the Supreme Court has not announced plans to take on this issue, some have speculated that it could do so in the future. Regardless of your personal views, what do you think the Court will do?

Code	Label	Count
1	The Supreme Court will say that states are allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying	451
2	The Supreme Court will say states should NOT be allowed to prevent two people from different races from marrying	1578

Party ID - 3 categories

pid3

Categorical

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a ...?

Code	Label	Count
1	Democrat	744
2	Republican	522
3	Independent	555
4	Other	73
5	Not sure	135

Q35

Q36

Party ID - 7 categories pid7

Categorical

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a ...?

Code	Label	Count
1	Strong Democrat	539
2	Not very strong Democrat	205
3	Lean Democrat	151
4	Independent	379
5	Lean Republican	140
6	Not very strong Republican	172
7	Strong Republican	350
8	Not sure	93

Other Party ID pid7others

Categorical

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Democratic or the Republican Party?

Code	Label	Count
3	Lean Democrat	15
4	Independent	91
5	Lean Republican	24
8	Not sure	78
99	not asked	1821

Political Leaders Mention Supreme Court

Q37

Categorical

In the past six months, how frequently would you say you have heard political leaders from the [Democratic/Republican] Party mention the Supreme Court?

Asked of Democrats and Republicans

Code	Label	Count
1	Always	78
2	Often	358
3	Sometimes	541
4	Rarely	199
5	Never	90
9	not asked	763

Political Leaders and Kinds of Justices

Q38

Categorical

In your view, how important is it for political leaders of the [Democratic/Republican] Party to talk about the kinds of justices they would like to see appointed to the Supreme Court?

Asked of Democrats and Republicans

Code	Label	Count
1	Extremely Important	344
2	Very Important	486
3	Moderately Important	228
4	Slightly Important	149
5	Not Important	59
9	not asked	763

Reputation of the U.S. Supreme Court

Q41

Tex

In your own words what would you say is the reputation of the Supreme Court?

Filled	Missing	Max Length
2029	0	1304

Feelings Towards Justices

Gric

How important are the following in how you feel about a Supreme Court justice?

Rows		
Variable	Label	
Q42_1	Having good professional and educational qualifications	
Q42_2	Being likely to decide cases in a way that I agree with	
Q42_3	Being likely to follow the appropriate process of interpreting the law	
Q42_4	Being of the same party as me	

	Columns
Code	Label
1	Extremely Important
2	Very Important
3	Moderately Important
4	Slightly Important
5	Not Important
8	skipped

		Counts				
Variable	1	2	3	4	5	8
Q42_1	1023	600	277	82	44	3
Q42_2	336	583	661	200	246	3
Q42_3	1052	600	265	77	30	5
Q42_4	221	400	619	227	559	3

Q42

Importance of Criticism of Court

Q43

Categorical

How much do you agree with the following statement:"It is important for leaders of the [Democratic/Republican] Party to criticize the Supreme Court"

Asked of Democrats and Republicans

Code	Label	Count
1	Strongly Agree	225
2	Agree	356
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree	452
4	Disagree	164
5	Strongly Disagree	69
9	not asked	763

Breakdown of Votes Q44

Categorical

The Supreme Court has nine members. For the issues that we asked you about earlier, what do you think the breakdown in the votes on the Court would typically be?

Label	Count
5-4	631
6-3	855
7-2	355
8-1	77
9-0	111
	5-4 6-3 7-2 8-1

Justice Tenure Q45

Categorical

Some judges in the U.S. serve for a set number of years; others serve a life term. Do you happen to know whether the Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court serve for a set number of years or whether they serve a life term?

Code	Label	Count
1	Set number of years	479
2	Life term	1316
3	Neither	233
8	skipped	1

Last Say in Conflict of Meaning

Q46

Categorical

Do you happen to know who has the last say when there is a conflict over the meaning of the U.S. Constitution—the U.S. Supreme Court, the U.S. Congress, or the President?

Label	Count
U.S. Congress	339
U.S. Supreme Court	1446
The President	244
	U.S. Congress U.S. Supreme Court

Justice Selection Q47

Categorical

Some judges in the U.S. are elected; others are appointed to the bench. Do you happen to know if the Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court are elected or appointed to the bench?

Code	Label	Count
1	Elected to the bench	366
2	Appointed to the bench	1403
3	Neither	260

Current Chief Justice Q48

Categorical

Please select the name of the current Chief Justice of the United States from the choices below:

Code	Label	Count
1	Sonia Sotomayor	241
2	Samuel Alito	152
3	Elena Kagan	113
4	John Roberts	1399
5	Neil Gorsuch	122
8	skipped	2

Most Recent Justice Q49

Categorical

Please select the name of the Justice who most recently joined the U.S. Supreme Court from the choices below:

Code	Label	Count
1	Sonia Sotomayor	148
2	Ketanji Brown Jackson	1240
3	John Roberts	223
4	Brett Kavanaugh	147
5	Amy Coney Barrett	270
8	skipped	1

Republican Appointed Justices

Q50

Categorical

Supreme Court justices are appointed by the President of the United States. How many of the current nine justices do you think were appointed by Republican presidents?

Code	Label	Count
1	1 out of 9	28
2	2 out of 9	33
3	3 out of 9	84
4	4 out of 9	209
5	5 out of 9	467
6	6 out of 9	780
7	7 out of 9	217
8	8 out of 9	83
9	9 out of 9	81
10	0 out of 9	47

Appointing President Partisanship Eff...

Categorical

Appointing President Partisanship EffectsHow much do you think the political party of the appointing president affects how Supreme Court justices decide cases?

Code	Label	Count
1	A great deal	600
2	A lot	556
3	A moderate amount	623
4	A little	130
5	Not at all	119
8	skipped	1

How much do you approve of the perfor...

Categorical

How much do you approve of the performance of the Supreme Court?

Code	Label	Count
1	Strongly Approve	273
2	Somewhat Approve	626
3	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	514
4	Somewhat Disapprove	289
5	Strongly Disapprove	327

Q51

Q52

Statement Agreement

Gric

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	Rows			
Variable	Label			
Q53_1	Judges on the U.S. Supreme Court who consistently make decisions at odds with what a majority of the people want should be removed from their position as judge.			
Q53_2	The U.S. Supreme Court has become too independent and should be reined in.			
Q53_3	If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, it might be better to do away with the Supreme Court altogether.			
Q53_4	The U.S. Supreme Court gets too mixed up in politics.			
Q53_5	The U.S. Supreme Court can usually be trusted to make decisions that are right for the country as a whole.			

	Columns				
Code	Label				
1	Strongly Agree				
2	Agree				
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree				
4	Disagree				
5	Strongly Disagree				
8	skipped				

		Counts				
Variable	1	2	3	4	5	8
Q53_1	316	496	640	296	279	2
Q53_2	232	485	704	350	257	1
Q53_3	212	372	591	369	484	1
Q53_4	474	737	563	199	53	3
Q53_5	231	680	638	300	178	2

Q53

Respondent Ideology Q54

Numeric

Where would you place YOURSELF on the following scale?

Mean	SD	Min	Max	n	Missing
51.89	27	0	100	2028	1

Court Ideology Q55

Numeric

Where would you place THE CURRENT SUPREME COURT on the following scale?

Mean	SD	Min	Max	n	Missing
61.58	23	0	100	2028	1

Increase Court Size Q56

Categorical

The U.S. Supreme Court has nine members. Some people believe that Congress should expand the size of the Supreme Court, allowing the current president to appoint one or more new Justices. Do you agree or disagree that the size of the Supreme Court should be increased?

Code	Label	Count
1	Strongly Agree	272
2	Agree	494
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree	529
4	Disagree	274
5	Strongly Disagree	460

Limit Court Terms Q57

Categorical

U.S. Supreme Court Justices currently serve life terms. Some people think that, instead, Supreme Court Justices should be limited to 18-year terms. Do you agree or disagree that there should be such term limits for Supreme Court Justices?

Code	Label	Count
1	Strongly Agree	554
2	Agree	655
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree	490
4	Disagree	185
5	Strongly Disagree	145

Political Interest newsint

Categorical

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs ...

Code	Label	Count
1	Most of the time	820
2	Some of the time	617
3	Only now and then	306
4	Hardly at all	215
7	Don't know	71

Most watched cable news network

cablenews

Categorical

Which cable news network do you watch the most?

Code	Label	Count
1	CNN	463
2	Fox News	427
3	MSNBC	246
4	Other cable news network	101
5	I don't watch any cable news	792

Ideology - 3 categories

ideo3

Categorical

In general, how would you describe your own political viewpoint?

Code	Label	Count
1	Liberal	590
2	Moderate	625
3	Conservative	628
4	Not sure	186

Ideology - 5 categories

ideo5

Categorical

In general, how would you describe your own political viewpoint?

Code	Label	Count
1	Very liberal	220
2	Liberal	370
3	Moderate	625
4	Conservative	379
5	Very conservative	249
6	Not sure	186

Voter Registration Status

votereg

Categorical

Are you registered to vote?

Code	Label	Count
1	Yes	1571
2	No	414
3	Don't know	44

Voter Registration - 2 categories

votereg2

Categorical

Are you registered to vote?

Code	Label	Count
1	Registered	1571
2	Not registered	458

2022 Turnout turnout22post

Categorical

Did you vote in the November 2022 general election?

Code	Label	Count
1	Yes	1368
2	No	519
8	skipped	142

House Vote 2022 housevote 22

Categorical

In the 2022 elections for U.S. House of Representatives, who did you vote for in the district where you live?

Code	Label	Count
1	Democratic candidate	708
2	Republican candidate	552
3	Independent candidate	51
6	Did not vote for U.S. House	40
9	not asked	678

Method of Voting in 2022 Election

votemethod22

Categorical

In the 2022 elections, how did you cast your vote?

Among those who voted in the election

Code	Label	Count
1	In person early, before election day	306
2	In person on election day	597
3	By mail or absentee ballot, sending it back by mail or post office	310
4	By mail or absentee ballot, returning it at a vote center, elections dropbox or other official voting site	138
9	not asked	678

2020 Turnout turnout20post

Categorical

Did you vote in the November 2020 general election?

Label	Count
Yes	1422
No	595
skipped	12
	Yes No

2020 President Vote Post Election

presvote20post

Categorical

Who did you vote for in the election for President in 2020?

Code	Label	Count
1	Joe Biden	732
2	Donald Trump	637
3	Jo Jorgensen	11
4	Howie Hawkins	3
5	Other	10
6	Did not vote for President	636

Gender

gender

Categorical

Are you...?

Label	Count
Male	946
Female	1083
	Male

Birth Year

birthyr

Numeric

In what year were you born?

Mean	SD	Min	Max	n	Missing
1973.04	18	1929	2005	2029	0

Age

age

Numeric

Age

Mean	SD	Min	Max	n	Missing
49.19	18	18	93	2029	0

Age - 4 categories

age4

Categorical

Respondent age by category

Code	Label	Count
1	Under 30	384
2	30-44	471
3	45-64	677
4	65+	497

Race

Categorical

What racial or ethnic group best describes you?

Code	Label	Count
1	White	1332
2	Black	289
3	Hispanic	223
4	Asian	63
5	Native American	32
6	Two or more races	45
7	Other	35
8	Middle Eastern	10

Race - 4 categories race4

Categorical

What racial or ethnic group best describes you?

Cod	e Label	Count
1	White	1254
2	Black	281
3	Hispanic	336
4	Other	158

Hispanic hispanic

Categorical

Are you of Spanish, Latino, or Hispanic origin or descent?

Code	Label	Count
1	Yes	336
2	No	1693

Educational Attainment

educ

Categorical

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Code	Label	Count
1	No HS	110
2	High school graduate	588
3	Some college	330
4	2-year	266
5	4-year	458
6	Post-grad	277

Education - 4 categories

educ4

Categorical

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Code	Label	Count
1	HS or less	698
2	Some college	596
3	College grad	458
4	Postgrad	277

Marital Status

marstat

Categorical

What is your marital status?

Code	Label	Count
1	Married	967
2	Separated	46
3	Divorced	225
4	Widowed	115
5	Never married	578
6	Domestic / civil partnership	98

Children Under 18 child18

Categorical

Are you the parent or guardian of any children under the age of 18?

Label	Count
Yes	496
No	1483
skipped	50
	Yes No

State of Residence inputstate

Categorical

What is your State of Residence?

There are more than 20 categories. Please see Appendix 1

Census Region region

Categorical

Calculated from respondent's state of residence

Code	Label	Count
1	Northeast	375
2	Midwest	390
3	South	743
4	West	521

Area Type urbancity

Categorical

How would you describe the place where you live?

Code	Label	Count
1	City	720
2	Suburb	650
3	Town	235
4	Rural area	410
5	Other	14

Own or Rent Home acsownrent

Categorical

Do you own or rent the place you currently live?

Code	Label	Count
1	Own	1178
2	Rent	735
3	Other	116

Employment status among non-students

employstat2

Categorical

Which of the following best describes your current employment status?

Code	Label	Count
1	Full-time employed	606
2	Part-time employed	156
3	Self-employed	119
4	Unemployed or temporarily on layoff	128
5	Retired	472
6	Permanently disabled	131
7	Homemaker	96
8	Other	25
99	not asked	296

Family income faminc

Categorical

Thinking back over the last year, what was your family's annual income?

There are more than 20 categories. Please see Appendix 2

Family Income - 3 categories

income3

Categorical

Thinking back over the last year, what was your family's annual income?

С	ode	Label	Count
	1	Under \$50K	840
	2	\$50-100K	570
	3	\$100K or more	400
	4	Prefer not to say	219

Military Status milstat

Multiple Response

We'd like to know whether you or someone in your immediate family is currently serving or has ever served in the U.S. military. Immediate family is defined as your parents, siblings, spouse, and children. Please check all boxes that apply.

Rows		
Variable	Label	
milstat_1	Military Household - I am	
milstat_2	Military Household - Family	
milstat_3	Military Household - I served previously	
milstat_4	Military Household - Family served previously	
milstat_5	Military Household - None	

Columns		
Code Label		
1	selected	
2	not selected	

	Cou	Counts	
Variable	1	2	
milstat_1	35	1994	
milstat_2	165	1864	
milstat_3	229	1800	
milstat_4	700	1329	
milstat_5	1062	967	

Union Household union_hhold

Multiple Response

Are you or is someone in your household a member of a labor union?

	Rows
Variable	Label
union_hhold_1 union_hhold_2	Yes, I am Yes, household member is
union_hhold_3	No

Columns		
Code Label		
1	selected	
2	not selected	

	Counts	
Variable	1	2
union_hhold_1	191	1838
union_hhold_2	176	1853
union_hhold_3	1680	349

Volunteer Activities volunteer

Categorical

We are interested in volunteer activities, that is, activities for which people are not paid, except perhaps expenses. We only want you to include volunteer activities that you did through or for an organization, even if you only did them once in a while. In the last 12 months, that is since March 2022, have you done any volunteer activities through or for an organization?

Code	Label	Count
1	Yes	696
2	No	1333

Importance of Religion

pew_religimp

Categorical

How important is religion in your life?

Code	Label	Count
1	Very important	797
2	Somewhat important	513
3	Not too important	327
4	Not at all important	392

Church Attendance pew_churatd

Categorical

Aside from weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services?

Code	Label	Count
1	More than once a week	234
2	Once a week	370
3	Once or twice a month	161
4	A few times a year	215
5	Seldom	421
6	Never	562
7	Don't know	66

Born Again pew_bornagain

Categorical

Would you describe yourself as a "born-again" or evangelical Christian, or not?

Code	Label	Count
1	Yes	732
2	No	1296
8	skipped	1

Prayer Frequency pew_prayer

Categorical

People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, how often do you pray?

Code	Label	Count
1	Several times a day	575
2	Once a day	299
3	A few times a week	236
4	Once a week	86
5	A few times a month	129
6	Seldom	275
7	Never	347
8	Don't know	82

Affiliation religpew

Categorical

What is your present religion, if any?

Code	Label	Count
1	Protestant	565
2	Roman Catholic	497
3	Mormon	24
4	Eastern or Greek Orthodox	16
5	Jewish	61
6	Muslim	35
7	Buddhist	22
8	Hindu	6
9	Atheist	131
10	Agnostic	96
11	Nothing in particular	442
12	Something else	134

A/B Randomization rand_ab

Categorical

A/B Randomization

Code	Label	Count
1	Α	997
2	В	1032

Questionnaire Start Time starttime

Date

When did you start this qx (epoch seconds)?

Filled	Missing	Range
2029	0	[2023-04-05 17:00:18, 2023-04-11 19:50:32]

Questionnaire End Time endtime

Date

When did you complete this qx (epoch seconds)?

Filled	Missing	Range
2029	0	[2023-04-05 17:03:44, 2023-04-11 20:59:10]

Questionnaire Last Modified Time

lastmodified

Date

What was the last time you visited this questionnaire?

Filled	Missing	Range
2029	0	[2023-04-05 17:03:44, 2023-04-11 20:59:06]

weight

Numeric

(weight)

Mean	SD	Min	Max	n	Missing
1	0	0.23	3.74	2029	0

Appendix

State of Residence

Categorical

What is your State of Residence?

inputstate

Code	Label	Count	Code	Label	Count
1	Alabama	34	2	Alaska	7
4	Arizona	69	5	Arkansas	19
6	California	241	8	Colorado	31
9	Connecticut	31	10	Delaware	10
11	District of Columbia	4	12	Florida	155
13	Georgia	72	15	Hawaii	16
16	Idaho	11	17	Illinois	91
18	Indiana	43	19	Iowa	17
20	Kansas	19	21	Kentucky	40
22	Louisiana	26	23	Maine	11
24	Maryland	25	25	Massachusetts	41
26	Michigan	39	27	Minnesota	28
28	Mississippi	12	29	Missouri	34
30	Montana	7	31	Nebraska	4
32	Nevada	25	33	New Hampshire	12
34	New Jersey	49	35	New Mexico	10
36	New York	154	37	North Carolina	45
38	North Dakota	5	39	Ohio	61
40	Oklahoma	22	41	Oregon	32
42	Pennsylvania	68	44	Rhode Island	5
45	South Carolina	28	46	South Dakota	8
47	Tennessee	33	48	Texas	154
49	Utah	14	50	Vermont	4
51	Virginia	50	53	Washington	56
54	West Virginia	14	55	Wisconsin	41
56	Wyoming	2			

Appendix

Family income faminc

Categorical

Thinking back over the last year, what was your family's annual income?

Code	Label	Count
1	Less than \$10,000	153
2	\$10,000 - \$19,999	167
3	\$20,000 - \$29,999	194
4	\$30,000 - \$39,999	172
5	\$40,000 - \$49,999	154
6	\$50,000 - \$59,999	155
7	\$60,000 - \$69,999	108
8	\$70,000 - \$79,999	160
9	\$80,000 - \$99,999	147
10	\$100,000 - \$119,999	114
11	\$120,000 - \$149,999	123
12	\$150,000 - \$199,999	77
13	\$200,000 - \$249,999	41
14	\$250,000 - \$349,999	19
15	\$350,000 - \$499,999	13
16	\$500,000 or more	13
97	Prefer not to say	219